<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Final Score (Score x factor)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| #1: Is there sufficient space for 5 sawtooth bus bays + required amenities | Yes=1  
No=Off the table                                                | 2.50   | N/A                         |
| #2: Is the site a part of RFP #2300094 parking lot redevelopment?      | No=1  
Yes=-Off the table                                                | 2.50   | N/A                         |
| #3: Is the site on city-owned land?                                     | City-owned=2  
Private + willing to sell=1  
Private not willing to sell=Off the table | 1.25   | Off the table               |
| #4: Is the site in a FEMA Flood Hazard Zone?                            | No=1  
Yes=off the table                                                  | 2.50   | N/A                         |
| #5: Is the site within a historic context area?                         | No=2  
Yes=1                                                        | 1.25   | N/A                         |
| #6: Is re-zoning required?                                              | No=2  
Yes=1                                                        | 1.25   | N/A                         |
| #7: What is the net gain/loss of parking spaces?                        | Gain=5  
0-9 lost=4  
10-19=3               | 0.50   | N/A                         |
| #8: Is park space impacted?                                             | No=2  
Yes=1                                                        | 1.25   | N/A                         |
| #9: How close to the center of downtown (9th/Mass) is the site?         | Within 5 min=3  
Within 10 min=2  
Within 15 min=1 | 0.83   | N/A                         |
| #10: Which Transportation Disadvantaged Zone is the site in?             | 6+ (red)=4  
4-6 (yellow)=3  
2-4 (green)=2  
0-2 (blue)=1 | 0.63   | N/A                         |
| #11: How easy is it to approach/depart the site from multiple directions? | Easy=3  
Neutral=2  
Difficult=1                                            | 0.83   | N/A                         |
| #12: What level of concern is there about cost-driving factors at the site? | Low=3  
Medium=2  
High=1                                                  | 0.83   | N/A                         |
| #13: What level of anticipated impact is there at the site for downtown event reroutes? | Low=3  
Medium=2  
High=1                                                  | 0.83   | N/A                         |
| #14: What level of anticipated traffic flow impacts are there at the site? | Low=3  
Medium=2  
High=1                                                  | 0.83   | N/A                         |

**Total Score**

Since this site is disqualified due to its score on Criterion #3, only the Criterion #3 sheet is provided, along with an explanation.

**Factor creates equal weights across all criteria**
Site 008– Existing Conditions
Criterion #3: Is the site on city-owned land?

Why is this criterion important?

- The Downtown Station project does not include any project funding for land acquisition.
- Private property owners who are not willing to sell disqualifies the site from consideration.
- Private property owners who are willing to sell keeps the project in contention for selection, but funding would need to be identified for the land purchase.

Interactive map

City Owned Parcels
- Airport
- Cemetery
- City Operations
- Drainage
- Economic Development
- Future Park
- Housing Authority
- Open Space
- Park
- Parking
- Public Building
- Pump Station
- Riverfront and lakes
- Stormwater Acquisition

Criterion #3: Is the site on city-owned land?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Score</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Final Score (Score x factor)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City-owned=2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private + willing to sell=1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private not willing to sell=Off the table</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>Off the table</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary
The City contacted the property owner, who indicated they were not willing to sell this property for the use of a transit station.