



City of Lawrence
Douglas County
PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT SERVICES



Horizon 2020 Steering Committee
City Commission Room
4:00 – 6:00pm
March 10, 2014

AGENDA

- 1) Approval of Meeting Notes from 2/10/14
- 2) Presentation of Expanded Timeline/Process
- 3) Survey
- 4) Discussion of Next Steps

**Horizon 2020 Steering Committee
February 10, 2014
Meeting Notes**

Members Present: Comm. Thellman, Comm. Amyx, Lisa Harris, Kyra Martinez, Stan Rasmussen, Clay Britton, Scott Zaremba, Bill Ackerly, Dr. Rick Doll, John Gascon (by Skype)

Members Absent: None

Staff Present: Scott McCullough, Sheila Stogsdill, Jeff Crick, Amy Miller, Dave Corliss

Others Present: Several members of the public were also in attendance.

Amyx introduced the charge of the Committee and reiterated that the committee should stay as close as possible to the charge of the resolution. He also thanked the members for their time.

Thellman echoed Amyx's comments and stated that she is pleased with the make-up and representation of the committee. She expressed that she wants the public to know that they are an important part of this process and that the committee is here to advise the City, County and Planning Commissions as to the input they receive.

Each member of the Committee introduced themselves.

McCullough directed everyone to look at the Steering Committee Charge at the top of the roster and reviewed that with the group. Charge number one is issue identification. The second charge of the committee is to prioritize those issues. The third part is the draft and final plan product. The third part will not be done by the April 2015 date. The issues action report will be the product that comes out of the steering committee and gets approved by the governing bodies in order to give strong direction to staff to draft the revised plan. He then let them know that "A Guide to Horizon 2020" was included in their packet information for their use. He thinks we are in a good position with our comprehensive plan. The plan is a living document that has been applied and amended since its original adoption. This process is to identify new trends that need to be incorporated and determine what needs to be kept, modified, or removed. Do not need to start from scratch.

Rasmussen questioned whether the term "Horizon 2020" implied a year or a focused vision.

McCullough replied that for many communities that employed that term it satisfied both.

Stogsdill stated that in the case of Horizon 2020, it was a comprehensive plan that was planned for a certain year.

McCullough gave a presentation about the history, organization and content of the current comprehensive plan, Horizon 2020.

McCullough then introduced the draft Process and Timeline. Issue identification will start in the Spring and Summer of 2014. This will be done in several ways but will ultimately help to identify what the public thinks about the issues. Input from the community will be through multiple sources including online surveys, public meetings, meetings with stakeholder groups, interactive and social media, including a project website, comment page, dedicated email address and an email distribution list and various publications.

Martinez asked if the survey will be on the city's website.

McCullough said that staff was still working out details, but it could be a mailed survey with follow up phone calls and/or on the city's website. He indicated that staff planned to present more details on the survey and the public participation plan at the next meeting.

McCullough stated that after the input part of the process, the next step is to have the Steering Committee prioritize the issues. The outcome is an Issues Action Report that will come out of the Committee and be presented to the PC/BCC/CC. That is the charge for staff to draft the plan and bring it back to the Steering Committee and ultimately have it presented to the governing bodies at the end of the two year process.

Martinez asked if steering committee members will be able to go to the public meetings.

McCullough stated that there it is recommended that steering committee members go to some of them. The idea will be that there will be no steering committee meetings those months.

Rasmussen suggested that agritourism, arts and culture groups (arts center), and bioscience be added to the stakeholder groups.

Harris suggested that the Bicycle Advisory Committee, agriculture community, environment and health community be added to the stakeholder groups as well.

Thellman asked how the public meetings would be recorded and reported.

McCullough stated that there will be meeting notes from staff, but that the public meetings would have some sort of recording method for the public to submit their opinion.

Amyx asked about the survey and the possibility of having a survey done by ETC and what the cost might be.

Corliss stated that ETC has successfully performed two citizen surveys for the city. There is \$20,000 in 2014 budget funds for the citizen survey in the fall of 2014. Surveys by ETC are scientifically based with a protocol. It is usually sent by mail and followed up by phone and results in good scientific data.

Amyx stated that stakeholder groups might not capture everyone, so a survey is a good tool to seek out other's opinions.

McCullough said that a request had been made to video record each steering committee meeting and that he wanted to talk about it with the group. There is a cost associated with

video recording meetings and it would constrain the group to always meet in the City Commission room. Video recording the meetings would make them more transparent and more accessible to everyone. Currently, the City does not video record any meetings beside City Commission meetings.

Doll said that the school district only tapes board meetings.

McCullough stated that these are open meetings and people would always be allowed to bring their own video equipment.

Britton questioned if there were concerns about quasi-judicial action or KOMA.

Corliss stated because the steering committee is not taking action like other boards it is not quasi-judicial but that the committee will be subject to KOMA. The majority of the steering committee can only talk about this subject in a public meeting. There cannot be serial or continuing communications by email for the whole group, only one way communications.

Britton and Zaremba stated that they do not see a need for videotaping.

Gascon stated that he had feedback from LAN that there is distrust and that they wanted the video record because the meeting notes won't express the true comments.

Britton asked if it was possible to just audio record the meetings.

Corliss stated that audio can be difficult because the speaker has to speak up, turn microphone on and identify themselves.

McCullough stated that again the issue is resources, and there are not resources to provide full meeting minutes and audio for each meeting.

Harris asked if the group have a chance to comment on the notes.

McCullough stated that staff will send a draft the steering committee members and put the draft on the next agenda for approval.

Britton asked if the group City, County and Planning Commission would take action on the Issues Action Report based on the recommendation of the steering committee.

McCullough said that, yes, that was true and the issue action report becomes integral to the process in order to vet out issues and move forward in agreement.

Thellman asked if the plan is to open up sector plans and re-think those recent decisions.

McCullough stated that may be a future work item or implementation step to a changing policy that comes out of the revised comprehensive plan. Recent and valid documents would take a significant policy shift to change them in a wholesale way. The steering committee may identify an issue that would lead to a change in a plan, but sector plans would not be changed during this process.

Harris asked who would be developing the survey questions.

McCullough stated that staff in conjunction with ETC would develop the survey and bring it to the Steering Committee for review.

Rasmussen asked if staff could review the process again.

McCullough stated that the steering committee is guiding the process and acting as a sounding board for staff. At next meeting staff will bring the steering committee the toolkit and maybe the survey. As we get out to the community, the steering committee should sit in on some of the public meeting and have another ear. Staff will compile comments and issues to provide to steering committee members. The steering committee will provide guidance to staff in their work, but the steering committee will not write the plan.

Amyx stated that the steering committee should be able to bring in ideas and participate.

McCullough said that is true, but the tough part is going to be getting help from the steering committee to prioritize those issues and have the steering committee members connect with their respective groups to keep them informed.

Ackerly asked if the Issues Action Report is the steering committee's deliverable.

McCullough said that staff will present the committee with various forms of input at each meeting. The issue prioritization will be a deliverable from committee.

Doll asked if the group isn't in consensus, does the group take a vote.

McCullough stated that the group needs to decide if they want to follow a format with majority consensus with a vote.

Amyx stated that might be a topic for next meeting.

Thellman thinks that technology is a good thing and that if we start out with a distrust of the situation then she thinks if there is a capability to video record the meeting, then they should be recorded.

Rasmussen said he is not opposed to video recording the meetings.

Doll thinks it sets a bit of a precedent. There are a lot of other meetings that rise to similar level of importance.

McCullough asked if the group wanted to set a static meeting time, such as the second Monday of the month, or whether staff should suggest a few times and send out another poll to the group.

Doll had to leave the meeting early.

Harris asked if we will talk about the document as a whole as tool in our process.

McCullough said, definitely and that hopefully there will be a sub set of strategic issues out of the issue identification as well.

Thellman asked if there was research about peer communities comprehensive plans or gold standard comp plans.

McCullough referred everyone to the "A Guide to Horizon 2020" document and have every one look at the last page with the topics recently addressed. He asked what else the steering committee wants to see for the next meeting besides a more detailed public involvement plan, and possibly a survey and asked the group if there were comfortable posting the process timeline and Horizon 2020 history PowerPoint to the website.

Gascon stated that he thinks that because the city has the money and the technology, then the meetings should be videotaped in order to make the process more transparent.

McCullough said that staff will look at what it takes and bring it back to the steering committee in March.

Martinez stated that she is pretty private person and is not comfortable with being videotaped. The Planning Commission meetings are not even videotaped and it sets a precedent.

Amyx stated that the public's access to us is important.

Thellman stated that this is the communities' document and the chance for the community to shape the future. If the ability is there then it should be an option. Anything we can do to help the public have confidence.

Ackerly stated he had no problem especially if it helps address the transparency issue. Horizon 2020 gets discussed a lot and it might make people see an opportunity to participate.

McCullough stated that general consensus is to videotape the next meeting in this room.

Ackerly stated the he was fine with 2nd Monday of the month.

Group gave consensus for meeting 2nd Monday of the month from 4-6 pm.

Moved by Thellman to add natural and cultural heritage, agritourism, arts and culture groups (arts center), bioscience, Bicycle Advisory Committee, agriculture community, environment and health community be added to the stakeholder groups before the next meeting. Second by Zaremba. Motion passed 8-0-1 (Gascon abstained because of Skype).

Moved by Harris and seconded by Ackerly to adjourn. Motion passed 8-0-1 (Gascon abstained because of Skype).

Horizon 2020 Update Process/Timeline

Process

1. Issue Identification (Spring/Summer 2014): Comparative analysis of broad issues
2. Survey
 - a. ETC Survey
 - i. Survey Time - April
3. Public Meetings
 - a. Open House Format (Stakeholders will receive invitation to public meetings.)
 - i. Dates (3 County, 5 City)
 1. April 16, Wednesday
 2. April 29, Tuesday
 3. May 7, Wednesday
 4. May 29, Thursday
 5. June 4, Wednesday
 6. June 19, Thursday
 7. June 30, Monday
 8. July 16, Wednesday
 - ii. Times and Location are currently in-progress
 - b. Open Houses
 - i. Meeting Materials
 1. Educational Boards
 2. About Horizon 2020 & it's Role in the Community
 3. Progress & Life of Horizon 2020
 4. Growth/Change Maps
 5. Key Data Charts
 - ii. Input Gathering Boards
 1. Wants & Needs Boards
 2. "I wish..." submissions
 3. Policy Focus voting boards
 - iii. Example Topics
 1. Town & Gown Growth Relations
 2. Waterfront Importance & Improvements
 3. Strengthening Arts in the Community
 4. Fostering Community Gardens & Local Food
 5. Infrastructure Needs for a Changing Lawrence
 6. Community's Vision for Lawrence's Transit Future
 7. Big-Data/Open Data for Lawrence/Douglas County
 8. Neighborhood Issues in Changing Times
 9. Designing Lawrence with Youth/Seniors in Mind
 10. Data & Analysis Tools for Economic and Workforce Development
 11. Planning for a Resilient & Sustainable Douglas County
 12. Building Parks for Everyone
 13. Defining "That Lawrence Feel"
4. Individual Meetings
 - a. Other Municipalities
 - i. Baldwin City
 - ii. Eudora
 - iii. Lecompton
 - b. KU/Haskell

Horizon 2020 Update Process/Timeline

- c. School Districts
- d. KDOT/Et. Al.
- 5. Interactive/Social Media
 - a. Website: <http://www.lawrenceks.org/pds/horizon-2020-update-process>
 - i. Steering Committee [Roster](#) and [Resolution](#)
 - ii. Steering Committee [Agenda and Meeting Notes](#)
 - iii. Contact Information
 - iv. Email Address: CompPlanUpdate@lawrenceks.org
 - v. Email Distribution List: <http://www.lawrenceks.org/subscriptions>
- 6. Publications
 - a. Tri-Fold Mailer
 - b. Pamphlets
- 7. Prioritize Issues with Steering Committee: (Fall/Winter 2014)
- 8. Policies vs. Strategic actions
- 9. Develop Issue Action Report and Present to PC/CC/BCC: (Winter/Early Spring 2015)
- 10. Staff drafts plan
- 11. Steering Committee reviews draft against Issue Action Report
- 12. PC/BCC/CC adopts plan

Horizon 2020 Update Process/Timeline

Stakeholder: An individual, group, or organization that may be affected by, or have an effect on, the planning process. They may include people or consortiums that have strong interest in the comprehensive planning process for academic, economic, philosophical, or political reasons regardless if their family, friends, associates, or interests are directly affected by the process.

Approved Stakeholder Groups and Advisory Boards (February 10th, 2014)

Groups

- Agricultural Community
- Agritourism
- Chamber of Commerce
- Environment Community
- Haskell Indian Nations University
- Kansas Bioscience Authority
- Lawrence Arts Center
- Lawrence Association of Neighborhoods
- Lawrence Cultural Arts Commission
- League of Women Voters
- Public Health Community
- Real Estate Community
- Regional Unified School Districts
- University of Kansas
- Youth/Senior Input

Advisory Boards

- Heritage Conservation Council of Douglas County, Kansas
- Historic Resources Commission
- Lawrence/Douglas County Bicycle Advisory Board
- Lawrence/Douglas County Food Policy Council
- Lawrence/Douglas County Metropolitan Planning Organization
- Parks & Recreation Advisory Board
- Sustainability Advisory Board

Stakeholder Groups and Advisory Boards provided by Steering Committee after February 10th meeting:

Groups

- CadreLawrence
- Downtown Lawrence, Inc.
- Economic Development Council

Horizon 2020 Update Process/Timeline

- Lawrence Association of Homebuilders
- Lawrence Convention and Visitors Bureau
- Local Unions (carpenters, plumbers, pipefitters, etc.)
- MadreLawrence

Advisory Boards

- (None Submitted)

City of Lawrence/Douglas County Comprehensive Plan Survey

The City of Lawrence would like your opinion regarding updating Horizon 2020, the comprehensive plan for the city of Lawrence and unincorporated Douglas County. This survey will take approximately 10 minutes to complete and your opinions are very important. **YOUR RESPONSES ARE CONFIDENTIAL.** When you are finished, please return your survey in the enclosed postage-paid, return-reply envelope. We greatly appreciate your time.

Do you live in the City of Lawrence or the Unincorporated Area of Douglas County?

- ____ (1) City of Lawrence [Please continue with the survey.]
 ____ (2) Unincorporated Area of Douglas County [Please continue with the survey.]
 ____ (3) Neither [Please discontinue the survey. This survey is only for residents of the above areas.]

1. For each of the following issues in the City of Lawrence and the Unincorporated Area of Douglas County, please rate whether you feel the issue is very important, somewhat important, not sure or not important by circling the number to the right of each issue:

Issues	Very Important	Somewhat Important	Not Sure	Not Important
A. Maintaining rural character	1	2	3	4
B. Preserving historic buildings	1	2	3	4
C. Revitalization of older city-center neighborhoods	1	2	3	4
D. Development of the Clinton Lake Area	1	2	3	4
E. Quality housing for all income groups	1	2	3	4
F. Walking and biking trails	1	2	3	4
G. Maintaining community identity.	1	2	3	4
H. Downtown revitalization	1	2	3	4
I. Transportation alternatives to the car	1	2	3	4
J. Availability of arts and cultural opportunities	1	2	3	4
K. Appearance of multi-family residential developments	1	2	3	4
L. Incorporating natural areas into development projects	1	2	3	4
M. Creating employment opportunities	1	2	3	4
N. Parks, recreation, open space	1	2	3	4
O. Protecting high value farmland	1	2	3	4
P. Appearance of commercial areas	1	2	3	4
Q. Managing future growth	1	2	3	4
R. Activities and housing for the Retirement Community	1	2	3	4
S. Other: _____	1	2	3	4

2. Which FOUR of the issues from the list in Question #1 do you feel are most important to be addressed in the City of Lawrence and Unincorporated Area of Douglas County? Using the letters above in Question #1, please write in the letters below for your 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th choices, or circle 'NONE'.]

1st: _____ 2nd: _____ 3rd: _____ 4th: _____ NONE

3. Community Vision. Several statements about the future of Lawrence and the Unincorporated Area of Douglas County are listed below. Using a scale from "1" to "5", where "1" means "Strongly AGREE" and "5" means "Strongly DISAGREE", please indicate your level of agreement with the following:

The future should include the following:		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
A.	A stronger community identity	1	2	3	4	5
B.	More attractive city entrances	1	2	3	4	5
C.	More parks	1	2	3	4	5
D.	More sidewalks, walking paths, and trails	1	2	3	4	5
E.	More bicycle paths and routes	1	2	3	4	5
F.	More restaurants, entertainment and cultural activities downtown	1	2	3	4	5
G.	More housing in and around downtown	1	2	3	4	5
H.	More affordable housing within the City	1	2	3	4	5
I.	More employment opportunities	1	2	3	4	5
J.	Better protection of Natural resources	1	2	3	4	5
K.	Expanded public transportation	1	2	3	4	5
L.	More recreational opportunities around Clinton Lake	1	2	3	4	5
M.	More activities for teenagers	1	2	3	4	5
N.	More activities for seniors	1	2	3	4	5
O.	Improved access to local foods	1	2	3	4	5
P.	Better management of outward growth	1	2	3	4	5
Q.	Maintaining the rural character of the County	1	2	3	4	5
R.	New or expanded convention space	1	2	3	4	5
S.	Multi-use neighborhoods	1	2	3	4	5
T.	Riverfront development with a mix of uses, public-access and activities	1	2	3	4	5
U.	More arts and cultural opportunities	1	2	3	4	5
V.	Quality of transportation access	1	2	3	4	5
W.	Stronger retirement community	1	2	3	4	5
X.	Other: _____	1	2	3	4	5

4. Which FOUR of the statements from the list in Question #3 do you feel best represent YOUR VISION for the FUTURE of the City of Lawrence and the Unincorporated Area of Douglas County? [Using the letters above in Question #3, please write in the letters below for your 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th choices, or circle 'NONE'.]

1st: _____ 2nd: _____ 3rd: _____ 4th: _____ NONE

5. Using a scale of “5 to “1” where “5” is a Major Strength and “1” is a Major Weakness please rate each of the following aspects of life in the City of Lawrence and Unincorporated Areas of Douglas County.

How would you rate the following	Major Strength	Strength	Neutral	Weakness	Major Weakness
A. Availability of arts, music and cultural amenities	5	4	3	2	1
B. Availability of retail choices	5	4	3	2	1
C. Existing sidewalk network	5	4	3	2	1
D. Protection of natural resources	5	4	3	2	1
E. Public Transportation	5	4	3	2	1
F. Character of neighborhoods	5	4	3	2	1
G. Availability of housing choices	5	4	3	2	1
H. Availability of parks and open space	5	4	3	2	1
I. Employment opportunities	5	4	3	2	1
J. Historic buildings and areas	5	4	3	2	1
K. Rate of Growth	5	4	3	2	1
L. Unique local identity	5	4	3	2	1
M. Opportunities for community involvement	5	4	3	2	1
N. Attention to environmental issues	5	4	3	2	1
O. Downtown	5	4	3	2	1
P. Population growth	5	4	3	2	1
Q. Existing roadway network	5	4	3	2	1
R. Other: _____	5	4	3	2	1

6. Which **FOUR** of the items listed above in Question #1 do you feel are **MOST IMPORTANT** to be **MAJOR STRENGTHS** in the City of Lawrence and Unincorporated Areas of Douglas County? [Using the letters above in Question #5, please write in the letters below for your 1st, 2nd, 3rd, and 4th choices, or circle 'NONE'.]

1st. _____ 2nd. _____ 3rd. _____ 4th. _____ NONE

7. Several components of the City's and County's transportation system are listed below. Please rate your overall satisfaction with each component on a scale of 5 to 1 where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied."

<u>How satisfied are you with:</u>	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
(A) Ease of travel by car on highways.....	5	4	3	2	1	9
(B) Ease of travel by car on major streets.....	5	4	3	2	1	9
(C) Ease of travel by car on neighborhood streets ...	5	4	3	2	1	9
(D) Ease of access to major streets from neighborhoods	5	4	3	2	1	9
(D) Ease of walking in City of Lawrence.....	5	4	3	2	1	9
(E) Ease of bicycling in City of Lawrence.....	5	4	3	2	1	9
(F) Quality of public transportation (bus service).....	5	4	3	2	1	9
(J) Existing walking and hiking system throughout County.....	5	4	3	2	1	9
(K) Existing bicycle system throughout County	5	4	3	2	1	9
(L) Existing road system in County.....	5	4	3	2	1	9
(L) Other:	5	4	3	2	1	9

8. Which THREE of the components of the City's and County's transportation system do you feel are most important to improve in the City of Lawrence and Unincorporated Areas of Douglas County? [Using the letters above in Question #7, please write in the letters below for your 1st and 2nd choices, or circle 'NONE'.]

1st. _____ 2nd. _____ 3rd. _____ NONE

9. Overall, how satisfied are you with the quality of new residential subdivisions in the City of Lawrence?
- | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------|
| ____(5) Very satisfied | ____(2) Dissatisfied |
| ____(4) Satisfied | ____(1) Very dissatisfied |
| ____(3) Neutral | ____(9) Don't know |
10. What is the ONE most important action you feel should be taken to improve new residential development in the City of Lawrence? [Please write your recommended action in the space below.]
- _____
11. Overall, how satisfied are you with the site layout and architectural design of new commercial development in the City of Lawrence?
- | | |
|------------------------|---------------------------|
| ____(5) Very satisfied | ____(2) Dissatisfied |
| ____(4) Satisfied | ____(1) Very dissatisfied |
| ____(3) Neutral | ____(9) Don't know |
12. What is the ONE most important action you feel should be done to improve new commercial development in the City of Lawrence? [Please write your recommended action in the space below.]
- _____

13. Overall, how satisfied are you with the site layout and architectural design of new industrial development in the City of Lawrence?

- ☐ (5) Very satisfied ☐ (2) Dissatisfied
☐ (4) Satisfied ☐ (1) Very dissatisfied
☐ (3) Neutral ☐ (9) Don't know

14. What is the ONE most important action you feel should be done to improve new industrial development in the City of Lawrence? [Please write your recommended action in the space below.]

15. Retail Development: Several statements about the future of Lawrence and the Unincorporated Area of Douglas County are listed below. Using a scale from "1" to "5", where "1" means "Strongly AGREE" and "5" means "Strongly DISAGREE", please indicate your level of agreement with the following:

Retail Development		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
A.	The expansion of retail development should be supported in the downtown area.	1	2	3	4	5
B.	Future retail development should only be located at the intersection of main streets.	1	2	3	4	5
C.	Future retail development should be located in small centers in new and existing neighborhoods.	1	2	3	4	5
D.	Available retail space should be utilized before building new retail buildings.	1	2	3	4	5

16. Development Now and In the Future: Several statements about the future of Lawrence and the Unincorporated Area of Douglas County are listed below. Using a scale from "1" to "5", where "1" means "Strongly AGREE" and "5" means "Strongly DISAGREE", please indicate your level of agreement with the following:

Development		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
A.	I would like to see agricultural uses maintained in the county.	1	2	3	4	5
B.	I would like to see major development directed inside the city limits.	1	2	3	4	5
C.	I would like to see more shopping opportunities in or near my neighborhood.	1	2	3	4	5
D.	I would like to see more employment centers located near my home.	1	2	3	4	5
E.	I would like to see a modest increase in height of development if it means less expansion of the city out into the county.	1	2	3	4	5
F.	I would like to see more Downtown intensified to accommodate more development.	1	2	3	4	5
G.	I would like to see development that includes a better mix of uses in order to live, work, and play in close proximity.	1	2	3	4	5

17. From the following list, please check ALL the reasons that make it difficult for you to participate in public discussions about the future of Lawrence and the Unincorporated Area of Douglas County? (Check all that apply)

- ☐ (1) Not enough time ☐ (4) Don't believe I can make a difference
☐ (2) Difficult to travel to meetings ☐ (5) Don't have enough information
☐ (3) Not sure how to get involved ☐ (6) Other (Please specify) _____

18. How knowledgeable do you feel you are you with the Comprehensive Plan, Horizon 2020?

- ☐ (1) Very knowledgeable ☐ (3) Not sure
☐ (2) Somewhat knowledgeable ☐ (4) Not knowledgeable

DEMOGRAPHICS. The following information will help us better understand the needs of our community.

19. How long have you lived in your community?

- ☐ (1) 0-2 years ☐ (4) 11-20 years
☐ (2) 3-5 years ☐ (5) 21 years or more
☐ (3) 6-10 years

20. How many persons living in your household (counting yourself), are?

- | | | |
|--|--|--|
| Under age 10 <input type="checkbox"/> | Ages 25-34 <input type="checkbox"/> | Ages 55-64 <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Ages 10-19 <input type="checkbox"/> | Ages 35-44 <input type="checkbox"/> | Ages 65-74 <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Ages 20-24 <input type="checkbox"/> | Ages 45-54 <input type="checkbox"/> | Ages 75+ <input type="checkbox"/> |

21. What is your age?

- ☐ (1) under 35 years ☐ (4) 55-64 years
☐ (2) 35-44 years ☐ (5) 65-74 years
☐ (3) 45-54 years ☐ (6) 75+ years

22. Do you own or rent your home?

- ☐ (1) Own ☐ (2) Rent

23. Which of the following best describes your home?

- ☐ (1) Single family ☐ (3) Apartment/condo
☐ (2) Duplex/triplex ☐ (4) Mobile home

24. What is your zip code? _____

25. Would you say your total annual household income is:

- ☐ (1) Under \$25,000 ☐ (4) \$75,000 to \$99,999
☐ (2) \$25,000 to \$49,999 ☐ (5) \$100,000 to \$149,999
☐ (3) \$50,000 to \$74,999 ☐ (6) \$150,000 or more

26. Your gender: ☐ (1) Male ☐ (2) Female

27. Are you or other members of your household of Hispanic, Latino, or Spanish ancestry?

- ☐ (1) Yes ☐ (2) No

- 28. Which of the following best describes your race?** (check all that apply)
- ___(1) African American (Non-Hispanic) ___(4) Asian/Pacific Islander
 ___(2) White (Non-Hispanic) ___(5) Other: _____
 ___(3) Native American
- 29. What is your current employment status?**
- ___(1) Full time employment ___(5) Unemployed [skip to q31]
 ___(2) Part time employment ___(6) Retired [skip to q31]
 ___(3) Full-time student [skip to q31]
 ___(4) Full-time homemaker [skip to q31]
- 30. Where do you work?** *(if employed)*
- ___(1) City of Lawrence
 ___(2) Douglas County outside of the City of Lawrence
 ___(3) KC Metro Area
 ___(4) Topeka Metro Area
 ___(5) Other (Please specify) _____
- 31. Which of the following best fits the type of work you do?** [read list, check ONE]
- | | |
|--|---|
| ___(01) Agriculture | ___(10) Finance, Insurance, or Real Estate |
| ___(02) Administrative or Support | ___(11) Professional Services |
| ___(03) Construction | ___(12) Scientific or Technical Services |
| ___(04) Manufacturing | ___(13) Educational Services(Pre-school-12 th grade) |
| ___(05) Wholesale Trade | ___(14) Educational Services(University/College) |
| ___(06) Food, Hospitality, Entertainment | ___(15) Government |
| ___(07) Retail | ___(16) Armed Services |
| ___(08) Tourist Services | ___(17) Other: _____ |
| ___(09) Transportation and Warehousing | |

The City of Lawrence and Unincorporated Area of Douglas County thank you for your time!

If you would like to be involved in public discussions about the future of the Lawrence and the Unincorporated Area of Douglas County, please sign up on the project website, <http://www.lawrenceks.org/pds/horizon-2020-update-process> to receive email updates.

Please return your completed survey in the enclosed postage-paid envelope addressed to: ETC Institute, 725 W. Frontier Circle, Olathe, KS 66061

Your responses will remain completely confidential.
 The address information on the sticker to the right will
 ONLY be used to help identify areas with special interests.