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1. **Background**

The City of Lawrence has grown from a population of 65,608 people in 1990 to 100,736 people in 2018, a growth of 54% in 28 years.¹ With this population growth, an increase in residential, commercial and industrial development has followed. Concerns were raised in the 1990s that retail growth was out-pacing population growth. Language was included in *Horizon 2020* in the mid-1990s that required the City to maintain an inventory of commercial space, as well as general language requiring impact analysis studies for certain commercial development projects. The City commissioned a study in 1997, “Retail Market Dynamics,” by University of Kansas Professor, Kirk McClure that analyzed the retail market in response to numerous requests for development, specifically in the South Iowa district. This report analyzed the retail stock in all zoning districts, including retail sales tax figures.

In 2004, *Horizon 2020*, specifically Chapter 6: Commercial Land Use, was revised to include more specific language in Policy 3.13 pertaining to the requirement for the monitoring of retail space in the City and requiring retail market studies to be submitted for projects that add 150,000 square feet or more of retail space to the City. In 2005, the City hired Development Strategies Inc. (DSI) to perform an update to the retail market analysis performed in the late 1990s. This report analyzed retail stock in all zoning districts, and used population and income to determine demand in the market.

The City adopted the *Land Development Code* on July 1, 2006, which, after amendments, contains provisions in Section 20-1107 requiring that a retail market analysis be completed biennially by staff² as data in the analysis of a zoning or site plan application that could result in 100,000 square feet of retail space being added to the City. It defines a retail business as one of the following NAICS (North American Industrial Classification System) codes:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAICS Code</th>
<th>NAICS Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>44-45</td>
<td>Retail Trade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>722</td>
<td>Food Services and Dining Places</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>811</td>
<td>Repair and Maintenance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>812</td>
<td>Personal and Laundry Services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Examples of non-retail businesses that typically locate in commercial zoning areas are professional offices, hotels, and banks.

---

¹ Population figures for 1990 provided by the U.S. Census Bureau. Population figure for 2018 is estimated by the Lawrence-Douglas County Planning & Development Services Department.

² Text Amendment: TA-12-00205
In order to get an effective picture of the retail market, it is necessary to look at both the supply side, as well as the demand within the market. This retail market analysis aims to measure the amount of space and the type of businesses that are located in commercial zoning districts throughout the City, both of which comprise the supply side of the equation. The retail market is extremely dynamic; therefore, this inventory is merely a snapshot in time taken in September 2018. On the demand side, measures of population, income, and retail sales growth are computed in order to determine demand. This report serves as a follow-up using the same methodology as the 2006 Retail Market Report, 2010 Retail Market Report, 2012 Retail Market Report, and 2015 Retail Market Report.

This report also continues the analysis conducted in the 2011 Downtown Retail Market Analysis. Prior to that report, the Downtown district in the city-wide reports included some commercial zoned property outside of the main Commercial Downtown (CD) zoned areas, as well as some of the Government, Public and Institutional (GPI) zoned properties. The 2011 Downtown Retail Market Analysis corrected and removed those properties from the Downtown district. The 2012 Retail Market Report corrected the data back to 2006 and updated all of the tables to reflect this correction. This explains why the overall square footage decreased when looking at previous published reports.

Planning staff has developed a model of collecting and analyzing data utilizing Geographic Information Systems (GIS) to provide a more complete picture. The development of this model sets a foundation for the information to be updated using consistent methods.

For a healthy retail economy, it is important for there to be similar growth in income, population, retail sales tax dollars, and inventory of building stock. The Land Development Code mandates that the inventory and analysis be updated biennially. By practice, however, staff, is often challenged to complete the report on this cycle, and instead updates the report biennially, or as resources allow.

2. **Supply**

2.a. **Square footage of retail space**

The City of Lawrence is split into different zoning classifications, and retail uses are primarily permitted in commercial zoning districts. This study aims to determine the amount of built square footage that is located within these commercial zoning districts, which includes\(^3\): CN1, CN2, CO, CD, CC200, CC400, CC600, CR, CS, and any PCD or Commercial PUD. In order to better analyze the

\(^3\) The MU Zoning District also allows some retail uses. At the time of this report, the area encompassed in MU Zoning was minimal and was not included in this report, but will be included in the next Retail Market Report.
data, the market was split into 19 distinct geographical districts. In addition to the commercial zoning districts, the IL zoning district also permits some retail uses, and therefore, space in the district is included in this report in District 19. Districts 17 (Miscellaneous) and 19 (IL Zoning) contain parcels that are scattered throughout Lawrence and therefore are not geographically contiguous.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ID</th>
<th>District Name</th>
<th>Total Square Feet</th>
<th>Percent of Total Market Square Footage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Clinton &amp; Kasold</td>
<td>129,038</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Clinton &amp; Wakarusa</td>
<td>98,032</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>South Iowa</td>
<td>2,357,544</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Kasold &amp; 15th St.</td>
<td>70,500</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Wakarusa &amp; 15th St.</td>
<td>69,603</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>East 23rd St.</td>
<td>493,874</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>West 23rd St.</td>
<td>757,204</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Downtown</td>
<td>1,648,523</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>North Lawrence</td>
<td>316,406</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>19th &amp; Massachusetts</td>
<td>106,737</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>6th &amp; Wakarusa</td>
<td>500,314</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>9th &amp; Iowa St.</td>
<td>340,591</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>East 6th St.</td>
<td>310,184</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>West 6th St.</td>
<td>1,242,815</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>19th &amp; Haskell</td>
<td>40,512</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>9th St.</td>
<td>167,860</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>533,451</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>6th &amp; K-10</td>
<td>139,392</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>IL Zoning</td>
<td>508,930</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>9,831,510</strong></td>
<td><strong>100.0%</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Overall, the City has a total of 9,831,510 square feet of space in commercial and IL zoning districts. Of the nineteen geographic districts, the South Iowa district contains the most space with 2,357,544 square feet, or a 24.0% share of the market. The Downtown district contains 1,648,523 square feet of space, or a 16.8% share of the market. The third largest district is the West 6th Street district, which contains 1,242,815 square feet or a 12.6% share of the market.

The following map provides an illustrative breakdown of the districts. The legend shows the corresponding name that goes with each district identification number in the legend.
Total Square Footage by District Percent (2018)

Lawrence Retail Share Percentage by District (2018)
Figure 2.1: District Breakdown

The market as a whole contains 798,131 square feet of vacant space, which equates to an 8.1% vacancy rate for all built space within commercial zoning districts. The Wakarusa & 15th St. district (28.9%) and 19th and Haskell St. district (22.2%) have the highest vacancy rates.

As a whole, the entire market contains 4,492,342 (45.7%) square feet of space occupied by retail uses as defined by the Land Development Code, and 4,401,645 (44.8%) square feet of space occupied by non-retail uses. This breakdown varies greatly by district, with the 19th & Massachusetts Street district, 6th and Wakarusa, Clinton and Kasold and the South Iowa district having over 70% retail uses. The IL Zoning and Miscellaneous districts contain the lowest percentage of retail uses.

![Square Foot Percentage by District (2018)](image)
### Table 2-2: Percent of Square Feet by District & Use

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District Name</th>
<th>Total Sq. Ft.</th>
<th>% of City Total</th>
<th>Vacant Sq. Ft.</th>
<th>Dist. % of Total</th>
<th>Retail Sq. Ft.</th>
<th>Dist. % of Total</th>
<th>Non-Retail Sq. Ft.</th>
<th>Dist. % of Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Clinton &amp; Kasold</td>
<td>129,038</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>10,180</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>96,318</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>22,540</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinton &amp; Wakarusa</td>
<td>98,032</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>33,032</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>58,500</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Iowa</td>
<td>2,357,544</td>
<td>24.0%</td>
<td>108,200</td>
<td>13.6%</td>
<td>1,819,112</td>
<td>40.5%</td>
<td>430,232</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kasold &amp; 15th St.</td>
<td>70,500</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>3,800</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>24,600</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>42,100</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wakarusa &amp; 15th St.</td>
<td>69,603</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>20,130</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>18,400</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>31,073</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East 23rd St.</td>
<td>493,874</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td>74,300</td>
<td>9.3%</td>
<td>167,100</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>252,474</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West 23rd St.</td>
<td>757,204</td>
<td>7.7%</td>
<td>142,984</td>
<td>18.0%</td>
<td>486,199</td>
<td>10.8%</td>
<td>128,021</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown</td>
<td>1,648,523</td>
<td>16.8%</td>
<td>223,624</td>
<td>28.0%</td>
<td>502,208</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
<td>922,691</td>
<td>20.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Lawrence</td>
<td>316,406</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>24,075</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>140,448</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>151,883</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19th &amp; Massachusetts</td>
<td>106,737</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>7,400</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>86,202</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>13,135</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th &amp; Wakarusa</td>
<td>500,314</td>
<td>5.1%</td>
<td>12,305</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>375,179</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
<td>112,830</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th &amp; Iowa St.</td>
<td>340,591</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>16,828</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>73,690</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>250,073</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East 6th St.</td>
<td>310,184</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
<td>43,812</td>
<td>5.5%</td>
<td>140,904</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>125,468</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>West 6th St.</td>
<td>1,242,815</td>
<td>12.6%</td>
<td>48,999</td>
<td>6.1%</td>
<td>329,001</td>
<td>7.3%</td>
<td>864,815</td>
<td>19.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19th &amp; Haskell</td>
<td>40,512</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>9,000</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>25,012</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>6,500</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th St.</td>
<td>167,860</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>12,200</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>36,156</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>119,504</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous</td>
<td>533,451</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>16,000</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>110,125</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>407,326</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6th &amp; K-10</td>
<td>139,392</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td>139,392</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL Zoning</td>
<td>508,930</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>17,794</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>28,656</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>462,480</td>
<td>10.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>9,831,510</td>
<td>798,131</td>
<td>4,492,342</td>
<td></td>
<td>4,541,037</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**2.b. Historical Trends**

As previously noted, this study aims to determine the amount of square footage that lies within the commercial zoning districts. In trying to analyze historical trends with the data, it is important to note that some retail uses are permitted in other zoning districts, for that reason the IL zoning district was included since retail uses are allowed in that district.

Previous market studies completed before 2006 used different criteria to determine what square footage should be counted in their numbers. The market study report that was completed by DSI in 2005 tried to identify space that was designed for retail uses, independent of their location. The study conducted by Professor McClure in 1997 also identified space in distinct districts throughout the city that were designed for retail uses. Since both of those two previous studies did not identify space with respect to the underlying zoning, and used interpretation to
decide what spaces to include, the conclusions reached were based on different sets of assumptions than studies conducted by the Planning Department since 2006. In addition, since the DSI report, McClure report and this current report do not use the same assumptions when measuring retail space, it is difficult to compare square footage amounts over time.

This study follows a model developed by staff and utilized for the 2006, 2010, 2012, and 2015 Retail Market Reports. The intent is that from each study-year forward, this model will be followed to yield data that can be compared and provide reliable historic trend information.

The following table details historical trends for total square footage amounts. It is important to keep in mind the above mentioned concerns with respect to data collection when analyzing this information, and therefore square footage amounts from 1993 to 2005 cannot be compared to the 2006, 2010, 2012, 2015, and 2018 numbers.
While this table shows an increase of over 2.5 million square feet to the market from 2006 to 2018, the majority of that increase is due primarily to three factors: the addition of the IL zoning district in 2010, the construction of new space (primarily on the northwestern side of Lawrence), and the addition of previously uncounted space that should have been counted in previous studies. Staff also has worked to continually improve and refine the listed square footages within each district as buildings are constructed or renovated.
While the overall vacancy rate has remained relatively stable over the last twelve years, there have been wide fluctuations within certain districts between 2015 and 2018. Of note, the Wakarusa & 15th district went from a 7.8% vacancy rate in 2015 to a 21.1% vacancy rate in 2018, the Kasold & 15th district went from a 20% vacancy rate in 2015 to a 5.4% vacancy rate in 2018, while the West 23rd district went from a 8.6% vacancy rate in 2015 to a 18.9% vacancy rate in 2018.

The majority of the districts remained constant in their split between retail and non-retail uses, except the Kasold and 15th which showed over 10% more non-retail uses than in 2015.
There are numerous projects (over 50,000 square feet) that have received various levels of approvals, but have not yet been developed, and therefore are “in process.” Together, these projects total roughly 1 million square feet of retail space being added to the City.

**Table 2.7: Proposed Projects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Square Footage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>North Mass(^4)</td>
<td>211,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercato (NE Corner 6(^{th}) and K-10)</td>
<td>359,640</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gateway (NW Corner 6(^{th}) and K-10)</td>
<td>155,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Langston Commons</td>
<td>125,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairfield Farms</td>
<td>200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,051,140</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

2.c. Downtown District Analysis

This section provides a detailed analysis of the types of uses located in Lawrence’s Downtown district. The boundaries of Downtown Lawrence correspond with the map boundaries outlined in the “Comprehensive Downtown Plan”. The data originates from this Retail Market Report, a 2011 re-survey of the Downtown district, the 2006, 2010, 2012, and 2015 Lawrence Retail Market Reports.

---

\(^4\) Square footage listed for North Mass is approximate only.

---

2018 Retail Market Report
Consistent with the last Retail Market Report, two large properties in the Downtown area have remained vacant or partially vacant. The former Border’s building and space within the Riverfront Mall have remained vacant without a new tenant filling these spaces. The vacancy rate with these properties included is 13.6%. The vacancy rate if these spaces were occupied would fall to 7.5%.
In the Downtown district, the largest use category is Non-retail, Non-food services, which makes up 42% of the total square footage in the Downtown district. Typical uses in this category would be professional offices, financial institutions, light manufacturing uses, religious institutions, and any residential uses. The percentage of total square footage in the Food Services use category (including restaurants, coffee shops and bars) has remained steady since 2006, occupying around 14% of the total square footage, even though the amount of square footage has grown by roughly 253,914 square feet since 2006. Vacant square footage was at 11% in 2006, fell to 9% in 2010, rose to 13% in 2011, fell to 9% in 2012, and rose to 12% in 2015. It is presently 14%.

| Table 2-6: Businesses by Use in Downtown District (2006-2018) |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Use             | 2006  | 2010  | 2011  | 2012  | 2015  | 2018  |
| Retail          | 126   | 120   | 114   | 116   | 82    | 72    |
| Food & Beverage Services | 68   | 79    | 82    | 83    | 80    | 80    |
| Non-Retail, Non-Food Services | 67   | 68    | 94    | 107   | 111   | 145   |
| Hotel           | 2     | 3     | 3     | 3     | 4     | 4     |
| Vacant          | 28    | 20    | 35    | 28    | 33    | 46    |
| Total           | 291   | 290   | 328   | 337   | 310   | 347   |
In 2018, Non-retail, Non-food services uses make up the largest category in terms of square footage, and the Retail category is the largest in terms of the number of businesses. Food and Beverage Sales have the second highest number of businesses by a small margin but have the third highest amount of square footage in the district. Retail Sales have 14% of the total square footage, but 21% of the total number of businesses.

Overall, the percentage of total square footage that strictly Retail uses are occupying has declined slightly since 2015, while the percentage of total square footage dedicated to Food Services has remained stable. The vacancy rate has fluctuated slightly since 2006, but has remained between 9% and 14%.

It also should be noted, that for the most part, areas above the ground floor that were designed and/or occupied for retail uses, the former Riverfront Mall, and hotels also were included in the survey of the downtown area.

3. Demand

3.a. Per Capita Analysis

Multiple factors can be used to determine the demand for retail goods within a market. One measure of demand involves population and the rate at which population growth corresponds with growth in retail sales and square footage. Usually this is measured as a per capita figure, or an average per person. For 2018, the per capita figures show that the City of Lawrence has roughly 97.6 square feet of commercial space per capita, and roughly 45 square feet per capita of retail uses within that commercial space. This is a 20.8% increase in commercial space per capita from 2006 to 2018. In addition, the city collects roughly $178.5 in sales tax per capita, which is a 7.6% increase since 2006.
There is an inherent difficulty in comparing the data in the Lawrence Retail Market Report with national and regional markets because of the differences in data collection. For example, the International Council of Shopping Centers (ICSC) compiles reports that list the national average for retail space per capita at 46.6 square feet in 2007. This figure is based on data from National Research Bureau (NRB) which includes all shopping centers over a certain size and some free-standing retail establishments over a certain size. This is the same figure that is also used by the U.S. Census Bureau in their 2007 Economic Census and is probably the most closely related to the figure of 45.9 retail square feet per capita noted in table 3.1 above. The figure from the 2018 Retail Market Report is compiled by first calculating all of the space in zoning districts that allow retail uses, then subtracting out the square footage associated with uses that are actually non-retail in nature and dividing the remaining figure by the population. Therefore, the two methodologies differ and it is difficult to compare the figures in a meaningful or direct relationship.

Table 3.1: Per Capita Analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Pop. Estimate</th>
<th>Total Sq. Footage</th>
<th>Per Capita Sq. Ft.</th>
<th>Retail Sq. Footage</th>
<th>Per Capita Retail Sq. Ft.</th>
<th>Total Sales Tax</th>
<th>Per Capita Sales Tax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>89,690</td>
<td>7,249,660</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>4,116,547</td>
<td>45.9</td>
<td>$12,260,437</td>
<td>136.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>92,727</td>
<td>8,800,567</td>
<td>94.9</td>
<td>4,313,958</td>
<td>46.5</td>
<td>$12,360,947</td>
<td>133.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>93,944</td>
<td>9,105,151</td>
<td>96.9</td>
<td>4,430,580</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td>$13,593,996</td>
<td>144.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>97,193</td>
<td>9,528,292</td>
<td>98.0</td>
<td>4,638,693</td>
<td>47.7</td>
<td>$14,454,081</td>
<td>148.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>100,736</td>
<td>9,831,510</td>
<td>97.6</td>
<td>4,492,342</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>$17,985,395</td>
<td>178.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Sales Tax Figures are for Calendar Year 2017

2018 Retail Market Report
### Table 3-2: Per Capita Sales Tax Trends

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Population Estimates 6</th>
<th>Adjusted Sales Tax Collections (2018 Dollars)</th>
<th>Per Capita Sales Tax</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>99,496</td>
<td>$16,644,089</td>
<td>$181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>97,948</td>
<td>$16,763,967</td>
<td>$180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>97,193</td>
<td>$16,034,830</td>
<td>$171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>96,292</td>
<td>$15,321,326</td>
<td>$159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>95,065</td>
<td>$14,952,361</td>
<td>$157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>94,160</td>
<td>$14,857,878</td>
<td>$158</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>93,925</td>
<td>$14,410,495</td>
<td>$153</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>92,237</td>
<td>$14,225,053</td>
<td>$154</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>91,665</td>
<td>$14,699,999</td>
<td>$160</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>91,228</td>
<td>$15,033,056</td>
<td>$165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>90,410</td>
<td>$15,072,471</td>
<td>$167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>89,396</td>
<td>$15,261,091</td>
<td>$171</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>87,717</td>
<td>$15,215,386</td>
<td>$173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>87,184</td>
<td>$15,195,724</td>
<td>$174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>85,282</td>
<td>$14,884,702</td>
<td>$175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>83,495</td>
<td>$15,050,603</td>
<td>$180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>81,457</td>
<td>$15,217,820</td>
<td>$187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>80,098</td>
<td>$15,117,989</td>
<td>$189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>73,419</td>
<td>$13,876,140</td>
<td>$189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>65,608</td>
<td>$11,686,861</td>
<td>$178</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Avg. Per Capita Sales Tax 2007-2017** $164

**Avg. Per Capita Sales Tax 2012-2017** $167

**Avg. Per Capita Sales Tax 2000-2012** $170

On average, $181 in sales tax was collected in 2017 per capita. The latest reliable figures available are from the U.S. Census Bureau’s Economic Census conducted in 2002, which puts the average per capita sales tax at $123. The U.S. Census Bureau has stopped figuring a national per capita figure based on tax, and instead now compiles a number based on total dollars spent on retail goods. Trying to convert sales tax collected to amount spent on retail goods for the Lawrence market would be challenging for many reasons, including the fact that the City of Lawrence has multiple different sales tax rates and some were implemented midway through the 2009 collection year.

---

6 Population Estimates produced by Planning and Development Services Staff
7 U.S. Census Bureau
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3.b. Sales Tax Analysis

As of January 1, 2019, the State of Kansas collects a 9.3% sales tax on goods and services in the City of Lawrence. 6.5% of the total tax goes to the State of Kansas, 1.25% goes to Douglas County, 1.55% goes to the City of Lawrence. During the 2017 sales tax reporting period, the period for which this report covers, the State of Kansas collected a 9.05% sales tax, 1.0% went to Douglas County and 1.55% to the City of Lawrence. Sales tax is a measure by which to determine the demand of retail goods and services. There are, however, limitations to the data. Most businesses choose to report their sales tax using a “reporting address”, which may or may not be the physical location where the goods or services were sold. In addition, businesses with more than one location need only submit one form to the state. These two problems are inherent to the sales tax system and limit the reliability to which sales tax data may be analyzed. Also, there are confidential limitations to the data that prevents the data from being broken down by district and then broken down again by NAICS category.

The South Iowa District contains both the highest amount of square footage and the highest amount of sales tax collected, and both figures have climbed slightly since 2006.

The figures are derived from a Lawrence sales tax collection file provided by the State of Kansas Department of Revenue, and each sales tax account number was coded to a district based on address, known name of business, alias, and any other identifying features. For single records that were reporting for multiple locations, a ratio of square footage was used to split the sales tax across all locations. There were numerous records that were either out of state sales shipped to Lawrence locations, or were unidentifiable as Lawrence businesses. The sales tax for those “other” records was incorporated into the totals keeping the same market share percentages of each district intact. Staff has been analyzing the data since 2006; however, a different file was provided in 2014 that appears to be more reliable. Therefore, while a general comparison over time can be made, it should be noted that the 2014 sales tax figures by district may be different than past years. Going forward, the State will provide files similar to 2014 which will enable better comparisons over time.
The above table measures the percent of market share of square footage (supply) and sales tax collected for each district, as well as the ratio of sales tax collected to square footage. The ratio of sales tax collected to square footage of commercial space aims to measure how much tax is produced per square foot. Of note, the South Iowa district has roughly 24% of the square footage of commercial space and collects roughly 43% of the tax, which results in a ratio of sales tax to square footage of 1.7 in 2018. This ratio has gone up 2 tenths of a percent since 2015. The Downtown district has roughly 17% of the square footage of commercial space and collects roughly 8.7% of the tax in 2018. The ratio of sales tax to square footage for the Downtown district has fallen from 1.3 in 2012 to 0.9 in 2018. This may be due to the difference in users in the Downtown area as compared with

---

8 Based on 2017 collection numbers.
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other areas in town, since there has been an increase in non-retail uses and sales tax is not collected on alcohol sales. While individual districts have fluctuated in their portion of the market share and sales tax collections, the City-wide ratio has maintained a stable ratio over the last 9 years since the 2007 to 2009 recession.\(^9\)

### 3.c. Pull Factors Analysis

A City Trade Pull Factor is an economic indicator that measures the balance of trade. It is computed by dividing the per capita sales tax of the city or county by the statewide per capita sales tax. A perfectly balanced area has a pull factor of 1.00, meaning that the same amount that people spend outside of the area on goods is offset by the amount that people from out of the area come in to the area to purchase. A pull factor less than 1.00 means that more money is being spent elsewhere than is being brought into the area and is seen as an unfavorable balance of trade. A positive pull factor, or one that is greater than 1.00 means that more purchases are being made from people coming from outside of the area than by residents who leave the area to make their purchases. A positive pull factor is seen as a favorable balance of trade.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>Collections</th>
<th>Per Capita</th>
<th>Pull Factor</th>
<th>Trade Area Capture</th>
<th>% of County Sales</th>
<th>Population(^10)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>$100,758,830(^{11})</td>
<td>$1,039</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>105,785</td>
<td>93.6%</td>
<td>96,892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>$99,963,206</td>
<td>$1,048</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>106,243</td>
<td>93.7%</td>
<td>95,358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$96,231,492</td>
<td>$1,025</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>103,320</td>
<td>93.3%</td>
<td>93,917</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$86,690,319</td>
<td>$934</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>98,876</td>
<td>92.9%</td>
<td>92,763</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$82,384,844</td>
<td>$907</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>94,760</td>
<td>93.0%</td>
<td>90,811</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>$81,747,115</td>
<td>$913</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>95,424</td>
<td>92.9%</td>
<td>89,512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>$79,524,295</td>
<td>$896</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>94,639</td>
<td>93.0%</td>
<td>88,727</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>$74,699,896</td>
<td>$852</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>93,560</td>
<td>92.8%</td>
<td>87,643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>$61,696,381</td>
<td>$674</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>93,630</td>
<td>92.4%</td>
<td>91,611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>$67,723,146</td>
<td>$696</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>89,630</td>
<td>92.3%</td>
<td>90,083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>$63,864,019</td>
<td>$714</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>88,638</td>
<td>92.5%</td>
<td>89,415</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>$61,894,678</td>
<td>$702</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>89,985</td>
<td>92.4%</td>
<td>88,168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>$60,892,108</td>
<td>$748</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>90,982</td>
<td>91.3%</td>
<td>81,379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>$58,300,971</td>
<td>$716</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>90,058</td>
<td>90.9%</td>
<td>81,417</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Kansas Department of Revenue develops annual reports that detail city and county pull factors. In fiscal year 2009, the City of Lawrence had a pull factor of .99, which was an 11% decline from 2005 to 2009. However, Lawrence’s pull factor began increasing in 2010, to a pull factor of 1.07 for 2015, rising to a pull factor...


\(^10\) These population estimates are from the Kansas Department of Revenue.

\(^11\) Kansas Department of Revenue Pull Factor Report.
of 1.11 in 2017 and then slightly decreasing to 1.09 in 2018. The results show a favorable balance of trade.

In addition, the Kansas Department of Revenue calculates trade area capture figures that measures the trade area served by the community. It is figured by multiplying the city’s population by the pull factor. This number helps to identify the percent of county sales that the city has. In the case of Lawrence, the share that Lawrence has of county sales rose slightly from 90.9% in 2005 to 93% in 2014. Of important note is that while the pull factor rose slightly from 1.07 in 2012 to 1.09 in 2018, the trade area capture remained steady at 93%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fiscal Year</th>
<th>City of Lawrence</th>
<th>Douglas County</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.d. Historical Trends

It is possible to look at historical data on population, income and sales tax dollar collections since the method for collecting this data has not changed over time. From 2008 to 2018, the population of the City of Lawrence grew an average of 1.0% a year, there was a 1.2% average annual increase in sales tax collections and a 1.3% average annual increase in income after adjusting both monetary figures for inflation. Simply stated, the population generally has been growing at a similar pace to dollars being spent on retail goods and income earned. Since 2010, sales tax figures have been showing positive growth.
### Table 3-6: Population, Sales Tax and Income Trends

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>99,496</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>$16,644,089</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
<td>$43,827</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>97,948</td>
<td>0.8%</td>
<td>$16,763,967</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>$42,657</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>97,193</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>$16,034,830</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>$42,037</td>
<td>4.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>96,292</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>$15,321,326</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>$40,111</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>95,065</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>$14,952,361</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>$39,112</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>94,160</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>$14,857,878</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>$39,106</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>93,925</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>$14,410,495</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>$38,731</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010</td>
<td>92,237</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>$14,225,053</td>
<td>-3.2%</td>
<td>$37,290</td>
<td>-5.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>91,665</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
<td>$14,699,999</td>
<td>-2.2%</td>
<td>$39,503</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>91,228</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>$15,033,056</td>
<td>-0.3%</td>
<td>$39,002</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2007</td>
<td>90,410</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>$15,072,471</td>
<td>-1.2%</td>
<td>$39,472</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006</td>
<td>89,396</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>$15,261,091</td>
<td>0.3%</td>
<td>$38,897</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005</td>
<td>87,717</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
<td>$15,215,386</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>$37,365</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>87,184</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>$15,195,724</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>$36,968</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>85,282</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>$14,884,702</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>$36,936</td>
<td>-0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2002</td>
<td>83,495</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>$15,050,603</td>
<td>-1.1%</td>
<td>$37,178</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>81,457</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>$15,217,820</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>$36,987</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000</td>
<td>80,098</td>
<td></td>
<td>$15,117,989</td>
<td></td>
<td>$36,199</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>73,419</td>
<td></td>
<td>$13,876,140</td>
<td></td>
<td>$30,928</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>65,608</td>
<td></td>
<td>$11,686,861</td>
<td></td>
<td>$36,678</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Average Annual Change Over 5 Years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Period</th>
<th>Avg. Annual Change</th>
<th>5-Year Change</th>
<th>10-Year Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014-2018</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009-2014</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-2013</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>-0.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2005-2010</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>-1.3%</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2005</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995-2000</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1990-1995</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>-3.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
On the supply side, the City of Lawrence contains over 9.8 million square feet of space in commercial zoning districts, with the South Iowa, West 6th Street and Downtown districts combined making up more than half of the market. Of the 9.8 million square feet of space in commercial zoning districts, 45.7% is occupied by strictly retail uses. Since 2009, the supply, in square feet, has increased 19%. The overall City-wide vacancy rate for space in commercial zoning districts is 8.1%. This vacancy percentage is just over the 8% threshold established by Horizon 2020 and it is trending upward when compared to the vacancy number of 6.9% in 2006, 7.3% in 2010, 7.2% in 2012, and 7.4% in 2015.

On the demand side, population growth has been slowing down from historical highs of over 4% in the 1990s to an average of about 1% a year for the last five years. The same is true for both incomes and sales tax revenues. The positive pull factors for the City of Lawrence the last couple of years indicates that there is a fair balance of trade, meaning more money is being spent inside the city than in previous years.

The overall market health for Lawrence has remained consistent in terms of both city-wide vacancy rates, sales tax to square footage ratios, and in the overall market shares of the three largest commercial districts as defined for this report.
Lawrence has also maintained a positive pull factor, indicating that Lawrence businesses are continuing to attract sales from populations beyond the city limits. The overall upward trend in vacancy rate, as shown from the overall vacancy rate in 2006 of 6.9% through 2018 at 8.1%, is something to watch in future retail analysis. While subtle fluctuations can be seen within the report’s districts, on the balance, the retail market health in Lawrence is stable.