Potential Text Amendments

May 21, 2009 Updated April 29, 2010

The items below have been identified by staff and other users, as noted, as potential revisions to the Lawrence Land Development Code and the Lawrence – Douglas County joint subdivision regulations. They are listed in order of section of the code.

Articles or sections highlighted in yellow were initiated for revision by the Planning Commission at their May 20, 2009 regular meeting or in subsequent meetings as noted. Articles or sections highlighted in green were identified by the Planning Commission at their May 20, 2009 regular meeting for a future round of initiation, though this prioritization is subject to change as other items are identified. Articles or Sections highlighted in pink were initiated by either the Planning Commission or City Commission on the date noted. The Planning Office will request initiation of amendments as resources permit.

Article or Section	Potential Amendment	Status
County Zoning Code	Drafting comprehensive revisions to the County Zoning Code.	Will be scheduled for PC consideration summer 2010.
Articles 4, 9 and 17	Amendments for use terminology consistency. Staff	
Various Articles	Revise current standards for Environmentally Sensitive Lands to provide clarity on protected lands and provide flexibility on how lands shall be set aside via the development process. TA-12-27-07	PC heard an update at their November meeting. PC reconsideration scheduled for February 2010. PC reconsidered April 26, 2010 and initiated new amendments to address incentives to protect additional sensitive lands.
Various Articles	Consider amendment to the City of Lawrence Land Development Code, Chapter 20, Sections 20-214, 20-215, 20-216, 20-1305 and 20-1701 to add reference to the Industrial Design Standards. Staff TA-4-5-10	Initiated by PC on 4/26/10
402 403 510 1744	Separate payday loans from other FIRE office uses in use table, and definitions and use standards, if applicable. PC discussion	
403	Amend the Nonresidential District Use Table to make "Explosive Storage" uses permitted only with an SUP in the IG Districts.	

	Requested by League of Women Voters	
403	Consider a Text Amendment to 20-403, 20-509(3), and 20-524 of the Development Code; to permit Bar & Restaurant uses in the MU District. Applicant Initiated TA-1-10	CC initiated on February 2, 2010. PC reviewed March 24, 2010 and deferred to future meeting.
403	Consider a Text Amendment to 20-403 of the Development Code to permit hotel/motel/extended uses in the IBP District. Staff Initiated TA-4-4-10	PC initiated on April 26, 2010. Tentatively scheduled for the May, 2010 PC meeting.
501	Amend a typographical error in Section 20-501(8) that would replace "Historic Resources Commission" with "Planning Commission". Staff initiated TA-7-20-09	Initiated by PC July 20, 2009 Deferred by PC at Aug. 24 meeting for further staff development. Text expanded and heard by HRC on January 21, 2010 and recommended for approval on April 15, 2010. To PC in
Article 5 or 6	Include comprehensive standards for energy related site elements such as wind turbines, solar panels, and other alternative forms of energy generators.	May. Initiated by PC May 20, 2009
	Product of Mayor's Climate Protection Task Force and several public inquiries	
601(a)	(1) Revise Density and Dimensional standards as they relate to RM12D to review alignment between Min. Lot Area and Max. Dwelling Units per acre standards, and (2) consider revising standards to recognize more practical and realistic dimensions for a duplex lot (may be more reasonable to retitle district to RM7D since developing 7 units per acre is more practical than developing to 12 units per acre.)	Initiated by PC May 20, 2009
	Requested by local design professionals and Staff TA-6-13-09	
601(b)	Add Max. Dwelling Units per acre standards in the nonresidential district density and dimensional (D&D) standards table. Residential uses are permitted in CN1, CN2, CD, CC, CS, IL, IG, GPI and H Districts, but the D&D table that contains these districts does not speak to permitted residential densities. Staff	
701(j)	Definition of 'Immediately adjacent' is used, but not defined. Definitions of 'adjacent' and 'immediately adjacent' should be included. The term adjoining property is defined in the public notice section for site plans (20-1305(g)) for the purpose of that section only.	
	Staff	

702(c)&(e) Article 8	(c) states that cluster development is permitted in all residential districts and the CN1 District but (e) limits housing type to detached dwelling units on individual lots. This seems to be inconsistent with the types of dwellings that are permitted in these districts. This is a consistency issue. If clusters are permitted in RM, then why are only detached dwellings permitted in clusters? Staff Comprehensive revisions to when dedications are made during the plat process. (1) Consider requiring dedications with final plat instead preliminary plat. (2) Consider providing administrative authority to permit minor adjustments in the number of lots or lot layout after preliminary plat approval. Requested by local design professionals TA-3-3-10	Initiated by the CC on February 16, 2010. Scheduled for PC review in May.
801(e)(1) 806 813(d)(2)	Language currently states that a division created in conformance with this article retains its right to a building permit. This should be clarified to exclude 806 Certificates of Survey, property in the original tract but not included in a RDP. It is important to make it clear that the property owner will lose his right to a building permit to the property and any existing residence if he does a C of S on his property and does not include the existing house in a RDP. 20-813(d)(2) should also be considered as it states an existing residence would still be eligible for a Building permit if it was built prior to these regulations and is located on a parcel which meets sanitary code area requirements. Staff	
804(f)(1)&(2)	804(f) states that future divisions can not occur until after annexation and that they must then be made in accordance with 810 (the standards?) Was this supposed to be 809-major subdivision? Staff	
802	Add language which clarifies that to be an acceptable application submittal for a property division (minor sub., plat, or certificate of survey) the lots or parcels created by the division must be contiguous and the legal description of the survey, minor subdivision or plat must have the same point of beginning. Staff	
804(b) 805(b)	Why are cluster developments limited to properties that are between 20 and 40 acres? There are cases where a 40 acre property contains mostly floodplain and a cluster would be the best way to develop (to allow the clustering of rdps). What is accomplished by permitting cluster development only on small properties? (They only have to survey the property and register deeds for properties between 20 and 40 acres, then they can develop as several clusters). May need to discuss with others to find the reasoning behind the acreage limitations. Staff	
807(e)(vii)	Consider removing the requirement to have a vertical benchmark on the C of S. Speak with County Surveyor.	
	Requested by local design professionals	
808	Add language clarifying that minor subdivisions should be tied to a block corner from the previous plat (Major Subdivision) or street centerline by providing dimensions or bearings from that point.	
	Requested by City GIS Coordinator	

810(a)(2)(iv)	Should this be revised to say that corner lots shall be 20% wider than the required minimum lot width of the zoning district instead of what it currently says?	
	Staff	
810(a)(2)(vi)	Clarify the requirements for the design of residential lots in the City.	
	Staff	
813	Amend to prohibit construction over easements.	
814 815	Staff	
815	RDP is defined as a land division created from a Parent Parcel, but the cluster and large parcel divisions (804 and 805) create RDPs without parent parcels. The definition should be revised to state what a RDP is, not how it is created.	
	Staff	
815(b)	Amend SR if necessary to ensure the definition of "Lot" and/or "Setback Line" is correct and is consistent with the definition of "Lot" and "Setback line" in the Development Code.	
	Staff	
Article 9	Comprehensive revisions to parking and access standards.	Initiated by PC May 20, 2009
	Staff and local design professionals	
Article 12	Consider Text Amendments to the City of Lawrence Land Development Code, Chapter 20, Article 12 to reference 2010 effective dates for new Floodplain Overlay District Maps and related regulation changes.	Initiated by PC April 28, 2010. PC review in June, 2010.
	Staff TA-4-6-10	
Article 12	Consider amendments to the Zoning Regulations, Chapter 12, Article 28 of the Code of the County of Douglas, Kansas to reference 2010 effective dates for new Floodplain Overlay District Maps and related regulation changes.	Initiated by PC April 28, 2010. PC review in June, 2010.
	Staff TA-4-7-10	
1303 1306	Consider charging the applicant a publication fee for the zoning and SUP ordinances.	Initiated by PC May 20, 2009
	Staff	
1311	Consider revisions to clarify what may be appealed and the process relative to an application that is being considered through an otherwise public process.	Initiated by PC July 20, 2009
14022	Staff initiated TA-7-20-09	Deferred by PC at Aug. 24 meeting for further staff development. Included with Adaptive Reuse amendment. Scheduled for May PC meeting.
1602?	After discussing the possibility of building over lot lines, or developing one	

	project over several lots; staff suggested drafting a TA to prohibit building over lot lines. Maybe we could add language that requires re-platting when a single development contains several lots and subst. redevelopment is proposed. (maybe a simpler 'land combination' process similar to the county's would be better than requiring re-platting) Staff	
1701	Review definition of "Boarding House" and its impacts to neighborhoods to determine if it contradicts goals related to limiting occupancy in RM districts.	Initiated by PC May 20, 2009
	Requested by individuals in Oread Neighborhood and other neighborhood associations. TA-6-17-09	Lengthy history. Scheduled for May PC consideration.
1722	Ensure definition for Dwelling, Attached is consistent with that found in Section 20-1734(1) and 20-1734(2) and consider eliminating 20-1722 (we don't need a definition for Attached Dwelling located in two different places). Staff	
1723	Ensure definition for Dwelling, Detached is consistent with that found in Section 20-1734(2) (tip – it isn't) and consider eliminating 20-1722 (we don't need a definition for Detached Dwelling located in two different places). Staff	
1734(5)	Consider amending definition for Multi-Dwelling Structure to clarify what is meant by "three (3) or more dwelling units that share common walls or floors/ceilings with one (1) or more units." And to include triplexes and four-plexes as common <i>for example</i> uses, if appropriate. It appears that attached units that are not on separate lots (i.e. those that are not defined as Attached Dwellings) such as townhouses, triplexes and four-plexes should fit within the definition of Multi-Dwelling Structure, but the for example uses listed give the reader the impression that Multi-Dwelling Structure simply means an apartment building. It's really a broader term than that. Staff	
1734	Ensure definitions for Dwelling, Attached and Dwelling, Detached are consistent with that found in Section 20-1722 and 20-1723 and/or consider eliminating 20-1722/1723 (we don't need definitions in both places). Staff	
1734	Move all defined Household Dwelling terms (as shown in the Use Tables) so that they are all defined under this section for clarity. Some Household Dwelling terms are defined in two different places.	
	Staff	

Text Amendments Completed

Various Articles	Revise code to permit limited shelters as accessory uses in religious institutions.	Complete - Ordinance No. 8406 adopted June 2, 2009.
403	Consider a Text Amendment to 20-403, 20-601(b) and 20-601(b)(1) of the Development Code; to permit hotel/motel/extended uses in the IL District.	Complete - Ordinance No. 8512 adopted April 27 2010
402 403	Add Non-Ground Floor Dwelling and Work/Live Unit as uses permitted in the CS District (consider other districts as well).	Complete - Ordinance No. 8454 adopted October 13, 2009
517	Review ratio of dwelling units to commercial space in the CS and other commercial districts to encourage more residential living units (mixed use).	Complete - Ordinance No. 8454 adopted October 13, 2009
Article 5	Revise code to allow the keeping of chickens and ducks.	Complete - Ordinance No. 8428 adopted July 28, 2009
Article 9	Reduce interior parking lot landscaping requirements to be more practical.	Complete - Ordinance No. 8429 adopted July 28, 2009
Article 9	Revise how parking is calculated to reduce conflict between the current code and former code.	Complete - Ordinance No. 8453 adopted September 22, 2009
1001(d)(7)	Eliminate requirement that landscape plans be prepared by landscape architect	Complete - Ordinance No. 8455 adopted September 22, 2009
Article 12	Article 12- Floodplain Regulations to review General and Additional Standards for Residential and Non-Residential Construction.	Complete - Ordinance No. 8484 adopted January 19, 2010
Article 13	Revise time frames to gain consistency amongst SUPs, Site Plans, development plans, plats, etc and established longer timeframes for approvals.	Complete - Ordinance No. 8419 adopted June 23, 2009
Article 13	Revise the methods required to request or receive extensions of approval for the various types of development applications to delete the standard of permitting only one administrative extension.	Complete - Ordinance No. 8419 adopted June 23, 2009
Article 13	Revisions to exempt certain projects in the CD, Downtown Commercial District, from site planning requirements and to revise certain requirements in Article 13 Development Review Procedures related to Major, Standard, and Minor Development Projects	Complete - Ordinance No. 8465 adopted October 13, 2009
Article 13	(1) Revise the Notice Letter for site plans to read more accurately as it relates to the appeal process. (2) Consider expanding the notice buffer for site plans to capture a greater number of affected property owners.	Complete - Ordinance No. 8419 adopted June 23, 2009