Page #	KDOT Comment	Action <i>Red text is new</i>
1	Delete essentially from text box	Done.
2 3	Just FYI, the STIP is updated annually Just FYI that not all projects are or have to be in the MTP.	No change. Adjusted to say – "Furthermore, for projects to be included in the TIP, they must be consistent with the MTP. This ensures projects are implementing the MPO's vision for the future."
3	When does the TAC review the public comments and Staff's responses to them	Adjusted the order of listed items in Figure 3.
4	FYI this color is difficult to see when document is printed out [in reference to Figure 4]	Adjusted Figure 4 & Figure 5 colors.
6	When in this process is public participation happening? [The paragraph that begins with Figure 5 displays the TIP]	The paragraph under Figure 5 details the public participation process. The paragraph was adjusted to say:public comments and share those comments with the TAC and MPO Policy Board to
8	STBG is TA Set aside funds	The paragraph was adjusted to say - KDOT administers Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) funding to local governments. The Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) Program and Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) categories are the main federal categories or programs that cities receive through KDOT. The STBG Program combines the long- standing Surface Transportation Program and the Transportation Alternatives Program, now known as TA Set-Aside. Some of these funds provide annual allocations to cities while others require local governments to apply for project specific funding. The TA Set-Aside funds have helped build pathways, do historic preservation projects, and other projects outside the scope of traditional road and bridge improvements. They provide funding for former Transportation Alternatives (TA) program and the Safe Routes to Schools (SRTS) programs.
8	NHPP funds are used by KDOT and not distributed to locals. STP funds, however, are distributed to locals.	NHPP removed from the paragraph.
10	"Distribution" instead of "sub-allocation" of STP funding through KDOT.	Change was made.
10	And cities over 5,000 in population that	Altered paragraph to say: Douglas County

## FY17 TIP KDOT Comments - Provided on 8/24/16 – MPO Responses on 8/26/16

· · · · ·		
	are not in Wichita or Kansas City metro	has elected to exchange their available
	areas [in reference to the KDOT federal	obligation authority per KDOT policy.
	funds exchange]	
10	STBGP set-aside should be TA set-aside	Change was made.
11	Trying hard to get this for you [KDOT	We will need this before the document goes
	O&M data], but have not gotten a	for final approval of TAC and MPO Policy
	response yet from my attempts	Board in October or we will need to exclude
	response yet nom my attempts	the state data and include it in a future
		amendment when/if it is received.
12	No inflation [on Table 4]	We discussed at our August 1 <sup>st</sup> TIP planning
12		meeting not including inflation on the O&M
10		numbers at this time.
12	No inflation [on Table 5]	We discussed at our August 1 <sup>st</sup> TIP planning
		meeting not including inflation on the O&M
		numbers at this time.
16	The EJ criteria have changed since the	The MPO will share the EJ criteria options
&	last TIP and MTP. It just seems like the	with the TAC in September and solicit their
18	selected methodology is pulling in the	feedback to set criteria for this TIP update.
	majority of the city of Lawrence, much	The final TAC approval isn't planned until
	more than one would reasonably expect	October.
	to see as defined EJ areas. I am not	
	sure that it makes sense that nearly all	We are exploring the data in more depth.
	the city is EJ identified. This makes it	1 3 1
	more difficult to determine if there are	
	any adverse or disproportionate impacts	
	of projects and policy decisions amongst	
	affected groups in the region. Those	
	impacts are really what you are trying	
	to evaluate. I am not clear that you can	
	draw a conclusion on this point based	
	upon the selected methodology. This	
	goes back to one of my original	
	comments on page 18 of the first draft:	
	"How does this analysis tell you if there	
	is or is not an adverse or disparate	
	impact?" It may be worthwhile to at	
	least take a look at how this EJ Analysis	
	is performed in the future and see if	
	some different criteria would help yield	
	the intended answers in the analysis.	
	KDOT would be open to any discussions	
	the MPO would like to have in the future	
	regarding this topic. In the meantime,	
	as discussed at KAMPO, we are working	
	to provide additional tools to assist the	
	MPOs in conducting EJ Analyses.	

Project Sponsor: KDOT	Project Name: South Lawrence Trafficway/K- 10 West Leg in DG Co.	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local	
TIP #: 103	KDOT #: KA-3634-04	2016	State	CE	\$0	\$4	\$0	
Length (mi): 0.00	Location: K-10: 2000 ft W of Junction K- 10/E 1200 Rd to 1500 ft E of Junction of K-10/E 1200 Rd	2016	State	CONST	\$0	\$69	\$0	
Length (mr). 0.00		2016	State	PE	\$0	\$231	\$0	
Project Type: Intersection	Work Type: Reconstruction							
Date Added: 10/2016	Last Revised:							
Description:	Comments:							
Conversion of Standard Stop Controlled intersection to Right in - Right Out configuration	Project lets in Sept '17							
			Federal <sub>\$0</sub> Total:		Non-Federal <sub>\$304</sub> Total:		Grand Total: <sup>\$304</sup>	
		Federal Total:	\$0		deral <sub>\$304</sub>		\$304	
Project Sponsor: KDOT	Project Name: Install Permanent Signal at K- 10 at US-59/CR 458	Federal Total: FFY	\$0 Fund Source		deral \$304		\$304 Local	
		FFY	Fund	Total:	\$304	Total: 🤇		
TIP #: 300	10 at US-59/CR 458 KDOT #: KA-3634-06	FFY 2016	Fund Source	Total: Phase	\$304 Federal	Total: <sup>\$</sup> State	Local	
Project Sponsor: KDOT TIP #: 300 Length (mi): 0.00	10 at US-59/CR 458	FFY 2016 2017	Fund Source State	Total: Phase PE	\$304 Federal \$0	Total: 5 State \$43	Local \$0	
TIP #: 300 Length (mi): 0.00	10 at US-59/CR 458 KDOT #: KA-3634-06	FFY 2016 2017	Fund Source State State	Total: Phase PE CE	\$304 Federal \$0 \$0	Total: 5	<b>Local</b> \$0 \$0	
TIP #: 300	10 at US-59/CR 458 <b>KDOT #:</b> KA-3634-06 <b>Location:</b> K-10 at US-59/CR 458	FFY 2016 2017	Fund Source State State	Total: Phase PE CE	\$304 Federal \$0 \$0	Total: 5	<b>Local</b> \$0 \$0	
TIP #: 300 Length (mi): 0.00 Project Type: Traffic Signal	10 at US-59/CR 458 KDOT #: KA-3634-06 Location: K-10 at US-59/CR 458 Work Type: Signal	FFY 2016 2017	Fund Source State State	Total: Phase PE CE	\$304 Federal \$0 \$0	Total: 5	<b>Local</b> \$0 \$0	

Federal <sub>\$0</sub> Total:

Non-Federal <sub>\$936</sub> Total:

Grand Total: <sup>\$936</sup>