2019-2022 Transportation Improvement Program – Amendment #7 and Program of Projects for the Lawrence Transit System

The official 15-day public comment period for this TIP Amendment starts on July 16 and will end on July 31. This TIP Amendment will come before the Lawrence-Douglas County Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Policy Board for approval on August 20, 2020. The TIP is a multi-year listing of federally funded and/or regionally significant transportation improvement projects. This public notice on the TIP development process satisfies the FTA's Program of Projects requirements for the Lawrence Transit System.

Approval of this TIP Amendment will include the addition and revision of costs and schedules for roadway and bridge projects. This amendment was requested by KDOT. Changes to the TIP text and project tables are being made to reflect these changes and to maintain the fiscally constrained status of this document. Public Comments received will be reported and considered by the MPO Policy Board where decisions pertaining to revising this document will be made prior to final approval.

The items included in this TIP Amendment can be viewed online at: <u>www.lawrenceks.org/mpo/tip</u>; a paper copy will be available at Lawrence City Hall Riverfront - Planning & Development Services Office (1 Riverfront Plaza, Suite 320) from 8 am to 4 pm.

Written comments may be emailed to <u>amyers@lawrenceks.org</u> or mailed to Ashley Myers at the Lawrence-Douglas County Metropolitan Planning Office, PO Box 708, Lawrence, KS 66044-0708.

(scroll down to view the document)

This TIP amendment has been superseded by Amendment 7b. The public comment period for Amendment 7b is July 28 -August 12, 2020. View the amendment at:

https://assets.lawrenceks.org/mpo/tip/2019-2022TIPA7b.pdf

FFY 2019-2022 TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM









LAWRENCE - DOUGLAS COUNTY

METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

Adopted: October 18, 2018 Amended: February 21, 2019 Amended: April 18, 2019 Administrative Revision: April 18, 2019 Administrative Revision: May 9, 2019 Amended: August 15, 2019 Amended: October 17, 2019 Administrative Revision: December 18, 2019 Amended: February 20, 2020 Administrative Revision: February 24, 2020 Amended: June 18, 2020 Administrative Revision: June 22, 2020 Amended: August 20, 2020 - Pending MPO Policy Board Approval Public Comment Period July 16 - 31, 2020



Funding Note:

This report was funded in part through grant[s] from the Federal Highway Administration [and Federal Transit Administration], U.S. Department of Transportation. The views and opinions of the authors [or agency] expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Department of Transportation.

Title VI Note:

The L-DC MPO hereby gives public notice that it is the policy of the agency to assure full compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Civil Rights Restoration Act of 1987, Executive Order 12898 on Environmental Justice, and related statutes and regulations in all programs and activities. Title VI requires that no person in the United States of America shall, on the grounds of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from the participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be otherwise subjected to discrimination under any program or activity for which the L-DC MPO receives federal financial assistance. Any person who believes they have been aggrieved by an unlawful discriminatory practice under Title VI has a right to file a formal complaint with the L-DC MPO. Any such complaint must be in writing and filed with the L-DC MPO's Title VI Coordinator within one hundred and eighty (180) days following the date of the alleged discriminatory occurrence. For more information, or to obtain a Title VI Discriminatory Complaint Form, please see our website at www.lawrenceks.org/MPO.

Table of Contents

MPO Self-Certification	II
DEFINITIONS	III
INTRODUCTION	
WHAT IS AN MPO?	4
What is a TIP?	
The TIP and T2040	
TIP Public Involvement Process	
PROGRAMMING PROCESS	
LEGISLATIVE REQUIREMENT	
PROCESS FOR INCLUDING PROJECTS IN THE TIP	
REVISIONS TO THE TIP	
Formal Amendments	
Administrative Revisions	
FISCAL CONSTRAINT	
Project Funding	
Federal Funds	
State Funds	
Local Funds	
Transit and Paratransit Funds	
Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Funding	
Year of Expenditure (YOE) Inflation Factor	
DEMONSTRATION OF FISCAL CONSTRAINT	
TRACKING FEDERAL PERFORMANCE MEASURES	20
PROJECT EVALUATION	
Common Improvements That Impact Safety	
Progress towards Targets	
Evaluating Performance over Time	27
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE REVIEW	28
Methodology	
Define Target Populations and Thresholds	
Assess and Analyze Investments in the TIP	
APPENDICES	
Appendix A – Planning and Engineering Factors for Local Project Consideration	A-1
APPENDIX B – DEFINITIONS OF MAJOR PROJECTS AND SIGNIFICANT DELAY	B-1
Appendix C – Progress on Previous TIP Projects	
APPENDIX D – LATEST FEDERAL FISCAL YEAR - LIST OF OBLIGATED PROJECTS	
Appendix E – TIP Public Participation	E-1
Appendix F – Summary of TIP Amendments & Administrative Revisions	
Appendix G– TIP Project Listings	G-1

MPO Self-Certification

The Kansas Department of Transportation (KDOT) and the Lawrence - Douglas County Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) certify that the metropolitan transportation planning process is being carried out in accordance with all applicable requirements including the following:

- 1. 23 U.S.C. 134, 49 U.S.C. 5303, and this subpart; All core documents are current:
- 2. In nonattainment and maintenance areas, Sections 174 and 176 (c) and (d) of the Clean Air Act, as amended (42 USC 7504, 7506 (c) and (d)) and 40 CFR Part 93;
- 3. Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (42 USC 2000d-1) and 49 CFR Part 21;
- 4. 49 USC 5332, prohibiting discrimination on the basis of race, color, creed, national origin, sex, or age in employment or business opportunity;
- 5. Section 1101(b) of the SAFETEA-LU (Pub. L. 109-59) and 49 CFR Part 26 regarding the involvement of disadvantaged business enterprises in USDOT funded projects;
- 6. 23 CFR Part 230, regarding the implementation of an equal employment opportunity program on Federal and Federal-aid highway construction contracts,
- 7. The provisions of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 USC 12101 et seq.) and 49 CFR Parts 27, 37, and 38;
- 8. The Older Americans Act, as amended (42 USC 6101), prohibiting discrimination on the basis of age in programs or activities receiving Federal financial assistance;
- 9. Section 324 of Title 23 USC regarding the prohibition of discrimination based on gender; and
- 10. Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 USC 794) and 49 CFR Part 27 regarding discrimination against individuals with disabilities.

Cory Davis, Chair Lawrence-Douglas County MPO

Michael J Moriarty Bureau Chief of Transportation Planning Kansas Department of Transportation

Definitions

ADA	ADA- Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (P.L. 101-336) and ADA Amendment Act of 2008 (P.L. 110-325)
BAC	Bicycle Advisory Committee
BNSF	Burlington Northern-Santa Fe Railroad
CAPITAL	Purchase of equipment
CDBG	Community Development Block Grant
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CIP	Capital Improvement Program
CONST	Construction
CTD	Coordinated Transit District
СТР	Comprehensive Transportation Program
E+C	Existing Plus Committed
EJ	Environmental Justice
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
FAA	Federal Aviation Administration
FAST Act	Fixing America's Surface Transportation Act (P.L. 114-94) (Signed by President Obama on December 4, 2015)
FFY	Federal Fiscal Year
FHWA	Federal Highway Administration
FTA	Federal Transit Administration
HOV	High-Occupancy Vehicle Lanes
ITS	Intelligent Transportation Systems
KDOT	Kansas Department of Transportation
KLINK	Kansas Connecting Link Program
KTA	Kansas Turnpike Authority
KU	University of Kansas, Lawrence
KUOW	KU on Wheels Transit Service
LOS	Level of Service
MAP-21	Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (P.L. 112-141) (Signed by President Obama on July 6, 2012)
MPO	Metropolitan Planning Organization,
	such as the Lawrence-Douglas County Metropolitan Planning Organization
MTP	Metropolitan Transportation Plan
NHS	National Highway System
0 & M	Operation and Maintenance
OPERATING	Operation of transit
PE	Preliminary Engineering
PPP	Public Participation Plan
PTAC	Public Transportation Advisory Committee
ROW	Right-of-Way
RRFBs	Rectangular Rapid Flash Beacons
RTAC	Regional Transit Advisory Committee
STBG	Surface Transportation Block Grant Program
STIP	State Transportation Improvement Program
STP	Surface Transportation Program
T2040	Transportation 2040 -
	the Long Range Transportation Plan for the Lawrence-Douglas County region
TAC	Technical Advisory Committee
TAZ	Traffic Analysis Zone
TDM	Travel Demand Management
ТА	Transportation Alternatives
TIP	Transportation Improvement Program
TSM	Transportation System Management
UGA	Urban Growth Area
UP	Union Pacific
UPWP	Unified Planning Work Program
USC	United States Code
UTIL	Utilities

INTRODUCTION

What is an MPO?

A Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) is a regional decision making body charged with developing a transportation plan and related policy and programming documents. The Lawrence-Douglas County MPO is comprised of a Policy Board composed of mostly elected officials, a Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) made up of transportation and engineering professionals, the MPO Staff, and various other advisory groups that the MPO may form. Figure 1 displays the MPO structure. The MPO develops four core documents that create a regional vision for how the multimodal transportation system will function and grow – now and into the future. The MPO's core documents are the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP), Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), the Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP), and the Public Participation Plan (PPP).

The MPO is a group that is composed of representatives from many local governments that collectively discusses the transportation issues facing the metropolitan area and then makes decisions about how to address those issues.

Figure 1: MPO Structure



The Lawrence-Douglas County Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) serves all of Douglas County, Kansas including Baldwin City, Eudora, Lawrence, and Lecompton (see Figure 2).




Figure 2: Lawrence-Douglas County Metropolitan Planning Area (MPA)

DISCLAIMER NOTICE

The map is provided "as is" without warranty or any representation of accuracy, timeliness or completeness. The burden for determining accuracy, completeness, timeliness, merchantability and fitness for or the appropriateness for use rests solely on the requester. The City of Lawrence makes no warranties, express or implied, as to the use of the map. There are no implied warranties of merchantability on fitness for a particular purpose. The requester acknowledge and accepts the implications of the map, industing the fact that the map is dynamic and is in a constant state of maintenance, correction and update.

What is a TIP?

The Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) documents how the region prioritizes the limited transportation resources available among the various needs of the region.

Statewide Transportation Improvement Program

The STIP is the State's equivalent of an MPO's TIP. It includes all federally funded transportation projects in the state. Projects in the metropolitan areas are included by reference to the relevant STIP.

The TIP is a short-range, multi-year listing of federally funded and/or regionally significant improvements to the region's multimodal transportation system. Projects in the TIP are designed to implement the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP). The TIP must be fiscally constrained and include only projects for which funding has been identified using existing or reasonably available revenue sources. The TIP must be updated at least once every four years, on a schedule compatible with the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The Lawrence-Douglas County TIP is updated every two years.

The TIP and T2040

The TIP and Transportation 2040 (the region's Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)) are linked.¹ Transportation 2040 (T2040) is the long range transportation vision; while the TIP is the short range implementation list. For projects to be included in the TIP, they must be consistent with T2040. This ensures projects are implementing the MPO's vision for a healthy, safe, and efficient transportation system, which adequately serves Lawrence, Eudora, Baldwin City, Lecompton, and unincorporated areas of Douglas County. Community input led to the development of the T2040 vision, goals, priorities, and objectives (Table 1). These goals are implemented by the TIP. The Tracking Performance Measures section provides further details on how the two documents are linked.

Table 1: Transportation 2040 Goals and Objectives

		Goals	Objectives					
Access & Choices		Enhance Transportation options and choices for improved system	Improve regional connectivity (urban/rural) of all modes of the transportation networks including access to desired destinations.					
01101000		performance	Enhance transit service, amenities and facilities.					
Mobility & Prosperity		Efficient movement of people, goods, and freight	Implement strategies that address system performance & improve reliability, capacity and competitiveness for regional freight.					
Preservation,		Prioritize preservation, safety, and security of the	Support projects and policies that improve safety and security.					
Safety, & Security	9	transportation network	Preserve and enhance transportation infrastructure and assets.					
Sustain &	P	Minimize adverse social, economic, and environmental impacts	Promote density to reduce transportation costs & reduce environmental impacts of transportation.					
Enhance		created by transportation	Reduce single occupancy vehicle trips.					

TIP Public Involvement Process

The MPO's Public Participation Plan (PPP) requires a new TIP to undergo a 30-day comment period and amendments require a 15-day public comment period.² The full draft TIP is available on the MPO website (<u>www.lawrenceks.org/mpo/tip</u>) and a printed copy is available at Lawrence City Hall, Lawrence Public Library, Eudora Public Library, Baldwin City Public Library, and Lecompton City Hall. The public is notified of the opportunities to review the draft TIP through a local newspaper advertisement, notification by email, and by

¹ <u>https://lawrenceks.org/mpo/t2040</u>

² Details about the public participation process for the approval and amendment of the TIP can be found at <u>www.lawrenceks.org/mpo/public_participation</u>.

staff announcements that the draft TIP is available for comment at MPO meetings. These strategies are consistent with the PPP, which addresses how everyone will be engaged in the planning process.

Public comments are posted online at <u>www.lawrenceks.org/mpo/tip/comments</u> and are shared with TAC and the MPO Policy Board. MPO staff reviews the comments and responds to the comment submitter and posts the MPO response in conjunction with the comment online. If comments have direct, applicable action these changes are discussed with the project sponsor (if relevant) and are incorporated into the final draft document sent to the MPO Policy Board for approval. Appendix E contains the public involvement process utilized to develop this TIP.

Figure 3: TIP Public Involvement Process

	• MPO staff and TAC members draft TIP text and review project submissions.
V	• Public comment period> MPO website posting and email notice about comment period is sent to e-subscription lists.
$\mathbf{\mathbf{Y}}$	 Public review and comments are collected and revisions are made, as necessary. Comments and MPO responses are documented and posted online at: <u>www.lawrenceks.org/mpo/tip/comments</u>.
V	• TAC considers public comments, MPO responses, and reviews TIP draft making a recommendation to MPO Policy Board.
$\mathbf{\mathbf{\vee}}$	• MPO Policy Board considers public comments, MPO responses and takes action on the TIP.
$\mathbf{\mathbf{\vee}}$	 Once approved TIP is sent to KDOT for approval and inclusion in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) (<u>www.ksdot.org/publications.aspp</u>).
	• The TIP is sent to FHWA and FTA for approval.
	• Final approved document is posted online.

PROGRAMMING PROCESS

Legislative Requirement

The current federal surface transportation legislation is called the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act.³ It is a five year (FFY 2016-2020) transportation program signed into law by President Obama on December 4, 2015. MPOs are required to develop a TIP that is fiscally constrained and contain all capital and non-capital surface transportation projects within the MPO area that will receive federal funding, as well as other regionally significant transportation projects.⁴

Process for Including Projects in the TIP


The projects included in the TIP are drawn from the area's Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP), County and City governments' Capital Improvement Plans (CIP), as well as the State's Transportation Program known as T-WORKS. The MPO encourages Project Sponsors to use the factors in Appendix A to determine which projects should be prioritized for funding and inclusion in the TIP. Project Sponsors submit projects to the MPO staff for inclusion in the TIP and MPO staff work with TAC members to ensure that the projects are regionally significant and are consistent with the MTP.⁵ Figure 4 displays the process for including projects in the TIP.

The transit and paratransit projects programmed in the TIP also go through a project selection process. Lawrence Transit staff works with the MPO, FTA, KDOT, and University of Kansas - KU On Wheels (KUOW) staffs to plan and program projects in the TIP that address transit needs and issues identified in the MTP. The KDOT-Office of Public Transportation in consultation with the Urban Corridor Coordinated Transit Council makes the selection of paratransit projects to include in the TIP.

This TIP document contains projects for Lawrence Transit that collectively constitutes the Program of Projects (POP) for Lawrence Transit. This list of transit items is a prioritized list of projects used by the Lawrence Transit staff and reviewed by FTA officials. Approval of the TIP includes the approval of the POP for Lawrence Transit. The public involvement procedures used for TIP development and amendments are used to satisfy the POP requirements for FTA Section 5307 funding.

Revisions to the TIP

There are times when information about projects needs to be adjusted. Minor changes to project information are called revisions



and are administrative actions with no public involvement required. Major changes are called amendments and require public involvement.

³ The FAST Act was created as Public Law 114-96. The official legislation can be accessed at <u>https://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/PLAW-114publ94/pdf/PLAW-114publ94.pdf</u>.

⁴ In accordance with United States Code Titles 23 and 49, the TIP document must outline at least a four-year program of: 1) All federally funded priority transportation projects, and 2) All regionally significant priority projects, regardless of funding source.

⁵ An electronic TIP submission form was developed as part of the FFY19 TIP development process. It can be accessed at: <u>https://lawrenceks.org/mpo/tip/submit</u>

Formal Amendments

Amendments to the TIP often consist of major changes to total project cost. Those types of fiscal changes may have impacts on the ability of the TIP and/or the MTP to remain fiscally constrained. The following types of project changes are always handled as TIP amendments:

- Addition or deletion of a project within the first four (4) years of the TIP (federal regulations require this part of the TIP to show fiscal constraint)
- Total costs of a project and/or funding amounts for a project listed in the TIP increase by more than 20% of the total project cost
- Change to a funding source (such as changing from state funding to federal)
- Change to a project scope and/or location (project limits)

Administrative Revisions

Administrative revisions include all revisions that are not formal amendments. These revisions usually involve, but are not limited to:

- Obvious minor data entry errors or editing corrections to text, map, and/or other graphics
- Splitting or combining projects (project scopes and costs cannot change)
- Changes or clarifying elements of a project description (with no major changes in scope)
- Change in funding program or category (such as changing from STP to HSIP funding)
- Shifting funds between a singular year
- Change of program year of project within the four-year fiscally constrained TIP
- Minor change of less than 20% of total project cost

In processing administrative revisions MPO staff will:


- Enter the requested revision into the project database.
- Prepare and publish an updated TIP and post it online.
- Notify the Kansas Department of Transportation of the modifications revisions.
- Prepare a summary of the revision to be presented at the next scheduled MPO Technical Advisory Committee and Policy Board meetings (no formal action required).

Administrative Revisions require no public comment.

Figure 5 displays the TIP amendment process. Amendments to the TIP will be drafted by the MPO staff in cooperation with KDOT staff and TAC members as needed and/or scheduled. The draft TIP amendment will be presented to the TAC for review and approval before sending the amendment to the MPO Policy Board for approval.

After the MPO Policy Board approves the amendment the MPO staff will forward the amendment to KDOT for their review and inclusion in the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). The TIP is included in the STIP by reference so an amendment to the TIP also becomes an amendment to the STIP. Then the STIP is approved by FHWA/FTA.





Amendment Public Process

TIP amendments must be posted for public review and comment, the MPO staff must collect and review any public comments and share those comments with the TAC and MPO Policy Board to address and/or incorporate them, as necessary, before TIP approval.⁶

A minimum 15-day public comment period is required for the proposed amendments are which is posted on the MPO web page. The MPO staff also places a paper copy of all TIP amendments in a binder kept at the front counter of the MPO Office for public review and comments. In addition, all TIP amendment announcements, including the printed advertisement in the newspaper, have the phone number, mailing address, and email address of the MPO staff listed on them so that anyone with questions or comments about the amendment can contact the staff to discuss it. Following the required 15-day public comment period, all comments will receive a response, either individually or in a summary form. The comments and responses will be posted at <u>www.lawrenceks.org/mpo/tip/comments</u> prior to distributing the TAC agenda packet (one week before the TAC meeting). The MPO staff presents these public comments and the staff response to the TAC and the MPO Policy Board before they discuss approving the amendment. There is no requirement for a public hearing.

Amendment Schedule

In order to facilitate the process of making TIP amendments, the MPO has a TIP amendment item on the TAC and Policy Board meeting agenda once each quarter (Table 3). These dates to consider TIP amendments will be coordinated with the KDOT calendar for making changes to the Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP). A similar schedule will be followed for the other years covered by this TIP.

⁶ An appropriate level of public involvement activities are outlined in the latest MPO-approved Public Participation Plan found online at <u>www.lawrenceks.org/mpo/public_participation</u>.

Table 3: FFY2019 Quarterly Schedule for TIP Amendme	ents
---	------

TIP Amendment Request Made to MPO Staff	Public Review Period	TAC Approval	MPO Approval	STIP Approval
August-31	9/6/18 to 9/21/18	October 2, 2018	October 18, 2018	November 2018
January-04	1/10/19 to 1/25/19	February 5, 2019	February 21, 2019	March 2019
March-01	3/7/19 to 3/22/19	April 2, 2019	April 18, 2019	May 2019
July-05	7/11/19 to 7/26/19	August 6, 2019	August 15, 2019	August 2019

These dates are approximate and subject to change following discussions between MPO and KDOT staffs and/or discussions at the Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) meetings.

FISCAL CONSTRAINT

Project Funding

Projects are funded from several sources. Street and highway projects can be financed entirely by State and/or local funds or by any combination of federal, state, and local funds. The Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act provides federal-aid to state and local units of government for surface transportation projects.

The use of Federal Transit Administration (FTA) funds are allocated to transit operators by formulas through the FTA Region 7 Office in Kansas City and through the KDOT Public Transportation Programs Office of Public Transit in Topeka. State transit funds from the T-WORKS Program flows through KDOT. These funds are utilized for the operations of Lawrence Transit and various paratransit operations in the region.

KDOT administers Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) funding to local governments. The Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) Program and Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) categories are the main federal categories or programs that cities receive through KDOT. The STBG Program combines the long-standing Surface Transportation Program and the Transportation Alternatives Program, now known as TA Set-Aside. Some of these funds provide annual allocations to cities while others require local governments to apply for project specific funding. The TA Set-Aside funds have helped build pathways, do historic preservation projects, and other projects outside the scope of traditional road and bridge improvements. They provide funding for former Transportation Alternatives (TA) program and the Safe Routes to Schools (SRTS) programs.

All of the estimated amounts of transportation project funds are included in Table 10: Estimated Revenues and Expenditures (located in the Demonstration of Fiscal Constraint section of this chapter). The estimates of reasonably expected funding levels based on Transportation 2040 historic averages are compared to the levels of federal, state, and local funding for transportation facilities and services that are requested by KDOT and local governments for inclusion in the TIP. Comparing these expected funding levels and funding request levels allows the MPO to determine if the TIP is fiscally constrained.

Federal Funds

The federal funding for road and bridge projects in the region is generally limited to formula funding levels set by the USDOT and KDOT. Those levels have been relatively steady over the last few years with Douglas County receiving about \$500,000 and the City of Lawrence receiving about \$1.2 million annually in federal aid for roads and bridges. The three smaller cities in Douglas County (Lecompton, Eudora, and Baldwin City) have small public works departments, thus large road or bridge projects are often managed by Douglas County or KDOT.

Discretionary funding for TA Set-Aside program projects is also available on a more sporadic competitive basis. This funding is not guaranteed in any given year, but our region has received some funding and expects to FFY 2019 TIP | 11 receive more in the foreseeable future. These funding levels have more uncertainty and therefore, projects must have awarded funding to be included in the TIP. If and when local governments in Douglas County are awarded funding from these discretionary programs the MPO will amend the TIP to add that funding and those projects in a timely manner.

State Funds

State funds used in Douglas County for road and bridge projects are mostly limited to KDOT facilities and projects. The level of KDOT funding expended in the region varies greatly by year due largely to how much work KDOT does on the area's major highways. Recently KDOT spent large amounts of funding to build the South Lawrence Trafficway (new K-10 alignment), and to build a new interchange along K-10 at Bob Billings Parkway/N 1500 Road. All of those projects are KDOT administered projects on KDOT routes, which typically do not significantly impact the local governments' budgets for transportation improvements (although the City of Lawrence/Douglas County contributed \$1,000,000 for pedestrian and bicycle facility improvements to the K-10/Bob Billings Parkway interchange). Some other smaller amounts of State funding are used for local projects, such as the occasional purchase of a paratransit van with state money or a state contribution to a local bridge project.

For most local governments in the region the main KDOT funding role has been to provide federal aid to local projects, not to provide large amounts of state aid to local transportation improvements. However, the one example in the region where the state funding of a local project does make a routine and significant difference in the local budget process is state transit operating assistance. Lawrence Transit receives about \$1.1 million in state operating and capital assistance annually which is an important part of their budget.

KDOT does not program projects in their budget documents or ask for projects to be added to the TIP unless a specific identified and reasonable funding source is identified. Therefore, KDOT requests for TIP actions represent a fiscally constrained condition for state funded and/or managed projects.

Local Funds

City of Lawrence

For Lawrence most of that federal funding has come recently in the form of Surface Transportation Program (STP) or Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) funding and has remained about the same each year at about \$1.2 million.

The ten year sales tax to improve roads/infrastructure and transit service which was approved in November 2008 was reapproved by Lawrence voters in November 2017. This continuation of sale taxes included 0.3% dedicated to roads and infrastructure and 0.2% dedicated to funding transit service (Table 4).

Source	Tax Percentage	Pro	ojected Collection in 2018
Roads/Infrastructure	0.30%	\$	5,582
Transit Service	0.20%	\$	3,514
	Total	\$	9,096

Table 4: Lawrence Sales Tax for Improvement of Roads and Transit Service Projections (Shown in \$1,000s)

These sales taxes will expire in April of 2029; new referendums will need to be approved to ensure this funding is available in the future. With the addition of those taxes the City has a local dedicated funding source for road and transit improvements that has made funding more predictable. The City is utilizing the sales tax revenue to design and program some large road projects that were not financially feasible prior to the tax. Some projects are now funded with this sales tax revenue and some are still funded with a combination of federal aid and local matching funds.

City of Eudora, Baldwin City, and City of Lecompton

The City of Eudora became a second class city under Kansas statutes in 2010. With the designation, Eudora now receives an annual distribution of STP funding through KDOT. This amount of federal funding is typically small (less than \$60,000 on average).

Baldwin City, Eudora and Lecompton have used federal funding sporadically and worked with Douglas County staff to administer major road and bridge projects using federal aid. This cooperation between the small cities and the County for the use of federal aid is expected to continue through the life of this TIP.

Douglas County

Douglas County receives obligation authority for STP funds from KDOT. Douglas County has elected to exchange their available obligation authority of federal funds for state funds at an exchange rate of \$0.90 in state funds for every \$1.00 in federal obligation authority, per KDOT policy.

On average over the last five years, the County received \$485,000 in KDOT's federal funds exchange program, and \$523,000 in federal sources such as Federal Lands Access Program, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and STP funding.

The County can also apply for TA Set-Aside funds if it chooses to do so. The County does not operate transit service and does not receive federal or state transit funding.

Douglas County has a CIP that is updated on a regular basis and other sources of local funds. Over the last five years, local funding averages \$8.5 million a year. However, due to planned mental health initiatives and expansion of the jail, the Board of County Commissioners has reduced the annual CIP allocations for roads and bridges by \$1 million for 2019. This annual reduction is anticipated to continue through 2023. The County programs its projects in their CIP and as needed the County staff coordinates its capital planning with the MPO staff for TIP development and changes.

Transit and Paratransit Funds

The public transit operations in Lawrence are composed of a mix of services operated by the Lawrence Transit and the University of Kansas service called KU on Wheels (KUOW). KUOW transit operations are primarily supported by student fees. The City transit service uses state operating assistance, state capital assistance, federal capital assistance, and federal operating assistance to keep buses running. Lawrence also uses local sales taxes to pay for transit. In recent years, Lawrence has used about \$2.1 million annually in flexible federal formula Section 5307 subsidies to provide transit services. This annually allocated funding can be used for capital projects (e.g., buying new buses), but most of it has been used for operations.

Capital assistance levels are typically much more unpredictable than operating assistance, but when the transit capital funding will be needed is fairly predictable because it is based on the life span of buses. That creates a dilemma for transit operators who in the past relied heavily on large discretionary grants from the FTA for bus fleet replacements. Now those large grants are gone and our transit operators are adjusting to buying only a few new buses at a time when funding is available instead of buying many buses on one large grant funded order.

Lawrence Transit uses a relatively constant mix of federal and local funds for operations. Under the State T-WORKS Program some state operating assistance is received each year.

The paratransit providers in the region provide all or most of their own funds to operate their services, and in some cases they use FTA grants for vehicle purchases. KDOT also funds paratransit vehicles in the region. As part of these vehicle purchases the agency requesting the federal funds is required to provide a local match, and those vehicles are programmed in the TIP.

Operation and Maintenance (O&M) Funding

The fiscal constraint analysis looks primarily at capital projects (e.g., building roads and bridges, buying buses, etc.); however, that is not a complete picture of funding for the region's multimodal transportation system. The funds needed for operating and maintaining transport facilities and services also has to be reviewed. An adequate level of Operations & Maintenance (O&M) funding needs to be budgeted to maintain the federal-aid highways in the region. Shortchanging the O&M budgets to make the road improvement projects fiscally feasible is not appropriate. This funding is divided into Roads/Bridges and Transit.

Road and Bridge Operations and Maintenance Funding Estimates

The expenses for O&M work items are usually paid for by the local government that owns and operates the road and the utility providers that use the road rights-of-ways.

In the case of major highways, KDOT is the owner of the road and maintains those facilities. The major exception to this is the Kansas Turnpike/I-70 which is owned and operated by the Kansas Turnpike Authority. Some of the state highway mileage in Lawrence is provided on City streets through a connecting link agreement between KDOT and the City. That agreement includes guarterly payments from KDOT to the City to pay a

share of the maintenance costs for those route segments carrying a state highway. KDOT plays a role in the maintenance of some major roads in the region (approximately \$0.744 million per year), but major highway mileage comprises a small percentage of total roadway mileage. Most of the road mileage in Douglas County is owned by the County, City or Township Governments that levy local property taxes and sometimes other taxes to pay for road maintenance and operations.

The cities and county also receive a portion of the state gas tax collected in Douglas County. This amount of funding is anticipated to continue during

O&M consists of routine things such as pothole patching, minor repairs to pavements and curbs, snow removal, striping and marking, utility work and patching, electrical repairs, tree trimming, mowing, signal repairs, sign replacement, bridge maintenance, and other minor work tasks.

the years covered by this TIP. The state supplied pass through gas tax funding is supplemented by local government funds to make up the bulk of Lawrence and Douglas County roadway O&M budgets.

Between 2012-2016, the City of Lawrence had an O&M budget for its road system of \$8.7 million, on average. Those costs were paid for with \$2.6 million of state gas tax funds, \$1.2 million of local tax sources including the 2008 approved sales tax increase dedicated to infrastructure improvements, and \$4.9 million from the CIP and budget. For 2012-2016 on average, the roadway O&M budget for Douglas County was approximately \$5.6 million with approximately \$1.7 million of that total coming from the state gas tax funds and the other \$3.9 million from County tax sources. It is expected that the local governments in the region will continue to fund their O&M budgets in order to adequately maintain their transportation infrastructure during this TIP period. Table 5 shows the KDOT, Douglas County, and the City of Lawrence O&M expected cost per lane mile.

Table 5: Road and Bridge O&M (Shown in \$1,000s)

	KDOT	County*	Lawrence	Total
Base Cost Per Lane Mile	\$ 2.73	\$ 12.03	\$ 10.84	
Lane Miles	234	500	862	1,596
2019	\$ 638	\$ 6,018	\$ 9,348	\$ 16,003
2020	\$ 660	\$ 6,228	\$ 9,675	\$ 16,564
2021	\$ 683	\$ 6,446	\$ 10,014	\$ 17,143
2022	\$ 707	\$ 6,672	\$ 10,364	\$ 17,743
Total	\$ 2,688	\$ 25,364	\$ 39,402	\$ 67,453

*Does not include Township roads or road maintenance funds, but County maintenance costs does include bridges and large culverts on township roads that are maintained by the County.

Transit Operations and Maintenance Funding Estimates

Transit operations are funded with a mix of local, state, and federal funds. The transit system in Douglas County is a coordination of services owned and operated by the City of Lawrence, the University of Kansas, social service agencies that run paratransit vehicles, and Johnson County Transit that operates a commuter bus service called the K-10 Connecter, which traverses between Lawrence and locations in Johnson County. K-10 Connector funding is programmed in the TIP produced by the Mid-America Regional Council (MARC), which is the MPO for the Kansas City area.

Lawrence Transit

Lawrence Transit service uses federal, state, and local funds for operating and routine maintenance expenses for their fixed-route and complementary paratransit services. Lawrence Transit needs to pay for its services when they are rendered (i.e., when the buses are rolling, burning fuel and labor costs are incurred) by maintaining a cash flow to pay for its vendors and staff as they work. Unlike a road or a bridge that can be bonded for twenty years and paid for over time, transit operations are typically not paid for with debt service. For 2018, Lawrence Transit had an O&M budget of approximately \$8.2 million which was funded with \$2.1 million of federal aid, \$1.7 million of state aid, \$4.4 million of local funds. Lawrence Transit programs \$1 million every other year to acquire rolling stock. Additionally, \$5 million of the local funds is programed towards a multimodal facility and will be utilized once a location is selected. These levels of O&M expenses and revenues (without the \$5 million multimodal facility) are anticipated to continue through the four-year fiscally constrained period (2020-2022), as shown in Table 6.

Table 6: Lawrence Transit O&M (Shown in \$1,000s)

FFY		2019		2020	2021	2022	Total
Total O&M	\$	7,375	\$	8,467	\$ 7,561	\$ 8,656	\$ 32,057
*Based on financial info	ormation from	Transportatio	n 2040				

*Based on financial information from Transportation 2040

Operations and maintenance funding for Lawrence Transit is shown in Table 10: Estimated Revenues and Expenditures (located in the Demonstration of Fiscal Constraint section of this chapter).

The O&M costs are deducted from the estimated revenues; therefore, funding for O&M projects are not available other projects and the TIP is fiscally constrained.

University of Kansas (KU on Wheels) Transit Funding

The University of Kansas also provides transit services that are available to the general population as well as KU students and staff. Funding for the KU on Wheels system includes a considerable amount of funding that supports fixed route transit in Lawrence. The KU transit funding information listed in Table 7 gives a more complete and realistic account of the size and costs of the transit system in Lawrence.

The KU on Wheels (KUOW) and the Lawrence Transit services are integrated into one route and schedule system and both of these operations accept each other's bus passes. Even though these two services are coordinated into one route map and schedule book, only Lawrence Transit receives FTA funding. The KUOW operations are expected to maintain the KUOW transit service at current levels through the years covered by FFY 2019 TIP

this TIP. The KUOW part of the public transit system in Lawrence is fiscally constrained by the revenues provided by fees that support it.

Table 7: KU on Wheels (KUOW) O&M (Shown in \$1,000s)

FFY	2019		2020	2021	2022	Total
Total O&M	\$ 4,957	\$	5,031	\$ 5,107	\$ 5,184	\$ 20,279
*	 T 1.11	2040	`			

*Based on financial information from Transportation 2040

Paratransit

The paratransit providers in the region mostly provide their own funds to operate their services, but in some cases receive a small amount of state operating subsidy from KDOT. Typically, this state operating assistance is only a few thousand dollars per year for each operator. Most of the federal and state aid to paratransit is for vehicle purchases. The MPO staff works closely with the KDOT transit staff, the Regional Transit Advisory Committee (RTAC), and the Urban Corridor Coordinated Transit Council members to keep informed about the status of paratransit operations and funding issues. Those paratransit issues are discussed in more detail in the Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan (CPT-HSTP).⁷

Taking into account all transit expenses in the region including Lawrence Transit, KU on Wheels, and the various paratransit providers the regional transit O&M is close to \$13 million in 2019 (Table 8).

Table 8: Regional Transit O&M (Shown in \$1,000s)

FFY		2019		2020	2021	2022	Total
Total O&M	\$	12,820	\$	13,993	\$ 13,170	\$ 14,350	\$ 54,333
*Based on financial info	ormation fro	m Transportatio	n 2040)			

Based on financial information from Transportation 2040

Year of Expenditure (YOE) Inflation Factor

In addition to having a clearly identified source of funding for each roadway, bridge, transit, and enhancement project listed in the TIP, the project sponsor must also present their project costs in year of expenditure (YOE) dollars. This allows the project estimates to take into account inflation and should make them more realistic than using constant dollars. This fiscal analysis uses an annual inflation factor of 1.5% (which matches the T2040 Inflation Factor) for all TIP projects to determine the estimated costs in the year of expenditure. This inflation factor was developed by KDOT in 2012 for use with federal aid projects. TAC and MPO Policy Board members agreed to the YOE inflation rate.

Demonstration of Fiscal Constraint

TIPs are required to have a four year fiscally constrained program of projects. Fiscally constrained means enough financial resources are available to fund projects listed in the TIP. Fiscal constraint also makes good sense.

The MPO accounts for O&M expenditures "Off the Top" from available funding before projects are programmed (Table 9). This ensures there is enough funding to operate, maintain, and preserve the existing transportation system, which is a high priority of T2040.

⁷ Access this plan at <u>https://www.lawrenceks.org/mpo/transit</u>.

Table 9: Funding Available for Projects after Accounting for all O&M Expenditures (in \$1,000s) OLD TABLE

Subt	Subtracting O&M "Off the Top" (in thousands)													
	F	FY 2019	F	FY 2020	F	FY 2021	F	FY 2022		Total				
Anticipated Funding	\$	74,280	\$	82,104	\$	74,389	\$	83,931	\$	314,704				
Anticipated O&M Expenditures	\$	28,823	\$	30,557	\$	30,314	\$	32,093	\$	121,786				
Funding Available for Projects	\$	45,457	\$	51,547	\$	44,076	\$	51,838	\$	192,918				

New Table

Subtracting O&M "Off the Top" (in thousands)									
	F	FY 2019	E	FY 2020	F	FY 2021	F	FY 2022	Total
Anticipated Funding	\$	72,984	\$	86,999	\$	74,389	\$	83,931	\$ 318,303
Anticipated O&M Expenditures	\$	28,823	\$	30,557	\$	30,314	\$	32,093	\$ 121,786
Funding Available for Projects	\$	44,161	\$	56,442	\$	44,076	\$	51,838	\$ 196,517

This TIP document provides realistic cost and funding estimates for improvement projects in the first two years of the fiscal constraint period (2019 and 2020). Predicting the revenues which will be available and costs for projects in the second half of that period (2021 and 2022) are a more speculative exercise. The MPO utilized Transportation 2040's fiscal analysis to determine federal revenues. This was developed by creating a historic average based on funding levels from 2012–2016. A 1.5% inflation factor was applied to the average to determine future funding amounts. The MPO has assumed these funding levels for federal funding will remain in place through 2022. The Funding Summary in Table 10 show the level of projected funding from reasonable sources and the total level of project funding programmed in this TIP are balanced and this TIP is fiscally constrained. The fiscal breakdown by funding source for all roadway and transit projects listed in the 2019-2022 TIP are shown in the table. The projects are shown by year and funding source.

	Anticipated Funding (in thousands)										
	Funding Source	FF	FFY 2019		FY 2020	FFY 2021		F	FY 2022		Total
it	Federal	\$	2,211	\$	2,212	\$	2,213	\$	2,215	\$	8,851
Transit	State	\$	712	\$	713	\$	713	\$	714	\$	2,852
Tra	Local	\$	10,274	\$	10,849	\$	11,027	\$	13,913	\$	46,063
ä.	Federal	\$	1,961	\$	1,989	\$	2,018	\$	2,436	\$	8,405
Non- Transit	State	\$	7,398	\$	12,352	\$	4,035	\$	8,095	\$	31,880
ĔĔ	Local	\$	22,901	\$	23,432	\$	24,070	\$	24,465	\$	94,868
Transit Total			13,197	\$	13,774	\$	13,954	\$	16,842	\$	57,766
N	on-Transit Total	\$	32,260	\$	37,773	\$	30,122	\$	34,997	\$ 135,152	
	Grand Total	\$	45,457	\$	51,547	\$	44,076	\$	51,838	\$	192,918

Table 10: Funding Summary (in \$1,000s) OLD TABLE

Anticipated funding is based on the revenue assumptions in Transportation 2040. Local transit funds include KU on Wheels funding. 1.5% growth is applied to the funding and the 2017 Lawrence sales tax referendum (funds roads/infrastrucure and transit service) passed, which provides local funding until 2028.

		Estimated Exp	endi	tures by Ye	ear a	and Funding	g Sou	urce (in tho	usa	nds)			
I	Funding So	urce	FFY 2019		F	FY 2020	F	FY 2021	FI	FY 2022		Total	
		FTA 5307	\$	2,447	\$	2,523	\$	2,649	\$	2,852	\$	10,471	
ä	Federa Funds	FTA 5310	\$	78	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	78	
Transit	Ъе	FTA 5311	\$	55	\$	91	\$	116	\$	-	\$	262	
Ē	Sta	ate-PT	\$	1,259	\$	1,297	\$	1,259	\$	-	\$	3,815	
	L	.ocal	\$	7,869	\$	9,429	\$	7,581	\$	7,811	\$	32,690	
	s	CDBG	\$	303	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	303	
	l Funds	HRRR	\$	36	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	36	
Ŀ		HSIP	\$	500	\$	973	\$	500	\$	500	\$	2,473	
ans	era	NHPP	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,382	\$	-	\$	3,382	
Ľ,	Federal	STP	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,185	\$	-	\$	3,185	
Non-Transit		ТА	\$	394	\$	864	\$	2,963	\$	-	\$	4,221	
z	S	State	\$	7,841	\$	2,683	\$	9,100	\$	2,500	\$	22,124	
	State AC	Conversion*	\$	(500)	\$	(500)	\$	(7,067)	\$	(500)	\$	(8,567)	
Local		\$	6,279	\$	12,806	\$	11,405	\$	13,275	\$	43,765		
	Transit Total \$ 11,708 \$ 13,340 \$ 11,605 \$ 10,663 \$				\$	47,316							
	Non	-Transit Total	\$	14,853	\$	16,826	\$	23,468	\$	15,775			
		Grand Total	\$	26,561	\$	30,166	\$	35,073	\$	26,438	\$	118,238	

*State AC Conversions are negative because the State is receiving federal reimbursement for funds spent in previous years (as noted in the project listing).

NEW TABLE

	Anticipated Funding (in thousands)										
F	unding Source	F	FFY 2019		FY 2020	FFY 2021		FF	Y 2022	Total	
ä	Federal	\$	2,211	\$	2,212	\$	2,213	\$	2,215	\$	8,851
Transit	State	\$	712	\$	713	\$	713	\$	714	\$	2,852
Ľ	Local	\$	10,274	\$	10,849	\$	11,027	\$	13,913	\$	46,063
ı- sit	Federal	\$	1,961	\$	1,989	\$	2,018	\$	2,436	\$	8,405
Non- Transit	State	\$	6,102	\$	17,247	\$	4,035	\$	8,095	\$	35,479
	Local	\$	22,901	\$	23,432	\$	24,070	\$	24,465	\$	94,868
Transit Total			13,197	\$	13,774	\$	13,954	\$	16,842	\$	57,766
No	on-Transit Total	\$	30,964	\$	42,668	\$	30,122	\$	34,997	\$ 138,751	
	Grand Total	\$	44,161	\$	56,442	\$	44,076	\$	51,838	\$	196,517

Anticipated funding is based on the revenue assumptions in Transportation 2040. Local transit funds include KU on Wheels funding. 1.5% growth is applied to the funding and the 2017 Lawrence sales tax referendum (funds roads/infrastrucure and transit service) passed, which provides local funding until 2028.

	Est	imated Exper	ndit	ures by Ye	ara	and Fundin	g S	ource (in t	hou	sands)		
F	Funding Source		F	FY 2019	F	FY 2020	F	FY 2021	FF	FY 2022		Total
	a a	FTA 5307	\$	2,447	\$	2,523	\$	2,649	\$	2,852	\$	10,471
sit	Federa Funds	FTA 5310	\$	78	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	78
Transit	Fe	FTA 5311	\$	55	\$	91	\$	116	\$	-	\$	262
⊢ ⊢	Sta	ate-PT	\$	1,259	\$	1,297	\$	1,259	\$	-	\$	3,815
	L	.ocal	\$	7,869	\$	9,429	\$	7,581	\$	7,811	\$	32,690
	s	CDBG	\$	303	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	303
	Funds	HRRR	\$	36	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	36
ij		HSIP	\$	500	\$	1,248	\$	500	\$	500	\$	2,748
ans	era	NHPP	\$	-	\$	-	\$	4,075	\$	1,152	\$	5,227
Non-Transit	Federal	STP	\$	-	\$	-	\$	3,185	\$	-	\$	3,185
Ö	ш	ТА	\$	394	\$	864	\$	2,963	\$	-	\$	4,221
z	S	State	\$	6,495	\$	4,587	\$	10,057	\$	3,652	\$	24,791
	State AC	Conversion*	\$	(500)	\$	(500)	\$	(7,760)	\$	(1,652)	\$	(10,412)
	Local		\$	6,279	\$	12,806	\$	11,405	\$	13,275	\$	43,765
	Transit Total		\$	11,708	\$	13,340	\$	11,605	\$	10,663	\$	47,316
	Non-	Transit Total	\$	13,507	\$	19,005	\$	24,425	\$	16,927	\$ 73,864	
		Grand Total	\$	25,215	\$	32,345	\$	36,030	\$	27,590	\$	121,180

*State AC Conversions are negative because the State is receiving federal reimbursement for funds spent in previous years (as noted in the project listing).

TRACKING FEDERAL PERFORMANCE MEASURES

The Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century Act (MAP-21) and Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act requires Performance-Based Planning and Programming (PBPP) in the development of the MTP and TIP. According to MAP-21, "Performance management will transform the Federal-aid highway program and provide a means to the most efficient investment of Federal transportation funds by refocusing on national transportation goals, increasing the accountability and transparency of the Federal-aid highway program, and improving project decision-making through performance-based planning and programming."⁸

Transportation 2040 (T2040) is the region's MTP. The TIP acts as the implementation arm of T2040. T2040 has 26 performance measures: 12 federally mandated and 14 community established. The T2040 performance measures promote the overarching goals shown below. The MPO uses the best available information provided by TIP project sponsors to evaluate progress on plan goals and to track project implementation's impacts on achieving performance measure targets. Figure 6 illustrates which T2040 goals the TIP's projects are implementing. As shown, "Prioritizing preservation, safety, and security of the transportation network" is the most frequently addressed T2040 goal by the projects in this 2019-2022 TIP.




Figure 6: TIP Projects addressing T2040 Goal Theme (Projects can support more than one goal)

PBPP is accomplished by tracking performance measures, setting data-driven targets for each measure, and selecting projects to help meet the targets. Transit State of Good Repair measures include the Useful Life Benchmark (ULB) for revenue and non-revenue vehicles by auto type including full-sized buses, cutaway buses, vans, minivans, SUVs, and automobile. The percentage of assets with a condition rating below 3 on the FTA Transit Economic Requirements Model (TERM) scale is another federal performance measure, but there are no federally funded transit facilities in the MPO area. Reliability performance measures relate to person-miles traveled on the Interstate and Non-Interstate NHS, as well as truck travel time reliability on the Interstate. This data all comes from NPMRDS RITIS. NHS bridge condition and Interstate and Non-Interstate NHS pavement condition data is provided by KDOT. Safety performance measures reflect data for all public roads including the number of fatalities, rate of fatalities per 100 million VMT, and number of non-motorized fatalities and serious injuries.

The targets listed in Tables 11 - 15 were set for the federal performance measures and were incorporated into T2040.

⁸ §1203; 23 USC 150(a) found at https://www.fhwa.dot.gov/map21/factsheets/pm.cfm

Table 11: L-DC MPO T2040 Transit State of Good Repair Targets (Useful Life Benchmark – ULB)

Transit State of Good Repair Performance Measures	Vehicle Type	L-DC MPO Target (2018-2022)		
	Full-sized bus	25%		
16) Revenue Vehicles	Cutaway bus	25%		
	Van	25%		
	Minivan	25%		
	Minivan	75%		
16) Non-Revenue Vehicles (Equipment)	SUV	75%		
	Automobile	75%		
Percentage of assets with a condition rating below 3 on the FTA Transit	There are no federally funded			
Economic Requirements Model (TERM) scale	facilities			

Table 12: L-DC MPO T2040 Reliability Targets

Per	formance Measures	L-DC MPO Target (2018-2022)
	Percent of the Person-Miles Traveled on	
6)	the Interstate That Are Reliable (LOTTR)	99.0%
	Percent of the Person-Miles Traveled on	
	the Non-Interstate NHS That Are Reliable	
6)	(LOTTR)	99.0%
	Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR)	
8)	Index on the Interstate system	1.07

Table 13: L-DC MPO T2040 NHS Bridges by Deck Area Targets

Per	formance Measures	L-DC MPO Target (2018-2022)
	Percentage of NHS bridges by deck area	
14)	classified as in GOOD condition	93.2%
	Percentage of NHS bridges by deck area	
14)	classified as in POOR condition	0.0%

Table 14: L-DC MPO T2040 Interstate and Non-Insterstate NHS Pavement Condition Targets

Performance Measures	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
18) Percentage of pavements of the Interstate System in GOOD condition	96.0%	96.0%	96.0%	96.0%	96.0%
18) Percentage of pavements of the Interstate System in POOR condition	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
19) Percentage of pavements of the Non-Interstate NHS in GOOD condition	58.0%	58.0%	58.0%	58.0%	58.0%
19) Percentage of pavements of the Non-Interstate NHS in POOR condition	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%	3.0%

Table 15: L-DC MPO T2040 Safety Targets

Safety	L-DC MPO 5-Yr Rolling Avg Targets						
Performance Measures	2018	2019	2020				
9) Number of fatalities	6.2	5.8	5.6				
10) Rate of fatalities per 100 million VMT	0.8	0.8	0.8				
11) Number of serious injuries	26.2	25.0	25.7				
12) Rate of serious injuries per 100 million VMT	2.6	2.4	2.4				
13) Number of non-motorized fatalities & serious injuries	7.2	7.1	7.8				

Project Evaluation

Through the TIP development process, project sponsors were asked a series of questions to determine if the project would assist the MPO in reaching our desired targets. There are 5.18 miles of new sidewalk and 5.4 miles of new bikeway associated with projects in the fiscally constrained portion of the TIP (2019-2022). However, there are additional local projects that improve sidewalk and/or bikeway that are not included in the TIP or have not currently determined how many miles of bikeway or sidewalk will be associated with projects. There are 20 (twenty) projects included in the fiscally constrained TIP. According to information provided by project sponsors, 25% of projects work to improve the useful service life of the combined transit fleet.

Four (4) out of five (5) transit projects will help address the transit useful life benchmark (Table 16). The projects include purchasing new transit vehicles and preventative maintenance on vehicles. By purchasing these new vehicles the overall percentage of vehicles at or exceeding the Useful Life Benchmark (ULB) will be reduced.

Table 16: Projects addressing L-DC MPO Transit Useful Life Benchmark Targets


#	Project Name	How the Project Improves Transit ULB
401	Independence Inc.: FTA 5311 Operating & Capital	Vehicle preventative maintenance
403	Lawrence Transit Capital Assistance	Purchase paratransit vehicles
412	Lawrence Transit Operating Funds	Vehicle preventative maintenance
415	Bert Nash: FTA 5310 Capital Funds	Purchase ramp mini-van & full size van

There are no TIP projects that are part of the interstate system. Thus there are no specific projects working to achieve the person-miles traveled and truck travel time reliability targets pertaining to the interstate (T2040 PM 6 and 8). Furthermore, the data is provided as a set number by from NPMRDS RITIS and there is no way to determine which portion of the roadway is assigned a specific score. However, one project is part of the Non-Interstate NHS and will improve the person-miles traveled reliability (LOTTR) as the project will improve auto capacity by adding a right turn lane to US 40/6th St (#113).

There are 3 bridge projects in the TIP. None are NHS bridges. But improving the overall quality of bridges in Douglas County will assist in achieving the local performance measures of improving non-NHS bridges.

Sixty-five (65%) of non-transit projects identify improved pavement condition as a project characteristic. Only one of these projects is on the NHS (#113 – Lawrence CCLIP, US-40/Tennessee St. Intersection). Figure 7 displays TIP projects and NHS pavement condition. It assists in determining if projects will assist in improving "poor" pavement and achieving our pavement condition targets. The other projects which are not on the NHS will help achieve the local performance measures of improving non-NHS major roads (collector and above) pavement condition.





Of the twenty (20) projects, all fifteen (15) non-transit projects have some component to address safety concerns. They are categorized as intersection projects, railroad projects, standalone bicycle/pedestrian projects, roadway projects including bicycle and pedestrian elements, and roadway projects. Table 17 displays the projects per category and describes the safety impact of the improvement.

Common Improvements That Impact Safety

Separated or dedicated facilities for pedestrians and bicyclists

According to a report from the Office of the New York City Mayor, when protected bike lanes are installed, injury crashes for all road users (motorists, pedestrians, and bicyclists) typically drop by 40% and by more than 50% in some locations.⁹ (Example: Project #506: Lawrence Safe Routes to School TA Phase 2)

Dedicated vehicle turning movements lanes

By creating two way left turn lanes, vehicles are separated from through traffic improving traffic flow and reduce the potential risk of rear end crashes. (Example: Project #110: 23rd St 2 way left turn lane)

Access management

Access management improves safety by separating access points so turning and cross movements occur at fewer locations. (Example: Project #234: 23rd Street Reconstruction: Haskell Ave to East City Limits)

Roundabouts

According to AASHTO Highway Safety Manual, installing roundabouts reduce the types of crashes where people are seriously hurt or killed by 78-82% when compared to conventional stop-controlled and signalized intersections.¹⁰ (Example: Project #229: 19th Street Reconstruction: O'Connell Rd to Harper St)

Meeting design standards

The safety of the roadway can be improved by flattening roadside slopes and making geometric improvements to bring roadways up to design standards. (Example: Project #230: Queens Road, 6th to North City Limits)

⁹ Howard Wolfson Memo on March 21, 2011 regarding Bike Lanes - http://www.nyc.gov/html/om/pdf/bike_lanes_memo.pdf

¹⁰ FHWA's Office of Safety - https://safety.fhwa.dot.gov/intersection/innovative/roundabouts

Table 17: Projects addressing L-DC MPO Safety Targets

	Railroad						
#	Project Name Improvement with Safety Impact						
		This grouped project is for railroad safety projects that improve safety hazards at public railroad crossings. It targets known railroad safety issues					
600	Various Railroad Safety Projects in the Region	throughout the region.					

Standalone Bicycle/Pedestrian			
#	Project Name	Improvement with Safety Impact	
-	506 Lawrence Safe Routes to School TA Phase 2	Provides sidewalk for pedestrians along designated safe routes to school	
ļ	507 Various Lawrence Sidewalk/Bike/Ped/ADA Ramps Projects	Provide dedicated space for pedestrians and bicyclists	

	Roadway					
#	Project Name	Improvement with Safety Impact				
106	Wakarusa Drive Extension	KDOT's construction of interchange				
110	23rd St 2 Way Left Turn Lane	Installation of center turn lane				
113	Lawrence CCLIP: US-40/ Tennessee St. Intersection	Installation of turn lane				
134	US-40 Mil/Overlay: SN/DG CO to 0.15 Miles W of E50thRd	Improving deteriorating road surface and shoulder work				
		Replace 3 narrow drainage structures and flatten slopes near those				
208	Route 1055 at North 700 Curve	structures				
219	Rte 458 Improv., E1500 to E1600, & Rte 1055, N940 to N1000	Provide paved shoulders and flatten roadside slopes				
220	Route 1055 Improvements, N1000 to N1180	Provide paved shoulders and flatten roadside slopes				
248	Bridge 0964-1000 replacement	Replace with wider bridge				
249	Bridge 1267-1200 replacement	Replaces narrow bridge				

Roadways Including Bicycle/Pedestrian Elements					
#	Project Name	Improvement with Safety Impact			
214	Wakarusa Reconstruction: Research Pkwy to 18th St	Sidewalks and bike facilites			
229	19th Street Reconstruction: O'Connell Rd to Harper St	Sidewalk, bicycle facilities, access mangagement, roundabout			
		Geometric improvements to meet collector street standards, sidewalks,			
230	Queens Road, 6th to North City Limits	and bike facilites			
234	23rd Street Reconstruction: Haskell Ave to East City Limits	New sidewalks, bike facilites, turn lanes, and access management			

In 2017, the MPO conducted a Crash Analysis and Countermeasure Identification Study to identify intersections with crash histories that exceed the average expected crashes, also known as the Excess Expected Average Crash Frequency (EEACF). The study evaluated countywide 2013-2016 crash data obtained from KDOT. Locations that had more than 2.51 crashes in excess of expected average crashes are shown in Figure 8. Of the 11,764 intersections evaluated, sixty-nine (69) exceed an average of 2.51 crashes. Fiscally constrained TIP projects address eight (8) of the sixty-nine (69) locations. Overall the project improvements are expected to improve safety.




Figure 8: Fiscally Constrained TIP Projects and Excess Expected Average Crash Frequency (EEACF)

Progress towards Targets

In summary, all non-transit projects have some component to improve safety. 12% of intersections that exceed an average of 2.51 crashes have projects in the fiscally constrained portion of the TIP working to achieve safety improvements. Eighty (80%) of transit projects are working towards improving the transit ULB. There **FFY 2019 TIP** | 26 are no TIP projects as part of the interstate system. The MPO currently has a 100% reliability rating for the interstate and a 99.4% rating for the non-interstate NHS. The MPO has no reason to believe the reliability targets will not be met. There are no NHS bridge projects in the TIP and one NHS pavement project. The MPO uses information provided by project sponsors to determine if projects are working towards improving individual targets. Based on information available, the projects and/or ongoing operations are meeting the targets set in T2040.

Evaluating Performance over Time

Federal performance measures will be tracked annually in the performance measure report – T2040 Appendix F: System Performance Report. Performance measures will be evaluated as part of the annual report process and may be altered as the MPO Policy Board deems necessary (based on the Public Participation Plan (PPP)). Evaluating performance measures will be updated when a full TIP update is completed or if regulations have changed requiring an update.

ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE REVIEW

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) defines Environmental Justice as the "fair treatment for people of all races, cultures, and incomes, regarding the development of environmental laws, regulations, and policies." Environmental Justice (EJ) is a federal requirement that projects using federal funds be selected and distributed fairly to all people regardless of income or race and that all people have equal access to the benefits afforded by federally funded projects as well as equal access to the decision-making process for the selection of those federal projects.¹¹ This concept is conveyed in the three Environmental Justice Principles shown in Figure 9.

Figure 9: U.S. DOT Environmental Justice Principles

To avoid, minimize, or mitigate disproportionately high and adverse human health and environmental effects, including social and economic effects, on minority populations and low-income populations.

To ensure the full and fair participation by all potentially affected communities in the transportation decisionmaking process. To prevent the denial of, reduction in, or significant delay in the receipt of penefits by minority and lowincome populations.

Read about how the MPO is providing access to the transportation planning process at <u>www.lawrenceks.org/mpo/public_participation</u>.¹²

Methodology

In response to EJ regulations the MPO developed a process to assess the impact of transportation planning processes regarding the TIP on the target populations. The results of the analysis in this EJ review provide insight to the MPO's commitment to achieve the US DOT EJ Principles.

Define Target Populations and Thresholds

Low-income and minority populations were identified in the MPO area. This is done by utilizing Census block groups and 2013-2017 American Community Survey (ACS) 5-year estimate data. Block groups are determined to meet the EJ threshold if they meet either of the criteria listed below.

Low/Moderate Household Income Population, by 2010 Census Block Groups (vintage 2018 TIGER/Line Shapefiles and April 2019 income data)

The threshold for low/moderate household income was 51 percent or more of the population residing in households earning less than 80 percent of the area's median income. The City of Lawrence Neighborhood Resources Division of the Planning and Development Services Department currently uses this information to identify areas within the community that have higher concentrations of low and moderate income residents. This data is updated annually. Various housing rehabilitation program funds and Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) funds are targeted toward these areas.

¹¹ This policy is defined in Executive Order 12898 that was signed by President Clinton on February 11, 1994.

¹² Title VI Civil Rights and Environmental Justice Non-Discrimination issues can be found in the MPO's Title VI Program Manual and the Public Participation Plan. More Environmental Justice information related to programs, including MPO operations which are funded by the Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) and the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), can be found at the following website: www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/environmental_justice/overview.

<u>99% Confidence Interval for the Mean Minority Population, by 2010 Census Block Groups (vintage 2018 TIGER/Line Shapefiles)</u>

The US Census Bureau collects demographic data for one race and a combination of races. For this review, only one race data attribute was used to depict areas within Douglas County that have a minority population within the 99% Confidence Interval average population residing in Lawrence and Douglas County. Essentially, a confidence interval indicates a range of values that's likely to encompass the true value in our community. With a 99% mean confidence interval we are 99% sure that the interval contains all of the values. The mean minority population is 12.86%. The 99% confidence interval is \pm 3.37%. Therefore, 12.86% + 3.37% equals 16.2%. So we are 99% sure that the minority population is under 16.2%.

The majority race in this region is White/Caucasian and the other races collectively are considered as the minority group population for this EJ analysis. The 2013-2017 American Community Survey 5 Year Estimates indicates the minority population within Douglas County represents 16.6% of the total population. In Lawrence, the minority population is slightly higher representing 19.2% percent of the total population.

The EJ zones consist of areas where census tracts are either Low/Moderate household income and/or at the minority 99% confidence interval. The EJ zones are mapped in Figure 10 & 11. Approximately 77,342 people or 59% of the total Douglas County population resides within EJ zones. No EJ zones are identified outside of the City of Lawrence.

Assess and Analyze Investments in the TIP

This assessment and analysis compares the EJ zones with TIP projects locations and fixed route transit services. EJ zones were also evaluated by EJ population within the ¹/₄ mile buffer of transit stops and EJ population with zero vehicle households.

TIP Projects: Roadway, Bridge, Intersection, Enhancement, Access Management

Fiscally constrained TIP projects were mapped to see where the projects intersect with EJ zones. Not all TIP projects could be mapped for the EJ analysis. This analysis does not include transit allocations, planning studies, and projects that are not limited to a specific point on a map. Table 18 shows the total 2019-2022 TIP projects, the TIP projects that were able to be mapped, and the mapped TIP projects that are within the EJ zones.

Table 18: Fiscally Constrained TIP Projects (Shown in \$1,000s)

	Number of Projects	Total Project Cos	st
TIP Projects (2019-2022)	31	\$	94,686
TIP Projects Mapped (2019-2022)	13	\$	39,783
TIP Projects Mapped in EJ Zones (2019-2022)	12	\$	35,333

*Total project costs includes project phases outside of the TIP years (2019-2022)

**Various Lawrence Sidewalk/Bike/Ped/ADA Ramps Projects are not mapped, but EJ proritization is included in the selection of locations process, thus this project was included in the projects mapped in EJ Zones

Thirteen (13) projects were mapped in this 2019-2022 TIP, for a combined total of \$39.8 million. Of the 13 mapped projects in the TIP, 12 are considered EJ projects for the purpose of this analysis for a total improvement cost of \$35 million (as shown in Table 19). Approximately 89% of the total funding for the 13 mapped projects will be invested in EJ zones. These projects are completely or partially, on a road that is in an EJ zone or along an EJ zone border.

Project #	Project Name	Project Type	Total Project Cost	Miles of New Bikeway	Miles of New Sidewalk
106	Wakarusa Drive Extension	Road, Bridge	\$6,200.00	0	0
107	Kasold Reconstruction, Clinton Pkwy to Hyvee	Road	\$2,600.00	0.1	0.1
113	Lawrence CCLIP, US-40/ Tennessee St. Intersection	Road	\$492.00	0	0
203	19th St, Naismith to Iowa Reconstruction	Road	\$3,775.00	1	0.5
214	Wakarusa Reconstruction, Research Pkwy to 18th St	Road	\$6,400.00	0.19	0
229	19th Street Reconstruction, O'Connell Rd to Harper St	Road	\$3,625.00	0.5	0.5
234	23rd Street Reconstruction, Haskell to East City Limits	Road	\$9,750.00	TBD	TBD
302	Intersection of US-40/K-10 at Wakarusa/27th Street Signal	Intersection	\$526.70	0	0
506	Lawrence Safe Routes to School TA Phase 2	Transportation Alternatives	\$559.00	0	1.68
508	Lawrence Loop Shared-Use Paths - 8th St to 11th St & 29th St	Transportation Alternatives	\$880.00	0.6	0
704	Traffic Study of KTEN Crossing Entrance and US-59	Road	\$25.00	0	0
705	K-10 (US-40) and 27th St. Intersection Study	Other	\$500.00	0	0
*Total proje	ct cost includes project phases outside of the TIP years (2019-2022)	Totals	\$ 35,333	2.4	2.8

Table 19: EJ Zone Projects (Shown in \$1,000s)

A majority of the projects that are within EJ zones are reconstruction projects, where the only expected impact is during the actual reconstruction, e.g. road closures, delays. Furthermore, many of the projects include new bikeway and sidewalk facilities. The projects included in the EJ zones include at least 2.4 miles of new bikeway facilities and 2.8 miles of new sidewalks. These numbers do not take into account facilities on 23rd St. Reconstruction: Haskell Ave. to East City Limits (234) or the Various Lawrence Sidewalk/Bike/Ped/ADA Ramps (507) projects as the miles have not been determined.

Project 507 – Various Lawrence Sidewalk/Bike/Ped/ADA Ramps – is a grouped project for the first dedicated funding for non-motorized projects in Lawrence. Approximately \$600,000 of local funding is available in 2019, increasing to \$1 million annually in 2021. This funding utilizes the Non-Motorized Projects Prioritization Policy to select projects.¹³ Distribution of projects in EJ zones is part of the selection process; therefore, projects this locally funded project will be distributed in EJ zones. Also \$303,000 of Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) was awarded to the City of Lawrence to address sidewalk and ADA ramp issues in the low/moderate income areas (a portion of the EJ zones). CDBG funding is competitively awarded annually. The City of Lawrence will continue to pursue additional CDBG funding. All of the local and federal funding used to build new miles of bikeway and sidewalk will improve connectivity and mobility for all populations.

However, EJ analysis is more than just the location of the projects and how many are (or aren't) in EJ areas. All of the projects in this TIP have impacts and benefits both during construction and long term after they are built as part of the network. Of the projects included in this TIP, there are no anticipated impacts to significant property displacement.


A review of the MPO's urban area indicates that all residents in the urban portion of the region regardless of race or income experience the impacts of the urban transport system in similar fashion. The benefits and impacts of that urban transportation network are not concentrated in any particular EJ zones.

The region's transportation projects are selected based on the merit of the project and the need for improvements to the transport system without any intended bias towards impacting EJ areas any more than any other area in the region.

There are busy congested intersections all around the urban area in both high and low income areas. Regardless of your racial group or where you live you are likely to encounter one of these intersections near your home. The impacts from the transportation system (congestion, noise, pollutant emissions, etc.) appear to be more related to whether you live in the Lawrence Urbanized Area or not; more than related to whether you live in a minority or low income area or not. Disproportionate impacts from federally funded transportation projects on low income or minority population clusters were not observed in this EJ analysis.

¹³ https://assets.lawrenceks.org/assets/boards/transportation-commission/NonMotorizedPolicy.pdf





Note: All EJ Zones in Douglas County are in or near the City of Lawrence.




Figure 11: MPO Fiscally Constrained Programmed Roadway Projects in Relation to EJ Zones (Lawrence)

U.S. Census Bureau: 2013-2017 ACS Data (5 year est.) Produced: Lawrence-Douglas County MPO (2019)

Note: All EJ Zones in Douglas County are in or near the City of Lawrence.

TIP Projects: Fixed Route Transit

Lawrence Transit & KU on Wheels 2018-2019 fixed routes are shown on Figure 12. Sixteen (16) or 84% of the current routes have 30 minute or less service during peak times. As resources becoming available, Lawrence Transit & KU on Wheels are transitioning all routes to 30 minute or less service during peak times. Route information can be accessed at <u>www.lawrencetransit.org/routes</u>. None of Lawrence Transit projects were mapped because the transit service occurs throughout the community and is not located on one fixed point. Lawrence Transit projects include operating costs for fixed route and paratransit services, as well as the capital costs associated with vehicle acquisition.

For the case of federally supported transit services, both the fixed route system and paratransit service areas, cover parts of Douglas County with low-income and/or minority populations. Therefore, the TIP projects associated with these transit and paratransit services are all considered to serve EJ populations and to be located in EJ zones for the purpose of this analysis. If there is any difference with EJ zones it seems to be that some EJ zones receive greater choice and frequency of transit services because those areas coincide with the parts of the region with population densities high enough to support frequent fixed route transit.

Additional review was performed to provide further mobility analysis in determining if there are any disparate or adverse impacts resulting from transit services included in the TIP. Projects were evaluated to determine the percentage of people who live within the EJ zones that are within a ¹/₄ mile buffer of transit routes and the people who live within the EJ zones that are zero vehicle households. Zero vehicle households also access transit to gain mobility, but again stops must be within walking distance to be easily used.

As shown in Figure 13, approximately 75,543 people or 98% of people who live within the EJ zones are within 1/4 mile of a transit route. A 1/4 mile is generally the distance people are comfortable walking. Thus, 98% of people who live within EJ zones have easy to access transit service, thereby expanding their mobility.

The red color within the zero vehicle households map (Figure 14) indicates a high concentration of zero vehicle households. The highest concentration of zero vehicle households is located at the southwest corner of Clinton Parkway and US-59/Iowa Street, which is also part of the EJ zone. Transit service is provided in this area. Other higher concentration areas of zero vehicle households are also located in EJ zones. Transit service is offered in many of the higher concentrated zero vehicle households and EJ zones. This provides more mobility and promotes movement of residents throughout Lawrence.




Figure 12: Fixed Route Transit Routes 2018-2019 in Relation to EJ Zones







Figure 13: 1/4 Mile Bus Route Transit Sheds in Relation to EJ Zones








U.S. Census Bureau: 2013-2017 ACS Data (5 year est.) Produced: Lawrence-Douglas County MPO (2019)



DISCLAIMER NOTICE

DISCLAIMER NOTICE The map is provided "as is" without warranty or any representation of accuracy, timeliness or completeness. The burden for determining accuracy, completeness, timeliness, merchantability and fitness for or the appropriateness for use rests solely on the requester. The City of Lawrence makes no warranties, express or implied, as to the use of the map. There are no implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. The requester acknowledges and accord the limitations of the appen including the fact that the map is dwarenic and accepts the limitations of the map, including the fact that the map is dynamic and is in a constant state of maintenance, correction and update.

Note: All EJ Zones in Douglas County are in or near the City of Lawrence.





U.S. Census Bureau: 2013-2017 ACS Data (5 year est.) Produced: Lawrence-Douglas County MPO (2019)

Note: All EJ Zones in Douglas County are in or near the City of Lawrence.

and is in a constant state of maintenance, correction and update.

and accepts the limitations of the map, including the fact that the map is dynamic

Conclusion

Reviewing the assessment and analysis in this chapter the MPO believes there are no significant EJ issues with the selection of roadway, bridge, transportation enhancement, or transit projects in Douglas County. This TIP includes projects inside and outside of EJ zones, and projects for this TIP are selected based on objective planning and engineering criteria (e.g., bridge deterioration, pavement condition, transit demand, etc.).

APPENDICES

Appendix A – Planning and Engineering Factors for Local Project Consideration

Planning Factors

- > Is the project consistent with the goals and objectives found in the Metropolitan Transportation Plan (MTP)?
- > Is the project listed as a recommended transportation system improvement in the MTP?
- > Is the project regionally significant as defined by federal regulations and the latest Regionally Significant Policy approved by the MPO?
- > Is the project consistent with the latest MPO/FHWA approved Functional Classification Map?
- Is the project consistent with the latest locally approved comprehensive plan (including the land use plan, area plans, Safe Routes to School, and other comprehensive plan elements/chapters) covering the project location?
- Does the project include provisions for transit, bicycle, and pedestrian movements (including students and ADA accessibility) as needed to provide a regional multimodal transportation system?
- Has the project sponsor considered Title VI and Environmental Justice (EJ) impacts in the planning for this project, and if the project is in a minority and/or low-income area has the project sponsor considered and addressed the Title VI and EJ issues related to the project?
- > Has the project sponsor received public comments about this project and if received considered those public comments in the planning and design of the project?
- > Is the project eligible for the type of federal and/or state funding being proposed for it, and is there adequate funding available for the project in the year it is proposed?

Engineering Factors

- Does the Project address a facility that has (existing or projected) a high volume to capacity ratio indicating it or will experience significant congestion and lower levels of service?
- Does the project location have a traffic accident history marked by a higher than expected accident rate which, along with other accident attributes, indicates that an engineering change could reduce the number and/or severity of crashes?
- Does the project location have pavement conditions noting a deteriorated state showing that the facility is in need of improvements to maintain its function and/or that those improvements can be made economically now before more costly reconstruction is needed?
- Does the project site include geometric design that is inadequate by current standards and does the project sponsor have documentation that this design is hampering the facility's ability to handle the traffic loads and/or vehicle sizes using the facility in a safe and efficient manner, and does the project sponsor plan to address those geometric deficiencies as part of this project?
- Does the project site or facility have structural deficiencies indicating that the facility is near the end of its projected lifespan and that it will need frequent maintenance to function adequately, and does the project sponsor plan to address these structural deficiencies as part of this project?
- Have safety concerns involving motorists, bicyclists, pedestrians and/or transit users and transit operations been identified at the project location and does the project sponsor plan to address those concerns as part of this project?
- > Has the project location met minimum engineering standards set by the project sponsor that indicate the facility is in need of improvement, rehabilitation or replacement?

*This list is not exhaustive. It is used at the discretion of local governments and project sponsors and may be changed in the future.

Appendix B – Definitions of Major Projects and Significant Delay

Roadways (including intersections and bridges)

The major roadway projects include projects located on a roadway classified by the MPO as a Major Collector or higher, with construction costs of at least \$2.0 million and that have at least one of the following attributes:

- Designed to increase roadway capacity and/or decrease traffic congestion
- Designed to improve safety
- Designed to replace aging infrastructure and bring it up to current standards
- Results in significant delay and/or detours during construction

Major projects do not include the following types of projects that are considered to be routine maintenance projects: mill & overlay, micro-abrasion, micro-surfacing, crack sealing, concrete rehabilitation, curb repairs, sweeping, mowing, spot repairs, and interim measures on detour routes.

Transit Facilities and Services

The major transit projects include projects that need to be listed in the TIP because they use federal funding and/or are regionally significant, have a total cost of at least \$1.0 million, and meet at least one of the following criteria:

- Acquisition of three or more new transit vehicles
- Addition or expansion operations and/or maintenance buildings
- Initiation of new transit service or expansion of transit services into territory not previously served

Major transit projects do not include the following types of projects that are considered to be routine: preventive maintenance on transit vehicles; purchase of spare parts, shop supplies and fuel; annually received formula based operating assistance; purchase of bus stop signs, shelters and related items; scheduled purchases of one or two transit vehicles; staff training and recruitment; and other routine operational activities.

Bikeway and Pedestrian Facilities

The major bikeway and pedestrian projects includes projects that need to be listed in the TIP because of federal funding and/or regional significance, and meet at least one of the following criteria:

- Total project cost of at least \$ 500,000
- Construction of bikeway or pedestrian facility (or extension of existing facility) into a location where a bicycle/pedestrian facility did not exist before

Major bikeway/pedestrian projects do not include the following types of projects that are considered to be routine maintenance projects: patching, crack sealing, curb repairs, sweeping, mowing, spot repairs, landscaping maintenance, sign replacements, and other routine operational activities.

Significant Delay

The term significant delay will be defined as two years or more from the year first listed for the project in the previous TIP.

Appendix C – Progress on Previous TIP Projects

Major Projects from the Previous 2017-2020 TIP

Using the definitions listed above the following major projects from the previous 2017-2020 TIP were implemented between the start of 2017 and the approval date for this new 2019-2022 TIP. This current TIP covers 2019 to 2022 so some 2019 projects could be listed in both the previous and current TIP documents.

Table C-1: Completed Major Projects

TIP #	Project Type	Project Name	Project Sponsor	Location	Description	Year		ost ,000s)
				Bridge 1.95 miles east of US				
		US 56 Tauy Creek Drainage		59 & Tauy Creek Bridge 2.7		2010-		
103	Bridge	Bridge	KDOT	miles east of US-59	Bridge Replacement	2013	\$	3,035
				K-10: 2000 ft W of Junction				
				K-10/E 1200 Rd to 1500 ft E	Conversion of Standard Stop Controlled			
		South Lawrence Trafficway/K-		of Junction of K-10/E 1200	intersection to Right In - Right Out			
109	Intersection	10 West Leg in DG Co.	KDOT	Rd	configuration.	2016	\$	304
					Reconstruction of street will include subgrade			
					treatment, concrete pavement, traffic signal			
		Kasold Reconstruction: 6th St		Kasold Drive: 6th St to Bob	at Kasold and Harvard, and multi-modal	2014-		
204	Road	to Bob Billings Pkwy	Lawrence	Billings Pkwy	facilities.	2017	\$	6,500
		23rd Street (K-10) Access		23rd St. (K-10) from US 59		2016-		
205	Road	Point Consolidation	KDOT	(Iowa St.) E to O'Connell Rd.	Consolidation of Access Points.	2018	\$	316
					Reconstruction of street will include subgrade			
				Massachusetts St to	treatment, surfacing, storm sewer, geometric	2015-		
212	Road	9th Street Reconstruction	Lawrence	Delaware St	improvements and multimodal facilities.	2018	\$	2,500
		Bridge 1000-1638	Douglas	Rte 458 .38 mi east of Rte		2013-		1
222	Bridge	Replacement	County	1055	Replace Rte 458 bridge over Coal Creek	2016	\$	938
		Bridge 0064-0550	Douglas	Rte 1029 .6 mi north of N1		2016-	1	
224	Bridge	Replacement	County	Rd	Replace bridge	2017	\$	686
	Bridge		Douglas		Replace narrow culverts, channel	2016-	Ψ.	
225	Culvert	Replacement	County	1625 Rd intersection	improvements	2017	\$	500
220	ounore	Harvard & Wakarusa	county	Harvard & Wakarusa	Convert All Way Stop controlled intersection to		Ψ	
226	Intersection	Roundabout	Lawrence	Intersection	two lane roundabout/	2016	\$	2,214
220	111010000011	23rd & Ousdahl Storm Sewer	Larrieriee		cho and roundabody	2016-	Ψ.	=/=1 !
232	Intersection	Improvements	Lawrence	23rd & Ousdahl Intersection	Geometric Improvements & Storm Sewer.	2017	\$	3,000
LJL	Intersection	Access Consolidation on K-10	Lawrence		decine improvements a storm serier.	2017	Ψ	5,000
242	Road	West of Ousdahl Rd	KDOT	K-10 W of Ousdahl Rd.	Access Improvements.	2017	\$	80
212	Roud	US-56 Improvements from	ND01		Improvements to US-56 - Realign Eisenhower	2017	4	00
243	Dood		крот	Eisenhower St to 1st St	and construct 3 lane US-56 in Baldwin City.	2017	\$	1,675
243	RUdu	Install Permanent Signal at K-	KDUT	EBENNOWER SE LO ISE SE	and construct 5 lane 05-56 in Daluwin City.	2017	\$	1,075
200	Troffic Cianal	10 at US-59/CR 458	KDOT		Installation of a normanant signal	2016-	\$	936
300	Traffic Signal			K-10 at US-59/CR 458	Installation of a permanent signal.		>	930
			Lawrence		Comprehensive Transportation Program.	2015-		
403	Transit/Paratransit		Transit	Lawrence	Purchase of replacement paratransit vehicles	2017	\$	3,363
		Cwood: FTA 5310 Capital	Cottonwood		L		I.	
409	Transit/Paratransit	Funds	Inc.	Lawrence	Purchase a Ramp Mini-Van.	2018	\$	39
		Indep. Inc: FTA 5310 Capital	Independence					
411	Transit/Paratransit	Funds	Inc.		Full size van.	2017	\$	59
			Lawrence					
		LPM: FTA 5310 Capital	Presbyterian		Purchase a 14 Passenger Composite Small			
413	Transit/Paratransit	Funds	Manor	Lawrence	Transit Bus.	2018	\$	65
		SRC DGCO: FTA 5310 Capital	Resource		Purchase a Ramp Mini-Van (\$38) and a Full			
414	Transit/Paratransit	Funds	Center for	Lawrence	Size Van (\$60).	2018	\$	98

TIP #	Project Type	Project Name	Project Sponsor	Location	Description	Year	Cost L,000s)
				413 East 7th Street,	Revitalize the Santa Fe Depot site and	2015-	
500	Enhancement	Santa Fe Depot Restoration	Lawrence	Lawrence, KS	building.	2018	\$ 2,042
					Extend the length of the brick boarding		
					platform, cover the platform and install		
					lighting, install native prairie landscaping and		
					three additional ADA parking spaces to	2015-	
501	Enhancement	Baldwin City Depot Railscape	Baldwin City		complete the Depot Railscape.	2018	\$ 285
				South Eudora from Eudora	10' wide shared use path that will have ADA		
				High School to Eudora Middle	ramps and create a safe access for residents	2016-	
503	Enhancement	Eudora South Trail Phase 2	Eudora	School	of all ages.	2017	\$ 354
					The project will add sidewalks along		
					designated safe routes for 2 schools		
					(LMCMS/WES) on arterial roadways		
					w/sidewalk on 1 side & residential roadways		
				Various sidewalk in 2	w/no sidewalk on either side. It will also add		
		Lawrence Safe Routes to		locations, RRFB at aprox. 9	RRFBs at existing school crossings w/o a	2016-	
504	Enhancement	School TA	Lawrence	locations	crossing guard	2018	\$ 322
		23rd St Access Management -			Removal of driveway access to 23rd Street		
603	Safety	2246 Ohio St Driveway	Lawrence	23rd Street & Ohio Street	east of Ohio Street.	2017	\$ 9
					Study for the feasibility of tolling in the SLT &		
					K-10 Corridors in Douglas and Johnson		
					County. This study will include a determination		
				1	of which tolling scenarios are most feasible at		
		Toll Feasibility Study for the		I-10(KTA)/K-10 Junction to I	a sketch planning level for implementing		
703	Other	SLT & K-10 Corridors	KDOT	435/K-10 Junction	corridor improvements.	2016	\$ 188

Table C-1: Completed Major Projects (Continued)

Major Projects from the 2017-2020TIP That Were Significantly Delayed

Table C-2: Significantly Delayed Major Projects

TIP #	Project Type	Project Name	Project Sponsor	Location	Decription	Original Year	Currently Programmed Year in the TIP	Cost 1,000s)
					Reconstruction of street			
		Wakarusa Reconstruction:		Wakarusa: Research Pkwy		2020-		
108	Road	Research Pkwy to 23rd St	Lawrence	to 23rd St	facilities, and median.	2021	2022-2021	\$ 6,500
208	Road			North to 1670	Roadside safety improvements, replace two bridges and one culvert.	2017	2019-2020	\$ 950
219			Douglas	E1500 to E1600 & N940 to N1000	Construct paved shoulders; replace narrow culvert; flatten roadside slope.	2017- 2019	2019-2021	\$ 2,275
229	Road	19th Street Reconstruction, O'Connell to Harper			Reconstruct & tie into venture park, roundabout at 19th & harper, construct sidewalk & bike lanes.	2016- 2017	2018-2019	\$ 3,000

Appendix D – Latest Federal Fiscal Year - List of Obligated Projects

The purpose of this listing is to illustrate the progress of federal aid transportation projects in the region as they move through the years in the TIP projects table and onto the recently obligated projects list. Projects are listed based on the year the federal funds were obligated, not necessarily the year the construction of the project began. The federal amount represents the federal funds spent on the project.

The table below describes projects listed in the TIP that were obligated in the previous Federal Fiscal Year (FFY). A listing of projects with federal aid obligated in the previous FFY are presented to the MPO each year for review either as part of a TIP approval or amendment or as a separate memo.

The listing will be is available on the MPO website and is sent to the Kansas Department of Transportation who will then distribute the listing to the FHWA and the FTA for informational purposes.

		Lawrence	e-Douglas County MPO Area - List of Pro	ject for Wh	ch Federal Fund	s Were Obligated to Cost in \$1,000's	o in FFY 2019			
MPO #	KDOT #	Project Name/Location	Project Description	Federal Funding Source	Federal Funds Requested in TIP	Federal Funds Obligated in FFY 2019	Federal Funds Obligated To Date	Federal Funds Remaining	Bike &∕or Ped ⊟ements	Project Status
401	-	Independence Inc., FTA 5311 Operating & Capital	Transit,Parabransit	5311	31	31	31	0		Ordered 3/19 - delivered in 2019/early 2020
412	5307 FTA	Lawrence Transit - Operating Funds	Operating and Preventative Maintenance activities	5307	2,447	2,397	2,397	50	No	Active
200	K-8392-04	KDOT: K-10 Connection, from South Junction US- 59/K-10 East to K-10, South Lawrence Trafficway (SLT)	K-10 Connection, from South Junction US-59/K-10 East to K-10, South Lawrence Trafficway (SLT); Construct a 4-Lane Freeway Section with interchanges at US-59, Haskell Avenue and K-10.	STP/NHPP	171,454	(3,043)	108,037	63,417	Yes	Completed
500	TE-0373-01	Preservation of Historic Santa Fe Station in Lawrence	Preservation of historic features, improvements to the exterior (doors, roof, chimmey and windows), interior improvements (doors, walls, ADA compliance, restoration of original finishes and furnishings), and mechanical/electrical systems (heating and cooling systems, light fixtures, fire suppression system, emergency, lichting)	ŢĄ	1,632	169	1,801	(169)	No	Completed
506	U-2334-01	Lawrence Safe Routes to School TA Phase 2	New sidewalk construction along 6 streets designated Safe Routes to School. Driveway and sidewalk ramp construction will be induded for ADA compliance.	ТА	394	354	354	40	Yes	Active
604	U-0225-01		Construct Two Way Left Turn Lanes (TWLTL) between 11th and 14th Street. This road diet will reduce the existing 4 lane to a 3 lane with the addition of bike lanes for NB/SB traffic on Massachusetts Street. Surface milling, overlay and pavement markings.	HSIP	100	(13)	87	13	Yes	dose

Legend

5307 - FTA Section 5307 - Operating Assistance, Preventive Maintenance, Program Administration, & Security and Capital 5309 - FTA Section 5309 - Capital Bus and Bus Facilities 5310 - FTA Section 5310 - Elderly and Disabled

5317 - FTA Section 5317 - New Freedom 5339 - FTA Section 5339 - Bus and Bus Facilities

BR - Bridge Replacement Funds HSIP - Highway Safety Improvement Program NHPP - National Highway Performance Program STP - Surface Transportation Program SRTS - Safe Routes to School

Appendix E – TIP Public Participation

Task	Date	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November
Discuss TIP development with KDOT, FHWA, & FTA	3/30/18									
Implement updates (TIP form and database)	April									
Discuss TIP development & incorporation of PMs with TAC	TAC - 5/1/18			_						
TIP project submission deadline to MPO staff	6/8/18				—					
Develop new TIP	6/8/18 - 8/7/18						-			
Send draft to KDOT, FHWA, and FTA for review	8/7/18 - 8/14/18						—			
30 day public comment period*	8/15/18 - 9/14/18									
Incorporate public comments	9/14/18 - 9/18/18							_		
Revised draft back to KDOT, FHWA, and FTA	9/18/18 - 9/21/18							_		
	TAC - 10/2/18								_	
TAC/MPO Policy Board consideration of incorporating public comments into final TIP	MPO - 10/18/18								-	
Pending Policy Board approval post online and send to KDOT, FHWA, and FTA	10/18/18								_	
Inclusion in Kansas STIP	November									
* Public participation process includes: Newspaper advertisement, email to subscription	n list, place document online a	nd at pub	lic locatior	ns - Baldw	in City Pub	lic Library	, Eudora P	ublic Library, La	wrence	

Public Library, Lecompton City Hall, and MPO Office, send to TAC and Policy Board for review

	Public Comment Period	# of Public Comments	TAC Action	Policy Board Action
Original Approval	8/15/18 - 9/14/18	0	October 2, 2018	October 18, 2018
Amendment 1	1/10/19 - 1/25/19	0	February 5, 2019	February 21, 2019
Amendment 2	3/7/19 - 3/22/19	0	April 2, 2019	April 18, 2019
Amendment 3	7/11/19 - 7/26/19	1	August 13, 2019	August 15, 2019
Amendment 4	9/5/19 - 9/20/19	0	October 8, 2019	October 17, 2019
Amendment 5	1/9/20 - 1/24/20	1	February 4, 2020	February 20, 2020
Amendment 6	3/12/20 - 3/27/20	0	June 2, 2020	June 19, 2020
Amendment 7	7/16/20 - 7/31/20			

TIP public comments and MPO staff responses can be viewed at <u>www.lawrenceks.org/mpo/tip/comments</u>.

Appendix F - Summary of TIP Changes




FFY 2019 - 2022 L-DC MPO Transportation Improvement Program (TIP)

Revision Summary: Amendment 7

TIP #	: KDOT #:	Project Name:	Project Sponsor:	Action:	Revision Description:	Total Project Cost:
249	KA-5683-01	Repair bridge #071 on K-10 in Douglas County	KDOT	New Project	Program K-10 Bridge (#71) repair over Wakarusa River in 2020 and 2021.	\$1,440
136	KA-5485-01	K-10: West Leg Surfacing	KDOT	Project Change	Revise 2020 State-AC from \$2,317 to \$3,010, 2020 State CONST from \$580 to \$755, 2021 NHPP Conversion from \$2,317 to \$3,010 and 2021 Credit from \$2,317 to \$3,010.	\$3,766
243	KA-4365-01	US-56 Improvements: Eisenhower St to 1st St	KDOT	Project Change	Revise 2019 State CONST to 2020.	\$1,675
705	KA-3634-08	K-10 (US-40) & 27th St/Waka. Intersection Improvements	KDOT	Project Change	Revise 2019 State PE from \$50 to \$379, 2020 HSIP CONST from \$473 to \$748, and 2020 State CONST \$53 to \$83.	\$1,210

Appendix G– TIP Project Listings





Project Sponsor: Douglas County	Project Name: Wakarusa Drive Extension	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 106	KDOT #:	2021	Local	PE	\$0	\$0	\$275
		2022	Local	PE	\$0	\$0	\$7!
Length (mi): 1.70	Location: Rte 458 to planned K-10 interchange at Wakarusa Dr	2022	Local	ROW	\$0	\$0	\$150
		2022	Local	UTIL	\$0	\$0	\$100
Project Type: Road, Bridge	Work Type: Grading, Bridge, Surfacing	2022	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$3,20
		2023	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$2,500
Date Added: 10/2016	Last Revised: 2/2020						
Description:	Comments:						
New road construction to extend Wakarusa Drive from planned K-10 interchange to Route 458. Includes new bridge over Wakarusa River. *Alignment not finalized	Construction contingent on KDOT construction of K- 10 interchange at Wakarusa Drive. Changed TIP # from 100 to 106 in 2/2017 - Bridge const. 2022; road const. 2023; Douglas County & Lawrence would partner on project.						
		Federal Total:	\$0	Non-Feder Total:	r al \$6,300	Grand Total:	\$6,300
Project Sponsor: Lawrence	Project Name: Kasold Reconstruction, Clinton Pkwy to HyVee	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
		2019	Local	PE	10	10	\$170
TIP #: 107	KDOT #:	2015		ГL	\$0	\$0	\$170
	KDOT #:		Local	CONST	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$170
TIP #: 107 Length (mi): 0.16	KDOT #: Location: Kasold from 22nd St to Clinton Pkwy						
Length (mi): 0.16							
Length (mi): 0.16 Project Type: Road	Location: Kasold from 22nd St to Clinton Pkwy						
Length (mi): 0.16 Project Type: Road Date Added: 10/2016 Description:	Location: Kasold from 22nd St to Clinton Pkwy Work Type: Reconstruction						
Length (mi): 0.16	Location: Kasold from 22nd St to Clinton Pkwy Work Type: Reconstruction Last Revised: 8/2019						



Project Sponsor: KDOT	Project Name: 23rd St 2 Way Left Turn Lane	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 110	KDOT #: KA-4473-04	2019	State	CONST	\$0	\$500	\$0
Length (mi): 0.32	Location: 23rd St: Louisiana St to Massachusetts St	2019	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$1,300
Project Type: Road	Work Type: Special Work						
Date Added: 2/2017	Last Revised: 12/2019						
Description: Construction of a 2 way left turn lane on 23rd St from Louisiana St to Massachusetts St.	Comments: This project is part of the 23rd St KDOT/City turn back agreement. Agreement #385-16 was executed 4/24/17.						
		Federal Total:	\$0	Non-Fed Total:	eral \$1,800	Grand Total:	\$1,800
Project Sponsor: KDOT	Project Name: 23rd St Resurfacing: Iowa St to Ousdahl Rd	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
			<u></u>				
IP #: 111	KDOT #: KA-4473-03	2019	State	CONST	\$0	\$300	\$0
	KDOT #:KA-4473-03Location:23rd St:Iowa St to Ousdahl Rd	2019	State	CONST	\$0	\$300	\$0
Length (mi): 0.25		2019	State	CONST	\$0	\$300	\$0
Length (mi): 0.25 Project Type: Road	Location: 23rd St: Iowa St to Ousdahl Rd	2019	State	CONST	\$0	\$300	\$0
Length (mi): 0.25 Project Type: Road Date Added: 2/2017	Location: 23rd St: Iowa St to Ousdahl Rd Work Type: Surfacing	2019	State	CONST	\$0	\$300	\$0
	Location: 23rd St: Iowa St to Ousdahl Rd Work Type: Surfacing Last Revised: 2/2020	2019	State	CONST	\$0	\$300	\$C



Project Sponsor: KDOT	Project Name: Lawrence CCLIP, US-40/ Tennessee St. Intersection	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 113	KDOT #: KA-4767-01	2019	State	PE	\$0	\$16	\$0
		2019	Local	PE	\$0	\$0	\$4
Length (mi): 0.10	Location: US-40/Tennesse St. Intersection	2019	State	ROW	\$0	\$8	\$0
		2019	Local	ROW	\$0	\$0	\$2
Project Type: Road	Work Type: Other	2019	State	UTIL	\$0	\$8	\$0
		2019	Local	UTIL	\$0	\$0	\$2
Date Added: 8/2018	Last Revised: 2/2019	2019	State	CONST	\$0	\$321	\$0
Description:	Comments:	2019	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$131
Construct new right turn lane eastbound to southbound	Revised estimates and funding						

		Federal Total: ^{\$0}	Non-Federal _{\$492} Total:	Grand Total: ^{\$492}
Project Sponsor: KDOT	Project Name: US-40 Mill/Overlay, SN/DG CO to 0.15 Miles W of E50thRd	Fund FFY Source	Phase Federal	State Local
TIP #: 134	KDOT #: KA-5046-01	2019 State	PE \$0	\$1 \$0
Length (mi): 0.53	Location: Shawnee/Douglas County Line to 0.15 Miles west of County Road E50th Road	2019 State	CONST \$0	\$147 \$0
Project Type: Road	Work Type: Mill/Overlay, Surfacing			
Date Added: 10/2018	Last Revised: 4/2019			
Description: 0.5 Inch Cold Mill, 1.5 Inch Overlay and Edge Wedge Rock on Shoulders	Comments: Cost increase due to removal of federal funds			
		Federal _{\$0} Total:	Non-Federal _{\$148} Total:	Grand Total: ^{\$148}



Project Sponsor: KDOT	Project Name: K-10: West of E1900 East to DG/JO County Line Surfacing	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 135	KDOT #: KA-5484-01	2019	State	PE	\$0	\$1	\$(
		2020	State-AC	CONST	\$0	\$1,065	\$(
Length (mi): 5.80	Location: Beginning 0.48 miles West of E1900 thence east to the Douglas/Johnson	2020	State	CONST	\$0	\$266	\$(
	County line	2021	NHPP	CONVERSION	\$1,065	\$0	\$(
Project Type: Road	Work Type: Surfacing	2021	Credit	OTHER	\$0	(\$1,065)	\$(
Date Added: 10/2019	Last Revised:						
Description: Surfacing	Comments: The CONST Phase will utilize AC in the amount of \$1,064.7 K with conversion to NHPP in 2021.						
		Federal Total:	1,065	Non-Fede Total:	ral _{\$267}	Grand Total:	\$1,332
Project Sponsor: KDOT	Project Name: K-10: West Leg Surfacing	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 136	KDOT #: KA-5485-01		State	PE	\$0	\$1	\$(
Length (mi): 8.40	Location: Beginning at Junction I-70/KTA/K-10		State-AC	CONST	\$0	\$3,010	\$(
L ength (mi): 8.40	thence east to Junction K-10/US-40/US-	2020		CONST	\$0	\$755	\$(
	59	2021		CONVERSION	\$3,010	\$0	\$(
Project Type: Road	Work Type: Surfacing	2021	Credit	OTHER	\$0	(\$3,010)	\$(
Date Added: 10/2019	Last Revised: 8/20						
Description: Surfacing	Comments: The CONST Phase will utilize AC in the amount of \$2,317 K with conversion to NHPP in 2021.						
	This project is tied to project 705 (KA-3634-08) for letting purposes.						
		Federal Total:	3,010	Non-Fede Total:	ral _{\$756}	Grand Total:	\$3,766



Project Sponsor: KDOT	Project Name: US-40 in Douglas County (1R Project)	Fund FFY Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 137	KDOT #: KA-5542-01	2020 State	PE	\$0	\$1	\$0
		2020 State-AC	CONST	\$0	\$1,760	\$0
Length (mi): 10.91	Location: US-40: 0.15 miles East of the DG/SH county line east to Junction US-40/K-10	2020 State	CONST	\$0	\$440	\$0
		2021 STP	CONVERSION	\$1,760	\$0	\$0
Project Type: Road	Work Type: Mill/Overlay, Surfacing	2021 Credit	OTHER	\$0	(\$1,760)	\$0
Date Added: 2/2020	Last Revised: 2/2020					
Description:	Comments:					
Surfacing	Program addition as requested by Greg Schieber in 1R Project List.					
	Conversion to STP in 2021.					
		Federal Total: \$1,760	Non-Fede Total:	r al _{\$441}	Grand Total:	\$2,201
Project Sponsor: KDOT	Project Name: US-56 in Douglas County (1R Project)	Fund FFY Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 138	KDOT #: KA-5543-01	2020 State	PE	\$0	\$1	\$0
Leventh (mil) 12.20	Leasting USEC. OC/DC south line East to 0.22	2020 State-AC	CONST	\$0	\$1,425	\$0
Length (mi): 12.30	Location: US-56: OS/DG county line East to 0.22 miles west of Junction US-59/US-56	2020 State	CONST	\$0	\$357	\$0
		2021 STP	CONVERSION	\$1,425	\$0	\$0
Project Type: Road	Work Type: Mill/Overlay, Surfacing	2021 Credit	OTHER	\$0	(\$1,425)	\$0
Date Added: 2/2020	Last Revised:					
Description:	Comments:					
Surfacing	Program addition as requested by Greg Schieber in 1R Project List.					
	Conversion to STP in 2021.					



Project Sponsor: KDOT	Project Name: South Lawrence Trafficway	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 200	KDOT #: K-8392-04	2016	State	PE/R/U/C/CE	\$0	\$37,123	\$0
		2016	STP/NHPP	PE	\$7,337	\$0	\$0
Length (mi): 5.96	Location: SO Junct US 59/K10 E to K10	2016	STP/NHPP	UTIL	\$12,640	\$0	\$0
		2016	STP/NHPP	CONST/CE	\$129,000	\$0	\$C
Project Type: Road	Work Type: Special Work, Right of Way						
Date Added: 10/2014	Last Revised: 10/2018						
Description:	Comments:						
Linked to Project L-8392-01.	Revise the source of local match for the use of toll credits- Fed amount of NHPP/STP reflect change to toll credits. State \$ reflects non-participating amount of project.						
		Federal Total:	\$148,977	Non-Fede Total:	eral _{\$37,123}	Grand Total:	\$186,100
Project Sponsor: Lawrence	Project Name: 19th St, Naismith to Iowa Reconstruction	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 203	KDOT #:	2017	Local	PE	\$0	\$0	\$300
	KDOT #:		Local Local	PE CONST	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$300 \$3,475
	KDOT #: Location: 19th St from Iowa St to Naismith Dr						
Length (mi): 0.50							
Length (mi): 0.50 Project Type: Road	Location: 19th St from Iowa St to Naismith Dr						
Length (mi): 0.50 Project Type: Road Date Added: 10/2014	Location: 19th St from Iowa St to Naismith Dr Work Type: Grading, Surfacing						
	Location: 19th St from Iowa St to Naismith DrWork Type: Grading, SurfacingLast Revised: 2/2019						



Project Sponsor: Douglas County	Project Name: Route 1055 at North 700 Curve	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 208	KDOT #:	2020		ROW	\$0	\$0	\$50
	Leasting Doute 10FF from 72F North to 1670	2020	Local	UTIL	\$0	\$0	\$200
Length (mi): 0.75	Location: Route 1055 from 725 North to 1670 East	2020	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$1,800
Project Type: Road	Work Type: Rehabilitation, Bridge Replacement						
Date Added: 10/2014	Last Revised: 6/2020						
Description: Roadside safety improvements, replace two bridges and one culvert.	Comments:						
		Federal Total:	\$0	Non-Feder Total:	r al \$2,050	Grand Total:	\$2,050
Project Sponsor: Lawrence	Project Name: Wakarusa Reconstruction, Research Pkwy to 23rd St	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 214	KDOT #:	2021		PE	\$0	\$0	\$400
Length (mi): 1.47	Location: Wakarusa: Research Pkwy to 23rd St	2022	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$6,000
Project Type: Road	Work Type: Reconstruction						
Date Added: 10/2016	Last Revised: 8/2019						
Description:	Comments:						
Reconstruction of street will include subgrade treatment, surfacing, storm sewer, geometric improvements, and multimodal facilities.	Extend project limits from 18th St to 23rd St.						
		Federal Total:	\$0	Non-Feder Total:	ral _{\$6,400}	Grand Total:	\$6,400



Project Sponsor: Douglas County	Project Name:	Rte 458 Improv., E1500 to E1600, & Rte 1055, N940 to N1000	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 219	KDOT #:		2020		ROW	\$0	\$0	\$12
Length (mi): 1.60	Location, 515	00 to E1600 & N940 to N1000		Local	UTIL	\$0	\$0	\$250
Length (mi): 1.60	Location: E15	00 10 21000 & 1940 10 11000	2021	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$2,30
Project Type: Road	Work Type: G	rading, Surfacing						
Date Added: 8/2015	Last Revised:	8/2019						
Description: Construct paved shoulders; replace narrow bridges and culvert; flatten roadside slope.	Comments:							
			Federal Total:	\$0	Non-Fed Total:	eral _{\$2,675}	Grand Total:	\$2,675
Project Sponsor: Douglas County	Project Name:	Route 1055 Improvements, N1000 to N1180	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 220	KDOT #:		2019	Local	ROW	\$0	\$0	\$66
		00 to N1100	2020	Local	UTIL	\$0	\$0	\$150
Length (mi): 1.80	Location: N10	00 to N1180	2020	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$2,316
Project Type: Road	Work Type: G	rading, Surfacing						
Date Added: 8/2015	Last Revised:	6/2020						
		-,						
	Comments:							
Description: Construct paved shoulders; replace	Comments:							
Description: Construct paved shoulders; replace narrow culvert; flatten roadside slope.	Comments:							



Project Sponsor: Lawrence	Project Name: 19th Street Reconstruction, O'Connell Rd to Harper St	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 229	KDOT #:	2019	Local	PE	\$0	\$0	\$275
		2019	Local	ROW	\$0	\$0	\$50
Length (mi): 0.54	Location: O'Connell Rd to Harper St	2020	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$1,100
		2021	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$2,20
Project Type: Road	Work Type: Reconstruction						
Date Added: 8/2015	Last Revised: 8/2019						
Description:	Comments:						
Reconstruct & tie into venture park, roundabout at 19th & Harper, construct sidewalk & bike lanes.	PE/ROW are each estimated at 10% of Construction Costs.						
		Federal Total:	\$0	Non-Feder Total:	ral _{\$3,625}	Grand Total:	\$3,625
Project Sponsor: Lawrence	Project Name: Queens Road, 6th to North City Limits	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 230	KDOT #:	2015	Local	ROW	\$0	\$0	\$600
		2016	Local	PE	\$0	\$0	\$200
Length (mi): 0.75	Location: 6th St to North City Limits	2016 2020		PE CONST	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$200 \$3,000
Length (mi): 0.75	Location: 6th St to North City Limits Work Type: Reconstruction						
Length (mi): 0.75 Project Type: Road							
Length (mi): 0.75 Project Type: Road Date Added: 8/2015	Work Type: Reconstruction						
Length (mi): 0.75 Project Type: Road Date Added: 8/2015 Description: Construct Queens Road, roundabout at Overland Dr & Queens Rd, construct	Work Type: Reconstruction Last Revised: 8/2019						
Length (mi): 0.75	Work Type: Reconstruction Last Revised: 8/2019 Comments: PE/ROW are each estimated at 10% of Construction	2020					



Project Sponsor: Lawrence	Project Name: 23rd Street Reconstruction, Haskel to East City Limits	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 234	KDOT #: KA-4473-01	2020	Local	PE	\$0	\$0	\$500
		2021	State	CONST	\$0	\$2,000	\$
Length (mi): 2.01	Location: Haskell Ave to East City Limits	2021	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$2,50
		2022	State	CONST	\$0	\$2,000	\$
Project Type: Road	Work Type: Reconstruction	2022	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$2,75
Date Added: 8/2015	Last Revised: 2/2017						
Description:	Comments:						
Reconstruction of street including pavement, storm sewer, geometric improvements and multimodal facilities.	PE/ROW are each estimated at 10% of Construction Costs. Project is part of the 23rd St KDOT/City turn back agreement. This agreement, including final project(s) cost share, has not been finalized to date.						
		Federal Total:	\$0	Non-Feder Total:	r al \$9,750	Grand Total:	\$9,750
Project Sponsor: KDOT	Project Name: SLT/K-10 West Leg in Douglas County	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 236	KDOT #: KA-3634-02	2019	State	PE	\$0	\$4,200	\$(
Length (mi): 1.20	Location: I-70/K10 Junction South to 3500 ft N of K-10/US-40 Junction						
Project Type: Road/Interchange	Work Type: Interchange/Reconstruction						
Date Added: 1/2016	Last Revised: 2/2019						
Description:	Comments:						
Add 2 lanes to existing 2 lanes for a 4 lane freeway section. This will include reconstruction of existing interchange @ KTA (I-70). A mainline ORT (open road tolling) toll plaza on K-10 is included in reconstruction of interchange @ I-70.	Project is authorized for PE only. The total project cost, including all work phases, is estimated at \$83,031,969. This estimate should be used for planning purposes only.						
		Federal Total:	\$0	Non-Feder Total:	ral _{\$4,200}	Grand Total:	\$4,200



Project Name: SLT/K-10 West Leg in Douglas County	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
KDOT #: KA-3634-03	2018	State	PE	\$0	\$10,800	4
Location: 3500 ft N of K-10/US-40 Junction,to K- 10 US-59/Iowa St Junction						
Work Type: Interchange/Reconstruction						
Last Revised: 10/2018						
Comments:						
Project is authorized for PE only. The total project cost, including all work phases, is estimated at \$164,465K. This estimate should be used for planning purposes only.						
	Federal Total:	\$0	Non-Fede Total:	eral \$10,800	Grand Total:	\$10,800
Project Name: US-56 Improvements: Eisenhower St to 1st St	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
KDOT #: KA-4365-01	2020	State	CONST	\$0	\$1,675	4
Location: Eisenhower St to 1st St						
Work Type: Other/Reconstruction						
Last Revised: 8/2020						
Comments:						
Revising fiscal year from 2019 to 2020.						
	Location: 3500 ft N of K-10/US-40 Junction,to K- 10 US-59/Iowa St Junction Work Type: Interchange/Reconstruction Last Revised: 10/2018 Comments: Project is authorized for PE only. The total project cost, including all work phases, is estimated at \$164,465K. This estimate should be used for planning purposes only. Project Name: US-56 Improvements: Eisenhower E to 1st St KDOT #: KA-4365-01 Location: Eisenhower St to 1st St Work Type: Other/Reconstruction Last Revised: 8/2020 Comments:	KDOT #:NA-3634-03Location:3500 ft N of K-10/US-40 Junction,to K- 10 US-59/Iowa St JunctionWork Type:Interchange/ReconstructionLast Revised:10/2018Comments:Project is authorized for PE only. The total project cost, including all work phases, is estimated at \$164,465K. This estimate should be used for planning purposes only.Federal Total:Project Name:US-56 Improvements: Eisenhower St to 1st StFFY 2020KDOT #:KA-4365-012020Location:Eisenhower St to 1st St2020Work Type:Other/ReconstructionLast Revised:8/2020 Comments:	KDOT #:NA-3034-03Location:3500 ft N of K-10/US-40 Junction, to K- 10 US-59/Iowa St JunctionWork Type:Interchange/ReconstructionLast Revised:10/2018Comments:Project is authorized for PE only. The total project cost, including all work phases, is estimated at \$164,465K. This estimate should be used for planning purposes only.Federal Total:Project Name:US-56 Improvements: Eisenhower St to 1st St\$0Project Name:US-56 Improvements: Eisenhower St to 1st StFFYKDOT #:KA-4365-012020StateLocation:Eisenhower St to 1st StVork Type:Other/ReconstructionLast Revised:8/20208/2020State	KDOT #: NA-3634-03 Location: 3500 ft N of K-10/US-40 Junction, to K- 10 US-59/Iowa St Junction Work Type: Interchange/Reconstruction Last Revised: 10/2018 Comments: Project is authorized for PE only. The total project cost, including all work phases, is estimated at \$164,465K. This estimate should be used for planning purposes only. Federal \$0 Non-Federation Project Name: US-56 Improvements: Eisenhower St to 1st St FFY Fund Source 2020 Phase 2020 KDOT #: KA-4365-01 CONST CONST CONST Location: Eisenhower St to 1st St Vork Type: Other/Reconstruction Last Revised: 8/2020 Comments: Eisenhower St to 1st St	KDOT #: KA-3634-03 Location: 3500 ft N of K-10/US-40 Junction, to K- 10 US-59/Iowa St Junction Work Type: Interchange/Reconstruction Last Revised: 10/2018 Comments: Project is authorized for PE only. The total project cost, including all work phases, is estimated at \$164,465K. This estimate should be used for planning purposes only. Federal \$0 Non-Federal \$10,800 Project Name: US-56 Improvements: Eisenhower St to 1st St FFY Fund Source CONST \$10,800 Mort Type: Other/Reconstruction Location: Eisenhower St to 1st St 2020 State CONST \$0 Work Type: Other/Reconstruction Last Revised: 8/2020 Comments: Eisenhower St to 1st St US-56 Improvements: Eisenhower St to 1st St Eisenhower St St St Eisenhower St St	KDOT #: KA-3634-03 Location: 3500 ft N of K-10/US-40 Junction, to K-10 US-59/Iowa St Junction Work Type: Interchange/Reconstruction Last Revised: 10/2018 Comments: Project is authorized for PE only. The total project cost, including all work phases, is estimated at \$164,465K. This estimate should be used for planning purposes only. Project Name: US-56 Improvements: Eisenhower St to 1st St Froject Name: US-56 Improvements: Eisenhower St to 1st St KDOT #: KA-4365-01 Location: Eisenhower St to 1st St Work Type: Other/Reconstruction Last Revised: 8/2020 Comments: 8/2020



Project Sponsor: Douglas County	Project Name: Bridge 0964-1000 replacement	FFY Source	DI	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 248	KDOT #:	2020 Local	PE	\$0	\$0	\$110
		2020 Local	ROW	\$0	\$0	\$15
Length (mi): 0.15	Location: E 1000 Road 0.4 mi. South of Route 458	2021 Local	UTIL	\$0	\$0	\$75
	06	2021 Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$1,600
Project Type: Bridge	Work Type: Bridge Replacement, Grading					
Date Added: 10/2018	Last Revised:					
Description:	Comments:					
Replace load posted, fracture critical bridge	Funding amounts assume all Local funding. Will apply for Off System bridge replacement Federal funding.					
		Federal \$0 Total:	Non-Federa Total:	al _{\$1,800}	Grand Total:	\$1,800
Project Sponsor: KDOT	Project Name: Repair bridge #071 on K-10 in Douglas County	Fund FFY Source		Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 249	KDOT #: KA-5683-01	2020 State	PE	\$0	\$24	\$0
		2020 State-A	C PE	\$0	\$96	\$0
Length (mi): 0.00	Location: K-10; Bridge #071 over the Wakarusa River located 7.05 miles east of the K-	2021 State	CONST	\$0	\$264	\$0
	10/U.S. 59 junction in Douglas County	2021 State-A	C CONST	\$0	\$1,056	\$0
Project Type: Bridge	Work Type: Bridge Rehabilitation	2022 NHPP	CONVERSION	\$1,152	\$0	\$0
		2022 Credit	OTHER	\$0	(\$1,152)	\$0
Date Added: 8/2020	Last Revised:					
Date Added: 8/2020 Description: Machine preparation, replace joints, patch deck, polymer overlay, pier repair and paint bearings, girder ends and crossframes.	Comments:					
		Federal \$1,152	Non-Federa	al _{\$288}	Grand	



Project Sponsor: KDOT	Project Name: Intersection of US-40/K-10 at	Fund FFY Source	Phase F	ederal	State	Local
TID # . 202	Wakarusa/27th Street Signal	2019 State	PE	\$0	\$42	\$0
TIP #: 302	KDOT #: KA-5208-01	2019 State	ROW	\$0	\$25	\$0
Length (mi): 0.00	Location: 4 Dynamic Message Boards along US-	2020 State	UTIL	\$0	\$13	\$0
	40/K-10 near the US-40/K-10 & Wakarusa/27th St Signal	2020 State	CONST	\$0	\$447	\$0
Project Type: Intersection	Work Type: Signal					
Date Added: 4/2019	Last Revised: 10/2019					
Description: Upgrade signal with interconnected- flashing beacons for US-40/K-10. Determine que locations for 4 DMS boards. 1) btwn Kasold & US-59 WB, 2) btwn Bob Billings & Clinton Pkwy, 3) east of US-59 for WB traffic, and 4)	Comments:					
btwn Clinton Pkwy & Wakarusa/27th EB		Federal \$0 Total:	Non-Federa Total:	al \$527	Grand Total:	\$527
Project Sponsor: Independence Inc.	Project Name: Independence Inc., FTA 5311 Operating & Capital	Fund FFY Source		ederal	State	Local
TIP #: 401	KDOT #:	2019 Local	OPERATING	\$0	\$0 +22	\$33
Length (mi):	Location: Lawrence	2019 State	OPERATING	\$0	\$22	\$0
		2019 5311	OPERATING	\$55	\$0 ¢0	\$0 ¢9
Project Type: Transit/Paratransit	Work Type: Operating/Capital	2020 Local 2020 5311	CAPITAL	\$0 \$31	\$0 \$0	\$8 ¢0
rioject type. Indisignal add ansie	Work Type. Operating/capital	2020 5511 2020 Local	OPERATING	\$31 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$36
Date Added: 10/2014	Last Revised: 6/2020	2020 Eocal 2020 State	OPERATING	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$24	\$30 \$0
Description:	Comments:	2020 5311	OPERATING	\$0 \$60	چ \$0	\$0 \$0
Operating and Capital	2019 – 5311 Admin- \$32, Local Admin- \$8; 2020 –	2020 5511 2021 Local	OPERATING	\$00 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$50
	5311 Admin- \$31, Local Admin- \$8; 2021 – 5311		OPERATING	\$0 \$0	\$33	پ \$0
		2021 State				ΨΟ
	Admin- \$41, Local Admin- \$10.	2021 State 2021 5311				\$0
		2021 State 2021 5311 2021 Local	OPERATING	\$83 \$0	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$8



(Includes the Program of Projects for the Lawrence Transit System)

Project Sponsor: Lawrence Transit	Project Name: Lawrence Transit Operating Funds	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 402	KDOT #: 5307 FTA	2012	Local	OPERATING	\$0	\$0	\$13
		2012	5307	OPERATING	\$50	\$0	\$0
Length (mi): 0.00	Location: Lawrence	2014	Local	OPERATING	\$0	\$0	\$18
		2014	5307	OPERATING	\$100	\$0	\$0
Project Type: Transit/Paratransit	Work Type: Operating	2015	Local	OPERATING	\$0	\$0	\$18
		2015	5307	OPERATING	\$100	\$0	\$0
Date Added: 10/2014	Last Revised: 10/2016	2016	Local	OPERATING	\$0	\$0	\$1,524
Description:	Comments:	2016	5307	OPERATING	\$2,135	\$0	\$0
Operating and Preventative Maintenance activities.	Federal Transit 5307 Funds. Not included in fiscal contraint. Previous unspent balances. Linked with project #412.						

		Federal Total:	\$2,385	Non-Federal Total:	\$1,573	Grand Total:	\$3,958
Project Sponsor: Lawrence Transit	Project Name: Lawrence Transit Capital Assistance	e FFY	Fund Source	Phase Fe	deral	State	Local
TIP #: 403	KDOT #: PT-0701	2018	State-PT	CAPITAL	\$0	\$500	\$0
		2018	State-PT	OPERATING	\$0	\$699	\$0
Length (mi):	Location: Lawrence	2019	State-PT	CAPITAL	\$0	\$500	\$0
		2019	State-PT	OPERATING	\$0	\$759	\$0
Project Type: Transit/Paratransit	Work Type: Special Work	2020	State-PT	CAPITAL	\$0	\$1,000	\$0
		2020	State-PT	OPERATING	\$0	\$297	\$0
Date Added: 10/2014	Last Revised: 6/2020	2021	State-PT	CAPITAL	\$0	\$500	\$0
Description:	Comments:	2021	State-PT	OPERATING	\$0	\$759	\$0
Comprehensive Transportation Program. Purchase of replacement paratransit vehicles.	StateCTP						
		Federal Total:	\$0	Non-Federal Total:	\$5,014	Grand Total:	\$5,014



Project Sponsor: Lawrence Transit	Project Name: Lawrence Multi-Modal Center	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 410	KDOT #:	2018	Local	PE	\$0	\$0	\$1,000
		2019	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$1,000
Length (mi):	Location: Lawrence	2020	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$2,000
Project Type: Transit/Paratransit	Work Type: Capital						
Date Added: 7/2016	Last Revised: 10/2018						
Description: Transit Facility	Comments:						
		Federal Total:	\$0	Non-Feder Total:	al _{\$4,000}	Grand Total:	\$4,000
Project Sponsor: Lawrence Transit	Project Name: Lawrence Transit Operating Funds	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 412	KDOT #: 5307 FTA	2017	Local	OPERATING	\$0	\$0	\$1,524
		2017	5307	OPERATING	\$2,135	\$0	\$0
Length (mi):	Location: Lawrence		Local	OPERATING	\$0	\$0	\$1,690
		2018	5307	OPERATING	\$2,237	\$0	\$0
Project Type: Transit/Paratransit	Work Type: Operating	2019	Local	OPERATING	\$0	\$0	\$1,860
		2019	5307	OPERATING	\$2,447	\$0	\$0
Date Added: 10/2014	Last Revised: 2/2020	2020	Local	OPERATING	\$0	\$0	\$2,354
Description:	Comments:	2020	5307	OPERATING	\$2,523	\$0	\$0
Operating, Preventative Maintenance, and Program Adminstration activities.	Federal Transit 5307 Funds. 2021-2022 amounts are projected. Linked with project #402.	2021	Local	OPERATING	\$0	\$0	\$2,424
	projected. Einked with project π +02.	2021	5307	OPERATING	\$2,649	\$0	\$0
		2022	Local	OPERATING	\$0	\$0	\$2,627
		2022	5307	OPERATING	\$2,852	\$0	\$0
		Federal Total:	\$14,843	Non-Feder Total:	al _{\$12,479}	Grand Total:	\$27,322



	Bert Nash Community Mental	Project Name: Bert Nash, FTA 5310 Capital Funds	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 415	Health Center	KDOT #:	2019	Local	CAPITAL	\$0	\$0	\$19
an ath (mi). 0.00	0		2019	5310	CAPITAL	\$78	\$0	\$0
.ength (mi): 0.00	U	Location: Lawrence						
Project Type: Tra	ansit/Paratransit	Work Type: Capital						
Date Added: 8/201	18	Last Revised:						
Description:		Comments:						
Purchase a Ramp Min Full Size Van (\$57).	ni-Van (\$40) and a	80/20 federal/local split						
			Federal		Non-Feder	al	Grand	
			Total:	\$78	Total:	al \$19	Total:	\$97
Project Sponsor:	Lawrence	Project Name: 19th & Iowa St Ped/Bike Underpass	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
IP #: 505		KDOT #: TE-0459-01	2018		PE	\$0	\$0	
	2		2018	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$1,181
	2	KDOT #:TE-0459-01Location:19th St & Iowa St (US-59) Intersection		Local				\$120 \$1,181 \$0
.ength (mi): 0.02 Project Type: Tra	2 ansportation ternatives		2018	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$1,181
Length (mi): 0.02 Project Type: Tra Alt	ansportation ternatives	Location: 19th St & Iowa St (US-59) Intersection	2018	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$1,181
Length (mi): 0.02 Project Type: Tra Alt Date Added: 12/20 Description:	ansportation ternatives 017	Location: 19th St & Iowa St (US-59) IntersectionWork Type: Pedestrian & Bicycle Work	2018	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$1,181
Project Type: Tra	ansportation ternatives 017	Location: 19th St & Iowa St (US-59) IntersectionWork Type: Pedestrian & Bicycle WorkLast Revised: 8/2018	2018	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$1,181



Project Sponsor: Lawrence	Project Name: Lawrence Safe Routes to School TA Phase 2	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 506	KDOT #: U-2334-01	2018	Local	PE	\$0	\$0	\$65
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2019	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$100
Length (mi): 1.68	Location: Various sidewalk along 6 streets in Lawrence	2019	ТА	CONST	\$394	\$0	\$0
Project Type: Transportation Alternatives	Work Type: Pedestrian & Bicycle Work						
Date Added: 12/2017	Last Revised: 10/2018						
Description:	Comments:						
New sidewalk construction along designated Safe Routes to School. Driveway and sidewalk ramp construction will be included for ADA compliance.	This project will give a space for children to walk and/or bike to school along designated safe routes. The SRTS funding is an 80/20 (KDOT/City) cost share with KDOT contributing a max of \$394,128.						
		Federal Total:	\$394	Non-Federa Total:	al _{\$165}	Grand Total:	\$559
Project Sponsor: Lawrence	Project Name: Various Lawrence Sidewalk/Bike/Ped/ADA Ramps	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 507	KDOT #:	2019	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$600
		2019	CDBG	CONST	\$303	\$0	\$0
Length (mi): 0.00	Location: Lawrence	2020	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$750
		2021	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$1,000
Project Type: Pedestrian & Bicycle	Work Type: Pedestrian & Bicycle Work	2022	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$1,000
Date Added: 10/2018	Last Revised:						
Description:	Comments:						
Pedestrian, Bicycle, and ADA ramp projects throughout Lawrence including EJ areas. Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) is a competitive HUD program administered by the Lawrence Development Services Department.	The grouped CDBG only includes awarded competitive funding. CONST funding may be used for additional work tasks. Local funding matching TA project specific funding will be listed separately.						
Development Services Department.	Funding based on July 10, 2018 CIP.	Federal Total:	\$303	Non-Federa Total:	al _{\$3,350}	Grand Total:	\$3,653



Project Sponsor: Lawrence	Project Name: Lawrence Loop Shared-Use Paths 8th St to 11th St & 29th St	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 508	KDOT #: TE-0470-01	2019	Local	PE	\$0	\$0	\$100
		2021	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$300
Length (mi): 0.60	Location: 8th St to 11th St along abandoned railroad spur & 29th St Haskell Rail Trail to Haskell Ave	2021	ТА	CONST	\$480	\$0	\$0
Project Type: Transportation Alternatives	Work Type: Capital, Pedestrian/Bicycle, Safety						
Date Added: 4/2019	Last Revised: 6/2020						
Description:	Comments:						
Design and construction of 10' shared- use path	KDOT TA Project; FY20; \$480,000 (max.)						
		Federal Total:	\$480	Non-Feder Total:	ral _{\$400}	Grand Total:	\$880
Project Sponsor: Baldwin City	Project Name: West Baldwin Pedestrian/Bike Connectivity Project	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 509	KDOT #: TE-0472-01	2020		CONST	\$0	\$0	\$235
	La sella su Tabasa di su si Olla Chasta/Elas Ch	2020	TA	CONST	\$580	\$0	\$0
Length (mi): 0.50	Location: Intersection of 8th Street/Elm St proceeding westerly to USD 348 property (+/- one-half mile)						
Project Type: Transportation Alternatives	Work Type: Pedestrian & Bicycle Work						
Date Added: 4/2019	Last Revised: 5/2019						
Description:	Comments:						
The Elm Street pedestrian sidewalk is to run along the south side of Elm St from Baker University (8th St) across existing Midland Railway Crossing and connecting to existing sidewalk on USD 348 property. A bulb out will be included	Sidewalks will be improved to ADA standards. The project is to provide connectivity for the community at-large, and more specifically, to provide elementary students with a safe, pedestrian route.						
at 8th St.		Federal Total:	\$580	Non-Feder Total:	r al \$235	Grand Total:	\$815



Project Sponsor: Eudora	Project Name: Bluejacket Trail: Phase II	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 510	KDOT #: TE-0480-01	2019	Local	PE	\$0	\$0	\$34
		2020	Local	UTIL	\$0	\$0	\$55
Length (mi): 1.34	Location: 1201 Cedar St. to 1702 Cypress Ct. in Eudora	2020	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$87
	Eddora	2020	TA	CONST	\$284	\$0	\$(
Project Type: Transportation Alternatives	Work Type: Pedestrian & Bicycle Work						
Date Added: 4/2019	Last Revised: 8/2019						
Description: Design, engineer, and construct an ADA- compliant, approximately 7,050' long, 8' wide shared-use path.	Comments:						
		Federal Total:	\$284	Non-Feder Total:	r al \$176	Grand Total:	\$460
Project Sponsor: Baldwin City	Project Name: West Baldwin Pedestrian/Bike Connectivity Project Phase 2 & 3	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 511	KDOT #: TE-0472-02	2021	Local	PE	\$0	\$0	\$149
	Lessting - Factorida of Midland Dailway from	2021	Local	UTIL	\$0	\$0	\$10
Length (mi): 0.57	Location: East side of Midland Railway from Santa Fe Depot to Highway 56		Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$253
	,	2021	TA	CONST	\$1,013	\$0	\$(
Project Type: Transportation Alternatives	Work Type: Pedestrian & Bicycle Work						
Date Added: 6/2020	Last Revised: 6/2020						
Description:	Comments:						
Phase 2 of West Baldwin Pedestrian/Bike Connectivity Project. The project includes a 10' shared use path from Highway 56 to the Santa Fe Depot south of High Street.	The project will include ADA accessibility, decorative lighting, benches, decorative separation fence and trash receptacles. New storm drainage improvments. Max \$1,012,960 TA funding. Linked with #509 (the first phase of this project).						
		Federal Total:	\$1,013	Non-Feder Total:	ral _{\$412}	Grand Total:	\$1,425



Project Sponsor: Lawrence	Project Name: Lawrence Loop Shared Use Path - Peterson Rd to Michigan St	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 512	KDOT #: TE-0490-01	2020	Local	PE	\$0	\$0	\$210
		2021	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$395
Length (mi): 0.61 Project Type: Transportation	Location: Begins at the intersection of Peterson Rd and N Iowa St, ends at Michigan Rd 1/2 mile north of W 2nd St Work Type: Capital, Pedestrian & Bicycle Work,	2021	ТА	CONST	\$1,070	\$0	\$0
Alternatives	Safety						
Date Added: 6/2020	Last Revised: 6/2020						
Description:	Comments:						
Design and construction of 10' shared use path.	KDOT TA project, FY 21; \$1,070,00 (max)						
		Federal Total:	\$1,070	Non-Fede Total:	ral \$605	Grand Total:	\$1,675
Project Sponsor: Lawrence	Project Name: Lawrence Safe Routes to School Phase 2 (2021)	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 513	KDOT #: U-2372-01	2020	Local	PE	\$0	\$0	\$50
		2021	Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$110
L ength (mi): 0.90	Location: Various sidewalk along 6 streets & 1 crossing improvement	2021	ТА	CONST	\$400	\$0	\$0
Project Type: Transportation Alternatives	Work Type: Pedestrian & Bicycle Work, Safety						
Date Added: 6/2020	Last Revised: 6/2020						
Description:	Comments:						
New sidewalk construction along designated Safe Routes to School. Driveway and sidewalk ramp construction will be included for ADA compliance.	This project will give a space for children to walk and/or bike to school along designated safe routes. The SRTS funding is an 80/20 (KDOT/City) cost share with KDOT contributing a max of \$400,000.						
		Federal Total:	\$400	Non-Fede Total:	ral _{\$160}	Grand Total:	\$560



(Includes the Program of Projects for the Lawrence Transit System)

TIP #: 600 KDOT #: 2018 State-AC CONST \$0 \$500 Length (mi): Location: Contion: 2019 HSIP CONVERSION \$500 \$0 Project Type: Safety Work Type: 2019 State-AC CONST \$0 \$500 \$00 Date Added: 10/2014 Last Revised: 10/2018 Convertision \$500 \$500 \$00 Safety improvements along railroads in region as identified by KDOT. These funds correct or improve identified safety hazards at public railway-highway crossing in a proactive manner. Comments: This is a master project that would include any safety projects selected in region. State funds (SF) 2021 State-AC CONST \$0 \$500 \$00	Project Sponsor: KDOT	Project Name: Various Railroad Safety Projects in the Region	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
Length (mi):Location:2019HSIPCONVERSION\$500\$0Project Type:SafetyWork Type:2019CreditOTHER\$0(\$500)2019Date Added:10/2014Last Revised:10/20182020CreditOTHER\$0(\$500)Description:Safety improvements along railroads in trigding sidentified by KDOT.Last Revised:10/20182020State-ACCONVERSION\$500\$0Date Added:10/2014Last Revised:10/20182020State-ACCONVERSION\$500\$02020Description:This is a master project that would include any safety projects selected in region. State funds (SF) 2020 HSIP, 2020 SF to 2021 HSIP, 2021 SF to 2022HSIPCONVERSION\$500\$020212021HSIPCONVERSION\$500\$02022CreditOTHER\$0\$500\$02021HSIP, 2020 SF to 2021 HSIP, 2021 SF to 2022HSIPCONVERSION\$500\$0\$0\$0\$02021HSIPCONVERSION\$500\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0Project Sponsor:Douglas CountyProject Name: Route 458 HRRFund\$0 <td>TTP #: 600</td> <td>U U</td> <td>2018</td> <td></td> <td>CONST</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>\$500</td> <td>\$</td>	TTP #: 600	U U	2018		CONST	\$0	\$500	\$
Project Type: Safety Work Type: Last Revised: 10/2018 CONVERSION \$500 \$2020 State-AC CONST \$0 \$500 Date Added: 10/2014 Last Revised: 10/2018 Comments: This is a master project that would include any safety projects selected in region. State funds (SF) 2020 State-AC CONST \$0 \$500 2020 State-AC CONST \$0 \$500 \$0 2021 Gredit OTHER \$0 \$500 2020 State-AC CONST \$0 \$500 2020 State-AC CONST \$0 \$500 2021 HSIP CONVERSION \$500 \$0 2021 HSIP CONVERSION \$500 \$0 2021 State-AC CONST \$0 \$500 2021 HSIP CONVERSION \$500 \$0 2021 State-AC CONST \$0 \$500 2021 HSIP CONST \$0 \$500 2021 HSIP CONVERSION \$500 \$0 2022 State-AC CONST \$0 \$10 2023 HSIP CONVERSION \$500 \$0 20			2019	HSIP	CONVERSION	\$500	\$0	\$
Project Type: Safety Work Type: Date Added: 10/2014 Description: Last Revised: 10/2018 Safety improvements along railroads in region as identified by KDOT. These manners. This is a master project that would include any safety projects selected in region. State funds (SF) Conversions: 2018 SF to 2019 HSIP, 2019 SF to 2021 HSIP CONVERSION \$500 \$00 Yunds may be used to benefit the region as identified by KDOT. These manner. \$00 \$100 \$500 \$00 Yunds may be used to benefit the region. State funds (SF) Conversions : 2018 SF to 2021 HSIP, 2021 SF to 2021 HSIP. 2022 C Credit OTHER \$0 \$500 \$00 Yunds may be used to benefit the region. State funds (SF) Conversions : 2018 SF to 2021 HSIP, 2021 SF to 2021 HSIP. 2022 SF to 2021 HSIP. 2022 SF to 2021 HSIP. 2022 C Credit OTHER \$0 \$500 \$00 Yunds may be used to benefit the region. State funds (SF) Conversions : 2018 SF to 2021 HSIP. 2021 SF to 2022 HSIP. 2020 SF to 2021 HSIP. 2022 C Credit OTHER \$0 \$500 \$00 Yunds may be used to benefit the region. State funds (SF) Conversions : 2018 SF to 2021 HSIP. 2021 SF to 2022 HSIP. 2000 Referent Total: \$2,000 Non-Federal Total: \$2,000 Project Sponsor: Douglas County Project Name: Route 458 HRRR	Length (mi):	Location:	2019	Credit	OTHER	\$0	(\$500)	\$
Date Added: 10/2014 Description: Safety improvements along railroads in region. State funds (SF) conversions: 2018 SF to 2019 HSIP, 2019 SF to 2021 HSIP, 2019 SF to 2022 HSIP, 2019 SF to 2022 HSIP, 2020 SF to 2021 HSIP, 2019 SF to 2022 HSIP, 2020 SF to 2021 HSIP, 2021 SF to 2022 HSIP 2020 Credit OTHER \$0 \$500 Yow working to correct or improve identified by KOOT. These identified safety hazards at public railway-highway crossing in a proactive manner. This is a master project that would include any safety projects selected in region. State funds (SF) Conversions: 2018 SF to 2021 HSIP, 2021 SF to 2022 HSIP CONST \$0 \$500 Project Sponsor: Douglas County Project Name: Route 458 HRRR Non-Federal to Could rotal: \$0 \$\$0 TIP #: 601 KDOT #: C-4857-01 Location: Rte 458 E 1800 Rd. to E 2000 Rd. Project Name: Route 458 HRRR FFY Fund Total: \$0 \$0 \$\$0 Project Type: Safety Work Type: Grading Location: Rte 458 E 1800 Rd. to E 2000 Rd. CONST \$0 \$\$0 \$\$1 Date Added: 1/2016 Last Revised: 8/2019 Comments: Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs. S18 HSIP CONST \$\$0 \$\$1 Date Added: 1/2016			2019	State-AC	CONST	\$0	\$500	\$
Date Added: 10/2014 Description: Last Revised: 10/2018 Safety improvements along railroads in region as identified by KDOT. These funds may be used to benefit the region by working to correct or improve duthifed safety hazards at public conversions: 2018 SF to 2019 HSIP, 2021 SF to 2021 HSIP, 2021 SF to 2022 HSIP CONST \$0 \$500 2020 Credit OTHER \$0 \$500 \$0 2021 State-AC CONST \$0 \$500 \$0 2021 State AC CONST \$0 \$500 \$0 2020 State AC CONST \$0 \$500 \$0 2021 State-AC CONST \$0 \$500 \$0 2020 State AC CONST \$0 \$500 \$0 2021 State AC CONST \$0 \$500 \$0 2022 Credit OTHER \$0 \$500 \$0 2021 State AC CONST \$0 \$0 \$0 2022 State AC CONST \$0 \$0 \$2,000 Project Sponsor: Douglas County KDOT #: C-4857-01 Coa	Project Type: Safety	Work Type:	2020	HSIP	CONVERSION	\$500	\$0	\$
Description: Safety improvements along railroads in region as identified by KDOT. These truds may be used to benefit the region as identified by KDOT. These truds may be used to benefit the region so identified safety hazards at public railway-highway crossing in a proactive manner. Comments: This is a master project that would include any safety projects selected in region. State funds (SF) Conversions: 2018 ST to 2019 HSIP, 2020 SF to 2021 HSIP, 2021 SF to 2022 HSIP. HSIP CONVERSION \$500 \$0 2021 HSIP CONVERSION \$500 \$0 2021 HSIP CONVERSION \$500 \$0 2022 Credit OTHER \$0 (\$500) \$0 2020 HSIP, 2020 SF to 2021 HSIP, 2021 SF to 2022 HSIP CONVERSION \$500 \$0 2021 HSIP, 2020 SF to 2021 HSIP, 2021 SF to 2022 HSIP CONVERSION \$500 \$0 2022 Credit OTHER \$0 (\$500) \$0 \$0 \$2020 Project Sponsor: Douglas County Project Name: Route 458 HRRR FFY Fund Source Phase Federal State Local 11P #: 601 Location: Rte 458 E 1800 Rd. to E 2000 Rd. 2017 Local Rel \$0 \$2 2018 Local </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>2020</td> <td>Credit</td> <td>OTHER</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>(\$500)</td> <td>\$</td>			2020	Credit	OTHER	\$0	(\$500)	\$
Safety improvements along railroads in region as identified by KDOT. These funds (SP) funds may be used to benefit the region by working to correct or improve identified safety hazards at public railway-highway crossing in a proactive manner. This is a master project that would include any safety projects selected in region. State funds (SP) 2021 State-AC CONST \$0 \$500 Project Sponsor: Douglas County Project Name: Route 458 HRRR State Conversion: 2018 \$2,000 Non-Federal Total: \$0 \$500 Project Sponsor: Douglas County Project Name: Route 458 HRRR Federal Total: \$2,000 Non-Federal Source \$10 \$500 \$202 Project Sponsor: Douglas County Project Name: Route 458 HRRR FFY Fund \$0 \$500 \$200 Itempth (mi): 2.00 Location: Rte 458 E 1800 Rd. to E 2000 Rd. PE \$0 \$0 \$2017 Local PE \$0 \$0 2017 Local CONST \$0 \$0 \$2017 Local PE \$0 \$0 \$2017 Local \$0 \$0 \$2017 Local \$0 \$0 \$2018 Local \$10 \$0 \$3 Project Type: Safety Work Type: Grading Last Revised: \$2019 \$2018 HSIP CONST \$10 \$3 \$10 \$3 Date Added: 1/2016 Last Revised: \$2019 Comments: Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs. <td>Date Added: 10/2014</td> <td>Last Revised: 10/2018</td> <td>2020</td> <td>State-AC</td> <td>CONST</td> <td>\$0</td> <td>\$500</td> <td>\$</td>	Date Added: 10/2014	Last Revised: 10/2018	2020	State-AC	CONST	\$0	\$500	\$
region as identified by KDOT. These funds may be used to benefit the region by working to correct or improve identified safety hazards at public railway-highway crossing in a proactive manner. Project Sponsor: Douglas County TIP #: 601 Length (mi): 2.00 Project Type: Safety Date Added: 1/2016 Date Added: 1/2016 Dat	-	Comments:	2021	HSIP	CONVERSION	\$500	\$0	\$
funds may be used to benefit the region by working to correct or improve identified safety hazards at public railway-highway crossing in a proactive manner. Conversions: 2018 SF to 2019 HSIP, 2019 SF to 2020 HSIP, 2020 SF to 2021 HSIP, 2021 SF to 2022 HSIP. 2021 State-AC CONST \$0 \$500 2022 HSIP. Conversions: 2018 SF to 2019 HSIP, 2019 SF to 2020 HSIP, 2020 SF to 2021 HSIP, 2021 SF to 2022 HSIP. State-AC CONVERSION \$500 \$0 Project Sponsor: Douglas County Project Name: Route 458 HRRR Federal Total: \$2,000 Non-Federal Total: \$0 \$2,000 Project Sponsor: Douglas County Project Name: Route 458 HRRR FFY Fund Source Phase Federal State Local \$2,000 TIP #: 601 Location: Rte 458 E 1800 Rd. to E 2000 Rd. PE \$0 \$0 \$3 Project Type: Safety Work Type: Grading 2018 HSIP CONST \$0 \$0 \$3 Date Added: 1/2016 Last Revised: 8/2019 Comments: Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance remove roadside trees to improve Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance Such Short \$0 \$1			2021	Credit	OTHER	\$0	(\$500)	\$
by working to correct or improve identified safety hazards at public railway-highway crossing in a proactive manner. 2020 HSIP, 2020 SF to 2021 HSIP, 2021 SF to 2022 HSIP 2022 HSIP 2022 HSIP 2022 Credit OTHER \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$2,000 \$2,000 \$0 \$2,000 \$0 \$2,000 \$0 \$2,000 \$0 \$2,000 \$0 \$0 \$2,000 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0			2021	State-AC	CONST	\$0	\$500	\$
Federal Total: \$2,000 Non-Federal Total: \$0 Grand Total: \$2,000 Project Sponsor: Douglas County Project Name: Route 458 HRRR Fry Fund Source Phase Federal \$2,000 \$2012 Credit \$1 \$2,000 Grand Total: \$2,000 Project Sponsor: Douglas County Project Name: Route 458 HRRR FFY Fund Source Phase Federal State Local In project Sponsor: Douglas County Location: Rte 458 E 1800 Rd. to E 2000 Rd. Piceral ROW \$0 \$0 \$2017 Local ROW \$0 \$0 \$2017 Local CONST \$0 \$0 \$3 Project Type: Safety Work Type: Grading Grading 2018 Local CONST \$753 \$0 \$1 Description: Comments: Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs. Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs. Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs. Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs. <td< td=""><td>by working to correct or improve</td><td>2020 HSIP, 2020 SF to 2021 HSIP, 2021 SF to 2022</td><td>2022</td><td>HSIP</td><td>CONVERSION</td><td>\$500</td><td>\$0</td><td>\$</td></td<>	by working to correct or improve	2020 HSIP, 2020 SF to 2021 HSIP, 2021 SF to 2022	2022	HSIP	CONVERSION	\$500	\$0	\$
Federal Total: \$2,000 Non-Federal Total: \$0 Grand Total: \$2,000 Project Sponsor: Douglas County Project Name: Route 458 HRRR Fry Fund Source Phase Federal State Local TIP #: 601 KDOT #: C-4857-01 Cotation: Rte 458 E 1800 Rd. to E 2000 Rd. Place \$0 \$0 \$2017 Local ROW \$0 \$0 \$2017 Local UTIL \$0 \$0 \$2017 Local UTIL \$0 \$0 \$2017 Local UTIL \$0 \$0 \$3 \$2018 Local CONST \$0 \$1		HSIP.	2022	Credit	OTHER	\$0	(\$500)	4
FFYSourcePhaseFederalStateLocalTIP #: 601KDOT #: C-4857-012016LocalPE\$0\$0\$0Length (mi): 2.00Location: Rte 458 E 1800 Rd. to E 2000 Rd.2017LocalROW\$0\$0\$3Project Type:SafetyWork Type: Grading2018LocalCONST\$0\$0\$3Date Added:1/2016Last Revised:8/20192018HSIPCONST\$753\$0Date Added:1/2016Lost Revised:8/2019Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs.Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs.Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs.Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs.Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs.Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs.Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs.Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs.Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs.Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs.Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs.Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs.Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs.No				\$2,000		eral _{\$0}		\$2,000
In F #: 601KDOT #: C-4857-01Length (mi): 2.00Location: Rte 458 E 1800 Rd. to E 2000 Rd.Project Type: SafetyWork Type: Grading2017 LocalUTIL\$0\$0\$2018 LocalCONST2018 HSIPCONST\$753\$0Date Added: 1/2016Last Revised: 8/2019Description:Comments:Replace nine narrow culverts and remove roadside trees to improveNon-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs.	Project Sponcoru Douglas County	Project Name: Douto 458 HPDP		Fund				
Length (mi): 2.00Location: Rte 458 E 1800 Rd. to E 2000 Rd.2017 LocalROW\$0\$0\$0\$0\$0\$02017 LocalUTIL\$0	Project Sponsor: Douglas County	Froject Name. Route 450 HKKK	FFY		Phase	Federal	State	Local
2017 Local 011L \$0 \$0 \$3 2018 Local CONST \$0 \$0 \$1 2018 Local CONST \$0 \$0 \$1 2018 Local CONST \$0 \$0 \$1 2018 HSIP CONST \$753 \$0 \$0 Date Added: 1/2016 Last Revised: 8/2019 Soments:		-		Source				
Project Type: Safety Work Type: Grading 2018 HSIP CONST \$753 \$0 Date Added: 1/2016 Last Revised: 8/2019 Comments: Example Comments:	TIP #: 601	KDOT #: C-4857-01	2016	Source Local	PE	\$0	\$0	\$
Date Added: 1/2016 Last Revised: 8/2019 Description: Comments: Replace nine narrow culverts and remove roadside trees to improve Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs.	TIP #: 601	KDOT #: C-4857-01	2016 2017	Source Local Local	PE ROW	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$0	¥ 3\$
Description: Comments: Replace nine narrow culverts and remove roadside trees to improve Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs.	TIP #: 601	KDOT #: C-4857-01	2016 2017 2017	Source Local Local Local	PE ROW UTIL	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0	\$ \$3 \$37
Replace nine narrow culverts and remove roadside trees to improveNon-participating pavement rehab and entrance reconstruction not included in listed costs.	TIP #: 601 Length (mi): 2.00	KDOT #: C-4857-01 Location: Rte 458 E 1800 Rd. to E 2000 Rd.	2016 2017 2017 2018	Source Local Local Local	PE ROW UTIL CONST	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	Local \$ \$3 \$37 \$12 \$
remove roadside trees to improve reconstruction not included in listed costs.	TIP #: 601 Length (mi): 2.00 Project Type: Safety	KDOT #: C-4857-01 Location: Rte 458 E 1800 Rd. to E 2000 Rd. Work Type: Grading	2016 2017 2017 2018	Source Local Local Local	PE ROW UTIL CONST	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$ \$3 \$37 \$12
	TIP #: 601 Length (mi): 2.00 Project Type: Safety Date Added: 1/2016	 KDOT #: C-4857-01 Location: Rte 458 E 1800 Rd. to E 2000 Rd. Work Type: Grading Last Revised: 8/2019 	2016 2017 2017 2018	Source Local Local Local	PE ROW UTIL CONST	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$ \$3 \$37 \$12
Federal HIGGE Non-Federal HIGGE Grand	TIP #: 601 Length (mi): 2.00 Project Type: Safety Date Added: 1/2016 Description: Replace nine narrow culverts and remove roadside trees to improve	 KDOT #: C-4857-01 Location: Rte 458 E 1800 Rd. to E 2000 Rd. Work Type: Grading Last Revised: 8/2019 Comments: Non-participating pavement rehab and entrance 	2016 2017 2017 2018	Source Local Local Local	PE ROW UTIL CONST	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$0 \$0 \$0 \$0	\$ \$3 \$37 \$12

Total:

\$1,293

Total:

Total:



Project Sponsor: Douglas County	Project Name: Local Road Safety Plan	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 602	KDOT #:	2019			\$0	\$0	\$4
Length (mi):	Location: County road network	2019	HRRR		\$36	\$0	\$C
Project Type: Safety	Work Type: Safety						
Date Added: 1/2016	Last Revised: 10/2018						
Description: Safety study of county road network (major collectors) to identify needed safety improvements.	Comments:						
		Federal Total:	\$36	Non-Feder Total:	ral _{\$4}	Grand Total:	\$40
Project Sponsor: Lawrence	Project Name: Massachusetts St, 11th to 14th St Reconfigure Lanes	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 604	KDOT #: U-0225-01		Local	PE	\$0	\$0	\$14
Length (mi): 0.37	Location: Massachusetts St: 11th St to 14th St		Local	CONST	\$0	\$0	\$50
Project Type: Safety	Work Type: Mill/Overlay	2018	HSIP	CONST	\$100	\$0	\$C
Date Added: 8/2017	Last Revised: 8/2018						
Description: Reconfigure lanes for center turn lane and bike amenities.	Comments:						
		Federal Total:	\$100	Non-Feder Total:	ral _{\$64}	Grand Total:	\$164



Project Sponsor: KDOT	Project Name: South Lawrence Trafficway Widening Study	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
IP #: 700	KDOT #: KA-3634-01	2018	State	PE	\$0	\$4,000	
		2018	State	ROW	\$0	\$175	
.ength (mi): 8.40	Location: K-10 West Leg in Douglas County US 59/K10/Iowa to I70/KTA/K10 Junction						
Project Type: Other	Work Type: Road Widening						
Date Added: 2/2019	Last Revised:						
Description:	Comments:						
Study K10 becoming a 4-lane freeway. This project will review project area issues, current transportation needs, impacts on current projects, interchange configurations and reevaluate the	This project will include traffic analysis, full field survey and Public involvement. This project will include selected R/W acquisition as parcels become available.						
environmental documentation for the	Project is authorized for PE & ROW ONLY.						
preferred improvements.		Federal Total:	50	Non-Fede Total:	eral \$4,175	Grand Total:	\$4,175
Project Sponsor: KDOT	Project Name: Traffic Study of KTEN Crossing Entrance and US-59	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
FIP #: 704	KDOT #: KA-5203-01	2019	State	PE	\$0	\$25	
L ength (mi): 0.00	Location: Study the proposed KTEN Crossing Entrance and US-59 Intersection						
Project Type: Road	Work Type: Planning						
Date Added: 4/2019	Last Revised:						
Date Added: 4/2019 Description: Study the proposed KTEN Crossing Entrance and US-59 Intersection.							



Project Sponsor: KDOT	Project Name: K-10 (US-40) & 27th St/Waka. Intersection Improvements	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 705	KDOT #: KA-3634-08	2019		PE	\$0	\$379	\$
Longth (mi), 0.00	Leasting K 10 and 27th St (Makanusa	2020	HSIP	CONST	\$748	\$0	\$
Length (mi): 0.00	Location: K-10 and 27th St/Wakarusa	2020	State	CONST	\$0	\$83	\$
Project Type: Other	Work Type: Geometric Improvement						
Date Added: 8/2019	Last Revised: 8/2020						
Description:	Comments:						
Intersection improvement: add EB right turn lane on K-10, extend WB turn lane on K-10, add a NB right turn lane, revise pavement markings, mill & overlay north and south intersection legs & reconstruct sidewalk crossing.	This project is tied to project 136 (KA-5485-01) for letting purposes.						
Permanent seeding & signage.		Federal Total:	\$748	Non-Fede Total:	ral _{\$462}	Grand Total:	\$1,210
Project Sponsor: Lawrence	Project Name: Traffic Signal Coordination Study	FFY	Fund Source	Phase	Federal	State	Local
TIP #: 706	KDOT #:	2019	Local	PE	\$0	\$0	\$30
Length (mi): 0.00	Location: Arterial streets						
Project Type: Intersection	Work Type: Operating, Planning, Signal						
Date Added: 8/2019	Last Revised:						
Description:	Comments:						
Provide a comprehensive update to the traffic signal system, optimize operations and development of a long-term city-wide traffic signal coordination and timing program.	Project will improve traffic mobility and efficiency.						
		Federal Total:	\$0	Non-Fede Total:	ral _{\$300}	Grand Total:	\$300