**Transportation Disadvantaged Population**

**Why was this Analysis Conducted?**
Evaluating transportation disadvantage provides a data driven approach to understanding distribution of transportation networks, services, and projects. This data provides opportunities to create real choices in where people live and how people travel for all of our residents, across age, race and ethnicity, economic means, and ability.

**What Does it Mean to be Transportation Disadvantaged?**
People who are transportation disadvantaged experience challenges achieving basic access to services, employment, and/or education. Not only do socio-demographic characteristics factor into being transportation disadvantaged, but also where people live and what travel options are available to them.

**Transportation Disadvantaged Population Analysis**
An analysis was conducted for Transportation Disadvantaged Populations using several census data sets. These population characteristics include:

- **Low-moderate income households**
  - People who have low-moderate income may not have the resources to own/maintain a personal vehicle, which on average costs $6,060 - $8,743 per year, and need to rely on public transit or others to provide rides.¹

- **Minorities**
  - There is a link between ethnicity and pedestrian deaths. Minority populations are less likely to own a vehicle and more likely to walk, bicycle and/or use public transportation, resulting in greater exposure to the dangers of the street.²

- **Households with an individual with a mobility disability**
  - There is a legacy of infrastructure and systems that do not accommodate people with impaired mobility, thus causing people to have to expend more energy, time, and money to access services.³

- **People who have less than a high school education**
  - Having less than a high school education is linked to a variety of negative health impacts, including limited employment prospects, low wages, and poverty.⁴

---


• **Single parent households**
  - Single parent households typically earn significantly less than two parent households and children in single parent households are more likely to live in poverty. Further, 33% of single parent families in 2013 were “food insecure”.5

• **Households without vehicles**
  - When people do not have a personal vehicle they must walk, bike, use public transportation, or obtain a ride from others. This puts people in potential conflict with auto drivers unless the proper infrastructure is provided.

• **Youth (under 18) and Senior citizens (65+)**
  - One of the most significant non-driving populations are those who are too young to be licensed to drive. Even being old enough to obtain a driver’s license does not guarantee access to a vehicle, especially for youth from low-income families. Low-income children face an increased exposure to many risk factors since affordable housing is often located along high-speed, high-volume streets, in neighborhoods that lack parks, playgrounds and access to other safe places to play. The number of people over 65 is continually growing. Alternatives to driving are necessary for seniors as they lose the ability to drive due to either sight or mobility losses.6

The data sets referenced above are from the U.S. Census Bureau. The low-moderate income data is from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development’s Community Development Block Grant (HUD’s CDBG) 2015 American Community Survey 5-year Estimates, while the rest of the data is from the 2018 American Community Survey 5-year Estimates.

The Lawrence average was found for each topic except for income, which was not categorized based on a Lawrence average because income data is provided by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Department and has a specific Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) threshold (areas with at least 51% of income considered low-moderate income).

One point was assigned if the block group was equal to or 20 percent higher than the Lawrence average. Two points were attributed if the block group was 20 percent to 40 percent of the Lawrence average. And three points were assigned if the block group was greater than 40 percent higher than the Lawrence average. This is shown in the table on the next page.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 point</th>
<th>2 points</th>
<th>3 points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Equal to or 20% higher than...</td>
<td>20% - 40% higher than...</td>
<td>Greater than 40% higher than...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Lawrence average</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As the income data didn’t have a Lawrence average the 27 block groups were split into three groups to match the point thresholds. The group with the highest amount of low-moderate income people received three points.

---


6 Natural Resources Services - A Division of Redwood Community Action Agency. (2006). Humboldt county transportation-disadvantaged populations report (pp. 16-20). [http://www.nrsrcaa.org/path/pdfs/HumCoTDPReport5_06.pdf](http://www.nrsrcaa.org/path/pdfs/HumCoTDPReport5_06.pdf)
### Lawrence Transportation Disadvantaged Population Scoring Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Topic</th>
<th>Lawrence Average</th>
<th>1 Point</th>
<th>2 Points</th>
<th>3 Points</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Low-moderate CDBG income</td>
<td></td>
<td>51.0% to 62.4%</td>
<td>62.5% to 78.9%</td>
<td>Greater than 79.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minority</td>
<td>14.7%</td>
<td>14.7% to 34.6%</td>
<td>34.7% to 54.6%</td>
<td>Greater than 54.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households with an individual with a mobility disability</td>
<td>19.7%</td>
<td>19.7% to 39.6%</td>
<td>39.7% to 59.6%</td>
<td>Greater than 59.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than high school diploma</td>
<td>4.6%</td>
<td>4.6% to 24.5%</td>
<td>24.6% to 44.5%</td>
<td>Greater than 44.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single parent household</td>
<td>32.0%</td>
<td>32.0% to 51.9%</td>
<td>52.0% to 71.9%</td>
<td>Greater than 72.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households without vehicles</td>
<td>7.6%</td>
<td>7.6% to 27.5%</td>
<td>27.6% to 47.5%</td>
<td>Greater than 47.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Youth (under 18)</td>
<td>16.3%</td>
<td>16.3% to 36.2%</td>
<td>36.3% to 56.2%</td>
<td>Greater than 56.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior citizens (65+)</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td>10.5% to 30.4%</td>
<td>30.5% to 50.4%</td>
<td>Greater than 50.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: 2018 American Community Survey 5-year Estimates for all metrics except income and 2015 American Community Survey 5-year Estimates for CDBG Income. Updated on 9/9/2021 to include 53 block groups.

Transportation Disadvantaged Population Scores which are higher correlates to additional scrutiny necessary to ensure these populations are not disproportionately affected. The map on the next page shows the final result of the points assigned to different areas.

To learn more about the Transportation Disadvantaged Population analysis visit: [https://lawrenceks.org/mpo/transportation-disadvantaged](https://lawrenceks.org/mpo/transportation-disadvantaged).
Transportation Disadvantaged Population scoring is comprised of US Census Bureau American Community Survey (ACS) data and Community Development Block Group (CDBG) income data. 2018 ACS data includes: people who have a disability, people who have less than a high school education, minorities, single parent households, zero vehicle households, and population under 18 and over 65. Higher points indicate a greater deviation from the regional average.
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** DISCLAIMER NOTICE**

The map is provided “as is” without warranty or any representation of accuracy, timeliness or completeness. The burden for determining accuracy, completeness, timeliness, merchantability and fitness for or the appropriateness for use rests solely on the requester. The City of Lawrence makes no warranties, express or implied, as to the use of the map. There are no implied warranties of merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose. The requester acknowledges and accepts the limitations of the map, including the fact that the map is dynamic and is in a constant state of maintenance, correction and update.
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