2011 CITY OF LAWRENCE DIRECTIONFINDER[®] SURVEY -Final Report -

Submitted to: City of Lawrence, Kansas

By



725 W. Frontier Olathe, KS 66061 (913) 829- 1215

May 2011

Contents

<u>Main Report</u> Executive Sum	1 mary
	111111 <i>y</i> 1
Section 1: Cha	orts and Graphs6
Section 2: Ben	chmarking Data
Section 3: Imp	ortance-Satisfaction Analysis45
Section 4: Tab	ular Data and Survey Instrument59
Dubliah ad Can	
<u>Published Sepa</u> Appendix A: (GIS MapsA-1
Appendix B: (Open-Ended CommentsB-1
	Crosstabulations by Years Lived in the City, Students of the University and Home Owners versus RentersC-1
	Crosstabulations by Race/Ethnicity, Spanish, Hispanic or Latino Heritage and GenderD-1

2011 DirectionFinder® Survey Executive Summary

Purpose and Methodology

ETC Institute administered a survey to residents of the City of Lawrence during the spring of 2011. The purpose of the survey was to assess satisfaction with the quality of City services and to gather input about priorities for the community. This was the second community survey administered by the City of Lawrence; the first survey was administered in the spring of 2007.

A seven-page survey was mailed to a random sample of 2,500 households in the City of Lawrence. Approximately seven days after the surveys were mailed, residents who received the survey were contacted by phone. Those who indicated that they had <u>not</u> returned the survey were given the option of completing it by phone. Of the households that received a survey, 471 completed the survey by phone and 836 returned it by mail for a total of 1,307 completed surveys (52% response rate). The results for the random sample of 1,307 households have a 95% level of confidence with a precision of at least +/-2.7%.

The percentage of "don't know" responses has been excluded from many of the graphs shown in this report to facilitate valid comparisons of the results from Lawrence with the results from other communities in the *DirectionFinder*® database. Since the number of "don't know" responses often reflects the utilization and awareness of city services, the percentage of "don't know" responses has been provided in the tabular data section of this report. When the "don't know" responses have been excluded, the text of this report will indicate that the responses have been excluded with the phrase "who had an opinion".

This report contains:

- a summary of the methodology for administering the survey and major findings
- charts showing the overall results for most questions on the survey
- benchmarking data that shows how the results for Lawrence compare to other cities
- importance-satisfaction analysis
- tables that show the results for each question on the survey
- a copy of the survey instrument.

The following items are published separately as appendices:

- GIS maps that show the results of selected questions on a map of the City
- open-ended comments
- crosstabulations that show the results for selected demographic variables

Perceptions of the Community

Most residents have a positive perception of the City. Eighty-seven percent (87%) of those surveyed who had an opinion were "very satisfied" or "satisfied" with the livability of their neighborhood. Eighty-two percent (82%) of residents were satisfied with the overall quality of life in the City; only 5% of those surveyed were dissatisfied with the quality of life in the City.


Overall Satisfaction with Major City Services

Based upon the combination of "very satisfied" and "satisfied" responses, residents were **most satisfied** with the following major categories of city services: fire and emergency medical services (93%), trash and yardwaste services (85%), police services (83%), and the City's parks and recreation system (81%). Residents were **least satisfied** with the maintenance of streets, sidewalks and infrastructure (28%), the quality of planning/development services (39%) and flow of traffic and congestion management in the City (40%).

Composite Performance Index. To objectively assess the change in overall satisfaction with city services from 2007 to 2011, ETC Institute developed a Composite Satisfaction Index for the City. The Composite Satisfaction Index is derived from the mean rating given for the 14 major categories of city services that were assessed in both 2007 and 2011. The index is calculated by dividing the mean rating from 2011 by the mean rating from 2007 and then multiplying the result by 100.

The chart to the right shows the Composite Satisfaction Index from 2007 and 2011 for the City of Lawrence, all U.S. cities, and cities in the Kansas City metro area. While the Composite Customer

Satisfaction Index for the City Lawrence of improved by 5 points from 2007 to 2011, the U.S. average declined by 5 points, and the Kansas City average declined by 4 points. City leaders in Lawrence are to be commended for their efforts to sustain high levels of service during a period in which national and regional attitudes toward local government have generally become more negative.



Even though overall satisfaction improved, there were significant decreases in some of the specific areas that were assessed on the survey. The most significant increases and decreases among **all** items that were assessed on the survey are listed on the following page.

Most Significant INCREASES. The most significant increases in satisfaction from 2007 to 2011 were:

- o the beautification of Downtown Lawrence
- o feeling of safety in Downtown Lawrence after dark
- efforts of the City to prepare against emergencies
- o flow of traffic and congestion management

Most Significant DECREASES. The most significant decreases in satisfaction from 2007 to 2011 were:

- o hours that business in Downtown Lawrence are open
- o types of retail/entertainment establishments in Downtown Lawrence
- snow removal on neighborhood streets
- o availability of information about parks and recreation programs

Top Priorities For Improvement

The major categories of City services that residents thought should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years, based on the percentage of residents who selected the item as one of their top three choices, were:

- the maintenance of streets, sidewalks and infrastructure (69%)
- the flow of traffic and congestion management (46%)
- quality of planning and developmental services (21%)

SATISFACTION WITH SPECIFIC CITY SERVICES

Public Safety

Most Lawrence residents felt safe during the day. Ninety-four percent (94%) of the residents surveyed, *who had an opinion*, felt safe (ratings of 4 or 5 on a 5-point scale) walking in their neighborhood during the day, 91% felt safe in Downtown Lawrence during the day and 86% felt safe in City parks during the day. Residents felt least safe in City parks after dark (30%).

Police Services

• Seventy-nine percent (79%) of those surveyed, *who had an opinion*, were satisfied with the professionalism of police officers, 75% were satisfied with how quickly police respond to emergencies and 60% were satisfied with parking enforcement services.

Fire and Emergency Medical Services

Ninety-two percent (92%) of those surveyed, *who had an opinion*, were satisfied with the professionalism of the City's EMS personnel, 91% were satisfied with the overall quality of fire services, 88% were satisfied with the response time of emergency medical services personnel and 88% were satisfied with the medical care provided by EMS personnel.

Parks and Recreation

Eighty-eight percent (88%) of those surveyed, *who had an opinion*, were satisfied with the appearance/cleanliness of City parks, 81% were satisfied with the condition of equipment and facilities at City parks, 78% were satisfied with cleanliness of public areas in the City and 78% were satisfied with the variety of recreation programs offered by the City. The parks and recreation service that residents felt should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years was the number of walking and biking trails.

Maintenance and Public Works

Seventy-four percent (74%) of those surveyed, *who had an opinion*, were satisfied with snow removal on major City streets, 73% were satisfied with the maintenance of street signs and 56% were satisfied with the adequacy of City street lighting. Residents were least satisfied with the timeliness of street maintenance repairs (29%). The public works services that residents felt should receive the most emphasis over the next two years were: the condition of major city streets, the timeliness of street maintenance repairs and the condition of neighborhood streets.

Solid Waste Disposal Services

Ninety-three percent (93%) of the residents surveyed, *who had an opinion*, were satisfied with residential trash services and 88% were satisfied with yardwaste collection services. Residents were least satisfied with household hazardous waste disposal service (56%).

Water and Wastewater Utilities

Ninety percent (90%) of those surveyed, *who had an opinion*, were satisfied with reliability of water services, 83% were satisfied with the water pressure in their home, and 77% were satisfied with the clarity of their drinking water. Residents were least satisfied with the value they received for their water/wastewater utility rates (60%). The water/wastewater service that residents felt should receive the most emphasis over the next two years was the taste of drinking water.

Transportation

Fifty-five percent (55%) of the residents surveyed, *who had an opinion*, were satisfied (rating of 4 or 5 on a 5-point scale) with the ease of north/south travel in Lawrence and 54% were satisfied with availability of pedestrian paths in Lawrence. Residents were least satisfied with the availability of bicycle lanes (33%). The transportation issue that residents felt should receive the most increase in emphasis over the next two years was the ease of east/west travel in the City.


Perceptions of Downtown


Eighty-seven percent (87%) of the residents surveyed, *who had an opinion*, were satisfied with how safe they felt Downtown during the day; 83% of those surveyed were satisfied with the beautification of Downtown and 76% were satisfied with the appearance and cleanliness of Downtown Lawrence. Residents were least satisfied with the availability of parking Downtown (42%).


Other Findings


- **Contact with City Employees.** Eighty-eight percent (88%) of the residents that had called or visited the City with a question, problem or complaint indicated that the City employees they came in contact with were courteous and polite and 87% indicated that the City employees were professional.
- Usage of City Services. The percent of residents who had used various services provided by the City of Lawrence during the past year are listed below:
 - o 86% Visited a City Park
 - o 72% Visited the City Library
 - o 72% Used a walking/biking trail or path
 - o 72% Visited City recreation facilities
 - o 36% Enrolled in City recreation programs
 - o 35% Received assistance from Police Department
 - o 19% Used public transportation services
 - o 18% Received assistance from the Fire Medical Department


Section 1: Charts and Graphs




























by percentage of respondents who rated the it	em as a	1 to 5 o	n a 5-point sca	ale (<u>excludir</u>	ng don't	<u>knows</u>)
Professionalism of police officers		0%	49%		15%	% <mark>6%</mark>
How quickly police respond to emergencies	27% 48%			22%	6 <mark>3%</mark>	
Parking enforcement services	Parking enforcement services 11% 49%		33	8%	8%	
School Resource Officers	16%		40%	38	%	6%
requency police officers patrol your neighborhood	11%		44%	. 30%		14%
How well the City enforces traffic offenses			42%	32%		13%
Animal control services			42%	37%	6	9%
Efforts by police to prevent crime			42%	389	%	8%
City's crime prevention education efforts	14%		40%	38%	, ,	9%
a)%	20%	40%	60%	80%	100%



































































































































Section 2: Benchmarking Data

DirectionFinder® Survey Year 2011 Benchmarking Summary Report

Overview

ETC Institute's DirectionFinder® program was originally developed in 1999 to help community leaders in Kansas and Missouri use statistically valid community survey data as a tool for making better decisions.

Since November 1999, the survey has been administered in more than 210 cities and counties in 43 states. This report contains benchmarking data from two sources: (1) a national survey that was administered by ETC Institute in the Spring of 2010 to a random sample of more than 4,300 residents in the continental United States and (2) surveys that have been administered by ETC Institute in 31 communities in the Kansas City metro area between January 2008 and April 2011. Some of the Kansas and Missouri communities represented in this report include:

- Ballwin, Missouri
- Blue Springs, Missouri
- Bonner Springs, Kansas
- Butler, Missouri
- Columbia, Missouri
- Excelsior Springs, Missouri
- Gardner, Kansas
- Grandview, Missouri
- Harrisonville, Missouri
- Independence, Missouri
- Johnson County, Kansas
- Kansas City, Missouri
- Lawrence, Kansas
- Leawood, Kansas
- Lee's Summit, Missouri
- Lenexa, Kansas

- Liberty, Missouri
- Merriam, Kansas
- Mission, Kansas
- O'Fallon, Missouri
- Olathe, Kansas
- Overland Park, Kansas
- Platte City, Missouri
- Pleasant Hill, Missouri
- Raymore, Missouri
- Riverside, Missouri
- Roeland Park, Kansas
- Rolla, Missouri
- Shawnee, Kansas
- Spring Hill, Kansas
- Unified Government of Kansas City and Wyandotte County


National Benchmarks. The first set of charts on the following pages show how the overall results for Lawrence compare to the average level of satisfaction for the metropolitan Kansas City area and the national average based on the results of a survey that was administered by ETC Institute to a random sample of 4,377 U.S. residents.


Kansas/Missouri Benchmarks. The second set of charts show the highest, lowest, and average (mean) levels of satisfaction in the 31 communities, some of which are listed above, for more than 30 areas of service delivery. The mean rating is shown as a vertical line, which indicates the average level of satisfaction in the Kansas and Missouri communities. The actual ratings for Lawrence are listed to the right of each chart. The dot on each bar shows how the results for Lawrence compare to the other communities in the states of Kansas and Missouri where the DirectionFinder® survey has been administered.


National Benchmarks (All Communities)


Note: The benchmarking data contained in this report is protected intellectual property. Any reproduction of the benchmarking information in this report by persons or organizations not directly affiliated with the City of Lawrence is not authorized without written consent from ETC Institute.


Source: 2011 ETC Institute









































Section 3: Importance-Satisfaction Analysis

Importance-Satisfaction Analysis Lawrence, Kansas

Overview

Today, city officials have limited resources which need to be targeted to activities that are of the most benefit to their residents. Two of the most important criteria for decision making are (1) to target resources toward services of the <u>highest importance to residents</u>; and (2) to target resources toward those services where <u>residents are the least satisfied</u>.

The Importance-Satisfaction (IS) rating is a unique tool that allows public officials to better understand both of these highly important decision making criteria for each of the services they are providing. The Importance-Satisfaction rating is based on the concept that cities will maximize overall satisfaction among residents by emphasizing improvements in those service categories where the level of satisfaction is relatively low and the perceived importance of the service is relatively high.

Methodology

The rating is calculated by summing the percentage of responses for items selected as the most important services for the City to emphasize over the next two years. This sum is then multiplied by 1 minus the percentage of respondents that indicated they were positively satisfied with the City's performance in the related area (the sum of the ratings of "4" and "5" on a 5-point scale excluding "don't knows"). "Don't know" responses are excluded from the calculation to ensure that the satisfaction ratings among service categories are comparable. [IS=Importance x (1-Satisfaction)].

Example of the Calculation. Respondents were asked to identify the major categories of city services they thought should receive the most emphasis over the next two years. Sixty-nine percent (69%) ranked *the maintenance of streets, sidewalks and infrastructure* as the most important service to emphasize over the next two years.

With regard to satisfaction, *the maintenance of streets, sidewalks and infrastructure* was ranked fourteenth overall with 28% rating *the maintenance of streets, sidewalks and infrastructure* as a "4" or a "5" on a 5-point scale excluding "don't know" responses. The I-S rating for *the maintenance of streets, sidewalks and infrastructure* was calculated by multiplying the sum of the most important percentages by 1 minus the sum of the satisfaction percentages. In this example, 69% was multiplied by 72% (1-0.28). This calculation yielded an I-S rating of 0.4968, which was first out of fourteen major service categories.

The maximum rating is 1.00 and would be achieved when 100% of the respondents select an activity as one of their top choices to emphasize over the next two years and 0% indicate that they are positively satisfied with the delivery of the service.

The lowest rating is 0.00 and could be achieved under either one of the following two situations:

- if 100% of the respondents were positively satisfied with the delivery of the service
- if none (0%) of the respondents selected the service as one of the three most important areas for the City to emphasize over the next two years.

Interpreting the Ratings

Ratings that are greater than or equal to 0.20 identify areas that should receive significantly more emphasis over the next two years. Ratings from .10 to .20 identify service areas that should receive increased emphasis. Ratings less than .10 should continue to receive the current level of emphasis.

- Definitely Increase Emphasis (IS>=0.20)
- Increase Current Emphasis (0.10<=IS<0.20)
- *Maintain Current Emphasis (IS<0.10)*

The results for the 2011 Lawrence Community Survey are provided on the following pages.

Importance-Satisfaction Rating City of Lawrence OVERALL

Category of Service	Most Important %	Most Important Rank	Satisfaction %	Satisfaction Rank	Importance- Satisfaction Rating	I-S Rating Rank
Very High Priority (IS >.20)						
Maintenance of streets/sidewalks/infrastructure	69%	1	28%	14	0.4968	1
Flow of traffic/congestion management	46%	2	40%	12	0.2760	2
High Priority (IS .1020)						
Quality of planning/developmental services	21%	3	39%	13	0.1289	3
<u>Medium Priority (IS <.10)</u>						
Quality of City's public transportation services	18%	4	50%	10	0.0900	4
Effectiveness of City communication	15%	5	44%	11	0.0840	5
Quality of the City's stormwater mgt. system	11%	9	55%	9	0.0495	6
Efforts of City to prepare against emergencies	13%	7	71%	7	0.0377	7
Quality of police services	15%	6	83%	3	0.0255	8
Quality of the City's parks & recreation system	12%	8	81%	4	0.0228	9
Quality of City water utility services	8%	12	74%	6	0.0208	10
Quality of customer service provided by City	4%	13	64%	8	0.0144	11
Quality of City trash and yardwaste services	9%	10	85%	2	0.0135	12
Quality of City wastewater utility services	3%	14	75%	5	0.0075	13
Quality of fire and emergency medical services	8%	11	93%	1	0.0056	14

Note: The I-S Rating is calculated by multiplying the "Most Important" % by (1-'Satisfaction' %)

Most Important %:	The "Most Important" percentage represents the sum of the first, second, and third most important responses for each item. Respondents were asked to identify the items they thought should receive the most emphasis over the next two years.
Satisfaction %:	The "Satisfaction" percentage represents the sum of the ratings "4" and "5" excluding 'don't knows.' Respondents ranked their level of satisfaction with the each of the items on a scale of 1 to 5 with "5" being very satisfied and "1" being very dissatisfied.

Importance-Satisfaction Rating City of Lawrence Parks and Recreation

Category of Service	Most Important %	Most Important Rank	Satisfaction %	Satisfaction Rank	Importance- Satisfaction Rating	I-S Rating Rank
Medium Priority (IS <.10)						
Number of walking and biking trails	30%	1	67%	14	0.0990	1
Availability of gym space	14%	7	54%	18	0.0644	2
City's indoor recreation facilities	15%	5	61%	17	0.0585	3
Cleanliness of public areas in the City	20%	3	78%	3	0.0440	4
Condition of equipment/facilities at City parks	21%	2	81%	2	0.0399	5
Mowing and trimming along City streets	15%	6	75%	7	0.0375	6
Cost of parks and recreation programs/services	11%	9	71%	11	0.0319	7
Number of City parks	13%	8	77%	5	0.0299	8
City's outdoor recreation facilities	11%	10	73%	8	0.0297	9
Availability of youth sports field in Lawrence	9%	11	68%	12	0.0288	10
Outdoor aquatic facilities	8%	13	73%	9	0.0216	11
Appearance/cleanliness of City parks	18%	4	88%	1	0.0216	12
Availability of info about parks and rec. programs	7%	14	72%	10	0.0196	13
Quality of Eagle Bend Golf Course	5%	16	64%	15	0.0180	14
Variety of recreation programs	8%	12	78%	4	0.0176	15
Indoor aquatic facilities	6%	15	76%	6	0.0144	16
Availability of adult sports field in Lawrence	4%	17	68%	13	0.0128	17
City parks/recreation website	3%	18	64%	16	0.0108	18

Note: The I-S Rating is calculated by multiplying the "Most Important" % by (1-'Satisfaction' %)

Most Important %:

The "Most Important" percentage represents lhe sum of the first, second, and third most important responses for each item. Respondents were asked to identify the items they thought should receive the most emphasis over the next two years.

Satisfaction %:

The "Satisfaction" percentage represents the sum of the ratings "4" and "5" excluding 'don't knows.' Respondents ranked their level of satisfaction with the each of the items on a scale of 1 to 5 with "5" being very satisfied and "1" being very dissatisfied.

Importance-Satisfaction Rating City of Lawrence Maintenance and Public Works

Category of Service	Most Important %	Most Important Rank	Satisfaction %	Satisfaction Rank	Importance- Satisfaction Rating	I-S Rating Rank
<u>Very High Priority (IS >.20)</u>						
Condition of major City streets	58%	1	40%	8	0.3480	1
Timeliness of street maintenance repairs	49%	2	29%	9	0.3479	2
Condition of streets in your neighborhood	40%	3	44%	7	0.2240	3
High Priority (IS .1020)						
Snow removal on neighborhood streets	32%	4	49%	6	0.1632	4
Condition of sidewalks in your neighborhood	24%	5	51%	5	0.1176	5
Adequacy of City street lighting	23%	6	56%	3	0.1012	6
Medium Priority (IS <.10)						
Street sweeping services provided by the City	12%	8	51%	4	0.0588	7
Snow removal on major City streets	15%	7	74%	1	0.0390	8
Maintenance of street signs	3%	9	73%	2	0.0081	9

Note: The I-S Rating is calculated by multiplying the "Most Important" % by (1-'Satisfaction' %)

Most Important %:	The "Most Important" percentage represents the sum of the first, second, and third most important responses for each item. Respondents were asked to identify the items they thought should receive the most emphasis over the next two years.
Satisfaction %:	The "Satisfaction" percentage represents the sum of the ratings "4" and "5" excluding 'don't knows.' Respondents ranked their level of satisfaction with the each of the items on a scale of 1 to 5 with "5" being very satisfied and "1" being very dissatisfied.

Importance-Satisfaction Rating City of Lawrence

Water and Wastewater Utilities

Category of Service	Most Important %	Most Important Rank	Satisfaction %	Satisfaction Rank	Importance- Satisfaction Rating	I-S Rating Rank
High Priority (IS .1020)						
Taste of your drinking water	44%	1	68%	5	0.1408	1
Value received for water/wastewater utility rates	28%	2	60%	10	0.1120	2
<u>Medium Priority (IS <.10)</u>						
Smell of your drinking water	26%	3	70%	4	0.0780	3
Accuracy of your water bill	23%	5	66%	8	0.0782	4
City efforts to prevent water backups in your home	23%	4	66%	7	0.0782	5
Clarity of your drinking water	19%	6	77%	3	0.0437	6
City efforts to minimize odor by wastewater facilities	12%	8	67%	6	0.0396	7
City info about planned disruptions to service	11%	10	64%	9	0.0396	8
Water pressure in your home	11%	9	83%	2	0.0187	9
Reliability of your water service	14%	7	90%	1	0.0140	10

Note: The I-S Rating is calculated by multiplying the "Most Important" % by (1-'Satisfaction' %)

Most Important %:	The "Most Important" percentage represents the sum of the first, second, and third most important responses for each item. Respondents were asked to identify the items they thought should receive the most emphasis over the next two years.
Satisfaction %:	The "Satisfaction" percentage represents the sum of the ratings "4" and "5" excluding 'don't knows.' Respondents ranked their level of satisfaction with the each of the items on a scale of 1 to 5 with "5" being very satisfied and "1" being very dissatisfied.

Importance-Satisfaction Rating City of Lawrence <u>Transportation</u>

Category of Service	Most Important %	Most Important Rank	Satisfaction %	Satisfaction Rank	Importance- Satisfaction Rating	I-S Rating Rank
<u>Very High Priority (IS >.20)</u>						
Ease of east/west travel in Lawrence	38%	1	36%	8	0.2432	1
High Priority (IS .1020)						
Availability of parking in Downtown Lawrence	29%	2	38%	7	0.1798	2
Traffic signal coordination on major City streets	24%	3	44%	3	0.1344	3
<u>Medium Priority (IS <.10)</u>						
Availability of bicycle lanes	14%	6	33%	9	0.0938	4
Ease of north/south travel in Lawrence	20%	4	55%	1	0.0900	5
Availability of biking lanes/paths in Lawrence	15%	5	41%	6	0.0885	6
Number of destinations served by public transit	11%	8	42%	4	0.0638	7
Availability of pedestrian paths in Lawrence	12%	7	54%	2	0.0552	8
Frequency of pubic transportation service	9%	9	41%	5	0.0531	9

Note: The I-S Rating is calculated by multiplying the "Most Important" % by (1-'Satisfaction' %)

Most Important %:	The "Most Important" percentage represents the sum of the first and second most important responses for each item. Respondents were asked to identify the items they thought should receive the most emphasis over the next two years.
Satisfaction %:	The "Satisfaction" percentage represents the sum of the ratings "4" and "5" excluding 'don't knows.' Respondents ranked their level of satisfaction with the each of the items on a scale of 1 to 5 with "5" being very satisfied and "1" being very dissatisfied.

Importance-Satisfaction Matrix Analysis

The Importance-Satisfaction rating is based on the concept that public agencies will maximize overall customer satisfaction by emphasizing improvements in those areas where the level of satisfaction is relatively low and the perceived importance of the service is relatively high. ETC Institute developed an Importance-Satisfaction Matrix to display the perceived importance of major services that were assessed on the survey against the perceived quality of service delivery. The two axes on the matrix represent Satisfaction (vertical) and relative Importance (horizontal).


The I-S (Importance-Satisfaction) matrix should be interpreted as follows.

- *Continued Emphasis (above average importance and above average satisfaction).* This area shows where the City is meeting customer expectations. Items in this area have a significant impact on the customer's overall level of satisfaction. The City should maintain (or slightly increase) emphasis on items in this area.
- *Exceeding Expectations (below average importance and above average satisfaction).* This area shows where the City is performing significantly better than customers expect the City to perform. Items in this area do not significantly affect the overall level of satisfaction that residents have with City services. The City should maintain (or slightly decrease) emphasis on items in this area.
- Opportunities for Improvement (above average importance and below average satisfaction). This area shows where the City is not performing as well as residents expect the City to perform. This area has a significant impact on customer satisfaction, and the City should DEFINITELY increase emphasis on items in this area.
- Less Important (below average importance and below average satisfaction). This area shows where the City is not performing well relative to the City's performance in other areas; however, this area is generally considered to be less important to residents. This area does not significantly affect overall satisfaction with City services because the items are less important to residents. The agency should maintain current levels of emphasis on items in this area.

Matrices showing the results for the 2001 Lawrence Community Survey are provided on the following pages.

-Overall-


(points on the graph show deviations from the mean importance and satisfaction ratings given by respondents to the survey)



mean satisfaction


-Parks and Recreation-

(points on the graph show deviations from the mean importance and satisfaction ratings given by respondents to the survey)




-Maintenance and Public Works-

(points on the graph show deviations from the mean importance and satisfaction ratings given by respondents to the survey)



-Water/Wastewater Utilities-


(points on the graph show deviations from the mean importance and satisfaction ratings given by respondents to the survey)



ETC Institute (2011)

-Transportation-

(points on the graph show deviations from the mean importance and satisfaction ratings given by respondents to the survey)



Section 4: Tabular Data and Survey Instrument

Q1. Major categories of services provided by the City of Lawrence are listed below. Please rate each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied."

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied l	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Q1a. Overall quality of police						
services	27.8%	50.9%	12.6%	2.1%	0.9%	5.6%
Q1b. Overall quality of fire &						
emergency medical services	37.3%	45.7%	6.7%	0.3%	0.0%	10.0%
Q1c. City's efforts to ensure						
community is prepared for emergencies	16.8%	42.8%	21.8%	2.5%	0.4%	15.7%
Q1d. Overall maintenance of	10.0%	42.0%	21.0%	2.3%	0.4%	13.7%
City streets, sidewalks &						
infrastructure	2.9%	24.6%	27.0%	33.2%	10.7%	1.6%
Q1e. Effectiveness of	,,,	,	,			,.
communication between City &	Ż					
public	6.2%	36.5%	38.9%	12.0%	2.6%	3.8%
Q1f. Flow of traffic &						
congestion management	4.0%	35.7%	26.2%	24.9%	8.4%	0.9%
Q1g. Quality of City's						
stormwater management	670/	11 60/	20 60/	9 60/	2.00/	7 40/
system Q1h. Overall quality of City	6.7%	44.6%	30.6%	8.6%	2.0%	7.4%
water utility services	14.8%	56.4%	18.9%	4.5%	1.2%	4.2%
Q1i. Overall quality of City	14.070	50.470	10.770	7.570	1.270	7.270
wastewater utility services	16.0%	51.6%	19.7%	3.2%	0.7%	8.8%
Q1j. Overall quality of City						
trash & yardwaste services	35.4%	46.9%	9.8%	3.6%	1.1%	3.1%
Q1k. Overall quality of						
planning & developmental						
services	6.6%	22.7%	32.1%	11.9%	2.7%	24.0%
Q11. Overall quality of City's	11.00/	22.00/	20.00/	0.10/	4 50/	1 4 407
public transportation services	11.2%	32.0%	28.8%	9.1%	4.5%	14.4%
Q1m. Quality of City's parks & recreation system	27.4%	51.3%	14.1%	2.8%	1.0%	3.4%
Q1n. Quality of customer	<i>21.</i> 470	51.570	14.170	2.070	1.070	J. + 70
service provided by the City	14.2%	42.5%	28.0%	3.0%	0.9%	11.3%
	1		_0.070	2.075	0.77	11.0 / 0

EXCLUDING DON'T KNOW

Q1. Major categories of services provided by the City of Lawrence are listed below. Please rate each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
Q1a. Overall quality of					
police services	29.4%	53.9%	13.4%	2.3%	1.0%
Q1b. Overall quality of fire	&				
emergency medical services	s 41.5%	50.8%	7.4%	0.3%	0.0%
Q1c. City's efforts to					
ensure community is					
prepared for emergencies	19.9%	50.8%	25.9%	3.0%	0.5%
Q1d. Overall maintenance					
of City streets, sidewalks &					
infrastructure	3.0%	25.0%	27.4%	33.7%	10.9%
Q1e. Effectiveness of					
communication between					
City & public	6.4%	37.9%	40.4%	12.5%	2.7%
Q1f. Flow of traffic &					
congestion management	4.0%	36.0%	26.4%	25.1%	8.5%
Q1g. Quality of City's					
stormwater management				.	
system	7.3%	48.2%	33.1%	9.3%	2.1%
Q1h. Overall quality of	1 7 404	F O 00/			1.00/
City water utility services	15.4%	58.9%	19.7%	4.7%	1.3%
Q1i. Overall quality of City			01 604	0.5%	0.00/
wastewater utility services	17.5%	56.6%	21.6%	3.5%	0.8%
Q1j. Overall quality of City		40.40/	10 10/	2 70/	1.00/
trash & yardwaste services	36.6%	48.4%	10.1%	3.7%	1.2%
Q1k. Overall quality of					
planning & developmental	0.70/	20.00/	40.20/	15 (0/	2 50/
services	8.7%	29.9%	42.3%	15.6%	3.5%
Q11. Overall quality of					
City's public transportation services	12 00/	27 40/	22 70/	10 60/	5 20/
	13.0%	37.4%	33.7%	10.6%	5.3%
Q1m. Quality of City's parks & recreation system	28.4%	53.1%	14.6%	2.9%	1.0%
Q1n. Quality of customer	∠ð . 4%	33.1%	14.0%	2.9%	1.0%
service provided by the City	v 16.0%	48.0%	31.6%	3.4%	1.0%
service provided by the City	y 10.0%	40.0%	51.0%	3.4%	1.0%

Q2. Which THREE of the major City services listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years?

Q2. 1st choice	Number	Percent
Police services	88	6.7 %
Fire & emergency medical services	23	1.8 %
Community's preparedness for emergencies	47	3.6 %
Maintenance of streets/sidewalks/infrastructure	536	41.0 %
Communication between City & public	39	3.0 %
Flow of traffic & congestion management	217	16.6 %
Stormwater management system	28	2.1 %
Water utility services	23	1.8 %
Wastewater utility services	4	0.3 %
Trash & yardwaste services	20	1.5 %
Planning & developmental services	62	4.7 %
Public transportation services	40	3.1 %
Parks & recreation system	32	2.4 %
Customer service provided by the City	7	0.5 %
None chosen	141	10.8 %
Total	1307	100.0 %

Q2. Which THREE of the major City services listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years?

Q2. 2nd choice	Number	Percent
Police services	52	4.0 %
Fire & emergency medical services	52	4.0 %
Community's preparedness for emergencies	48	3.7 %
Maintenance of streets/sidewalks/infrastructure	228	17.4 %
Communication between City & public	60	4.6 %
Flow of traffic & congestion management	264	20.2 %
Stormwater management system	54	4.1 %
Water utility services	44	3.4 %
Wastewater utility services	14	1.1 %
Trash & yardwaste services	47	3.6 %
Planning & developmental services	97	7.4 %
Public transportation services	81	6.2 %
Parks & recreation system	55	4.2 %
Customer service provided by the City	14	1.1 %
None chosen	197	15.1 %
Total	1307	100.0 %

Q2. Which THREE of the major City services listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years?

Q2. 3rd choice	Number	Percent
Police services	53	4.1 %
Fire & emergency medical services	33	2.5 %
Community's preparedness for emergencies	76	5.8 %
Maintenance of streets/sidewalks/infrastructure	137	10.5 %
Communication between City & public	97	7.4 %
Flow of traffic & congestion management	125	9.6 %
Stormwater management system	60	4.6 %
Water utility services	41	3.1 %
Wastewater utility services	20	1.5 %
Trash & yardwaste services	47	3.6 %
Planning & developmental services	120	9.2 %
Public transportation services	109	8.3 %
Parks & recreation system	71	5.4 %
Customer service provided by the City	34	2.6 %
None chosen	284	21.7 %
Total	1307	100.0 %

Q2. Which THREE of the major City services listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

Q2. Sum of top three choices	Number	Percent
Police services	193	14.8 %
Fire & emergency medical services	108	8.3 %
Community's preparedness for emergencies	171	13.1 %
Maintenance of streets/sidewalks/infrastructure	901	68.9 %
Communication between City & public	196	15.0 %
Flow of traffic & congestion management	606	46.4 %
Stormwater management system	142	10.9 %
Water utility services	108	8.3 %
Wastewater utility services	38	2.9 %
Trash & yardwaste services	114	8.7 %
Planning & developmental services	279	21.3 %
Public transportation services	230	17.6 %
Parks & recreation system	158	12.1 %
Customer service provided by the City	55	4.2 %
None chosen	141	10.8 %
Total	3440	

Q3. Several items that may influence your perception of the City of Lawrence are listed below. <u>Please rate each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very</u> <u>Dissatisfied."</u>

	Very				Very	Don't
	Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Know
Q3a. Overall value you						
receive for City tax & fees	7.1%	40.1%	29.4%	15.0%	3.2%	5.1%
Q3b. Overall image of City	21.7%	54.6%	16.0%	5.7%	0.6%	1.4%
Q3c. Livability of your						
neighborhood	33.6%	53.1%	8.4%	3.7%	0.7%	0.5%
Q3d. Upkeep of your						
neighborhood	23.5%	51.1%	15.2%	8.5%	1.0%	0.6%
Q3e. Overall quality of City						
services	14.5%	60.3%	20.9%	2.4%	0.4%	1.5%
Q3f. Overall quality of life in						
the City	26.7%	53.9%	13.5%	3.7%	0.8%	1.4%
Q3g. Efforts to promote						
economic development	7.0%	27.6%	29.9%	21.1%	8.4%	6.1%
Q3h. Overall quality of new						
development	4.4%	24.9%	34.1%	24.2%	7.4%	5.0%
Q3i. How well the City is						
planning growth	3.8%	20.9%	30.9%	26.7%	10.7%	7.1%
Q3j. Mix of retail, residential, &	Z					
entertainment establishments						
in Downtown Lawrence	10.4%	37.5%	25.7%	17.8%	5.6%	3.0%

EXCLUDING DON'T KNOW

Q3. Several items that may influence your perception of the City of Lawrence are listed below. <u>Please rate each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very</u> <u>Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")</u>

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
Q3a. Overall value you	very Satisfieu	Satisfieu	Incuttat	Dissatisticu	Dissatisticu
-	7.50/	42 20/	21.00/	15 00/	2 40/
receive for City tax & fees	7.5%	42.3%	31.0%	15.8%	3.4%
Q3b. Overall image of City	22.0%	55.4%	16.2%	5.7%	0.6%
Q3c. Livability of your					
neighborhood	33.8%	53.3%	8.5%	3.7%	0.7%
Q3d. Upkeep of your					
neighborhood	23.7%	51.4%	15.3%	8.6%	1.0%
Q3e. Overall quality of					
City services	14.7%	61.2%	21.2%	2.4%	0.4%
Q3f. Overall quality of life					
in City	27.1%	54.7%	13.7%	3.7%	0.8%
Q3g. Efforts to promote					
economic development	7.4%	29.4%	31.8%	22.4%	8.9%
Q3h. Overall quality of					
new development	4.7%	26.2%	35.9%	25.5%	7.7%
Q3i. How well the City is					
planning growth	4.0%	22.5%	33.3%	28.7%	11.5%
Q3j. Mix of retail,					
residential, & entertainment	t				
establishments in					
Downtown Lawrence	10.7%	38.6%	26.5%	18.3%	5.8%
	2000/0	20.070	_ 0.0 / 0	10.070	0.070

Q4. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied."

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied I	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Q4a. Frequency that police						
officers patrol your neighborho	od 10.2%	39.5%	26.7%	10.3%	2.4%	11.0%
Q4b. Efforts by police to						
prevent crime in your						
neighborhood	10.4%	35.8%	31.9%	5.0%	1.5%	15.5%
Q4c. How quickly police						
respond to emergencies	21.5%	38.3%	17.5%	1.9%	0.8%	19.9%
Q4d. Professionalism of						
police officers	27.0%	43.9%	13.1%	4.3%	1.2%	10.5%
Q4e. How effectively the City						
enforces traffic offenses	11.2%	36.1%	27.4%	8.5%	3.1%	13.6%
Q4f. School Resource Officers	9.7%	24.1%	22.5%	2.8%	0.9%	40.0%
Q4g. Availability & effectivene	ss					
of animal control services	9.3%	30.9%	27.0%	4.4%	2.0%	26.4%
Q4h. Parking enforcement						
services	8.9%	41.4%	27.8%	5.0%	1.9%	15.0%
Q4i. City's crime prevention						
education efforts	9.7%	28.5%	27.2%	5.6%	0.8%	28.3%

EXCLUDING DON'T KNOW

Q4. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")

					Very
Ve	ery Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied
Q4a. Frequency that police					
officers patrol your					
neighborhood	11.4%	44.4%	29.9%	11.5%	2.7%
Q4b. Efforts by police to					
prevent crime in your					
neighborhood	12.3%	42.3%	37.7%	5.9%	1.7%
Q4c. How quickly police					
respond to emergencies	26.9%	47.8%	21.9%	2.4%	1.0%
Q4d. Professionalism of					
police officers	30.1%	49.1%	14.6%	4.8%	1.4%
Q4e. How effectively the City					
enforces traffic offenses	13.0%	41.8%	31.8%	9.8%	3.6%
Q4f. School Resource Officers	16.2%	40.1%	37.5%	4.6%	1.5%
Q4g. Availability &					
effectiveness of animal					
control services	12.6%	42.0%	36.7%	6.0%	2.7%
Q4h. Parking enforcement					
services	10.5%	48.7%	32.7%	5.9%	2.3%
Q4i. City's crime					
prevention education efforts	13.5%	39.7%	37.9%	7.8%	1.1%

Q5. Using a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Safe" and 1 means "Very Unsafe," please rate how safe you feel in the following situations:

(N=1307)

					Very	Don't
	Very Safe	Safe	Neutral	Unsafe	Unsafe	Know
Q5a. Walking in your						
neighborhood during the day	68.0%	24.9%	4.3%	0.8%	0.2%	1.8%
Q5b. Walking in your						
neighborhood after dark	33.2%	42.5%	13.9%	7.5%	0.8%	2.0%
Q5c. In Downtown Lawrence						
during the day	54.3%	35.9%	5.5%	2.5%	0.8%	1.0%
Q5d. In Downtown Lawrence						
after dark	16.4%	35.6%	23.1%	17.7%	3.2%	4.0%
Q5e. In City parks during						
the day	44.8%	39.0%	9.0%	2.9%	1.1%	3.3%
Q5f. In City parks after dark	8.0%	18.5%	28.3%	27.7%	5.6%	12.0%

EXCLUDING DON'T KNOW

Q5. Using a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Safe" and 1 means "Very Unsafe," please rate how safe you feel in the following situations: (without "don't know")

	Very Safe	Safe	Neutral	Unsafe	Very Unsafe
Q5a. Walking in your	•				-
neighborhood during					
the day	69.2%	25.4%	4.4%	0.9%	0.2%
Q5b. Walking in your					
neighborhood after dark	33.9%	43.4%	14.2%	7.7%	0.9%
Q5c. In Downtown					
Lawrence during the day	54.9%	36.3%	5.6%	2.5%	0.8%
Q5d. In Downtown					
Lawrence after dark	17.1%	37.0%	24.1%	18.4%	3.4%
Q5e. In City parks during					
the day	46.3%	40.3%	9.3%	3.0%	1.1%
Q5f. In City parks after dark	9.1%	21.1%	32.1%	31.4%	6.4%

<u>Q6. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5,</u> where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied."

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied D	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Q6a. Overall quality of fire						
services	32.3%	41.1%	6.7%	0.4%	0.1%	19.4%
Q6b. How quickly emergency						
medical services personnel						
respond	32.2%	35.2%	7.9%	0.5%	0.1%	24.1%
Q6c. Professionalism of City's						
fire & emergency medical						
services personnel	38.2%	35.3%	6.4%	0.2%	0.1%	19.8%
Q6d. Quality of medical care						
provided by fire medical						
services personnel	29.4%	31.0%	8.1%	0.2%	0.1%	31.2%
Q6e. City's fire/medical						
education programs	16.9%	22.3%	17.3%	0.5%	0.2%	42.9%
Q6f. City's fire/business						
inspection program	13.4%	23.4%	18.7%	0.9%	0.4%	43.1%

EXCLUDING DON'T KNOW

Q6. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")

					Very
	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied
Q6a. Overall quality of fire					
services	40.1%	51.0%	8.4%	0.5%	0.1%
Q6b. How quickly					
emergency medical					
services personnel respond	42.4%	46.4%	10.4%	0.7%	0.1%
Q6c. Professionalism of					
City's fire & emergency					
medical services personnel	47.7%	44.0%	7.9%	0.3%	0.1%
Q6d. Quality of medical					
care provided by fire					
medical services personnel	42.8%	45.1%	11.8%	0.2%	0.1%
Q6e. City's fire/medical					
education programs	29.5%	39.1%	30.3%	0.8%	0.3%
Q6f. City's fire/business					
inspection program	23.6%	41.2%	32.9%	1.6%	0.7%

Q7. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied."

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied I	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Q7a. Appearance/cleanliness			1.0000			
of City parks	27.3%	57.8%	8.8%	1.8%	0.3%	4.0%
Q7b. Condition of equipment &	5					
facilities at City parks	19.3%	57.7%	14.7%	2.5%	0.4%	5.4%
Q7c. Number of City parks	24.5%	50.7%	14.6%	6.4%	1.1%	2.7%
Q7d. Number of walking &						
biking trails	20.8%	43.1%	16.6%	12.9%	1.8%	4.8%
Q7e. City outdoor recreation						
facilities	19.2%	47.8%	18.3%	6.4%	1.1%	7.1%
Q7f. City indoor recreation						
facilities	13.6%	38.2%	22.7%	9.0%	1.9%	14.6%
Q7g. Availability of gym space	11.7%	29.9%	23.7%	9.7%	2.4%	22.6%
Q7h. City's indoor aquatic						
facilities	22.6%	39.7%	15.9%	3.3%	0.8%	17.7%
Q7i. City's outdoor aquatic						
facilities	19.3%	41.5%	17.6%	4.3%	0.8%	16.5%
Q7j. Availability of youth						
sports fields	16.0%	35.2%	19.1%	4.1%	1.3%	24.2%
Q7k. Availability of adult						
sports fields	15.9%	35.2%	20.7%	2.9%	1.0%	24.3%
Q71. Availability of						
information about parks &						
recreation programs	18.4%	39.5%	18.2%	4.4%	0.7%	18.9%
Q7m. Overall quality of Eagle						
Bend Golf Course	10.9%	29.3%	19.1%	2.1%	0.9%	37.6%
Q7n. Mowing & trimming alon	-					
City streets	16.7%	52.6%	16.5%	6.0%	0.8%	7.4%
Q70. Overall cleanliness of						
public areas	18.1%	56.1%	15.9%	3.9%	0.7%	5.3%
Q7p. Variety of recreation						
programs offered by City	21.4%	47.4%	16.5%	2.6%	0.8%	11.3%
Q7q. Cost of parks &						
recreation programs & services	18.5%	41.6%	19.8%	3.8%	0.8%	15.6%
Q7r. City's park & recreation					0.5	
website	13.9%	28.7%	20.3%	3.1%	0.9%	33.1%

EXCLUDING DON'T KNOW

Q7. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")

V	ery Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
Q7a. Appearance/cleanliness		~~~~~~			
of City parks	28.4%	60.2%	9.2%	1.9%	0.3%
Q7b. Condition of equipment					
& facilities at City parks	20.4%	61.0%	15.5%	2.7%	0.4%
Q7c. Number of City parks	25.2%	52.0%	15.0%	6.6%	1.1%
Q7d. Number of walking &					
biking trails	21.8%	45.3%	17.5%	13.5%	1.9%
Q7e. City outdoor					
recreation facilities	20.6%	51.5%	19.7%	6.9%	1.2%
Q7f. City indoor recreation					
facilities	16.0%	44.7%	26.5%	10.6%	2.2%
Q7g. Availability of gym					
space	15.1%	38.6%	30.6%	12.6%	3.1%
Q7h. City's indoor aquatic					
facilities	27.5%	48.2%	19.4%	4.0%	0.9%
Q7i. City's outdoor aquatic					1.0.0
facilities	23.1%	49.6%	21.1%	5.1%	1.0%
Q7j. Availability of youth	01.10/		25.234	5 50/	1 70/
sports fields	21.1%	46.5%	25.2%	5.5%	1.7%
Q7k. Availability of adult	21.00/	16 60/	07.20/	2.00/	1 20/
sports fields	21.0%	46.6%	27.3%	3.8%	1.3%
Q71. Availability of					
information about parks &	22.70/	10 70/	22 40/	5 40/	0.8%
recreation programs	22.7%	48.7%	22.4%	5.4%	0.8%
Q7m. Overall quality of Eagle Band Colf Course	17.4%	47.1%	30.6%	3.4%	1.5%
Eagle Bend Golf Course Q7n. Mowing & trimming	17.4%	47.1%	30.0%	3.4%	1.3%
along City streets	18.0%	56.8%	17.8%	6.5%	0.9%
Q70. Overall cleanliness of	18.070	30.8%	17.070	0.570	0.970
public areas	19.1%	59.2%	16.8%	4.1%	0.7%
Q7p. Variety of recreation	17.170	57.270	10.070	4.170	0.770
programs offered by City	24.1%	53.5%	18.6%	2.9%	0.9%
Q7q. Cost of parks &	21.170	55.570	10.070	2.970	0.770
recreation programs &					
services	21.9%	49.3%	23.4%	4.5%	0.9%
Q7r. City's park &			, -		
recreation website	20.7%	43.0%	30.4%	4.6%	1.4%

Q8. Which THREE of the parks and recreation items listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years?

Q8. 1st choice	Number	Percent
Appearance & cleanliness of City parks	97	7.4 %
Condition of equipment & facilities at City parks	94	7.2 %
Number of City parks	60	4.6 %
Number of walking & biking trails	210	16.1 %
City outdoor recreation facilities	42	3.2 %
City indoor recreation facilities	86	6.6 %
Availability of gym space	63	4.8 %
City's indoor aquatic facilities	26	2.0 %
City's outdoor aquatic facilities	32	2.4 %
Availability of youth sports fields	38	2.9 %
Availability of adult sports fields	9	0.7 %
Availability of information about parks & recreation	on 23	1.8 %
Quality of Eagle Bend Golf Course	24	1.8 %
Mowing & trimming along City streets	71	5.4 %
Cleanliness of public areas	72	5.5 %
Variety of recreation programs	29	2.2 %
Cost of parks & recreation programs & services	41	3.1 %
City's parks & recreation website	8	0.6 %
None chosen	282	21.6 %
Total	1307	100.0 %

Q8. Which THREE of the parks and recreation items listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years?

Q8. 2nd choice	Number	Percent
Appearance & cleanliness of City parks	77	5.9 %
Condition of equipment & facilities at City parks	113	8.6 %
Number of City parks	60	4.6 %
Number of walking & biking trails	102	7.8 %
City outdoor recreation facilities	44	3.4 %
City indoor recreation facilities	61	4.7 %
Availability of gym space	62	4.7 %
City's indoor aquatic facilities	27	2.1 %
City's outdoor aquatic facilities	37	2.8 %
Availability of youth sports fields	43	3.3 %
Availability of adult sports fields	30	2.3 %
Availability of information about parks & recreation	on 30	2.3 %
Quality of Eagle Bend Golf Course	17	1.3 %
Mowing & trimming along City streets	73	5.6 %
Cleanliness of public areas	90	6.9 %
Variety of recreation programs	36	2.8 %
Cost of parks & recreation programs & services	43	3.3 %
City's parks & recreation website	15	1.1 %
None chosen	347	26.6 %
Total	1307	100.0 %

Q8. Which THREE of the parks and recreation items listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years?

Q8. 3rd choice	Number	Percent
Appearance & cleanliness of City parks	58	4.4 %
Condition of equipment & facilities at City parks	70	5.4 %
Number of City parks	46	3.5 %
Number of walking & biking trails	79	6.0 %
City outdoor recreation facilities	51	3.9 %
City indoor recreation facilities	54	4.1 %
Availability of gym space	52	4.0 %
City's indoor aquatic facilities	23	1.8 %
City's outdoor aquatic facilities	35	2.7 %
Availability of youth sports fields	40	3.1 %
Availability of adult sports fields	13	1.0 %
Availability of information about parks & recreation	on 41	3.1 %
Quality of Eagle Bend Golf Course	19	1.5 %
Mowing & trimming along City streets	49	3.7 %
Cleanliness of public areas	99	7.6 %
Variety of recreation programs	45	3.4 %
Cost of parks & recreation programs & services	64	4.9 %
City's parks & recreation website	19	1.5 %
None chosen	450	34.4 %
Total	1307	100.0 %

Q8. Which THREE of the parks and recreation items listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

Q8. Sum of top three choices	Number	Percent
Appearance & cleanliness of City parks	232	17.8 %
Condition of equipment & facilities at City parks	277	21.2 %
Number of City parks	166	12.7 %
Number of walking & biking trails	391	29.9 %
City outdoor recreation facilities	137	10.5 %
City indoor recreation facilities	201	15.4 %
Availability of gym space	177	13.5 %
City's indoor aquatic facilities	76	5.8 %
City's outdoor aquatic facilities	104	8.0 %
Availability of youth sports fields	121	9.3 %
Availability of adult sports fields	52	4.0 %
Availability of information about parks & recreation	on 94	7.2 %
Quality of Eagle Bend Golf Course	60	4.6 %
Mowing & trimming along City streets	193	14.8 %
Cleanliness of public areas	261	20.0 %
Variety of recreation programs	110	8.4 %
Cost of parks & recreation programs & services	148	11.3 %
City's parks & recreation website	42	3.2 %
None chosen	283	21.7 %
Total	3125	

Q9. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied."

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied I	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Q9a. Condition of major City						
streets	3.5%	35.5%	21.9%	28.9%	9.1%	1.2%
Q9b. Condition of streets in						
your neighborhood	6.2%	37.9%	20.6%	25.7%	8.7%	0.8%
Q9c. Timeliness of street						
maintenance repairs	3.1%	25.1%	28.2%	31.0%	9.8%	2.8%
Q9d. Condition of sidewalks						
in your neighborhood	8.7%	40.3%	23.4%	16.7%	7.0%	3.8%
Q9e. Maintenance of street						
signs	14.9%	56.2%	21.2%	3.3%	1.2%	3.2%
Q9f. Adequacy of City street						
lighting	10.2%	45.4%	23.4%	14.9%	4.8%	1.5%
Q9g. Snow removal on major						
City streets	18.8%	54.4%	14.0%	8.4%	3.8%	0.7%
Q9h. Snow removal on						
neighborhood streets	10.6%	38.0%	20.3%	19.6%	10.3%	1.1%
Q9i. Street sweeping services						
provided by City	8.5%	39.3%	29.2%	11.7%	4.4%	6.9%

EXCLUDING DON'T KNOW

Q9. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")

					Very
Ve	ry Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied
Q9a. Condition of major					
City streets	3.5%	35.9%	22.2%	29.2%	9.2%
Q9b. Condition of streets					
in your neighborhood	6.3%	38.3%	20.8%	25.9%	8.8%
Q9c. Timeliness of street					
maintenance repairs	3.2%	25.8%	29.0%	31.9%	10.1%
Q9d. Condition of					
sidewalks in your neighborhood	l 9.0%	41.9%	24.4%	17.4%	7.3%
Q9e. Maintenance of street					
signs	15.4%	58.0%	21.9%	3.4%	1.3%
Q9f. Adequacy of City					
street lighting	10.3%	46.0%	23.7%	15.1%	4.8%
Q9g. Snow removal on					
major City streets	18.9%	54.8%	14.1%	8.4%	3.8%
Q9h. Snow removal on					
neighborhood streets	10.7%	38.4%	20.5%	19.8%	10.5%
Q9i. Street sweeping					
services provided by City	9.1%	42.2%	31.4%	12.6%	4.7%

Q10. Which THREE of the maintenance and public works services listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years?

Q10. 1st choice	Number	Percent
Condition of major City streets	518	39.6 %
Condition of neighborhood streets	170	13.0 %
Timeliness of street maintenance repairs	127	9.7 %
Condition of neighborhood sidewalks	80	6.1 %
Maintenance of street signs	8	0.6 %
Adequacy of City street lighting	64	4.9 %
Snow removal on major City streets	44	3.4 %
Snow removal on neighborhood streets	135	10.3 %
Street sweeping services	40	3.1 %
None chosen	121	9.3 %
Total	1307	100.0 %

Q10. Which THREE of the maintenance and public works services listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years?

Q10. 2nd choice	Number	Percent
Condition of major City streets	138	10.6 %
Condition of neighborhood streets	242	18.5 %
Timeliness of street maintenance repairs	291	22.3 %
Condition of neighborhood sidewalks	98	7.5 %
Maintenance of street signs	9	0.7 %
Adequacy of City street lighting	95	7.3 %
Snow removal on major City streets	87	6.7 %
Snow removal on neighborhood streets	134	10.3 %
Street sweeping services	37	2.8 %
None chosen	176	13.5 %
Total	1307	100.0 %

Q10. Which THREE of the maintenance and public works services listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years?

Q10. 3rd choice	Number	Percent
Condition of major City streets	103	7.9 %
Condition of neighborhood streets	115	8.8 %
Timeliness of street maintenance repairs	223	17.1 %
Condition of neighborhood sidewalks	135	10.3 %
Maintenance of street signs	22	1.7 %
Adequacy of City street lighting	142	10.9 %
Snow removal on major City streets	62	4.7 %
Snow removal on neighborhood streets	149	11.4 %
Street sweeping services	82	6.3 %
None chosen	274	21.0 %
Total	1307	100.0 %

Q10. Which THREE of the maintenance and public works services listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

Q10. Sum of top three choices	Number	Percent
Condition of major City streets	759	58.1 %
Condition of neighborhood streets	527	40.3 %
Timeliness of street maintenance repairs	641	49.0 %
Condition of neighborhood sidewalks	313	23.9 %
Maintenance of street signs	39	3.0 %
Adequacy of City street lighting	301	23.0 %
Snow removal on major City streets	193	14.8 %
Snow removal on neighborhood streets	418	32.0 %
Street sweeping services	159	12.2 %
None chosen	121	9.3 %
Total	3471	

Q11. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied."

(N=1307)

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied D	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Q11a. Availability of						
information about City						
services & activities	12.8%	46.2%	27.5%	4.7%	0.4%	8.5%
Q11b. Timeliness of						
information provided by the City	/ 10.5%	41.6%	31.6%	5.5%	0.5%	10.3%
Q11c. Quality of the City's cable	,					
television channel	7.5%	28.0%	26.4%	9.7%	3.8%	24.5%
Q11d. Usefulness of						
information on the City's website	e 8.1%	29.4%	29.5%	2.5%	0.6%	29.9%
Q11e. Usefulness of information	l					
that is available thru the City's						
social network sites	4.2%	11.6%	20.8%	1.3%	0.6%	61.5%

EXCLUDING DON'T KNOW

Q11. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")

					Very
	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied
Q11a. Availability of	-				
information about City					
services & activities	14.0%	50.5%	30.0%	5.1%	0.4%
Q11b. Timeliness of					
information provided by					
the City	11.7%	46.4%	35.2%	6.1%	0.6%
Q11c. Quality of City's					
cable television channel	9.9%	37.1%	35.0%	12.9%	5.1%
Q11d. Usefulness of					
information on City's					
website	11.6%	42.0%	42.1%	3.5%	0.9%
Q11e. Usefulness of the					
information that is available	thru				
the City's social network sites	s 10.9%	30.0%	54.1%	3.4%	1.6%
-					

Q12. Rate your satisfaction with each item listed below using a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied."

(N=1307)

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied I	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Q12a. Residential trash	<u>Summer</u>		1.00000		10000101100	1110 ()
services	43.9%	46.8%	4.9%	1.4%	0.3%	2.7%
Q12b. Yardwaste collection						
services	40.2%	40.8%	7.7%	2.4%	0.7%	8.3%
Q12c. City's efforts to inform						
residents about recycling						
opportunities	18.2%	35.2%	22.5%	13.3%	4.5%	6.3%
Q12d. City's drop-off						
recycling sites	15.2%	34.4%	22.2%	12.0%	3.4%	12.8%
Q12e. Household hazardous						
waste disposal service	15.2%	30.3%	18.9%	12.8%	4.1%	18.7%

EXCLUDING DON'T KNOW

Q12. Rate your satisfaction with each item listed below using a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
Q12a. Residential trash	•				
services	45.1%	48.1%	5.0%	1.4%	0.3%
Q12b. Yardwaste					
collection services	43.9%	44.4%	8.4%	2.6%	0.8%
Q12c. City's efforts to					
inform residents about					
recycling opportunities	19.4%	37.6%	24.0%	14.2%	4.8%
Q12d. City's drop-off					
recycling sites	17.4%	39.5%	25.5%	13.8%	3.9%
Q12e. Household					
hazardous waste disposal					
service	18.7%	37.2%	23.3%	15.7%	5.1%

Q13. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means ''Very Satisfied'' and 1 means ''Very Dissatisfied.''

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied I	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Q13a. Taste of your drinking						
water	16.9%	50.5%	16.9%	11.0%	3.1%	1.8%
Q13b. Smell of your drinking						
water	17.5%	51.0%	19.5%	8.2%	2.2%	1.6%
Q13c. Clarity of your drinking						
water	19.5%	55.9%	16.7%	5.4%	1.0%	1.5%
Q13d. Reliability of your						
water service	32.6%	55.7%	8.9%	1.2%	0.2%	1.4%
Q13e. Water pressure in your						
home	29.3%	52.1%	9.6%	5.2%	1.6%	2.2%
Q13f. Accuracy of your water						
bill	15.9%	42.0%	20.5%	6.6%	2.0%	13.0%
Q13g. How well the City keeps						
you informed about planned						
disruptions to your water						
service	12.3%	36.6%	21.8%	4.2%	1.1%	24.0%
Q13h. City efforts to prevent						
backups of wastewater into						
your home	13.3%	33.0%	20.9%	1.7%	1.0%	30.1%
Q13i. City efforts to minimize						
odor from wastewater						
treatment facilities	12.3%	35.6%	19.4%	2.8%	0.8%	29.0%
Q13j. Overall value you						
receive for water & wastewater						
utility rates	12.6%	42.5%	26.7%	8.7%	2.6%	6.9%

EXCLUDING DON'T KNOW

Q13. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means ''Very Satisfied'' and 1 means ''Very Dissatisfied.'' (without ''don't know'')

					Very
	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied
Q13a. Taste of your					
drinking water	17.2%	51.4%	17.2%	11.2%	3.1%
Q13b. Smell of your					
drinking water	17.8%	51.9%	19.8%	8.3%	2.3%
Q13c. Clarity of your					
drinking water	19.8%	56.8%	17.0%	5.4%	1.0%
Q13d. Reliability of your					
water service	33.0%	56.5%	9.0%	1.2%	0.2%
Q13e. Water pressure in					
your home	29.9%	53.3%	9.8%	5.3%	1.6%
Q13f. Accuracy of your					
water bill	18.3%	48.3%	23.5%	7.6%	2.3%
Q13g. How well City					
keeps you informed about					
planned disruptions to your					
water service	16.2%	48.1%	28.6%	5.5%	1.5%
Q13h. City efforts to					
prevent backups of				• • • •	
wastewater into your home	19.0%	47.3%	29.9%	2.4%	1.4%
Q13i. City efforts to					
minimize odor from					
wastewater treatment	17 40/	50.000	27.204	4.00/	1 10/
facilities	17.4%	50.2%	27.3%	4.0%	1.1%
Q13j. Overall value you					
receive for water &	12 50/	15 (0)	29.70/	0.40/	2.80/
wastewater utility rates	13.5%	45.6%	28.7%	9.4%	2.8%

<u>Q14. Which THREE of the water/wastewater utility issues listed above do you think should</u> <u>receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years?</u>

Q14. 1st choice Nu	mber	Percent
Taste of drinking water	335	25.6 %
Smell of drinking water	36	2.8 %
Clarity of drinking water	44	3.4 %
Reliability of water service	70	5.4 %
Water pressure in your home	56	4.3 %
Accuracy of your water bill	131	10.0 %
Informed about planned disruptions to water service	46	3.5 %
Prevention of backups of wastewater into your home	110	8.4 %
Minimize odor from wastewater treatment facilities	25	1.9 %
Value you receive for water & wastewater utility rates	153	11.7 %
None chosen	301	23.0 %
Total	1307	100.0 %

Q14. Which THREE of the water/wastewater utility issues listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years?

Q14. 2nd choice	Number	Percent
Taste of drinking water	136	10.4 %
Smell of drinking water	221	16.9 %
Clarity of drinking water	61	4.7 %
Reliability of water service	58	4.4 %
Water pressure in your home	43	3.3 %
Accuracy of your water bill	83	6.4 %
Informed about planned disruptions to water service	e 48	3.7 %
Prevention of backups of wastewater into you home	102	7.8 %
Minimize odor from wastewater treatment facilities	71	5.4 %
Value you receive for water & wastewater utility rat	tes 89	6.8 %
None chosen	395	30.2 %
Total	1307	100.0 %

Q14. Which THREE of the water/wastewater utility issues listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years?

Q14. 3rd choice Nu	mber	Percent
Taste of drinking water	104	8.0 %
Smell of drinking water	86	6.6 %
Clarity of drinking water	144	11.0 %
Reliability of water service	48	3.7 %
Water pressure in your home	47	3.6 %
Accuracy of your water bill	83	6.4 %
Informed about planned disruptions to water service	44	3.4 %
Prevention of backups of wastewater into you home	88	6.7 %
Minimize odor from wastewater treatment facilities	66	5.0 %
Value you receive for water & wastewater utility rates	122	9.3 %
None chosen	475	36.3 %
Total	1307	100.0 %

Q14. Which THREE of the water/wastewater utility issues listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

Q14. Sum of top three choices	Number	Percent
Taste of drinking water	575	44.0 %
Smell of drinking water	343	26.2 %
Clarity of drinking water	249	19.1 %
Reliability of water service	176	13.5 %
Water pressure in your home	146	11.2 %
Accuracy of your water bill	297	22.7 %
Informed about planned disruptions to water service	e 138	10.6 %
Prevention of backups of wastewater into you home	e 300	23.0 %
Minimize odor from wastewater treatment facilities	162	12.4 %
Value you receive for water & wastewater utility ra	ites 364	27.9 %
None chosen	301	23.0 %
Total	3051	

Q15. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied."

(1N-1307)	(N=130)7)
-----------	--------	-----

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied l	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Q15a. Ease of north/south						
travel	7.2%	45.0%	23.2%	16.2%	3.7%	4.8%
Q15b. Ease of east/west travel	5.4%	28.4%	21.8%	29.7%	10.3%	4.5%
Q15c. Availability of bicycle						
lanes	6.7%	20.8%	28.6%	21.5%	6.2%	16.2%
Q15d. Traffic signal						
coordination on major City						
streets	6.4%	35.3%	25.7%	20.6%	7.5%	4.4%
Q15e. Number of destinations						
served by public transportation	5.4%	20.5%	23.9%	9.3%	3.1%	37.8%
Q15f. Frequency of public						
transportation service	5.1%	18.6%	22.7%	8.7%	3.4%	41.5%
Q15g. Availability of						
pedestrian paths	9.4%	38.7%	23.6%	14.3%	3.8%	10.2%
Q15h. Availability of biking						
lanes & paths	7.6%	26.8%	26.6%	17.9%	5.9%	15.2%
Q15i. Availability of parking						
in Downtown Lawrence	6.1%	31.1%	24.4%	26.8%	7.8%	3.7%

EXCLUDING DON'T KNOW

Q15. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
Q15a. Ease of north/south	very buildined	Butistica	redutut	Dissuistica	Dissuistica
travel	7.6%	47.3%	24.3%	17.0%	3.9%
Q15b. Ease of east/west					
travel	5.6%	29.8%	22.8%	31.1%	10.8%
Q15c. Availability of					
bicycle lanes	8.0%	24.8%	34.1%	25.7%	7.4%
Q15d. Traffic signal					
coordination on major City		27 00/	2 < 0.04	21 60/	7 00/
streets	6.7%	37.0%	26.9%	21.6%	7.9%
Q15e. Number of					
	8 60/	33 00/	38 404	14 004	5 004
1 1	0.070	33.0%	36.470	14.970	5.0%
	8.8%	31.8%	38 7%	14.8%	5 9%
*	0.070	51.070	50.770	11.070	5.570
	10.5%	43.1%	26.3%	15.9%	4.3%
Q15h. Availability of biking	g				
lanes & paths	8.9%	31.6%	31.3%	21.1%	7.0%
Q15i. Availability of					
parking in Downtown					
Lawrence	6.4%	32.3%	25.4%	27.8%	8.1%
destinations served by public transportation Q15f. Frequency of public transportation service Q15g. Availability of pedestrian paths Q15h. Availability of biking lanes & paths Q15i. Availability of parking in Downtown	g 8.9%	31.6%	31.3%	21.1%	7.0%

Q16. Which TWO of the transportation issues listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years?

Q16. 1st choice	Number	Percent
Ease of north/south travel	143	10.9 %
Ease of east/west travel	266	20.4 %
Availability of bicycle lanes	113	8.6 %
Traffic signal coordination on major streets	172	13.2 %
Number of destinations served by public transit	71	5.4 %
Frequency of public transportation	41	3.1 %
Availability of pedestrian paths	62	4.7 %
Availability of biking lanes & paths	73	5.6 %
Availability of parking in Downtown Lawrence	215	16.4 %
None chosen	151	11.6 %
Total	1307	100.0 %

Q16. Which TWO of the transportation issues listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years?

Q16. 2nd choice	Number	Percent
Ease of north/south travel	117	9.0 %
Ease of east/west travel	236	18.1 %
Availability of bicycle lanes	66	5.0 %
Traffic signal coordination on major streets	135	10.3 %
Number of destinations served by public transit	71	5.4 %
Frequency of public transportation	72	5.5 %
Availability of pedestrian paths	94	7.2 %
Availability of biking lanes & paths	122	9.3 %
Availability of parking in Downtown Lawrence	157	12.0 %
None chosen	237	18.1 %
Total	1307	100.0 %

Q16. Which TWO of the transportation issues listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 2)

Q16. Sum of top two choices	Number	Percent
Ease of north/south travel	260	19.9 %
Ease of east/west travel	502	38.4 %
Availability of bicycle lanes	179	13.7 %
Traffic signal coordination on major streets	307	23.5 %
Number of destinations served by public transit	142	10.9 %
Frequency of public transportation	113	8.6 %
Availability of pedestrian paths	156	11.9 %
Availability of biking lanes & paths	195	14.9 %
Availability of parking in Downtown Lawrence	372	28.5 %
None chosen	151	11.6 %
Total	2377	

EXCLUDING DON'T REMEMBER

Q17. Several services provided by the City of Lawrence are listed below. For each one, please indicate if you used the service during the past 12 months. (without "don't remember")

	Yes	No
Q17a. Used public transportation services	18.9%	81.1%
Q17b. Enrolled in recreation programs	35.6%	64.4%
Q17c. Visited City recreation facilities	71.7%	28.3%
Q17d. Visited City Library	72.4%	27.6%
Q17e. Received assistance from Fire		
Medical Department	17.8%	82.2%
Q17f. Received assistance from Police Department	34.7%	65.3%
Q17g. Visited a City park	85.8%	14.2%
Q17h. Used a City walking/biking trail or path	71.8%	28.2%

Q18. Have you called or visited the City with a question, problem, or complaint during the past <u>year?</u>

Q18. Have you called or visited City	Number	Percent
Yes	538	41.2 %
No	748	57.2 %
Don't know	21	1.6 %
Total	1307	100.0 %

<u>Q18a. If YES to Question #18, which department did you contact most recently? (multiple responses allowed)</u>

Q18a. Which department	Number	Percent
City Manager's Office	60	11.2 %
Fire Medical	32	5.9 %
Municipal Court	40	7.4 %
Planning & Development	110	20.4 %
Parks & Recreation	106	19.7 %
Police	120	22.3 %
Public Works	173	32.2 %
Transit	14	2.6 %
Utility Billing	84	15.6 %
Water/Wastewater Utility	59	11.0 %
Other	35	6.5 %
None	3	0.6 %
Total	836	

Q18b-e. If YES to Question #18, please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about the quality of service you received from City employees in the department you listed above.

(N=538)

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
Q18b. Employees were courteous & polite	44.4%	42.2%	7.2%	2.8%	1.3%	2.0%
Q18c. Employees were professional	44.4%	41.3%	7.8%	3.9%	0.6%	2.0%
Q18d. Employees were responsive to my concerns	39.0%	38.1%	10.0%	8.0%	3.2%	1.7%
Q18e. I was satisfied with the service provided	37.4%	38.5%	11.2%	8.2%	3.9%	0.9%

EXCLUDING DON'T KNOW

<u>Q18b-e. If YES to Question #18, please indicate your level of agreement with the following</u> statements about the quality of service you received from City employees in the department you listed above. (without "don't know")

(N=538)

	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree
Q18b. Employees were				•	-
courteous & polite	45.4%	43.1%	7.4%	2.8%	1.3%
Q18c. Employees were					
professional	45.4%	42.1%	8.0%	4.0%	0.6%
Q18d. Employees were					
responsive to my concerns	39.7%	38.8%	10.2%	8.1%	3.2%
Q18e. I was satisfied with the service provided	37.7%	38.8%	11.3%	8.3%	3.9%

Q19. Several items that may influence your perception of Downtown Lawrence are listed below. Please rate each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied."

	Very	Setiefied	NT 1	Dissetisfied	Very	Don't
010 10 0	Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Dissatisfied	Know
Q19a. Appearance &						
cleanliness of Downtown						
Lawrence	19.2%	56.8%	13.3%	8.5%	1.4%	0.8%
Q19b. Availability of parking	6.7%	34.8%	22.6%	27.2%	7.3%	1.3%
Q19c. Types of retail &						
entertainment establishments						
available	9.9%	41.7%	25.2%	18.3%	3.1%	1.8%
Q19d. Hours businesses are						
open	8.6%	47.3%	24.1%	15.9%	2.6%	1.5%
Q19e. Ease of getting to						
Downtown Lawrence	15.8%	53.6%	19.1%	9.1%	1.6%	0.9%
Q19f. How safe you feel in						
Downtown Lawrence during						
daytime	37.0%	49.7%	9.3%	2.2%	0.9%	0.8%
Q19g. How safe you feel in	37.070	12.170	2.070	2.270	0.970	0.070
Downtown Lawrence after dark	11.1%	34.7%	24.7%	20.3%	5.1%	4.1%
	11.1/0	54.770	24.770	20.370	5.170	4.1 /0
Q19h. Entertainment &	a = 16.60/	16 10/	24.20/	7 10/	1 60/	4 10/
programs in Downtown Lawren	ce 10.0%	46.4%	24.3%	7.1%	1.6%	4.1%
Q19i. Beautification of			10	• • • •		0.001
Downtown Lawrence	31.4%	50.3%	13.5%	2.8%	1.1%	0.8%

EXCLUDING DON'T KNOW

Q19. Several items that may influence your perception of Downtown Lawrence are listed below. <u>Please rate each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very</u> <u>Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")</u>

	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied
Q19a. Appearance &	-				
cleanliness of Downtown					
Lawrence	19.4%	57.3%	13.4%	8.6%	1.4%
Q19b. Availability of parkin	g 6.8%	35.3%	22.9%	27.6%	7.4%
Q19c. Types of retail &					
entertainment					
establishments available	10.1%	42.5%	25.6%	18.6%	3.1%
Q19d. Hours businesses					
are open	8.7%	48.0%	24.5%	16.2%	2.6%
Q19e. Ease of getting to					
Downtown Lawrence	15.9%	54.1%	19.2%	9.2%	1.6%
Q19f. How safe you feel in					
Downtown Lawrence	25.24	50.004	0.001	2 2 2 4	0.00/
during daytime	37.3%	50.2%	9.3%	2.2%	0.9%
Q19g. How safe you feel in					
Downtown Lawrence after	11 60/		25.004	01 10/	5.00/
dark	11.6%	36.2%	25.8%	21.1%	5.3%
Q19h. Entertainment &					
programs in Downtown	17.20/	40.20/	25.204	7 40/	1 70/
Lawrence	17.3%	48.3%	25.3%	7.4%	1.7%
Q19i. Beautification of	21 70/	50 70/	12 60/	2.00/	1 10/
Downtown Lawrence	31.7%	50.7%	13.6%	2.9%	1.1%

Q20. Approximately how many years have you lived in Lawrence?

Lawrence	Number	Percent
5 or less	197	15.1 %
6 to 10	166	12.7 %
11 to 15	169	12.9 %
16 to 20	145	11.1 %
21 to 30	234	17.9 %
<u>31+</u>	396	30.3 %
Total	1307	100.0 %

Q21. Are you a student in a college or university?

Q21. Are you a college or university student	Number	Percent
Yes	140	10.7 %
No	1167	89.3 %
Total	1307	100.0 %

Q22. Do you own or rent your current residence?

Q22. Do you own or rent your current residence	Number	Percent
Own	1062	81.3 %
Rent	238	18.2 %
Not provided	7	0.5 %
Total	1307	100.0 %

	Mean	Sum
number	2.51	3253
Under 10	0.34	443
10-19	0.29	373
20-34	0.38	488
35-54	0.70	902
55-64	0.49	636
65+	0.32	420

Q23. How many persons in your household (counting yourself), are in each of the following age groups?

Q24. Which of the following best describes your race/ethnicity?

Q24. Race/ethnicity	Number	Percent
White/Caucasian	1088	83.2 %
African American/Black	67	5.1 %
Asian/Pacific Islander	42	3.2 %
Native American/Eskimo	41	3.1 %
Mixed Race	21	1.6 %
Other	26	2.0 %
Not provided	43	3.3 %
Total	1328	

Q25. Are you or other members of your household of Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino heritage?

Q25. Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino heritage	Number	Percent
Yes	85	6.5 %
No	1198	91.7 %
Not provided	24	1.8 %
Total	1307	100.0 %

Q26. What is your gender?

Q26. Gender	Number	Percent
Male	632	48.4 %
Female	675	51.6 %
Total	1307	100.0 %



City of Lawrence

2011 City of Lawrence Community Survey

Please take a few minutes to complete this survey. Your input is an important part of the City's on-going effort to continuously improve City services. If you have questions, please call Casey Toomay, Budget Manager at (785) 832-3409.

1. Major categories of services provided by the City of Lawrence are listed below. Please rate each item on a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very satisfied" and 1 means "very dissatisfied."

На	ow Satisfied are you with:	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Α.	Overall quality of police services	5	4	3	2	1	9
В.	Overall quality of fire and emergency medical services	5	4	3	2	1	9
C.	Overall efforts by the City to ensure the community is prepared for emergencies	5	4	3	2	1	9
D.	Overall maintenance of City streets, sidewalks and infrastructure	5	4	3	2	1	9
E.	Overall effectiveness of City communication with the public	5	4	3	2	1	9
<u>F</u> .	Overall flow of traffic and congestion management on streets in the City	5	4	3	2	1	9
G.	Overall quality of the City's stormwater management system	5	4	3	2	1	9
Η.	Overall quality of City water utility services	5	4	3	2	1	9
I.	Overall quality of City wastewater utility services	5	4	3	2	1	9
J.	Overall quality of City trash and yardwaste services	5	4	3	2	1	9
K.	Overall quality of planning and developmental services (building inspections, building permits, etc)	5	4	3	2	1	9
L.	Overall quality of public the City's transportation services	5	4	3	2	1	9
M.	Overall quality of the City's parks and recreation system	5	4	3	2	1	9
N.	Overall quality of customer service provided by the City	5	4	3	2	1	9

2. Which THREE of the <u>major city services</u> listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from city leaders over the next two years? [Write in the letters below using the letters from the list in Question 1 above].

1st:____ 2nd:____ 3rd:____

3. <u>PERCEPTIONS OF THE CITY</u>. Several items that may influence your perception of the City of Lawrence are listed below. Please rate each item on a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very satisfied" and 1 means "very dissatisfied."

Ho	ow Satisfied are you with:	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Α.	Overall value that you receive for your City tax dollars and fees	5	4	3	2	1	9
В.	Overall image of the City	5	4	3	2	1	9
С.	Livability of your neighborhood	5	4	3	2	1	9
D.	Upkeep of your neighborhood	5	4	3	2	1	9
Ε.	Overall quality of City services	5	4	3	2	1	9
F.	Overall quality of life in the City	5	4	3	2	1	9
G.	City efforts to promote economic development	5	4	3	2	1	9
Η.	Overall quality of new development in Lawrence	5	4	3	2	1	9
Ι.	How well the City is planning growth	5	4	3	2	1	9
J.	The mix of retail, residential, and entertainment establishments in Downtown Lawrence	5	4	3	2	1	9

4. <u>POLICE SERVICES</u>. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very satisfied" and 1 means "very dissatisfied."

Н	ow Satisfied are you with:	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Α.	The frequency that police officers patrol your neighborhood	5	4	3	2	1	9
В.	Efforts by police to prevent crime in your neighborhood	5	4	3	2	1	9
C.	How quickly police respond to emergencies	5	4	3	2	1	9
D.	The professionalism of police officers	5	4	3	2	1	9
Ε.	How effectively the City enforces traffic offenses	5	4	3	2	1	9
F.	School Resource Officers	5	4	3	2	1	9
G.	Availability and effectiveness of animal control services	5	4	3	2	1	9
Η.	Parking enforcement services	5	4	3	2	1	9
I.	The City's crime prevention education efforts including problem oriented policing, Neighborhood Watch, Citizens Academy, & other efforts	5	4	3	2	1	9

5. <u>PERCEPTIONS OF SAFETY</u>. Using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very safe" and 1 means "very unsafe," please rate how safe you feel in the following situations:

H	ow safe do you feel:	Very Safe	Safe	Neutral	Unsafe	Very Unsafe	Don't Know
Α.	Walking in your neighborhood during the day	5	4	3	2	1	9
В.	Walking in your neighborhood after dark	5	4	3	2	1	9
C.	In Downtown Lawrence in the day	5	4	3	2	1	9
D.	In Downtown Lawrence after dark	5	4	3	2	1	9
Ε.	In City parks during the day	5	4	3	2	1	9
F.	In City parks after dark	5	4	3	2	1	9

6. <u>FIRE AND EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES</u>. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very satisfied" and 1 means "very dissatisfied."

Ho	ow Satisfied are you with:	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Α.	Overall quality of fire services	5	4	3	2	1	9
В.	How quickly emergency medical services personnel respond	5	4	3	2	1	9
C.	Professionalism of the City's fire and emergency medical services personnel	5	4	3	2	1	9
D.	Quality of medical care provided by the City's fire medical services personnel	5	4	3	2	1	9
Ε.	The City's fire medical education programs	5	4	3	2	1	9
F.	The City's fire business inspection program	5	4	3	2	1	9

7. <u>PARKS AND RECREATION</u>. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very satisfied" and 1 means "very dissatisfied."

			outioniou		ballo vory		
Но	w Satisfied are you with:	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Α.	Appearance/cleanliness of City parks	5	4	3	2	1	9
В.	Condition of equipment and facilities at City parks (playgrounds, picnic shelters, etc.)	5	4	3	2	1	9
C.	Number of City parks	5	4	3	2	1	9
D.	Number of walking and biking trails	5	4	3	2	1	9
E.	City outdoor recreation facilities (ball diamonds, tennis courts, rec centers, picnic shelters, etc.)	5	4	3	2	1	9
F.	City indoor recreation facilities	5	4	3	2	1	9
G.	Availability of gym space	5	4	3	2	1	9
Η.	The City's indoor aquatic facilities	5	4	3	2	1	9
Ι.	The City's outdoor aquatic facilities	5	4	3	2	1	9
J.	Availability of youth sports fields in Lawrence	5	4	3	2	1	9
Κ.	Availability of adult sports fields in Lawrence	5	4	3	2	1	9
L.	Availability of information about parks and recreation programs	5	4	3	2	1	9
M.	Overall Quality of Eagle Bend Golf Course (appearance, operation and playability)	5	4	3	2	1	9
N.	Mowing & trimming along City streets	5	4	3	2	1	9
0.	Overall cleanliness of public areas in the City of Lawrence	5	4	3	2	1	9
Ρ.	Variety of recreation programs offered by the City	5	4	3	2	1	9
Q.	Cost of parks and recreation programs and services offered by the City	5	4	3	2	1	9
R.	The City's park and recreation website (www.lprd.org)	5	4	3	2	1	9

8. Which THREE of the <u>parks and recreation</u> items listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? [Write in the letters below using the letters from the list in Question 7 above].

1st:____ 2nd:____ 3rd:____

9. <u>MAINTENANCE AND PUBLIC WORKS</u>. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very satisfied" and 1 means "very dissatisfied."

Но	ow Satisfied are you with:	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Α.	Condition of major City streets	5	4	3	2	1	9
Β.	Condition of streets in your neighborhood	5	4	3	2	1	9
C.	Timeliness of street maintenance repairs	5	4	3	2	1	9
D.	Condition of sidewalks in your neighborhood	5	4	3	2	1	9
E.	Maintenance of street signs	5	4	3	2	1	9
F.	Adequacy of city street lighting	5	4	3	2	1	9
G.	Snow removal on major City streets	5	4	3	2	1	9
Η.	Snow removal on neighborhood streets	5	4	3	2	1	9
Ι.	Streetsweeping services provided by the City	5	4	3	2	1	9

10. Which THREE of the <u>maintenance and public works services</u> listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from city leaders over the next two years? [Write in the letters below using the letters from the list in Question 9 above.]

1st:____ 2nd:____ 3rd:____

11. <u>PUBLIC INFORMATION.</u> For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very satisfied" and 1 means "very dissatisfied."

H	ow Satisfied are you with:	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
A.	Availability of information about City services and activities	5	4	3	2	1	9
В.	Timeliness of information provided by the City	5	4	3	2	1	9
С.	The quality of the City's cable television channel	5	4	3	2	1	9
D.	Usefulness of the information that is available on the City's Web site	5	4	3	2	1	9
E.	Usefulness of the information that is available thru the City's social network sites (Twitter, Facebook, etc.)	5	4	3	2	1	9


12. <u>SOLID WASTE DISPOSAL SERVICES</u>. Rate your satisfaction with each item listed below using a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very satisfied" and 1 means "very dissatisfied."

Но	w Satisfied are you with:	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Α.	Residential trash services	5	4	3	2	1	9
В.	Yardwaste collection services	5	4	3	2	1	9
C.	City efforts to inform residents about recycling opportunities	5	4	3	2	1	9
D.	The City's drop-off recycling sites	5	4	3	2	1	9
Ε.	Household hazardous waste disposal service (for oil, paint, etc)	5	4	3	2	1	9

13. <u>WATER/WASTEWATER UTILITIES</u>. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very satisfied" and 1 means "very dissatisfied."

Но	w Satisfied are you with:	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
А.	Taste of your drinking water	5	4	3	2	1	9
В.	Smell of your drinking water	5	4	3	2	1	9
C.	Clarity of your drinking water	5	4	3	2	1	9
D.	The reliability of your water service	5	4	3	2	1	9
Ε.	Water pressure in your home	5	4	3	2	1	9
F.	The accuracy of your water bill	5	4	3	2	1	9
G.	How well the City keeps you informed about planned disruptions to your water service	5	4	3	2	1	9
Η.	City efforts to prevent backups of wastewater into your home	5	4	3	2	1	9
Ι.	City efforts to minimize the odor from wastewater treatment facilities	5	4	3	2	1	9
J.	Overall value that you receive for water and wastewater utility rates	5	4	3	2	1	9

14. Which THREE of the <u>water/wastewater utility issues</u> listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from city leaders over the next two years? [Write in the letters below using the letters from the list in Question 13 above.]



15. <u>TRANSPORTATION</u>. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very satisfied" and 1 means "very dissatisfied."

Ho	ow Satisfied are you with:	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Α.	Ease of north/south travel in Lawrence	5	4	3	2	1	9
Β.	Ease of east/west travel in Lawrence	5	4	3	2	1	9
C.	Availability of bicycle lanes	5	4	3	2	1	9
D.	Traffic signal coordination on major city streets	5	4	3	2	1	9
E.	The number of destinations served by public transportation in Lawrence	5	4	3	2	1	9
F.	The frequency of public transportation service in Lawrence (how often buses come by each stop)	5	4	3	2	1	9
G.	Availability of pedestrian (walking) paths in Lawrence	5	4	3	2	1	9
H.	Availability of biking lanes and paths in Lawrence	5	4	3	2	1	9
I.	Availability of parking in Downtown Lawrence	5	4	3	2	1	9

16. Which TWO of the <u>transportation issues</u> listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from city leaders over the next two years? [Write in the letters below using the letters from the list in Question 15 above.]

1st:____ 2nd:____

17. Several services provided by the City of Lawrence are listed below. For each one, please indicate if you used the service during the past 12 months.

Dı	uring the past 12 months have you:	YES	NO	Don't Remember
Α.	Used public transportation services operated by the City	1	2	9
Β.	Enrolled in recreation programs offered by the City	1	2	9
C.	Visited City recreation facilities	1	2	9
D.	Visited the City Library	1	2	9
Ε.	Received assistance from the City's Fire Medical Department	1	2	9
F.	Received assistance from the Police Department	1	2	9
G.	Visited a City park	1	2	9
Η.	Used a City walking/biking trail or path	1	2	9

18. Have you called or visited the City with a question, problem, or complaint during the past year?

___(1) Yes [answer Question 18a-e] ___(2) No [go to Question 19]

18a. [Only if YES to Q#18] Which department did you contact most recently?

- (01) City Manager's Office (includes Human Resources, City Clerk, and Risk Management)
- (02) Fire Medical
- (03) Municipal Court
 - (04) Planning and Development Services (planning, building inspections, code enforcement, community development)
- (05) Parks and Recreation
- ___(06) Police
- ___(07) Public Works (trash, streets, traffic signals/signs)
- ___(08) Transit
- (09) Utility Billing
- (10) Water/Wastewater Utility

____(11) Other: _____

18b-e.[Only if "YES" to Q#18] **Please indicate your level of agreement with the following** statements about the quality of service you received from city employees in the department you listed above by circling the corresponding number below.

Be	havior of Employees	Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly Disagree	Don't Know
b.	City employees were courteous and polite	5	4	3	2	1	9
С.	City employees were professional	5	4	3	2	1	9
d.	City employees were responsive to my concerns	5	4	3	2	1	9
е.	I was satisfied with the overall quality of service provided	5	4	3	2	1	9

19. <u>PERCEPTIONS OF DOWNTOWN</u>. Several items that may influence your perception of Downtown Lawrence are listed below. Please rate each item on a scale of 1 to 5 where 5 means "very satisfied" and 1 means "very dissatisfied."

Но	ow Satisfied are you with:	Very Satisfied	Satisfied	Neutral	Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know
Α.	The appearance and cleanliness of Downtown Lawrence	5	4	3	2	1	9
Β.	The availability of parking	5	4	3	2	1	9
C.	The types of retail and entertainment establishments that are available	5	4	3	2	1	9
D.	The hours businesses are open	5	4	3	2	1	9
Ε.	Ease of getting to Downtown Lawrence	5	4	3	2	1	9
F.	How safe you feel in Downtown Lawrence during the day	5	4	3	2	1	9
G.	How safe you feel in Downtown Lawrence after dark	5	4	3	2	1	9
Η.	Entertainment and programs in Downtown Lawrence (movies, concerts, special events, parades, etc.)	5	4	3	2	1	9
I.	Beautification of Downtown Lawrence (flowers, trees, etc.)	5	4	3	2	1	9

DEMOGRAPHICS 20. Approximately how many years have you lived in Lawrence? years 21. Are you a student in a college or university? ___(1) Yes ___(2) No 22. Do you own or rent your current residence? ___(1) Own ___(2) Rent 23. How many persons in your household (counting yourself), are in each of the following age groups? Under age 10 ____ Ages 20-34 ____ Ages 55-64 ____ Ages 10-19 ____ Ages 35-54 ____ Ages 65+ ____ 24. Which of the following best describes your race/ethnicity? ____(4) Native American/Eskimo (1) White/Caucasian ____(2) African American/Black ____(5) Mixed Race ____(6) Other_____ (3) Asian/Pacific Islander 25. Are you or other members of your household of Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino heritage?

___(2) No

___(1) Yes

- 26. What is your gender?
 - ___(1) Male
 - (2) Female
- 27. Do you have any other comments you would like to share with City leaders? [If so, please write your comments in the space below.]

This concludes the survey. Thank you for your time!

Please Return Your Completed Survey in the Enclosed Postage Paid Envelope Addressed to: ETC Institute, 725 W. Frontier Circle, Olathe, KS 66061

Individual responses to the survey will remain confidential. The information printed on the sticker to the right will ONLY be used by the City to understand differences in the experience based on geography. If your address is not correct, please provide the correct information.

2011 CITY OF LAWRENCE DIRECTIONFINDER® SURVEY -Appendix A: GIS Maps -

Submitted to: City of Lawrence, Kansas

By



725 W. Frontier Olathe, KS 66061 (913) 829- 1215

April 2011


Interpreting the Maps


The maps on the following pages show the mean ratings for several survey questions by Census Block Group. A Census Block Group is a division of geography used by the U.S. Census Bureau to aggregate population data for areas that are generally larger than a neighborhood, but smaller than a zip code.


If most of the areas on a map are the same color, then most residents of the City feel the same about the quality of the service regardless of where they live in the City.


When reading the charts, please use the following color scheme as a guide:


- **BLUE** shades indicate <u>POSITIVE</u> ratings. Shades of blue indicate that residents were satisfied with the service being accessed.
- OFF WHITE shades indicate <u>NEUTRAL</u> ratings. Shades of off-white generally indicate that residents are õokayö with the service being assessed. A õneutralö rating generally indicates that that respondent has not had an intense positive or negative experience with the service.
- **RED/ORANGE** shades indicate <u>NEGATIVE</u> ratings. Shades of red/orange indicate that residents were dissatisfied with the service being accessed.




















































































































































































































































































































































2011 CITY OF LAWRENCE DIRECTIONFINDER® SURVEY -Appendix C: Crosstabulations by Years Lived in the City, Students of the University and Home Owners versus Renters-

Submitted to: City of Lawrence, Kansas

By



725 W. Frontier Olathe, KS 66061 (913) 829- 1215

May 2011

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q1a. Overall quality	of police s	ervices									
5=Very Satisfied	22.4%	32.9%	22.5%	38.2%	30.4%	30.4%	33.3%	29.0%	29.6%	29.3%	29.4%
4=Satisfied	49.4%	49.0%	60.0%	49.3%	56.4%	55.6%	46.0%	54.8%	56.0%	44.6%	53.9%
3=Neutral	22.9%	14.8%	12.5%	10.3%	11.9%	10.9%	15.9%	13.1%	12.0%	18.9%	13.4%
2=Dissatisfied	3.5%	1.9%	3.8%	0.7%	1.3%	2.3%	4.8%	2.0%	1.8%	4.5%	2.3%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.8%	1.3%	1.3%	1.5%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	1.1%	0.6%	2.7%	1.0%

N=1307	Q20. Years Lived in Lawrence						Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	urrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q1b. Overall quality	of fire & e	mergency	medical s	ervices							
5=Very Satisfied	33.1%	41.4%	36.6%	47.4%	40.4%	45.1%	46.6%	40.9%	41.1%	43.6%	41.5%
4=Satisfied	51.4%	50.3%	49.0%	48.9%	52.9%	50.8%	44.8%	51.4%	52.3%	43.6%	50.8%
3=Neutral	14.8%	8.3%	13.8%	3.6%	6.3%	3.9%	8.6%	7.3%	6.4%	11.8%	7.4%
2=Dissatisfied	0.7%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.4%	0.2%	0.9%	0.3%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	vrence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q1c. City's efforts to	ensure cor	<u>nmunity is</u>	s prepared	for emerg	gencies						
5=Very Satisfied	11.8%	20.0%	19.4%	24.0%	20.8%	21.2%	25.0%	19.3%	19.5%	20.6%	19.9%
4=Satisfied	51.4%	53.6%	49.3%	52.7%	50.5%	49.6%	51.8%	50.7%	51.7%	47.1%	50.8%
3=Neutral	34.0%	20.7%	29.1%	19.4%	24.8%	26.3%	20.5%	26.5%	24.9%	30.4%	25.9%
2=Dissatisfied	2.1%	5.0%	2.2%	3.1%	3.5%	2.5%	1.8%	3.1%	3.4%	1.5%	3.0%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%	0.8%	0.5%	0.3%	0.9%	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.5%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10		16 to 20		31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q1d. Overall mainter	nance of Ci	<u>ty streets,</u>	sidewalks	& infrast	ructure						
5=Very Satisfied	4.1%	4.3%	3.0%	3.5%	1.3%	2.6%	3.6%	2.9%	2.5%	5.1%	3.0%
4=Satisfied	23.2%	26.5%	23.2%	27.3%	22.9%	26.3%	31.4%	24.2%	25.9%	21.7%	25.0%
3=Neutral	25.8%	25.9%	26.8%	31.5%	24.2%	29.6%	23.4%	27.9%	27.5%	26.8%	27.4%
2=Dissatisfied	32.5%	33.3%	38.7%	31.5%	37.2%	31.2%	30.7%	34.1%	33.4%	34.9%	33.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	14.4%	9.9%	8.3%	6.3%	14.3%	10.3%	10.9%	10.9%	10.7%	11.5%	10.9%

N=1307		020	Years Liv	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15		21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	10tai
Q1e. Effectiveness o	f communi	cation bet	ween City	& public							
5=Very Satisfied	7.8%	7.4%	6.7%	6.4%	6.6%	5.2%	8.1%	6.2%	5.9%	8.8%	6.4%
4=Satisfied	39.4%	42.0%	35.0%	40.4%	30.1%	40.5%	44.4%	37.2%	38.3%	36.1%	37.9%
3=Neutral	40.6%	36.4%	46.6%	34.0%	45.6%	38.7%	35.6%	41.0%	39.6%	44.1%	40.4%
2=Dissatisfied	10.6%	12.3%	9.8%	19.1%	13.7%	11.4%	10.4%	12.7%	13.4%	8.8%	12.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.7%	1.9%	1.8%	0.0%	4.0%	4.2%	1.5%	2.9%	2.8%	2.2%	2.7%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q1f. Flow of traffic &	& congestion	on manage	ement								
5=Very Satisfied	5.6%	6.6%	3.0%	4.2%	3.4%	2.8%	6.4%	3.7%	3.3%	7.2%	4.0%
4=Satisfied	43.4%	41.0%	36.9%	34.7%	29.3%	34.2%	42.9%	35.2%	37.1%	31.6%	36.0%
3=Neutral	21.9%	27.1%	25.6%	25.7%	26.3%	29.0%	22.9%	26.8%	25.8%	28.3%	26.4%
2=Dissatisfied	23.5%	20.5%	24.4%	25.0%	28.0%	26.5%	20.7%	25.6%	25.3%	24.5%	25.1%
1=Very Dissatisfied	5.6%	4.8%	10.1%	10.4%	12.9%	7.5%	7.1%	8.7%	8.5%	8.4%	8.5%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q1g. Quality of City	's stormwat	er manage	ement syst	tem							
5=Very Satisfied	6.5%	11.2%	8.9%	7.4%	5.9%	6.1%	12.0%	6.7%	7.0%	8.7%	7.3%
4=Satisfied	47.9%	47.4%	55.4%	42.2%	41.4%	51.7%	44.0%	48.7%	48.9%	45.7%	48.2%
3=Neutral	36.1%	30.9%	27.4%	33.3%	41.4%	29.9%	31.2%	33.3%	32.5%	34.7%	33.1%
2=Dissatisfied	7.7%	10.5%	7.6%	12.6%	8.1%	9.9%	10.4%	9.2%	9.1%	10.0%	9.3%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.8%	0.0%	0.6%	4.4%	3.2%	2.4%	2.4%	2.1%	2.4%	0.9%	2.1%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q1h. Overall quality	of City wa	ter utility	services								
5=Very Satisfied	14.4%	15.1%	14.6%	20.4%	11.4%	17.0%	21.7%	14.7%	14.7%	18.9%	15.4%
4=Satisfied	56.9%	60.4%	61.6%	54.0%	57.9%	60.3%	51.2%	59.8%	60.6%	50.9%	58.9%
3=Neutral	22.1%	20.1%	16.5%	19.7%	25.0%	16.7%	20.2%	19.7%	19.4%	20.6%	19.7%
2=Dissatisfied	6.1%	3.8%	5.5%	5.1%	4.8%	3.9%	6.2%	4.5%	3.7%	9.2%	4.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.6%	0.6%	1.8%	0.7%	0.9%	2.1%	0.8%	1.3%	1.5%	0.4%	1.3%

N=1307	Q20. Years Lived in Lawrence								Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q1i. Overall quality	of City was	stewater u	<u>tility servi</u>	<u>ces</u>							
5=Very Satisfied	14.6%	19.3%	20.3%	23.5%	13.2%	17.5%	24.4%	16.7%	16.7%	20.8%	17.5%
4=Satisfied	57.9%	54.0%	54.2%	53.0%	59.5%	57.7%	52.8%	57.1%	57.9%	51.4%	56.6%
3=Neutral	23.4%	22.0%	20.3%	18.9%	23.2%	21.0%	17.1%	22.1%	20.9%	24.1%	21.6%
2=Dissatisfied	4.1%	4.7%	4.6%	4.5%	2.7%	2.5%	5.7%	3.3%	3.6%	3.2%	3.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.0%	1.4%	1.4%	0.0%	0.8%	0.8%	0.5%	0.8%

N=1307		O20.	Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10			21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
<u>Q1j. Overall quality</u>	of City tras	h & yardv	waste servi	ices							
5=Very Satisfied	36.7%	41.9%	31.7%	41.0%	35.2%	35.5%	37.1%	36.5%	37.4%	32.9%	36.6%
4=Satisfied	50.0%	45.6%	51.2%	43.8%	48.9%	49.1%	46.2%	48.7%	48.2%	49.1%	48.4%
3=Neutral	9.6%	10.0%	9.8%	10.4%	10.6%	10.2%	12.9%	9.8%	9.6%	12.3%	10.1%
2=Dissatisfied	2.7%	1.9%	5.5%	3.5%	4.4%	3.9%	3.8%	3.7%	3.6%	4.4%	3.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.1%	0.6%	1.8%	1.4%	0.9%	1.3%	0.0%	1.3%	1.2%	1.3%	1.2%

N=1307		020	Years Liv	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10			21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	Total
Q1k. Overall quality	of plannin	g & devel	opmental	services							
5=Very Satisfied	10.0%	10.7%	7.1%	5.6%	8.5%	9.1%	14.3%	8.0%	8.3%	9.8%	8.7%
4=Satisfied	33.3%	30.3%	32.3%	29.9%	27.7%	28.9%	30.5%	29.8%	29.0%	34.7%	29.9%
3=Neutral	45.8%	44.3%	44.1%	42.1%	40.4%	40.7%	38.1%	42.8%	41.5%	45.7%	42.3%
2=Dissatisfied	9.2%	11.5%	13.4%	19.6%	18.1%	17.6%	13.3%	15.9%	17.4%	7.5%	15.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.7%	3.3%	3.1%	2.8%	5.3%	3.6%	3.8%	3.5%	3.8%	2.3%	3.5%

N=1307		O20.	Years Liv	ed in Law		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15			31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q11. Overall quality	of City's pı	ublic trans	portation s	services							
5=Very Satisfied	14.1%	15.0%	10.6%	18.7%	11.7%	11.6%	23.0%	11.8%	12.3%	16.0%	13.0%
4=Satisfied	37.2%	46.4%	42.3%	39.8%	31.7%	34.3%	39.3%	37.1%	36.8%	40.8%	37.4%
3=Neutral	36.5%	30.7%	30.3%	29.3%	35.6%	35.4%	21.3%	35.2%	35.2%	26.7%	33.7%
2=Dissatisfied	8.3%	6.4%	12.0%	6.5%	13.2%	12.7%	13.9%	10.2%	10.0%	13.1%	10.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.8%	1.4%	4.9%	5.7%	7.8%	5.9%	2.5%	5.6%	5.7%	3.4%	5.3%

N=1307 Q20. Years Lived in Lawrence							Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q1m. Quality of City's parks & recreation system											
5=Very Satisfied	28.9%	39.0%	24.5%	29.8%	22.4%	28.4%	34.8%	27.6%	26.7%	35.8%	28.4%
4=Satisfied	52.4%	46.5%	54.0%	56.0%	54.4%	53.9%	47.8%	53.7%	54.3%	48.0%	53.1%
3=Neutral	15.5%	12.6%	14.7%	12.1%	17.5%	14.1%	13.8%	14.7%	14.8%	13.5%	14.6%
2=Dissatisfied	2.1%	1.9%	4.3%	1.4%	4.4%	2.9%	2.9%	2.9%	3.1%	1.7%	2.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.1%	0.0%	2.5%	0.7%	1.3%	0.8%	0.7%	1.1%	1.1%	0.9%	1.0%

Q1. Major categories of services provided by the City of Lawrence are listed below. Please rate each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q1n. Quality of cust	omer servic	e provide	<u>d by City</u>								
5=Very Satisfied	17.1%	21.9%	16.8%	18.5%	14.7%	12.9%	25.6%	14.9%	14.9%	21.3%	16.0%
4=Satisfied	43.7%	48.6%	42.0%	49.2%	41.7%	55.0%	43.2%	48.5%	49.4%	42.2%	48.0%
3=Neutral	34.8%	26.0%	34.3%	29.2%	39.3%	27.8%	26.4%	32.2%	31.2%	33.2%	31.6%
2=Dissatisfied	3.2%	2.1%	5.6%	3.1%	3.8%	3.0%	4.0%	3.3%	3.6%	1.9%	3.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.3%	1.4%	1.4%	0.0%	0.5%	1.3%	0.8%	1.1%	1.0%	1.4%	1.0%

Q2. Which THREE of the major City services listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

N=1307	Q20. Years Lived in Lawrence 5 or less 6 to 10 11 to 15 16 to 20 21 to 30 3						Q21. College or University Student?		Rent Current Residence		Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20 2	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q2. Top choice											
Police service	17.3%	15.7%	11.8%	16.6%	15.4%	13.4%	13.6%	14.9%	14.3%	17.2%	14.8%
Fire & emergency medical services	10.2%	7.2%	7.7%	12.4%	7.3%	7.1%	7.1%	8.4%	8.2%	8.8%	8.3%
Community's preparedness for emergencies	17.3%	13.9%	12.4%	13.8%	11.1%	11.9%	14.3%	12.9%	13.1%	13.0%	13.1%
Maintenance of streets/ sidewalks/infrastructure	64.5%	70.5%	66.9%	68.3%	71.4%	70.2%	65.0%	69.4%	68.4%	71.4%	68.9%
Communication between City & public	17.8%	12.0%	9.5%	12.4%	15.0%	18.2%	15.7%	14.9%	14.8%	16.4%	15.0%
Flow of traffic & congestion management	36.0%	44.6%	44.4%	46.2%	50.0%	51.0%	47.9%	46.2%	46.2%	47.5%	46.4%
Stormwater management system	9.1%	8.4%	9.5%	12.4%	10.3%	13.1%	15.0%	10.4%	10.9%	9.7%	10.9%

Q2. Which THREE of the major City services listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

N=1307		Q20. Y	ears Live	d in Law	rence		Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20 2	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q2. Top choice (Cont.)											
Water utility services	8.1%	9.6%	10.7%	9.0%	7.7%	6.8%	11.4%	7.9%	7.6%	11.3%	8.3%
Wastewater utility services	3.0%	1.8%	1.8%	5.5%	2.1%	3.3%	2.9%	2.9%	3.0%	2.5%	2.9%
Trash & yardwaste services	8.1%	9.0%	13.0%	8.3%	5.6%	9.1%	10.7%	8.5%	8.6%	9.2%	8.7%
Planning & developmental services	14.2%	17.5%	23.1%	16.6%	22.6%	26.8%	17.9%	21.8%	23.6%	11.8%	21.3%
Public transportation services	22.8%	21.7%	13.0%	15.9%	17.5%	15.9%	22.1%	17.1%	16.4%	22.3%	17.6%
Parks & recreation system	20.3%	10.8%	16.6%	14.5%	12.4%	5.6%	14.3%	11.8%	12.0%	11.8%	12.1%
Customer service provided by City	2.0%	3.0%	6.5%	6.2%	3.4%	4.5%	2.1%	4.5%	4.4%	3.4%	4.2%
None chosen	11.7%	11.4%	13.6%	7.6%	11.1%	9.8%	10.0%	10.9%	11.1%	9.2%	10.8%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
<u>Q3a. Overall value y</u>	ou receive	for City ta	<u>ix & fees</u>								
5=Very Satisfied	9.0%	6.4%	7.5%	8.1%	9.6%	5.8%	12.3%	7.0%	7.3%	8.1%	7.5%
4=Satisfied	36.0%	46.5%	41.0%	48.9%	38.4%	44.0%	42.3%	42.3%	42.7%	40.3%	42.3%
3=Neutral	34.3%	34.4%	28.6%	27.4%	28.4%	31.8%	27.7%	31.3%	30.1%	34.8%	31.0%
2=Dissatisfied	17.4%	11.5%	19.9%	14.8%	18.8%	13.8%	14.6%	16.0%	16.4%	13.6%	15.8%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.4%	1.3%	3.1%	0.7%	4.8%	4.5%	3.1%	3.4%	3.5%	3.2%	3.4%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q3b. Overall image	of City										
5=Very Satisfied	26.0%	22.9%	24.2%	25.7%	20.2%	18.4%	28.5%	21.2%	19.4%	33.2%	22.0%
4=Satisfied	51.0%	58.4%	52.1%	59.3%	51.9%	58.3%	51.8%	55.8%	57.2%	47.2%	55.4%
3=Neutral	17.7%	11.4%	18.2%	10.7%	19.7%	16.6%	10.9%	16.9%	16.7%	14.0%	16.2%
2=Dissatisfied	4.7%	6.6%	5.5%	4.3%	6.4%	6.1%	8.0%	5.5%	6.0%	4.7%	5.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.5%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.7%	0.5%	0.7%	0.6%	0.6%	0.9%	0.6%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q3c. Livability of yo	our neighbo	orhood									
5=Very Satisfied	37.4%	33.7%	37.3%	38.9%	27.4%	32.5%	34.5%	33.7%	33.3%	35.7%	33.8%
4=Satisfied	48.2%	51.2%	50.0%	52.8%	55.1%	57.4%	48.2%	54.0%	54.4%	48.7%	53.3%
3=Neutral	8.7%	11.4%	6.0%	5.6%	13.7%	6.1%	7.2%	8.6%	8.3%	9.2%	8.5%
2=Dissatisfied	5.1%	3.0%	6.0%	2.8%	2.6%	3.3%	8.6%	3.1%	3.4%	5.0%	3.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.5%	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%	1.3%	0.8%	1.4%	0.6%	0.6%	1.3%	0.7%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q3d. Upkeep of you	r neighborh	nood									
5=Very Satisfied	26.3%	19.9%	24.1%	31.9%	18.4%	23.9%	24.5%	23.6%	22.8%	26.9%	23.7%
4=Satisfied	49.0%	55.4%	53.0%	47.2%	48.7%	53.4%	52.5%	51.3%	53.4%	44.1%	51.4%
3=Neutral	16.5%	17.5%	13.3%	12.5%	22.2%	11.7%	12.9%	15.6%	14.3%	19.3%	15.3%
2=Dissatisfied	7.2%	7.2%	9.0%	8.3%	9.0%	9.4%	7.9%	8.6%	8.4%	9.2%	8.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1.7%	1.5%	2.2%	0.9%	1.1%	0.4%	1.0%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	rence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total	
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q3e. Overall quality	of City ser	vices									
5=Very Satisfied	15.3%	15.9%	14.5%	19.7%	13.3%	13.0%	16.7%	14.5%	13.8%	19.1%	14.7%
4=Satisfied	54.0%	62.8%	62.4%	62.0%	56.7%	66.1%	65.2%	60.8%	62.2%	56.6%	61.2%
3=Neutral	26.5%	19.5%	20.0%	16.9%	26.6%	18.4%	15.2%	22.0%	21.2%	21.3%	21.2%
2=Dissatisfied	3.2%	1.8%	2.4%	1.4%	3.4%	2.0%	2.9%	2.4%	2.4%	2.6%	2.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q3f. Overall quality	of life in C	<u>ity</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	29.5%	29.4%	32.7%	33.8%	21.8%	23.3%	30.4%	26.7%	26.5%	30.3%	27.1%
4=Satisfied	53.9%	54.0%	50.9%	52.8%	57.3%	56.2%	54.3%	54.7%	55.7%	50.4%	54.7%
3=Neutral	13.5%	12.3%	12.7%	12.0%	13.2%	15.6%	10.1%	14.1%	13.5%	13.9%	13.7%
2=Dissatisfied	2.6%	3.1%	3.6%	1.4%	6.8%	3.6%	5.1%	3.6%	3.6%	4.6%	3.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.5%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	1.3%	0.0%	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%

N=1307		O20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10		16 to 20		31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q3g. Efforts to prom	ote econon	nic develo	<u>pment</u>								
5=Very Satisfied	10.9%	9.7%	8.9%	5.2%	4.9%	6.6%	11.0%	7.0%	7.0%	9.5%	7.4%
4=Satisfied	34.3%	32.3%	29.9%	31.3%	26.8%	26.6%	33.9%	28.9%	28.3%	34.4%	29.4%
3=Neutral	27.4%	32.3%	31.2%	35.8%	29.0%	34.2%	28.3%	32.2%	30.7%	36.2%	31.8%
2=Dissatisfied	20.0%	20.0%	22.3%	20.9%	25.4%	23.4%	16.5%	23.1%	24.6%	13.1%	22.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	7.4%	5.8%	7.6%	6.7%	13.8%	9.2%	10.2%	8.7%	9.4%	6.8%	8.9%

N=1307		O20.	Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10			21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
<u>Q3h. Overall quality</u>	of new dev	velopment									
5=Very Satisfied	7.7%	3.9%	6.3%	1.4%	3.6%	4.7%	10.8%	4.0%	4.1%	7.1%	4.7%
4=Satisfied	35.4%	27.9%	28.8%	32.6%	22.3%	20.0%	31.5%	25.6%	24.1%	35.4%	26.2%
3=Neutral	29.3%	37.0%	26.9%	38.3%	36.6%	41.1%	35.4%	35.9%	36.0%	35.0%	35.9%
2=Dissatisfied	21.0%	23.4%	31.9%	21.3%	26.3%	26.8%	13.8%	26.8%	27.5%	16.8%	25.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	6.6%	7.8%	6.3%	6.4%	11.2%	7.4%	8.5%	7.7%	8.2%	5.8%	7.7%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q3i. How well City i	<u>s planning</u>	<u>growth</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	12.0%	3.4%	4.4%	2.2%	2.2%	2.2%	7.3%	3.7%	3.6%	5.6%	4.0%
4=Satisfied	28.0%	26.4%	22.8%	21.3%	16.1%	22.6%	31.5%	21.5%	19.9%	34.6%	22.5%
3=Neutral	29.1%	38.5%	32.3%	33.1%	33.0%	33.7%	29.0%	33.7%	33.3%	32.7%	33.3%
2=Dissatisfied	22.3%	23.6%	29.7%	34.6%	33.0%	28.6%	23.4%	29.3%	30.9%	19.2%	28.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	8.6%	8.1%	10.8%	8.8%	15.6%	12.9%	8.9%	11.8%	12.3%	7.9%	11.5%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
<u>Q3j. Mix of retail, re</u>	esidential, &	entertain	iment esta	blishment	s in Downto	own Lawre	nce				
5=Very Satisfied	17.4%	13.6%	12.2%	11.4%	9.6%	6.0%	18.1%	9.8%	8.8%	19.9%	10.7%
4=Satisfied	43.2%	45.1%	42.7%	46.4%	32.8%	32.5%	39.9%	38.5%	38.6%	37.7%	38.6%
3=Neutral	18.4%	24.7%	21.3%	22.1%	28.4%	34.1%	13.8%	28.1%	27.5%	22.1%	26.5%
2=Dissatisfied	15.3%	15.4%	20.1%	17.9%	20.1%	19.4%	20.3%	18.1%	19.4%	14.3%	18.3%
1=Very Dissatisfied	5.8%	1.2%	3.7%	2.1%	9.2%	7.9%	8.0%	5.5%	5.7%	6.1%	5.8%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Live	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q4a. Frequency poli	ce officers j	patrol you	r neighbor	hood							
5=Very Satisfied	12.4%	11.5%	9.7%	14.2%	11.1%	10.9%	12.9%	11.3%	10.2%	16.4%	11.4%
4=Satisfied	42.6%	43.2%	43.5%	43.3%	43.5%	47.1%	48.5%	43.9%	45.3%	40.5%	44.4%
3=Neutral	30.2%	31.8%	27.3%	27.6%	30.4%	30.8%	24.2%	30.7%	30.9%	26.4%	29.9%
2=Dissatisfied	11.8%	11.5%	16.9%	12.6%	12.6%	8.1%	11.4%	11.6%	11.1%	13.2%	11.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.0%	2.0%	2.6%	2.4%	2.4%	3.1%	3.0%	2.6%	2.5%	3.6%	2.7%

Q4. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means	
"Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")	

N=1307	Q21. College or University		Q22. Own or Rent Current								
		Q20.	Years Live	ed in Law	rence		Stude	Student?		ence	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q4b. Efforts by polic	e to prever	nt crime in	i your neig	hborhood	<u>1</u>						
5=Very Satisfied	11.5%	11.4%	13.4%	14.4%	12.8%	11.6%	11.4%	12.4%	11.0%	17.4%	12.3%
4=Satisfied	40.4%	38.6%	40.8%	36.4%	43.8%	46.5%	48.8%	41.5%	43.9%	35.7%	42.3%
3=Neutral	37.2%	46.4%	36.6%	41.5%	35.0%	35.2%	30.9%	38.6%	38.1%	36.2%	37.7%
2=Dissatisfied	8.3%	2.9%	7.0%	4.2%	6.9%	5.5%	6.5%	5.8%	5.6%	7.2%	5.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.6%	0.7%	2.1%	3.4%	1.5%	1.2%	2.4%	1.6%	1.3%	3.4%	1.7%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q4c. How quickly p	olice respor	nd to emer	rgencies								
5=Very Satisfied	18.1%	32.3%	27.8%	23.7%	31.0%	26.4%	27.0%	26.9%	26.2%	29.5%	26.9%
4=Satisfied	40.2%	36.2%	48.1%	51.8%	50.5%	52.2%	46.8%	48.0%	49.3%	41.6%	47.8%
3=Neutral	36.2%	30.0%	20.3%	21.1%	16.0%	17.9%	19.8%	22.2%	22.1%	21.6%	21.9%
2=Dissatisfied	2.4%	0.8%	2.3%	2.6%	2.5%	2.9%	6.3%	1.9%	2.0%	4.2%	2.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.1%	0.8%	1.5%	0.9%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1.1%	0.5%	3.2%	1.0%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q4d. Professionalisn	n of police	officers									
5=Very Satisfied	21.8%	32.0%	25.7%	34.8%	36.6%	29.1%	33.9%	29.7%	30.2%	29.9%	30.1%
4=Satisfied	48.3%	49.0%	51.3%	44.7%	48.6%	50.3%	47.6%	49.2%	50.2%	44.1%	49.1%
3=Neutral	19.0%	12.2%	16.4%	18.2%	11.6%	13.6%	11.3%	15.0%	14.3%	15.7%	14.6%
2=Dissatisfied	7.5%	6.1%	3.9%	1.5%	2.8%	5.9%	7.3%	4.5%	4.4%	6.9%	4.8%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.4%	0.7%	2.6%	0.8%	0.5%	1.1%	0.0%	1.5%	0.9%	3.4%	1.4%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q4e. How effectivel	y City enfo	rces traffi	c offenses								
5=Very Satisfied	9.0%	13.5%	15.6%	11.5%	16.2%	11.9%	16.9%	12.5%	10.8%	21.8%	13.0%
4=Satisfied	43.4%	41.9%	41.1%	49.6%	36.7%	41.5%	44.4%	41.5%	44.3%	30.6%	41.8%
3=Neutral	32.4%	34.5%	30.5%	26.7%	34.3%	31.3%	27.4%	32.3%	31.3%	34.0%	31.8%
2=Dissatisfied	11.7%	6.1%	8.5%	9.9%	10.0%	11.1%	9.7%	9.9%	10.2%	8.7%	9.8%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.4%	4.1%	4.3%	2.3%	2.9%	4.3%	1.6%	3.9%	3.4%	4.9%	3.6%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q4f. School Resourc	e Officers										
5=Very Satisfied	11.6%	14.0%	18.1%	23.6%	17.8%	14.5%	18.7%	15.9%	16.4%	15.4%	16.2%
4=Satisfied	38.9%	45.2%	30.9%	38.2%	36.9%	44.7%	42.9%	39.7%	41.2%	37.1%	40.1%
3=Neutral	36.8%	37.6%	44.7%	31.5%	36.9%	37.6%	27.5%	38.9%	36.3%	41.3%	37.5%
2=Dissatisfied	8.4%	3.2%	5.3%	4.5%	7.6%	1.6%	9.9%	3.9%	4.7%	4.2%	4.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	4.2%	0.0%	1.1%	2.2%	0.6%	1.6%	1.1%	1.6%	1.4%	2.1%	1.5%

Q4. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means	
"Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")	

N=1307		Q21. College or University		Q22. Own or Rent Current							
		Q20.	Years Live	ed in Law	rence		Stude	nt?	Reside	ence	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q4g. Availability &	effectivene	ss of anim	nal control	services							
5=Very Satisfied	10.6%	16.1%	10.6%	13.2%	14.4%	11.5%	17.9%	11.9%	12.2%	14.1%	12.6%
4=Satisfied	42.5%	43.2%	39.8%	48.1%	36.9%	43.1%	41.5%	42.0%	43.0%	37.9%	42.0%
3=Neutral	38.9%	33.1%	39.0%	28.3%	38.0%	38.3%	31.1%	37.4%	36.6%	36.7%	36.7%
2=Dissatisfied	5.3%	5.9%	8.9%	7.5%	7.0%	4.2%	8.5%	5.7%	5.4%	9.0%	6.0%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.7%	1.7%	1.6%	2.8%	3.7%	2.9%	0.9%	2.9%	2.8%	2.3%	2.7%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q4h. Parking enforce	ement servi	<u>ces</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	12.1%	15.0%	7.3%	7.8%	12.2%	9.1%	19.1%	9.5%	8.7%	18.7%	10.5%
4=Satisfied	47.7%	51.7%	49.6%	55.0%	41.6%	49.1%	46.1%	49.0%	49.2%	46.5%	48.7%
3=Neutral	28.2%	25.9%	35.8%	30.2%	38.1%	34.3%	25.2%	33.6%	34.1%	26.3%	32.7%
2=Dissatisfied	8.7%	5.4%	6.6%	5.4%	5.6%	4.9%	7.0%	5.7%	6.1%	4.5%	5.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.4%	2.0%	0.7%	1.6%	2.5%	2.6%	2.6%	2.2%	1.9%	4.0%	2.3%

N=1307		Q20.	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total				
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q4i. City's crime pre	evention edu	ucation ef	<u>forts</u>								
5=Very Satisfied	15.8%	11.2%	10.3%	10.1%	18.3%	13.0%	16.5%	13.1%	12.0%	19.8%	13.5%
4=Satisfied	36.0%	34.6%	35.3%	46.8%	36.6%	43.8%	43.7%	39.3%	40.6%	36.6%	39.7%
3=Neutral	35.1%	41.1%	40.5%	35.8%	36.0%	38.7%	27.2%	39.3%	39.2%	31.4%	37.9%
2=Dissatisfied	12.3%	11.2%	12.1%	6.4%	7.4%	4.1%	11.7%	7.3%	7.7%	8.7%	7.8%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.9%	1.9%	1.7%	0.9%	1.7%	0.3%	1.0%	1.1%	0.5%	3.5%	1.1%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Lawr	rence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	urrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q5a. Walking in	neighborh	ood durin	<u>g daytime</u>								
5=Very Safe	73.2%	67.3%	77.1%	75.4%	67.8%	63.4%	70.8%	69.1%	69.5%	69.0%	69.2%
4=Safe	24.7%	26.5%	19.9%	18.3%	25.7%	29.9%	22.6%	25.7%	25.4%	25.0%	25.4%
3=Neutral	2.1%	5.6%	2.4%	4.9%	4.8%	5.4%	5.1%	4.3%	4.2%	4.3%	4.4%
2=Unsafe	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1.4%	1.7%	1.0%	0.7%	0.9%	0.8%	1.3%	0.9%
1=Very Unsafe	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.7%	0.1%	0.1%	0.4%	0.2%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Lawr	rence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	urrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q5b. Walking in	n neighborh	ood after	<u>dark</u>								
5=Very Safe	31.9%	36.8%	37.9%	35.5%	36.2%	29.8%	36.2%	33.6%	34.3%	32.1%	33.9%
4=Safe	42.9%	42.3%	42.0%	47.5%	38.4%	46.1%	39.9%	43.8%	44.3%	39.7%	43.4%
3=Neutral	17.3%	14.1%	11.8%	12.8%	13.1%	15.0%	11.6%	14.5%	14.3%	13.7%	14.2%
2=Unsafe	7.3%	6.7%	8.3%	3.5%	10.9%	7.5%	8.7%	7.5%	6.5%	13.2%	7.7%
1=Very Unsafe	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.3%	1.6%	3.6%	0.5%	0.7%	1.3%	0.9%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Lawı	rence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	urrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q5c. In Downto	wn Lawren	ce during	<u>daytime</u>								
5=Very Safe	63.0%	58.4%	54.2%	61.1%	53.2%	48.3%	53.6%	55.0%	54.2%	59.7%	54.9%
4=Safe	33.3%	33.7%	36.7%	33.3%	37.2%	39.2%	36.2%	36.3%	37.4%	30.5%	36.3%
3=Neutral	3.1%	5.4%	6.6%	2.1%	4.8%	8.1%	5.8%	5.5%	5.3%	6.4%	5.6%
2=Unsafe	0.5%	2.4%	1.8%	3.5%	3.5%	2.8%	3.6%	2.3%	2.4%	3.0%	2.5%
1=Very Unsafe	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	1.3%	1.5%	0.7%	0.8%	0.8%	0.4%	0.8%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Lawı	ence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	urrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
<u>Q5d. In Downto</u>	wn Lawren	ice after d	<u>ark</u>								
5=Very Safe	20.0%	25.0%	11.8%	19.9%	19.4%	12.3%	19.7%	16.8%	16.6%	19.8%	17.1%
4=Safe	40.0%	41.7%	40.4%	39.0%	36.5%	31.9%	40.1%	36.6%	36.5%	39.6%	37.0%
3=Neutral	22.6%	17.9%	24.8%	24.1%	18.9%	30.0%	20.4%	24.6%	25.4%	17.6%	24.1%
2=Unsafe	14.2%	14.1%	17.4%	16.3%	21.2%	21.9%	15.3%	18.8%	18.5%	18.1%	18.4%
1=Very Unsafe	3.2%	1.3%	5.6%	0.7%	4.1%	3.9%	4.4%	3.2%	2.9%	4.8%	3.4%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Lawr	ence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	urrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q5e. In City par	<u>ks during d</u>	aytime									
5=Very Safe	51.9%	46.6%	45.1%	52.1%	47.1%	41.3%	45.3%	46.4%	45.7%	49.3%	46.3%
4=Safe	40.0%	43.5%	42.7%	35.9%	37.3%	41.6%	39.6%	40.4%	41.2%	35.8%	40.3%
3=Neutral	7.0%	8.1%	9.1%	8.5%	9.8%	10.9%	8.6%	9.3%	9.0%	10.5%	9.3%
2=Unsafe	1.1%	1.2%	2.4%	3.5%	4.9%	3.6%	5.0%	2.8%	2.9%	3.5%	3.0%
1=Very Unsafe	0.0%	0.6%	0.6%	0.0%	0.9%	2.6%	1.4%	1.1%	1.2%	0.9%	1.1%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	rence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	urrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
<u>Q5f. In City parl</u>	ks after darl	<u>k</u>									
5=Very Safe	9.1%	14.5%	6.1%	7.7%	9.8%	8.1%	6.9%	9.3%	9.7%	6.5%	9.1%
4=Safe	20.0%	29.0%	17.7%	26.9%	22.5%	16.8%	29.2%	20.0%	19.7%	27.1%	21.1%
3=Neutral	35.2%	29.0%	32.0%	28.5%	26.5%	36.6%	29.2%	32.5%	33.0%	27.6%	32.1%
2=Unsafe	31.5%	25.5%	32.7%	33.1%	33.8%	31.3%	26.9%	32.0%	31.6%	31.3%	31.4%
1=Very Unsafe	4.2%	2.1%	11.6%	3.8%	7.4%	7.3%	7.7%	6.2%	6.0%	7.5%	6.4%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	vrence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	ırrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
<u>Q6a. Overall quality</u>	of fire serv	vices									
5=Very Satisfied	39.2%	36.7%	41.7%	47.1%	38.3%	39.8%	46.7%	39.4%	39.0%	45.9%	40.1%
4=Satisfied	46.4%	50.8%	48.3%	43.8%	53.7%	54.3%	43.0%	51.9%	52.9%	41.8%	51.0%
3=Neutral	13.6%	12.5%	9.2%	9.1%	6.1%	6.0%	7.5%	8.5%	7.8%	10.8%	8.4%
2=Dissatisfied	0.8%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	1.4%	0.0%	2.8%	0.2%	0.4%	1.0%	0.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%

Q6. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means	
"Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")	

N=1307							Q21. Col Univer	U	Q22. O [.] Rent Cu		
		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	rence		Stude	nt?	Reside	ence	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q6b. How quickly er	nergency n	nedical ser	rvices pers	sonnel res	<u>pond</u>						
5=Very Satisfied	37.2%	40.0%	41.3%	47.4%	41.5%	44.1%	47.5%	41.8%	41.8%	45.9%	42.4%
4=Satisfied	46.9%	47.8%	42.2%	39.7%	47.7%	48.7%	38.4%	47.3%	48.1%	38.9%	46.4%
3=Neutral	12.4%	11.3%	15.6%	12.9%	10.4%	7.0%	11.1%	10.3%	9.9%	11.9%	10.4%
2=Dissatisfied	2.7%	0.9%	0.9%	0.0%	0.5%	0.3%	3.0%	0.4%	0.3%	2.7%	0.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	rence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	urrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q6c. Professionalism	n of City's f	fire & eme	ergency me	edical serv	vices person	nnel					
5=Very Satisfied	43.7%	45.2%	44.6%	54.5%	48.8%	47.9%	56.9%	46.6%	45.9%	55.4%	47.7%
4=Satisfied	44.5%	45.2%	43.0%	38.8%	42.4%	46.5%	32.1%	45.4%	46.2%	34.9%	44.0%
3=Neutral	10.9%	9.5%	10.7%	6.6%	8.8%	5.4%	9.2%	7.8%	7.7%	8.7%	7.9%
2=Dissatisfied	0.8%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	1.8%	0.1%	0.2%	0.5%	0.3%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.5%	0.1%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	rence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	urrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q6d. Quality of med	ical care pr	ovided by	fire medi	cal service	es personne	<u>1</u>					
5=Very Satisfied	38.1%	42.0%	40.2%	47.4%	45.1%	42.6%	51.1%	41.8%	41.4%	48.5%	42.8%
4=Satisfied	43.8%	43.0%	42.3%	43.3%	42.2%	49.1%	37.0%	46.0%	46.8%	37.9%	45.1%
3=Neutral	17.1%	15.0%	16.5%	9.3%	12.1%	8.3%	12.0%	11.8%	11.6%	12.4%	11.8%
2=Dissatisfied	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%	0.6%	0.2%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.1%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	red in Law	vrence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	urrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q6e. City's fire/medi	ical educati	<u>on progra</u>	<u>ms</u>								
5=Very Satisfied	26.2%	31.9%	31.3%	31.0%	31.8%	27.5%	35.8%	28.8%	29.4%	30.9%	29.5%
4=Satisfied	35.7%	31.9%	38.8%	42.5%	35.8%	43.5%	43.2%	38.6%	39.6%	36.0%	39.1%
3=Neutral	35.7%	35.2%	27.5%	24.1%	31.8%	29.0%	19.8%	31.6%	30.2%	30.9%	30.3%
2=Dissatisfied	1.2%	1.1%	1.3%	2.3%	0.7%	0.0%	1.2%	0.8%	0.8%	0.7%	0.8%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.2%	0.0%	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	1.5%	0.3%

N=1307 Q20. Years Lived in Lawrence								Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence	
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q6f. City's fire/business inspection program											
5=Very Satisfied	20.0%	25.3%	27.2%	20.9%	27.1%	22.0%	36.4%	22.1%	23.1%	25.7%	23.6%
4=Satisfied	41.3%	39.1%	34.6%	52.3%	36.8%	42.8%	35.1%	42.0%	41.4%	40.3%	41.2%
3=Neutral	36.3%	33.3%	37.0%	23.3%	34.0%	33.0%	28.6%	33.4%	33.1%	31.9%	32.9%
2=Dissatisfied	1.3%	1.1%	1.2%	3.5%	2.1%	1.1%	0.0%	1.8%	1.9%	0.7%	1.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.3%	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	0.0%	0.8%	0.5%	1.4%	0.7%

N=1307 Q20. Years Lived in Lawrence								Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence	
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q7a. Appearance/cleanliness of City parks											
5=Very Satisfied	26.2%	28.8%	26.7%	29.1%	29.1%	29.4%	36.6%	27.4%	27.3%	31.9%	28.4%
4=Satisfied	61.7%	60.0%	59.6%	60.3%	58.1%	60.9%	55.2%	60.8%	61.0%	58.5%	60.2%
3=Neutral	8.2%	9.4%	10.6%	8.5%	10.1%	8.7%	6.7%	9.5%	9.6%	6.6%	9.2%
2=Dissatisfied	2.7%	1.9%	3.1%	2.1%	1.8%	1.0%	1.5%	2.0%	1.8%	2.6%	1.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%

Q7. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means	
"Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")	

N=1307							Q21. Col Univer	0	Q22. O Rent Cu		
		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	Stude	Student?		Residence			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q7b. Condition of ec	uipment &	facilities	<u>at City pa</u>	<u>rks</u>							
5=Very Satisfied	21.8%	29.3%	16.8%	20.1%	18.3%	18.9%	29.4%	19.3%	19.7%	23.5%	20.4%
4=Satisfied	57.5%	54.1%	60.2%	60.4%	61.6%	65.6%	54.4%	61.8%	61.7%	58.4%	61.0%
3=Neutral	16.2%	14.0%	18.0%	17.3%	15.6%	14.1%	10.3%	16.2%	15.7%	14.6%	15.5%
2=Dissatisfied	3.4%	2.5%	4.3%	2.2%	3.6%	1.3%	5.1%	2.4%	2.6%	3.1%	2.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.7%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%	0.4%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	ırrent	Total	
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q7c. Number of City	<u>/ parks</u>										
5=Very Satisfied	27.0%	36.4%	22.7%	27.7%	20.3%	22.7%	31.9%	24.4%	23.1%	34.4%	25.2%
4=Satisfied	48.1%	46.9%	53.4%	47.5%	52.8%	56.7%	49.3%	52.4%	53.6%	46.3%	52.0%
3=Neutral	17.3%	10.5%	16.6%	16.3%	15.6%	14.4%	12.3%	15.4%	15.6%	11.9%	15.0%
2=Dissatisfied	6.5%	6.2%	6.7%	7.1%	8.2%	5.7%	6.5%	6.6%	6.7%	6.2%	6.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.1%	0.0%	0.6%	1.4%	3.0%	0.5%	0.0%	1.2%	1.1%	1.3%	1.1%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q7d. Number of wal	<u>king & biki</u>	ing trails									
5=Very Satisfied	25.7%	28.3%	20.0%	20.3%	19.2%	20.0%	28.9%	20.9%	19.3%	32.9%	21.8%
4=Satisfied	39.6%	47.2%	46.9%	39.1%	44.5%	49.5%	45.9%	45.2%	47.0%	38.2%	45.3%
3=Neutral	18.2%	11.3%	17.5%	16.7%	17.5%	20.0%	15.6%	17.7%	17.6%	16.4%	17.5%
2=Dissatisfied	14.4%	11.3%	14.4%	21.0%	16.2%	9.2%	8.1%	14.2%	14.0%	11.1%	13.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.1%	1.9%	1.3%	2.9%	2.6%	1.4%	1.5%	2.0%	2.1%	1.3%	1.9%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q7e. City outdoor re	ecreation fac	<u>cilities</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	20.9%	26.2%	15.1%	23.4%	19.0%	20.6%	23.7%	20.3%	19.1%	27.2%	20.6%
4=Satisfied	53.1%	47.0%	55.3%	46.7%	51.3%	52.7%	53.4%	51.2%	53.4%	43.3%	51.5%
3=Neutral	15.3%	22.1%	18.9%	19.7%	19.0%	21.7%	15.3%	20.3%	19.4%	20.3%	19.7%
2=Dissatisfied	9.0%	4.0%	9.4%	8.0%	8.4%	4.7%	7.6%	6.8%	6.6%	8.8%	6.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.7%	0.7%	1.3%	2.2%	2.2%	0.3%	0.0%	1.4%	1.4%	0.5%	1.2%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q7f. City indoor rec	reation faci	<u>lities</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	13.6%	21.9%	12.1%	17.8%	15.2%	16.0%	17.5%	15.8%	15.4%	18.8%	16.0%
4=Satisfied	41.5%	38.0%	46.1%	43.4%	47.6%	46.7%	44.7%	44.7%	45.3%	42.6%	44.7%
3=Neutral	27.9%	29.9%	27.0%	23.3%	21.9%	28.5%	22.8%	27.0%	26.7%	24.9%	26.5%
2=Dissatisfied	13.6%	9.5%	13.5%	14.0%	9.5%	8.0%	14.0%	10.2%	10.8%	9.6%	10.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.4%	0.7%	1.4%	1.6%	5.7%	0.9%	0.9%	2.4%	1.9%	4.1%	2.2%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	urrent	Total	
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
<u>Q7g. Availability of</u>	gym space										
5=Very Satisfied	14.9%	19.8%	13.0%	16.7%	12.2%	15.7%	16.3%	15.0%	14.5%	17.9%	15.1%
4=Satisfied	35.1%	33.1%	38.9%	33.3%	43.1%	41.2%	41.3%	38.3%	39.6%	34.8%	38.6%
3=Neutral	27.6%	33.1%	26.7%	30.7%	29.4%	33.2%	30.8%	30.6%	30.2%	31.5%	30.6%
2=Dissatisfied	18.7%	12.4%	17.6%	16.7%	9.6%	8.3%	8.7%	13.0%	13.0%	10.3%	12.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.7%	1.7%	3.8%	2.6%	5.6%	1.6%	2.9%	3.1%	2.6%	5.4%	3.1%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q7h. City's indoor a	quatic facili	ties									
5=Very Satisfied	27.5%	34.3%	37.9%	29.4%	22.6%	22.6%	28.4%	27.4%	27.2%	29.1%	27.5%
4=Satisfied	47.3%	41.6%	43.6%	45.2%	53.8%	51.0%	45.1%	48.6%	49.6%	41.3%	48.2%
3=Neutral	16.8%	17.5%	14.3%	20.6%	18.6%	23.2%	19.6%	19.3%	18.7%	22.3%	19.4%
2=Dissatisfied	6.1%	5.8%	2.9%	3.2%	4.0%	3.2%	2.9%	4.1%	3.9%	4.5%	4.0%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.3%	0.7%	1.4%	1.6%	1.0%	0.0%	3.9%	0.6%	0.6%	2.8%	0.9%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q7i. City's outdoor a	quatic facil	ities									
5=Very Satisfied	21.2%	26.8%	31.9%	23.6%	24.0%	18.5%	22.3%	23.2%	23.0%	23.4%	23.1%
4=Satisfied	45.9%	43.3%	46.4%	52.0%	50.0%	53.7%	57.1%	48.8%	49.9%	48.4%	49.6%
3=Neutral	23.3%	22.0%	18.8%	15.7%	19.5%	23.6%	15.2%	21.8%	20.9%	21.7%	21.1%
2=Dissatisfied	6.8%	7.9%	2.9%	6.3%	4.5%	4.3%	4.5%	5.2%	5.1%	5.4%	5.1%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	2.0%	0.0%	0.9%	1.0%	1.0%	1.1%	1.0%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
<u>Q7j. Availability of </u>	youth sport	<u>s fields</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	19.8%	26.9%	22.6%	21.8%	23.6%	17.1%	27.0%	20.5%	20.6%	23.0%	21.1%
4=Satisfied	47.9%	38.7%	46.1%	47.1%	44.7%	50.0%	50.0%	46.1%	46.8%	47.3%	46.5%
3=Neutral	28.9%	26.1%	21.7%	24.4%	23.1%	26.3%	15.0%	26.3%	25.0%	24.8%	25.2%
2=Dissatisfied	2.5%	7.6%	5.2%	4.2%	6.0%	6.0%	8.0%	5.2%	5.9%	3.6%	5.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.8%	0.8%	4.3%	2.5%	2.5%	0.6%	0.0%	1.9%	1.8%	1.2%	1.7%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
<u>Q7k. Availability of</u>	adult sports	<u>s fields</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	22.9%	26.5%	20.0%	24.3%	21.5%	16.8%	21.7%	20.9%	20.3%	23.5%	21.0%
4=Satisfied	45.8%	38.5%	46.7%	43.5%	45.1%	51.9%	56.6%	45.4%	47.2%	44.8%	46.6%
3=Neutral	25.2%	30.8%	27.5%	27.0%	25.6%	28.1%	16.0%	28.7%	27.7%	24.6%	27.3%
2=Dissatisfied	3.8%	3.4%	3.3%	4.3%	5.1%	3.2%	4.7%	3.7%	3.8%	4.4%	3.8%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.3%	0.9%	2.5%	0.9%	2.6%	0.0%	0.9%	1.4%	1.0%	2.7%	1.3%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	Unive	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence				
	5 or less	6 to 10		16 to 20		31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	Total
<u>Q71. Availability of i</u>	nformation	<u>about pa</u>	urks & reci	reation pro	ograms						
5=Very Satisfied	18.1%	27.0%	25.4%	27.3%	19.0%	22.4%	22.3%	22.7%	23.3%	20.1%	22.7%
4=Satisfied	46.3%	46.8%	50.7%	47.1%	49.2%	50.0%	48.2%	48.8%	50.2%	41.9%	48.7%
3=Neutral	22.8%	19.0%	19.6%	19.8%	26.7%	23.0%	18.8%	22.8%	21.1%	27.9%	22.4%
2=Dissatisfied	10.7%	5.6%	3.6%	5.8%	3.6%	4.5%	9.8%	4.9%	4.7%	8.9%	5.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.0%	1.6%	0.7%	0.0%	1.5%	0.0%	0.9%	0.8%	0.8%	1.1%	0.8%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
<u>Q7m. Overall quality</u>	y of Eagle I	Bend Golf	Course								
5=Very Satisfied	17.0%	12.6%	14.1%	24.1%	22.0%	15.6%	25.3%	16.5%	16.5%	21.0%	17.4%
4=Satisfied	45.0%	47.4%	49.5%	46.0%	46.3%	47.6%	44.8%	47.3%	48.1%	44.1%	47.1%
3=Neutral	34.0%	30.5%	30.3%	24.1%	26.8%	33.8%	27.6%	30.9%	29.8%	32.9%	30.6%
2=Dissatisfied	1.0%	9.5%	5.1%	4.6%	3.7%	1.1%	2.3%	3.6%	3.9%	1.4%	3.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.0%	0.0%	1.0%	1.1%	1.2%	1.9%	0.0%	1.7%	1.7%	0.7%	1.5%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q7n. Mowing & trin	nming alon	<u>g City stre</u>	<u>eets</u>								
5=Very Satisfied	15.6%	18.7%	19.4%	23.1%	20.7%	14.9%	25.0%	17.2%	16.7%	24.1%	18.0%
4=Satisfied	57.8%	54.0%	54.2%	55.2%	56.8%	59.2%	54.7%	57.1%	58.0%	52.4%	56.8%
3=Neutral	20.2%	18.0%	18.1%	14.2%	16.2%	18.7%	15.6%	18.0%	17.7%	17.9%	17.8%
2=Dissatisfied	5.2%	8.7%	7.7%	6.7%	5.4%	6.1%	4.7%	6.7%	6.8%	4.7%	6.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.2%	0.7%	0.6%	0.7%	0.9%	1.1%	0.0%	1.0%	0.9%	0.9%	0.9%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q70. Overall cleanli	ness of pub	lic areas									
5=Very Satisfied	18.7%	24.2%	17.4%	23.0%	18.5%	16.9%	23.7%	18.6%	17.8%	24.9%	19.1%
4=Satisfied	53.3%	58.8%	60.2%	57.6%	59.0%	62.5%	60.3%	59.1%	60.7%	53.4%	59.2%
3=Neutral	22.0%	15.7%	16.8%	16.5%	16.2%	15.3%	11.5%	17.5%	17.1%	14.9%	16.8%
2=Dissatisfied	4.9%	0.7%	5.6%	2.2%	5.4%	4.5%	4.6%	4.1%	3.9%	5.4%	4.1%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.1%	0.7%	0.0%	0.7%	0.9%	0.8%	0.0%	0.8%	0.6%	1.4%	0.7%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total				
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q7p. Variety of recr	eation prog	rams offer	red by City	<u>y</u>							
5=Very Satisfied	17.5%	35.0%	25.5%	33.1%	22.0%	20.3%	21.2%	24.4%	24.2%	23.3%	24.1%
4=Satisfied	54.8%	46.4%	46.3%	50.8%	54.7%	58.8%	57.6%	53.0%	54.6%	49.0%	53.5%
3=Neutral	19.9%	15.0%	24.2%	11.5%	18.7%	19.5%	14.4%	19.0%	17.6%	22.3%	18.6%
2=Dissatisfied	4.8%	2.9%	3.4%	3.8%	3.7%	1.1%	5.9%	2.6%	2.7%	4.0%	2.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.0%	0.7%	0.7%	0.8%	0.9%	0.3%	0.8%	1.0%	0.8%	1.5%	0.9%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Live	ed in Law	rence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	urrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q7q. Cost of parks &	k recreation	programs	s & service	<u>es</u>							
5=Very Satisfied	16.1%	33.6%	27.9%	25.2%	19.5%	17.5%	19.3%	22.2%	21.6%	23.3%	21.9%
4=Satisfied	55.0%	38.0%	45.0%	54.5%	48.3%	51.7%	55.5%	48.5%	50.3%	45.6%	49.3%
3=Neutral	22.1%	23.4%	18.6%	17.1%	25.9%	26.7%	17.6%	24.1%	22.9%	24.4%	23.4%
2=Dissatisfied	6.0%	4.4%	7.9%	3.3%	3.4%	3.7%	7.6%	4.2%	4.3%	5.7%	4.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.0%	2.9%	0.3%	0.0%	1.0%	0.8%	1.0%	0.9%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q7r. City's park & re	ecreation we	ebsite									
5=Very Satisfied	18.5%	27.8%	27.6%	25.3%	18.6%	14.7%	28.8%	19.6%	19.6%	25.6%	20.7%
4=Satisfied	45.2%	37.4%	43.9%	42.4%	44.1%	43.4%	38.5%	43.6%	44.4%	38.1%	43.0%
3=Neutral	25.8%	28.7%	20.3%	27.3%	32.9%	37.8%	26.9%	30.8%	30.1%	30.0%	30.4%
2=Dissatisfied	6.5%	5.2%	6.5%	4.0%	3.1%	3.6%	2.9%	4.8%	4.4%	5.6%	4.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	4.0%	0.9%	1.6%	1.0%	1.2%	0.4%	2.9%	1.2%	1.6%	0.6%	1.4%

Q8. Which THREE of the parks and recreation items listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

N=1307		<u>`</u>		ed in Law			Q21. Col Unive Stude	rsity ent?	Q22. O Rent C Reside	urrent ence	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20 2	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q8. Top choice											
Appearance & cleanliness of City parks	17.3%	17.5%	15.4%	18.6%	21.8%	16.4%	22.9%	17.1%	17.0%	21.4%	17.8%
Condition of equipment & facilities at City parks	21.3%	21.7%	18.3%	25.5%	20.9%	20.7%	27.1%	20.5%	21.0%	22.3%	21.2%
Number of City parks	17.8%	13.3%	10.1%	9.7%	15.0%	10.9%	16.4%	12.3%	12.1%	15.1%	12.7%
Number of walking & biking trails	32.0%	29.5%	28.4%	34.5%	33.3%	26.0%	30.0%	29.9%	30.3%	28.6%	29.9%
City outdoor recreation facilities	8.6%	12.7%	13.0%	9.0%	9.0%	10.9%	9.3%	10.6%	10.5%	10.5%	10.5%
City indoor recreation facilities	13.2%	13.9%	17.8%	13.8%	13.7%	17.7%	15.0%	15.4%	15.9%	12.6%	15.4%
Availability of gym space	12.2%	11.4%	15.4%	17.9%	12.8%	13.1%	16.4%	13.2%	14.2%	10.5%	13.5%
City's indoor aquatic facilities	7.1%	6.0%	9.5%	7.6%	3.8%	4.0%	7.9%	5.6%	5.6%	5.9%	5.8%

Q8. Which THREE of the parks and recreation items listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

N=1307		Q20. Y	ears Live	d in Law	rence		Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total
	5 or less	<u> </u>		16 to 20 2		31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q8. Top choice (Cont.)											
City's outdoor aquatic facilities	10.2%	7.8%	7.1%	11.0%	5.6%	7.6%	7.9%	8.0%	8.2%	6.3%	8.0%
Availability of youth sports fields	5.6%	11.4%	10.7%	12.4%	10.3%	7.8%	5.7%	9.7%	9.5%	8.4%	9.3%
Availability of adult sports fields	3.0%	5.4%	3.6%	4.1%	3.8%	4.0%	4.3%	3.9%	3.4%	6.3%	4.0%
Availability of information about parks & recreation programs	11.2%	7.8%	7.1%	5.5%	6.8%	5.8%	4.3%	7.5%	6.6%	10.1%	7.2%
Quality of Eagle Bend Golf Course	3.0%	5.4%	4.7%	4.8%	3.8%	5.3%	5.7%	4.5%	5.0%	2.5%	4.6%
Mowing & trimming along City streets	13.2%	16.3%	14.2%	12.4%	12.4%	17.4%	15.7%	14.7%	15.5%	11.3%	14.8%
Cleanliness of public areas	19.3%	18.7%	22.5%	17.9%	20.9%	19.9%	20.0%	20.0%	19.4%	22.7%	20.0%

Q8. Which THREE of the parks and recreation items listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

N=1307		Q20. Y	ears Live	ed in Law	rence		Q21. Col Unive Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent C Reside	urrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20 2	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q8. Top choice (Cont.)											
Variety of recreation programs	13.7%	6.6%	8.3%	4.1%	9.4%	7.6%	10.7%	8.1%	7.8%	11.3%	8.4%
Cost of parks & recreation programs & services	10.2%	9.0%	13.0%	12.4%	10.7%	12.1%	10.0%	11.5%	10.6%	14.7%	11.3%
City's parks & recreation website	4.1%	4.2%	4.7%	3.4%	3.0%	1.8%	2.9%	3.3%	3.1%	3.8%	3.2%
None chosen	20.8%	21.7%	20.7%	19.3%	21.4%	23.2%	16.4%	22.2%	21.9%	19.7%	21.7%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	vrence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	ırrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q9a. Condition of m	ajor City st	reets									
5=Very Satisfied	3.6%	6.1%	2.4%	5.6%	1.7%	3.1%	4.3%	3.4%	3.2%	4.7%	3.5%
4=Satisfied	34.0%	29.9%	36.1%	40.1%	33.0%	39.6%	37.4%	35.8%	36.0%	36.5%	35.9%
3=Neutral	22.2%	22.0%	21.7%	18.3%	20.6%	24.9%	22.3%	22.2%	23.2%	16.7%	22.2%
2=Dissatisfied	30.4%	33.5%	30.1%	32.4%	27.5%	26.2%	25.9%	29.6%	28.5%	32.6%	29.2%
1=Very Dissatisfied	9.8%	8.5%	9.6%	3.5%	17.2%	6.2%	10.1%	9.1%	9.1%	9.4%	9.2%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q9b. Condition of st	reets in you	r neighbo	rhood								
5=Very Satisfied	7.7%	6.1%	9.0%	7.7%	3.0%	5.9%	9.3%	5.9%	6.1%	6.8%	6.3%
4=Satisfied	35.1%	37.0%	41.3%	32.2%	30.9%	45.7%	36.4%	38.5%	38.3%	38.6%	38.3%
3=Neutral	22.7%	21.8%	22.2%	24.5%	18.9%	18.6%	20.0%	20.9%	20.8%	20.3%	20.8%
2=Dissatisfied	24.2%	27.3%	20.4%	29.4%	35.6%	21.4%	22.1%	26.3%	25.6%	26.7%	25.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	10.3%	7.9%	7.2%	6.3%	11.6%	8.4%	12.1%	8.4%	9.1%	7.6%	8.8%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q9c. Timeliness of s	treet mainte	enance rep	<u>pairs</u>								
5=Very Satisfied	1.6%	2.5%	4.2%	6.6%	2.2%	3.1%	1.5%	3.4%	3.2%	3.1%	3.2%
4=Satisfied	24.9%	24.1%	23.0%	25.5%	21.8%	30.5%	28.4%	25.5%	25.8%	26.3%	25.8%
3=Neutral	22.2%	34.6%	30.9%	29.2%	28.8%	29.2%	24.6%	29.5%	30.5%	21.5%	29.0%
2=Dissatisfied	36.2%	27.8%	30.3%	32.8%	35.8%	29.7%	33.6%	31.7%	30.5%	38.6%	31.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	15.1%	11.1%	11.5%	5.8%	11.4%	7.4%	11.9%	9.9%	10.1%	10.5%	10.1%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q9d. Condition of sig	dewalks in	your neig	hborhood								
5=Very Satisfied	12.4%	6.8%	10.3%	11.4%	6.1%	8.6%	11.4%	8.7%	8.5%	10.9%	9.0%
4=Satisfied	38.2%	39.8%	45.5%	41.4%	37.2%	46.2%	45.5%	41.5%	43.0%	37.6%	41.9%
3=Neutral	23.1%	25.5%	20.6%	25.7%	28.6%	23.1%	21.2%	24.8%	23.7%	26.6%	24.4%
2=Dissatisfied	17.2%	19.3%	15.8%	19.3%	18.6%	15.9%	14.4%	17.7%	17.8%	16.2%	17.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	9.1%	8.7%	7.9%	2.1%	9.5%	6.2%	7.6%	7.3%	7.0%	8.7%	7.3%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	vrence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total	
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q9e. Maintenance of	f street sign	<u>IS</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	12.7%	16.8%	15.9%	22.9%	13.1%	14.5%	22.6%	14.5%	14.4%	19.6%	15.4%
4=Satisfied	57.7%	61.5%	57.3%	55.7%	54.1%	60.3%	54.0%	58.5%	58.9%	54.8%	58.0%
3=Neutral	22.8%	18.6%	23.8%	15.0%	26.6%	21.8%	16.8%	22.6%	22.4%	19.6%	21.9%
2=Dissatisfied	4.8%	1.9%	3.0%	5.0%	4.4%	2.4%	5.8%	3.1%	3.0%	4.8%	3.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.1%	1.2%	0.0%	1.4%	1.7%	1.1%	0.7%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%	1.3%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	ırrent	Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q9f. Adequacy of Ci	ity street lig	<u>thting</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	9.8%	9.7%	7.9%	15.6%	9.5%	10.5%	12.2%	10.1%	9.6%	13.4%	10.3%
4=Satisfied	41.5%	43.6%	48.5%	40.4%	43.1%	52.1%	41.0%	46.6%	48.0%	37.9%	46.0%
3=Neutral	28.0%	24.2%	19.4%	24.8%	24.1%	22.6%	23.0%	23.8%	23.4%	24.1%	23.7%
2=Dissatisfied	16.1%	16.4%	17.6%	14.9%	16.8%	12.1%	18.0%	14.7%	14.1%	19.4%	15.1%
1=Very Dissatisfied	4.7%	6.1%	6.7%	4.3%	6.5%	2.8%	5.8%	4.7%	4.8%	5.2%	4.8%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	urrent	Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q9g. Snow removal	<u>on major C</u>	ity streets	L								
5=Very Satisfied	15.9%	17.1%	15.6%	22.2%	20.2%	20.6%	20.0%	18.8%	19.6%	16.1%	18.9%
4=Satisfied	53.3%	53.0%	51.5%	54.2%	53.6%	58.5%	53.6%	54.9%	55.7%	50.8%	54.8%
3=Neutral	14.9%	12.2%	15.0%	16.0%	12.9%	14.2%	12.1%	14.4%	14.0%	14.8%	14.1%
2=Dissatisfied	10.3%	12.2%	12.6%	6.9%	9.0%	4.3%	9.3%	8.3%	7.4%	12.7%	8.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	5.6%	5.5%	5.4%	0.7%	4.3%	2.3%	5.0%	3.6%	3.4%	5.5%	3.8%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q9h. Snow removal	on neighbor	rhood stre	ets								
5=Very Satisfied	7.3%	10.9%	6.6%	13.3%	11.7%	12.5%	12.1%	10.5%	11.0%	9.3%	10.7%
4=Satisfied	33.2%	32.1%	35.5%	37.8%	39.8%	44.4%	32.1%	39.2%	40.6%	29.7%	38.4%
3=Neutral	20.7%	18.8%	18.7%	23.8%	18.2%	22.2%	17.1%	21.0%	20.7%	19.5%	20.5%
2=Dissatisfied	23.8%	23.0%	25.9%	19.6%	17.3%	15.6%	26.4%	19.0%	18.3%	25.8%	19.8%
1=Very Dissatisfied	15.0%	15.2%	13.3%	5.6%	13.0%	5.4%	12.1%	10.3%	9.4%	15.7%	10.5%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total				
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q9i. Streetsweeping	services pr	ovided by	City								
5=Very Satisfied	5.8%	10.3%	7.7%	10.9%	10.5%	9.3%	8.8%	9.2%	8.6%	10.8%	9.1%
4=Satisfied	45.3%	46.8%	38.5%	39.4%	39.3%	43.2%	40.0%	42.5%	41.8%	45.5%	42.2%
3=Neutral	32.6%	26.3%	34.0%	31.4%	31.1%	32.0%	33.6%	31.1%	31.6%	30.0%	31.4%
2=Dissatisfied	11.0%	10.3%	14.1%	16.8%	14.2%	11.2%	11.2%	12.8%	13.0%	10.3%	12.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	5.2%	6.4%	5.8%	1.5%	5.0%	4.3%	6.4%	4.5%	5.0%	3.3%	4.7%

Q10. Which THREE of the maintenance and public works services listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

N=1307	5 or less	<u> </u>		ed in Law 16 to 20 2		31+	Q21. Col Unive Stude Yes	rsity	Q22. O Rent C Resid	urrent	Total
Q10. Top choice											
Condition of major City streets	49.2%	54.2%	60.4%	56.6%	63.2%	60.6%	55.7%	58.4%	58.5%	56.7%	58.1%
Condition of neighborhood streets	40.6%	37.3%	39.6%	40.7%	44.0%	39.4%	42.1%	40.1%	41.9%	32.4%	40.3%
Timeliness of street maintenance repairs	52.8%	41.6%	42.6%	49.7%	51.3%	51.5%	55.0%	48.3%	49.9%	45.8%	49.0%
Condition of neighborhood sidewalks	23.9%	29.5%	17.8%	25.5%	23.9%	23.7%	23.6%	24.0%	23.4%	26.1%	23.9%
Maintenance of street signs	4.6%	2.4%	1.8%	3.4%	4.3%	2.0%	4.3%	2.8%	2.7%	3.8%	3.0%
Adequacy of City street lighting	20.3%	23.5%	26.0%	20.7%	19.2%	26.0%	21.4%	23.2%	22.6%	24.8%	23.0%
Snow removal on major City streets	17.8%	20.5%	18.3%	17.2%	11.1%	10.6%	15.7%	14.7%	13.8%	18.5%	14.8%

Q10. Which THREE of the maintenance and public works services listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

N=1307 Q20. Years Lived in Lawrence								llege or rsity ent?	Q22. O Rent C Reside	urrent	Total
	5 or less 6 to 10 11 to 15 16 to 20 21 to 30 31+					31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q10. Top choice (Cont.)											
Snow removal on neighborhood streets	37.1%	36.1%	39.1%	29.0%	26.9%	28.8%	39.3%	31.1%	29.3%	43.7%	32.0%
Streetsweeping services	9.1%	10.2%	11.2%	13.1%	12.8%	14.1%	12.1%	12.2%	13.7%	5.5%	12.2%
None chosen	9.1%	10.2%	8.9%	9.0%	8.1%	9.8%	7.1%	9.5%	9.5%	8.4%	9.3%

N=1307		Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total				
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q11a. Availability o	f informatio	on about C	City servic	es & activ	<u>ities</u>						
5=Very Satisfied	9.4%	19.0%	12.7%	14.0%	14.2%	14.6%	19.0%	13.4%	13.8%	14.8%	14.0%
4=Satisfied	42.1%	46.9%	49.3%	50.0%	50.5%	56.2%	47.6%	50.8%	51.1%	47.6%	50.5%
3=Neutral	37.4%	31.3%	30.7%	28.7%	28.8%	27.1%	26.2%	30.5%	29.7%	31.0%	30.0%
2=Dissatisfied	9.4%	2.7%	7.3%	6.6%	6.1%	2.1%	7.1%	4.9%	4.9%	6.2%	5.1%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Live	ed in Law	rence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	urrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q11b. Timeliness of	informatio	n provideo	<u>l by City</u>								
5=Very Satisfied	8.4%	12.8%	11.6%	13.1%	11.7%	12.3%	16.9%	11.1%	11.6%	11.8%	11.7%
4=Satisfied	43.1%	48.9%	41.8%	46.9%	44.1%	49.7%	48.4%	46.1%	47.1%	43.1%	46.4%
3=Neutral	35.9%	34.8%	37.7%	32.3%	37.1%	34.0%	27.4%	36.1%	35.2%	35.1%	35.2%
2=Dissatisfied	10.8%	3.5%	8.9%	6.9%	6.1%	3.7%	7.3%	6.0%	5.5%	9.5%	6.1%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.9%	0.3%	0.0%	0.7%	0.6%	0.5%	0.6%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q11c. Quality of Cit	y's cable tel	levision cl	hannel								
5=Very Satisfied	7.9%	10.7%	7.9%	12.2%	8.6%	11.3%	5.1%	10.5%	9.8%	10.5%	9.9%
4=Satisfied	40.2%	32.8%	36.2%	41.7%	29.7%	40.1%	32.3%	37.6%	37.7%	33.7%	37.1%
3=Neutral	36.2%	42.6%	38.6%	25.2%	38.3%	32.0%	45.5%	33.9%	35.2%	33.7%	35.0%
2=Dissatisfied	11.8%	9.8%	10.2%	11.3%	19.4%	12.5%	11.1%	13.1%	12.0%	17.4%	12.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.9%	4.1%	7.1%	9.6%	4.0%	4.1%	6.1%	5.0%	5.2%	4.7%	5.1%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. College or University Student? Yes No		Q22. O Rent C Resid	urrent	Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q11d. Usefulness of	informatio	on on City	's website								
5=Very Satisfied	10.0%	14.5%	12.6%	10.3%	13.8%	9.7%	17.8%	10.8%	11.1%	13.4%	11.6%
4=Satisfied	41.5%	43.6%	42.5%	47.7%	36.5%	42.3%	43.6%	41.8%	42.7%	38.4%	42.0%
3=Neutral	44.6%	34.2%	39.4%	39.3%	44.9%	44.9%	35.6%	42.9%	41.9%	43.0%	42.1%
2=Dissatisfied	1.5%	6.8%	5.5%	1.9%	3.6%	2.6%	3.0%	3.6%	3.3%	4.7%	3.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.3%	0.9%	0.0%	0.9%	1.2%	0.4%	0.0%	1.0%	0.9%	0.6%	0.9%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total				
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q11e. Usefulness of	information	n thru City	y's social r								
5=Very Satisfied	9.1%	11.3%	10.6%	12.7%	13.2%	9.8%	10.9%	10.9%	11.4%	8.4%	10.9%
4=Satisfied	31.8%	30.2%	33.3%	31.7%	26.4%	29.3%	34.5%	29.5%	30.3%	29.5%	30.0%
3=Neutral	53.0%	54.7%	47.0%	46.0%	56.0%	59.1%	54.5%	54.0%	53.6%	55.8%	54.1%
2=Dissatisfied	3.0%	3.8%	3.0%	9.5%	2.2%	1.8%	0.0%	3.8%	2.7%	6.3%	3.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.0%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%	2.2%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	2.0%	0.0%	1.6%

Q12. Rate your satisfaction with each item listed below using a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means "Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q12a. Residential tra	ash services	<u>}</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	40.7%	49.7%	44.6%	44.7%	41.6%	47.8%	43.1%	45.4%	46.4%	39.7%	45.1%
4=Satisfied	54.5%	44.8%	43.4%	48.9%	52.2%	45.7%	46.7%	48.3%	47.6%	50.2%	48.1%
3=Neutral	4.8%	4.3%	7.8%	5.0%	5.3%	4.2%	8.8%	4.6%	4.3%	8.3%	5.0%
2=Dissatisfied	0.0%	1.2%	3.0%	1.4%	0.4%	2.1%	1.5%	1.4%	1.4%	1.7%	1.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.4%	0.3%	0.0%	0.4%	0.4%	0.0%	0.3%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	rence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	urrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q12b. Yardwaste co	llection serv	vices									
5=Very Satisfied	40.4%	50.3%	41.4%	44.4%	38.1%	46.8%	43.2%	43.9%	44.7%	39.4%	43.9%
4=Satisfied	48.7%	37.9%	43.4%	43.7%	50.2%	42.6%	44.1%	44.5%	44.9%	41.7%	44.4%
3=Neutral	6.4%	8.5%	11.2%	6.7%	10.3%	7.4%	10.2%	8.2%	7.1%	15.0%	8.4%
2=Dissatisfied	2.6%	3.3%	3.3%	3.7%	0.4%	2.9%	1.7%	2.7%	2.8%	1.7%	2.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.9%	0.0%	0.7%	1.5%	0.9%	0.3%	0.8%	0.7%	0.5%	2.2%	0.8%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	rence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	ırrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q12c. City's efforts t	to inform re	esidents ab	out recyc	ling oppor	<u>tunities</u>						
5=Very Satisfied	10.7%	17.4%	23.6%	19.0%	17.0%	24.2%	15.2%	19.9%	20.7%	13.8%	19.4%
4=Satisfied	32.6%	38.5%	29.9%	39.4%	39.9%	40.9%	31.8%	38.3%	38.7%	32.3%	37.6%
3=Neutral	28.7%	19.9%	20.4%	21.2%	25.7%	25.0%	21.2%	24.3%	23.8%	24.4%	24.0%
2=Dissatisfied	18.0%	18.0%	18.5%	17.5%	14.2%	7.8%	21.2%	13.4%	12.6%	21.7%	14.2%
1=Very Dissatisfied	10.1%	6.2%	7.6%	2.9%	3.2%	2.2%	10.6%	4.1%	4.2%	7.8%	4.8%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	rence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	urrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q12d. City's drop-of	f recycling	sites									
5=Very Satisfied	14.2%	10.7%	21.4%	19.8%	16.1%	20.0%	13.4%	17.9%	17.9%	15.9%	17.4%
4=Satisfied	34.3%	41.6%	37.2%	41.2%	38.2%	42.0%	36.2%	39.9%	40.6%	34.6%	39.5%
3=Neutral	32.0%	26.2%	21.4%	19.8%	27.6%	24.6%	26.0%	25.4%	25.3%	25.5%	25.5%
2=Dissatisfied	14.2%	16.1%	13.8%	13.0%	15.6%	11.9%	19.7%	13.1%	12.9%	17.8%	13.8%
1=Very Dissatisfied	5.3%	5.4%	6.2%	6.1%	2.5%	1.4%	4.7%	3.8%	3.4%	6.3%	3.9%

N=1307		O20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	vrence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	urrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10		16 to 20		31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q12e. Household has	zardous wa	ste dispos	al service								
5=Very Satisfied	12.7%	14.3%	18.9%	24.2%	15.8%	22.0%	16.5%	18.9%	18.7%	19.1%	18.7%
4=Satisfied	41.3%	37.3%	32.6%	32.3%	38.4%	38.6%	34.0%	37.6%	37.9%	33.3%	37.2%
3=Neutral	26.2%	20.6%	23.5%	21.0%	24.1%	23.4%	28.2%	22.8%	22.3%	28.4%	23.3%
2=Dissatisfied	16.7%	19.8%	18.9%	12.9%	17.2%	12.9%	16.5%	15.7%	15.9%	14.8%	15.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.2%	7.9%	6.1%	9.7%	4.4%	3.1%	4.9%	5.1%	5.3%	4.3%	5.1%

Q13. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means	;
"Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")	

N=1307							Q21. Col Univer		Q22. O Rent Cu		
		Q20.	Years Live	ed in Law	vrence		Stude	nt?	Residence		Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q13a. Taste of your	drinking wa	ater									
5=Very Satisfied	14.2%	16.1%	19.0%	16.0%	16.1%	19.3%	15.3%	17.4%	17.2%	17.6%	17.2%
4=Satisfied	47.9%	49.7%	50.6%	51.4%	50.0%	55.0%	49.6%	51.6%	52.6%	45.5%	51.4%
3=Neutral	21.6%	16.1%	17.3%	20.1%	15.2%	15.4%	20.4%	16.8%	17.3%	16.7%	17.2%
2=Dissatisfied	12.1%	12.4%	10.1%	11.1%	16.5%	7.5%	13.1%	10.9%	10.3%	15.0%	11.2%
1=Very Dissatisfied	4.2%	5.6%	3.0%	1.4%	2.2%	2.8%	1.5%	3.3%	2.7%	5.2%	3.1%

Q13. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means
"Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")

N=1307							Q21. Col Univer	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu	ırrent	
		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	rence		Stude	nt?	Residence		Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q13b. Smell of your	drinking w	<u>vater</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	16.8%	16.0%	21.4%	15.4%	16.5%	19.0%	17.4%	17.8%	17.4%	19.7%	17.8%
4=Satisfied	47.4%	52.8%	49.4%	51.7%	50.0%	55.9%	52.2%	51.8%	53.3%	45.1%	51.9%
3=Neutral	22.1%	18.4%	17.9%	24.5%	19.1%	18.7%	22.5%	19.5%	20.1%	18.0%	19.8%
2=Dissatisfied	10.0%	9.8%	8.9%	6.3%	13.0%	4.6%	7.2%	8.5%	7.4%	12.9%	8.3%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.7%	3.1%	2.4%	2.1%	1.3%	1.8%	0.7%	2.4%	1.8%	4.3%	2.3%

Q13. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means
"Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")

N=1307							Q21. Col Univer		Q22. O [.] Rent Cu		
		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	vrence		Stude	nt?	Residence		Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q13c. Clarity of your	r drinking v	water									
5=Very Satisfied	17.3%	19.6%	19.6%	18.8%	19.1%	22.1%	18.1%	20.0%	19.5%	21.7%	19.8%
4=Satisfied	49.7%	55.2%	57.7%	58.3%	56.5%	60.0%	53.6%	57.1%	58.5%	48.5%	56.8%
3=Neutral	24.1%	16.6%	14.3%	18.1%	17.0%	14.4%	19.6%	16.6%	16.6%	18.7%	17.0%
2=Dissatisfied	6.8%	7.4%	7.1%	4.2%	7.0%	2.8%	8.7%	5.1%	4.5%	9.4%	5.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.1%	1.2%	1.2%	0.7%	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%	1.1%	0.9%	1.7%	1.0%

Q13. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means	
"Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")	

N=1307							Q21. Col Univer	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu	ırrent	
		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	rence		Stude	nt?	Residence		Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q13d. Reliability of	your water	service									
5=Very Satisfied	30.9%	34.6%	34.7%	31.9%	32.6%	33.3%	33.6%	33.0%	32.9%	34.1%	33.0%
4=Satisfied	58.1%	55.6%	58.7%	56.3%	55.7%	55.7%	51.8%	57.0%	56.8%	55.2%	56.5%
3=Neutral	8.9%	7.4%	6.0%	10.4%	10.9%	9.4%	11.7%	8.7%	8.6%	10.3%	9.0%
2=Dissatisfied	2.1%	1.2%	0.6%	1.4%	0.9%	1.3%	2.2%	1.1%	1.4%	0.4%	1.2%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.0%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.7%	0.2%	0.3%	0.0%	0.2%

Q13. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means	
"Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")	

N=1307							Q21. Col Univer	0	Q22. O Rent Cu	ırrent	
		Q20.	Years Live	ed in Law	rence		Stude	nt?	Residence		Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q13e. Water pressure	e in your he	ome									
5=Very Satisfied	27.7%	28.8%	26.9%	32.9%	28.7%	32.5%	33.6%	29.5%	30.2%	28.4%	29.9%
4=Satisfied	52.7%	56.4%	58.7%	50.7%	53.5%	50.8%	47.8%	53.9%	53.9%	51.5%	53.3%
3=Neutral	10.6%	5.5%	8.4%	10.7%	8.3%	12.4%	11.9%	9.5%	9.3%	10.9%	9.8%
2=Dissatisfied	5.3%	5.5%	6.0%	5.7%	7.4%	3.6%	3.7%	5.5%	5.3%	5.7%	5.3%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.7%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.2%	0.8%	3.0%	1.5%	1.3%	3.5%	1.6%

Q13. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 means
"Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")

N=1307							Q21. Col Univer		Q22. O [.] Rent Cu		
		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	rence		Stude	nt?	Residence		Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q13f. Accuracy of ye	our water b	<u>ill</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	17.2%	18.3%	18.8%	22.2%	14.5%	19.6%	27.3%	17.3%	17.0%	23.9%	18.3%
4=Satisfied	48.5%	48.6%	49.0%	51.3%	46.0%	48.0%	43.0%	48.9%	49.7%	42.1%	48.3%
3=Neutral	23.7%	23.2%	19.5%	21.4%	27.5%	23.7%	17.4%	24.3%	24.3%	19.6%	23.5%
2=Dissatisfied	6.5%	8.5%	10.7%	3.4%	10.0%	6.4%	9.1%	7.4%	7.2%	9.6%	7.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	4.1%	1.4%	2.0%	1.7%	2.0%	2.2%	3.3%	2.2%	1.7%	4.8%	2.3%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	rence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	ırrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q13g. How well Cit	<u>y keeps you</u>	1 informed	l about pla	unned disru	aptions to y	our water	service				
5=Very Satisfied	17.1%	16.8%	15.9%	16.0%	12.6%	17.9%	23.2%	15.5%	16.7%	13.6%	16.2%
4=Satisfied	42.3%	44.0%	52.4%	46.2%	48.4%	50.6%	40.4%	48.9%	48.7%	46.3%	48.1%
3=Neutral	29.3%	32.8%	25.4%	31.1%	27.5%	27.9%	26.3%	28.9%	28.2%	30.5%	28.6%
2=Dissatisfied	9.8%	4.8%	5.6%	4.7%	8.2%	3.0%	10.1%	5.0%	4.9%	7.9%	5.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.6%	1.6%	0.8%	1.9%	3.3%	0.6%	0.0%	1.7%	1.5%	1.7%	1.5%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	rence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	urrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q13h. City efforts to	prevent ba	ckups of v	wastewate:	r into you1	<u>home</u>						
5=Very Satisfied	22.4%	18.4%	23.9%	20.9%	12.9%	18.3%	27.5%	18.0%	18.3%	21.3%	19.0%
4=Satisfied	49.6%	49.1%	46.0%	50.9%	45.2%	45.8%	48.4%	47.1%	47.1%	48.9%	47.3%
3=Neutral	24.8%	28.9%	26.5%	28.2%	35.5%	31.5%	23.1%	30.7%	30.6%	26.4%	29.9%
2=Dissatisfied	1.6%	1.8%	2.7%	0.0%	3.9%	3.1%	1.1%	2.6%	2.6%	1.7%	2.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.6%	1.8%	0.9%	0.0%	2.6%	1.4%	0.0%	1.6%	1.4%	1.7%	1.4%

N=1307		O20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	rence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	ırrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15			31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q13i. City efforts to	<u>minimize c</u>	odor from	wastewate	er treatmer	nt facilities						
5=Very Satisfied	19.2%	15.7%	20.7%	17.0%	13.6%	18.2%	18.9%	17.2%	16.6%	20.5%	17.4%
4=Satisfied	54.4%	50.4%	50.9%	51.8%	45.7%	50.0%	52.6%	49.9%	49.9%	51.7%	50.2%
3=Neutral	21.6%	27.0%	21.6%	25.0%	37.0%	27.7%	28.4%	27.2%	28.7%	21.6%	27.3%
2=Dissatisfied	3.2%	5.2%	6.0%	4.5%	3.1%	3.4%	0.0%	4.5%	3.6%	5.7%	4.0%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.6%	1.7%	0.9%	1.8%	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%	1.2%	1.2%	0.6%	1.1%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	rence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	irrent	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15			31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q13j. Overall value	you receive	e for water	<u>& wastev</u>	vater utilit	y rates						
5=Very Satisfied	13.3%	15.8%	15.4%	13.0%	12.0%	13.0%	20.5%	12.7%	12.7%	17.5%	13.5%
4=Satisfied	41.4%	43.2%	40.7%	55.7%	45.2%	47.5%	42.5%	46.0%	47.3%	37.3%	45.6%
3=Neutral	35.4%	30.1%	27.8%	19.8%	29.0%	28.1%	26.0%	29.0%	28.4%	29.7%	28.7%
2=Dissatisfied	6.6%	10.3%	11.7%	9.9%	10.1%	8.8%	8.7%	9.5%	8.7%	12.7%	9.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.3%	0.7%	4.3%	1.5%	3.7%	2.7%	2.4%	2.9%	2.8%	2.8%	2.8%

Q14. Which THREE of the water/wastewater utility issues listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

N=1307		Q20. Y	ears Live	d in Law	rence		Q21. Col Unive Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent C Resid	Total	
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20 2	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q14. Top choice											
Taste of drinking water	50.3%	39.8%	47.9%	46.2%	41.5%	41.7%	42.1%	44.2%	42.5%	50.8%	44.0%
Smell of drinking water	28.9%	24.1%	27.2%	29.0%	25.6%	24.7%	25.7%	26.3%	25.5%	29.8%	26.2%
Clarity of drinking water	26.9%	18.1%	23.1%	21.4%	15.8%	14.9%	22.9%	18.6%	17.7%	24.8%	19.1%
Reliability of water service	10.2%	9.0%	14.2%	12.4%	16.2%	15.4%	12.1%	13.6%	13.7%	12.6%	13.5%
Water pressure in your home	11.7%	12.7%	11.8%	6.2%	9.8%	12.6%	14.3%	10.8%	10.5%	14.3%	11.2%
Accuracy of your water bill	21.3%	19.9%	24.3%	22.1%	23.1%	24.0%	23.6%	22.6%	23.0%	21.8%	22.7%
Informed about planned disruptions to water service	10.7%	10.8%	10.7%	15.2%	11.1%	8.3%	12.1%	10.4%	9.5%	14.7%	10.6%

Q14. Which THREE of the water/wastewater utility issues listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

N=1307		Q20. Y	ears Live	ed in Law	rence	Q21. Col Unive Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent C Resid	Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20 2	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q14. Top choice (Cont.)											
Prevention of backups of wastewater into your home	20.3%	20.5%	24.3%	22.8%	24.4%	24.0%	22.9%	23.0%	23.9%	18.5%	23.0%
Minimize odor from wastewater treatment facilities	9.6%	13.3%	12.4%	19.3%	9.8%	12.4%	7.1%	13.0%	12.4%	11.8%	12.4%
Value you receive for water & wastewater utility rates	23.4%	28.9%	30.8%	30.3%	25.6%	28.8%	29.3%	27.7%	28.2%	26.9%	27.9%
None chosen	22.3%	28.3%	18.9%	18.6%	25.2%	23.2%	24.3%	22.9%	23.8%	19.3%	23.0%

N=1307	Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total					
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q15a. Ease of north/	south trave	<u>1</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	7.1%	9.9%	9.1%	5.9%	6.3%	7.6%	7.6%	7.6%	6.5%	12.4%	7.6%
4=Satisfied	45.1%	48.7%	46.3%	52.9%	42.6%	48.8%	48.9%	47.1%	47.4%	46.9%	47.3%
3=Neutral	31.5%	27.6%	21.3%	22.8%	22.4%	22.5%	24.4%	24.3%	24.6%	22.1%	24.3%
2=Dissatisfied	15.8%	10.5%	21.3%	11.8%	21.5%	17.5%	14.5%	17.3%	17.5%	15.0%	17.0%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.5%	3.3%	1.8%	6.6%	7.2%	3.7%	4.6%	3.8%	4.0%	3.5%	3.9%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	rence		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total	
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q15b. Ease of east/w	vest travel										
5=Very Satisfied	6.0%	8.6%	6.7%	3.6%	4.9%	4.9%	9.8%	5.1%	4.3%	10.6%	5.6%
4=Satisfied	32.1%	31.6%	28.7%	32.1%	26.3%	29.6%	37.1%	28.9%	28.3%	37.2%	29.8%
3=Neutral	31.5%	23.0%	14.6%	19.7%	21.0%	24.2%	21.2%	23.0%	22.9%	21.2%	22.8%
2=Dissatisfied	25.5%	28.9%	30.5%	32.8%	32.1%	33.5%	25.0%	31.8%	32.7%	24.3%	31.1%
1=Very Dissatisfied	4.9%	7.9%	19.5%	11.7%	15.6%	7.8%	6.8%	11.2%	11.7%	6.6%	10.8%

N=1307		Q20.	Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total				
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
<u>Q15c. Availability o</u>	<u>f bicycle lar</u>	nes									
5=Very Satisfied	7.5%	6.5%	6.2%	5.5%	8.3%	10.6%	8.7%	8.0%	7.0%	12.8%	8.0%
4=Satisfied	28.1%	31.7%	24.1%	26.0%	18.7%	23.6%	35.7%	23.5%	24.7%	25.6%	24.8%
3=Neutral	28.8%	31.7%	34.5%	30.7%	32.1%	40.0%	27.0%	34.9%	34.2%	33.0%	34.1%
2=Dissatisfied	26.3%	23.0%	27.6%	23.6%	32.1%	22.7%	19.1%	26.5%	26.3%	22.7%	25.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	9.4%	7.2%	7.6%	14.2%	8.8%	3.0%	9.6%	7.2%	7.7%	5.9%	7.4%

Q15. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and 1 mean	3
"Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")	

N=1307								lege or sity	Q22. Own or Rent Current		
		Q20.	Years Live	ed in Law	rence		Stude	nt?	Reside	ence	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q15d. Traffic signal	coordinatio	on on majo	or City stre	eets							
5=Very Satisfied	8.1%	7.6%	8.1%	5.7%	5.8%	6.1%	11.4%	6.2%	5.3%	12.7%	6.7%
4=Satisfied	39.8%	43.0%	34.2%	36.4%	31.4%	37.7%	40.9%	36.5%	36.8%	38.9%	37.0%
3=Neutral	25.8%	21.5%	24.8%	30.7%	28.7%	28.0%	21.2%	27.5%	27.0%	25.3%	26.9%
2=Dissatisfied	22.0%	22.2%	23.0%	21.4%	22.4%	20.1%	22.7%	21.4%	22.4%	17.9%	21.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	4.3%	5.7%	9.9%	5.7%	11.7%	8.2%	3.8%	8.3%	8.5%	5.2%	7.9%

Q15. For each of the following, please rate your satisfaction with each item on a scale of 1 to 5, where 5 means "Very Satisfied" and	1 means
"Very Dissatisfied." (without "don't know")	

N=1307		Q21. Col Univer	U	Q22. Own or Rent Current							
		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	rence		Stude	nt?	Residence		Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q15e. Number of des	stinations s	erved by <u>p</u>	oublic tran	sportation	<u>1</u>						
5=Very Satisfied	9.7%	7.4%	11.5%	8.3%	9.9%	6.8%	11.2%	8.3%	7.7%	11.6%	8.6%
4=Satisfied	30.1%	36.8%	31.7%	33.3%	29.8%	35.0%	35.7%	32.6%	33.6%	31.4%	33.0%
3=Neutral	39.8%	30.5%	33.7%	37.5%	40.4%	41.8%	36.7%	38.7%	38.5%	37.2%	38.4%
2=Dissatisfied	15.0%	21.1%	18.3%	12.5%	14.2%	12.5%	14.3%	15.0%	14.8%	15.7%	14.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	5.3%	4.2%	4.8%	8.3%	5.7%	3.8%	2.0%	5.5%	5.4%	4.1%	5.0%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q15f. Frequency of p	public trans	portation	<u>service</u>								
5=Very Satisfied	11.7%	6.7%	9.3%	7.6%	9.5%	8.1%	11.0%	8.5%	8.1%	10.8%	8.8%
4=Satisfied	29.1%	37.1%	29.9%	35.9%	32.1%	30.1%	36.3%	31.2%	31.1%	34.9%	31.8%
3=Neutral	38.8%	30.3%	37.1%	31.5%	38.0%	45.5%	40.7%	38.5%	40.2%	33.1%	38.7%
2=Dissatisfied	13.6%	22.5%	15.5%	16.3%	13.1%	12.6%	9.9%	15.5%	14.4%	16.3%	14.8%
1=Very Dissatisfied	6.8%	3.4%	8.2%	8.7%	7.3%	3.7%	2.2%	6.4%	6.3%	4.8%	5.9%

N=1307		Q20.	Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total					
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
<u>Q15g. Availability o</u>	f pedestrian	paths									
5=Very Satisfied	8.9%	9.2%	11.6%	10.6%	9.0%	12.2%	16.2%	9.8%	9.4%	15.0%	10.5%
4=Satisfied	43.6%	51.0%	44.5%	43.9%	35.2%	43.2%	48.5%	42.4%	44.1%	38.6%	43.1%
3=Neutral	29.1%	22.2%	20.5%	20.5%	32.9%	27.3%	22.3%	26.8%	25.0%	31.4%	26.3%
2=Dissatisfied	14.0%	13.1%	17.8%	19.7%	17.6%	14.8%	10.0%	16.6%	17.0%	11.4%	15.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	4.5%	4.6%	5.5%	5.3%	5.2%	2.6%	3.1%	4.4%	4.4%	3.6%	4.3%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total				
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
<u>Q15h. Availability o</u>	<u>f biking lan</u>	ies & path	<u>IS</u>								
5=Very Satisfied	7.5%	7.7%	9.0%	9.3%	7.9%	10.7%	9.6%	8.9%	8.2%	12.1%	8.9%
4=Satisfied	34.2%	39.2%	30.3%	26.4%	25.2%	33.6%	43.5%	30.2%	31.3%	33.3%	31.6%
3=Neutral	28.0%	26.6%	33.1%	30.2%	31.2%	34.9%	18.3%	32.9%	32.2%	26.8%	31.3%
2=Dissatisfied	21.1%	18.9%	20.7%	24.0%	26.7%	17.7%	22.6%	21.0%	21.0%	21.7%	21.1%
1=Very Dissatisfied	9.3%	7.7%	6.9%	10.1%	8.9%	3.1%	6.1%	7.1%	7.2%	6.1%	7.0%

N=1307		Q20.	Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total					
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q15i. Availability of	f parking in	Downtow	vn Lawren	<u>ce</u>							
5=Very Satisfied	7.5%	10.0%	6.1%	7.1%	5.7%	4.5%	8.8%	6.1%	5.8%	9.3%	6.4%
4=Satisfied	29.9%	33.1%	35.0%	32.9%	33.3%	31.1%	31.4%	32.4%	33.1%	29.1%	32.3%
3=Neutral	25.1%	21.9%	25.8%	30.0%	24.1%	25.9%	18.2%	26.3%	25.4%	24.2%	25.4%
2=Dissatisfied	28.3%	26.3%	25.8%	20.7%	28.9%	31.1%	33.6%	27.1%	28.0%	27.3%	27.8%
1=Very Dissatisfied	9.1%	8.8%	7.4%	9.3%	7.9%	7.4%	8.0%	8.1%	7.7%	10.1%	8.1%

Q16. Which TWO of the transportation issues listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 2)

N=1307		Q21. Col Unive Stude	rsity	Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total					
	5 or less	<u>`</u>	ears Live			31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q16. Top choice											
Ease of north/south travel	13.2%	13.3%	21.9%	20.0%	28.2%	20.2%	17.1%	20.2%	20.6%	16.8%	19.9%
Ease of east/west travel	28.9%	34.3%	40.8%	42.8%	39.7%	41.4%	31.4%	39.2%	40.4%	29.8%	38.4%
Availability of bicycle lanes	18.3%	15.1%	10.1%	13.1%	17.1%	10.6%	19.3%	13.0%	12.8%	17.2%	13.7%
Traffic signal coordination on major streets	22.8%	19.9%	26.6%	23.4%	23.1%	24.2%	19.3%	24.0%	23.2%	24.8%	23.5%
Number of destinations served by public transportation	11.7%	13.9%	7.7%	13.1%	8.1%	11.4%	15.7%	10.3%	9.8%	16.0%	10.9%
Frequency of public transportation	10.2%	9.6%	7.7%	12.4%	6.4%	7.8%	7.9%	8.7%	7.7%	12.6%	8.6%
Availability of pedestrian paths	12.7%	14.5%	12.4%	9.7%	15.0%	9.3%	12.1%	11.9%	11.6%	13.4%	11.9%

Q16. Which TWO of the transportation issues listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 2)

N=1307		Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total					
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20 2	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q16. Top choice (Cont.)											
Availability of biking lanes & paths	17.3%	15.7%	15.4%	17.9%	20.1%	9.1%	14.3%	15.0%	15.4%	12.6%	14.9%
Availability of parking in Downtown Lawrence	32.0%	32.5%	24.9%	28.3%	17.9%	32.8%	31.4%	28.1%	27.8%	31.5%	28.5%
None chosen	13.2%	11.4%	13.6%	6.2%	9.8%	12.9%	13.6%	11.3%	11.9%	10.1%	11.6%

N=1307		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total						
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q17a. Used public t	ransportatio	on service	<u>S</u>								
1=Yes	21.5%	22.0%	17.5%	22.4%	21.4%	14.0%	28.8%	17.7%	17.0%	26.9%	18.9%
2=No	78.5%	78.0%	82.5%	77.6%	78.6%	86.0%	71.2%	82.3%	83.0%	73.1%	81.1%

N=1307	Years Liv	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total					
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q17b. Enrolled in re	creation pro	ograms									
1=Yes	35.7%	40.2%	44.6%	38.2%	38.3%	27.2%	30.9%	36.2%	37.5%	27.3%	35.6%
2=No	64.3%	59.8%	55.4%	61.8%	61.7%	72.8%	69.1%	63.8%	62.5%	72.7%	64.4%

N=1307	Years Liv	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total					
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q17c. Visited City r	ecreation fa	cilities									
1=Yes	73.8%	76.2%	77.5%	72.9%	72.2%	65.6%	71.9%	71.7%	72.9%	67.1%	71.7%
2=No	26.2%	23.8%	22.5%	27.1%	27.8%	34.4%	28.1%	28.3%	27.1%	32.9%	28.3%

N=1307 Q20. Years Lived in Lawrence								Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence	
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	Total
Q17d. Visited City L	<u>ibrary</u>										
1=Yes	73.3%	72.9%	78.1%	76.4%	71.9%	68.0%	78.3%	71.7%	73.5%	67.6%	72.4%
2=No	26.7%	27.1%	21.9%	23.6%	28.1%	32.0%	21.7%	28.3%	26.5%	32.4%	27.6%

N=1307		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total					
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q17e. Received assi	stance from	Fire Mec	lical Depar	rtment							
1=Yes	14.3%	19.4%	15.7%	16.2%	22.2%	17.7%	19.4%	17.6%	17.0%	21.0%	17.8%
2=No	85.7%	80.6%	84.3%	83.8%	77.8%	82.3%	80.6%	82.4%	83.0%	79.0%	82.2%

N=1307 Q20. Years Lived in Lawrence								lege or rsity nt?	Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q17f. Received assi	stance from	Police D	<u>epartment</u>								
1=Yes	30.3%	34.8%	34.3%	30.3%	38.1%	36.7%	39.1%	34.2%	34.2%	36.9%	34.7%
2=No	69.7%	65.2%	65.7%	69.7%	61.9%	63.3%	60.9%	65.8%	65.8%	63.1%	65.3%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	vrence		Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q17g. Visited a City	<u>v park</u>										
1=Yes	87.1%	89.7%	89.3%	88.9%	84.3%	81.8%	92.1%	85.1%	86.0%	85.2%	85.8%
2=No	12.9%	10.3%	10.7%	11.1%	15.7%	18.2%	7.9%	14.9%	14.0%	14.8%	14.2%

N=1307 Q20. Years Lived in Lawrence								Q21. College or University Student?		wn or urrent ence	Total
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q17h. Used a City v	walking/biki	ng trail or	<u>path</u>								
1=Yes	73.1%	78.9%	77.5%	69.7%	74.7%	64.9%	76.6%	71.3%	73.3%	65.5%	71.8%
2=No	26.9%	21.1%	22.5%	30.3%	25.3%	35.1%	23.4%	28.7%	26.7%	34.5%	28.2%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	ed in Law	rence	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total	
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q18. Have you	called or v	visited Cit	y								
1=Yes	37.1%	40.4%	40.8%	37.2%	43.6%	43.7%	43.6%	40.9%	42.2%	37.0%	41.2%
2=No	61.4%	57.2%	57.4%	61.4%	55.1%	54.8%	55.7%	57.4%	56.0%	62.2%	57.2%
9=Don't know	1.5%	2.4%	1.8%	1.4%	1.3%	1.5%	0.7%	1.7%	1.8%	0.8%	1.6%

Q18. Have you called or visited the City with a question, problem, or complaint during the past year?

N=538		000 1	7 T	1. 1			Q21. Col Unive	rsity	Q22. O Rent C	T (1	
	5 or less	<u>`</u>	ears Live			31+	Stude Yes	No	Resid Own	Rent	Total
Q18a. Which department											
City Manager's Office	8.2%	16.4%	10.1%	9.3%	11.8%	11.0%	13.1%	10.9%	11.4%	10.2%	11.2%
Fire Medical	5.5%	4.5%	1.4%	3.7%	6.9%	8.7%	0.0%	6.7%	5.4%	9.1%	5.9%
Municipal Court	5.5%	6.0%	13.0%	9.3%	6.9%	6.4%	11.5%	6.9%	6.5%	11.4%	7.4%
Planning & Development	13.7%	14.9%	15.9%	16.7%	28.4%	23.7%	19.7%	20.5%	23.7%	4.5%	20.4%
Parks & Recreation	15.1%	28.4%	24.6%	18.5%	21.6%	15.6%	23.0%	19.3%	20.5%	15.9%	19.7%
Police	27.4%	23.9%	24.6%	27.8%	21.6%	17.3%	29.5%	21.4%	21.4%	27.3%	22.3%
Public Works	27.4%	26.9%	27.5%	27.8%	31.4%	39.9%	31.1%	32.3%	32.8%	28.4%	32.2%
Transit	4.1%	3.0%	1.4%	1.9%	4.9%	1.2%	4.9%	2.3%	1.8%	6.8%	2.6%
Utility Billing	15.1%	6.0%	10.1%	18.5%	20.6%	17.9%	19.7%	15.1%	14.3%	22.7%	15.6%
Water/Wastewater Utility	8.2%	19.4%	10.1%	14.8%	9.8%	8.7%	16.4%	10.3%	10.0%	15.9%	11.0%
Other	2.7%	1.5%	7.2%	7.4%	5.9%	9.8%	1.6%	7.1%	7.4%	2.3%	6.5%
None	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	1.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.6%	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%

N=538		Q20.	Years Live	ed in Law	rence		Unive	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence	
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q18b. Employees we	ere courteou	<u>ıs & polite</u>	<u>}</u>								
5=Strongly Agree	38.6%	50.0%	45.5%	59.6%	34.3%	48.5%	35.6%	46.6%	44.9%	47.7%	45.4%
4=Agree	40.0%	48.5%	37.9%	26.9%	54.9%	42.1%	44.1%	42.9%	43.5%	40.7%	43.1%
3=Neutral	14.3%	1.5%	10.6%	9.6%	5.9%	5.8%	16.9%	6.2%	7.1%	9.3%	7.4%
2=Disagree	5.7%	0.0%	4.5%	1.9%	3.9%	1.8%	1.7%	3.0%	3.0%	2.3%	2.8%
1=Strongly Disagree	1.4%	0.0%	1.5%	1.9%	1.0%	1.8%	1.7%	1.3%	1.6%	0.0%	1.3%

<u>Q18b-e. If YES to Question #18, please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about the quality of service you</u> received from City employees in the department you listed above. (without "don't know")

Q18b-e. If YES to Question #18, please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about the quality of service you
received from City employees in the department you listed above. (without "don't know")

N=538	Years Liv	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total					
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q18c. Employees we	re professio	onal									
5=Strongly Agree	41.4%	51.5%	45.5%	57.7%	35.3%	46.8%	37.3%	46.4%	44.4%	50.0%	45.4%
4=Agree	38.6%	45.5%	40.9%	26.9%	53.9%	40.4%	40.7%	42.3%	42.8%	38.4%	42.1%
3=Neutral	12.9%	1.5%	9.1%	9.6%	5.9%	8.8%	15.3%	7.1%	8.0%	8.1%	8.0%
2=Disagree	5.7%	1.5%	4.5%	5.8%	4.9%	2.9%	5.1%	3.8%	4.1%	3.5%	4.0%
1=Strongly Disagree	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	1.7%	0.4%	0.7%	0.0%	0.6%

N=538		Q20.	Years Live		Q21. Col Univer Stude	sity	Q22. O Rent C Reside	urrent	Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q18d. Employees w	ere responsi	ve to my	concerns								
5=Strongly Agree	34.3%	44.8%	40.0%	50.9%	33.3%	40.1%	35.6%	40.2%	38.8%	45.3%	39.7%
4=Agree	38.6%	38.8%	33.8%	26.4%	45.1%	40.7%	30.5%	39.8%	39.0%	36.0%	38.8%
3=Neutral	11.4%	11.9%	18.5%	11.3%	6.9%	7.6%	20.3%	8.9%	10.7%	8.1%	10.2%
2=Disagree	12.9%	1.5%	4.6%	9.4%	9.8%	8.7%	10.2%	7.9%	7.7%	10.5%	8.1%
1=Strongly Disagree	2.9%	3.0%	3.1%	1.9%	4.9%	2.9%	3.4%	3.2%	3.9%	0.0%	3.2%

<u>Q18b-e. If YES to Question #18, please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about the quality of service you</u> received from City employees in the department you listed above. (without "don't know")

N=538		Q20.	Years Live	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q18e. I was satisfied	with servic	e provide	<u>d</u>								
5=Strongly Agree	35.2%	41.8%	39.7%	42.3%	30.4%	39.3%	33.9%	38.2%	36.5%	44.7%	37.7%
4=Agree	38.0%	40.3%	33.8%	36.5%	44.1%	38.2%	32.2%	39.7%	39.0%	36.5%	38.8%
3=Neutral	12.7%	13.4%	11.8%	9.6%	10.8%	10.4%	16.9%	10.5%	11.9%	8.2%	11.3%
2=Disagree	11.3%	4.5%	8.8%	9.6%	7.8%	8.1%	15.3%	7.4%	7.8%	10.6%	8.3%
1=Strongly Disagree	2.8%	0.0%	5.9%	1.9%	6.9%	4.0%	1.7%	4.2%	4.7%	0.0%	3.9%

<u>Q18b-e. If YES to Question #18, please indicate your level of agreement with the following statements about the quality of service you</u> received from City employees in the department you listed above. (without "don't know")

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	Univer	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence				
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q19a. Appearance &	<u>k cleanlines</u>	<u>s of Dowr</u>	ntown Lav	vrence							
5=Very Satisfied	19.5%	24.7%	23.2%	22.2%	15.7%	16.5%	17.9%	19.5%	17.8%	26.7%	19.4%
4=Satisfied	55.9%	57.2%	55.4%	56.9%	58.7%	58.1%	60.0%	57.0%	58.7%	50.4%	57.3%
3=Neutral	19.0%	13.3%	11.9%	11.8%	11.3%	13.2%	13.6%	13.4%	13.1%	14.8%	13.4%
2=Dissatisfied	4.1%	4.8%	8.9%	7.6%	11.7%	10.7%	7.9%	8.6%	9.0%	6.4%	8.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.5%	0.0%	0.6%	1.4%	2.6%	1.5%	0.7%	1.5%	1.3%	1.7%	1.4%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
<u>Q19b. Availability o</u>	<u>f parking</u>										
5=Very Satisfied	6.7%	10.4%	9.5%	6.9%	5.7%	4.9%	5.8%	7.0%	6.2%	9.8%	6.8%
4=Satisfied	31.4%	35.4%	33.9%	42.4%	37.1%	34.0%	36.0%	35.2%	37.1%	27.2%	35.3%
3=Neutral	26.3%	21.3%	25.0%	21.5%	21.0%	22.5%	22.3%	22.9%	22.4%	24.7%	22.9%
2=Dissatisfied	29.4%	25.0%	25.0%	21.5%	27.9%	30.9%	28.1%	27.5%	26.9%	30.2%	27.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	6.2%	7.9%	6.5%	7.6%	8.3%	7.7%	7.9%	7.4%	7.3%	8.1%	7.4%

N=1307		Q20.	Univer	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence					
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q19c. Types of retai	1 & entertai	nment est	ablishmen	ts availab	le						
5=Very Satisfied	14.3%	12.7%	12.0%	10.6%	9.3%	6.5%	13.7%	9.7%	8.0%	19.8%	10.1%
4=Satisfied	41.3%	47.9%	44.0%	43.7%	33.5%	45.0%	39.6%	42.8%	42.7%	41.4%	42.5%
3=Neutral	26.0%	20.6%	19.3%	31.0%	30.0%	25.8%	22.3%	26.0%	26.8%	20.3%	25.6%
2=Dissatisfied	16.8%	17.6%	20.5%	13.4%	22.0%	19.1%	19.4%	18.5%	19.4%	15.1%	18.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.5%	1.2%	4.2%	1.4%	5.3%	3.6%	5.0%	2.9%	3.1%	3.4%	3.1%

N=1307	Years Liv	Univer	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence						
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q19d. Hours busines	sses are ope	e <u>n</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	9.2%	9.7%	9.0%	7.7%	7.4%	9.0%	10.1%	8.5%	7.9%	12.4%	8.7%
4=Satisfied	46.2%	44.8%	44.6%	47.6%	45.0%	53.7%	51.8%	47.6%	48.4%	46.2%	48.0%
3=Neutral	26.2%	27.3%	21.1%	23.1%	27.9%	22.4%	18.7%	25.2%	24.2%	26.1%	24.5%
2=Dissatisfied	15.9%	15.8%	21.7%	18.2%	14.8%	14.1%	17.3%	16.0%	16.5%	14.1%	16.2%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.6%	2.4%	3.6%	3.5%	4.8%	0.8%	2.2%	2.7%	3.0%	1.3%	2.6%

N=1307	Years Liv	Unive	Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence						
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q19e. Ease of gettin	<u>g to Downt</u>	own Lawr	rence								
5=Very Satisfied	20.5%	19.0%	14.9%	15.9%	16.1%	12.7%	23.7%	15.0%	14.6%	21.9%	15.9%
4=Satisfied	50.3%	53.4%	53.6%	57.9%	50.0%	57.4%	51.8%	54.3%	55.7%	47.7%	54.1%
3=Neutral	20.0%	17.8%	16.7%	19.3%	22.2%	18.8%	15.1%	19.7%	19.5%	16.9%	19.2%
2=Dissatisfied	8.7%	7.4%	13.7%	6.2%	8.3%	9.9%	6.5%	9.5%	8.8%	11.4%	9.2%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.5%	2.5%	1.2%	0.7%	3.5%	1.3%	2.9%	1.5%	1.5%	2.1%	1.6%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv	Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total				
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q19f. How safe you	feel in Dov	<u>vntown La</u>	awrence d	uring dayt	ime						
5=Very Satisfied	41.3%	44.2%	40.7%	36.6%	34.6%	32.9%	40.0%	37.0%	36.5%	41.9%	37.3%
4=Satisfied	46.9%	48.5%	41.9%	53.8%	52.4%	53.3%	49.3%	50.3%	51.2%	45.3%	50.2%
3=Neutral	11.2%	4.8%	14.4%	7.6%	9.5%	8.7%	9.3%	9.3%	9.0%	10.6%	9.3%
2=Dissatisfied	0.5%	1.8%	1.8%	0.7%	2.6%	3.8%	0.7%	2.4%	2.4%	1.3%	2.2%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.0%	0.6%	1.2%	1.4%	0.9%	1.3%	0.7%	1.0%	0.9%	0.8%	0.9%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q19g. How safe you	feel in Do	wntown L	awrence a	<u>fter dark</u>							
5=Very Satisfied	13.2%	17.2%	13.5%	11.1%	13.4%	6.7%	11.5%	11.6%	10.7%	15.8%	11.6%
4=Satisfied	37.4%	41.4%	31.9%	43.1%	34.4%	33.6%	38.1%	35.9%	37.0%	32.5%	36.2%
3=Neutral	29.5%	25.5%	26.4%	20.8%	21.4%	28.3%	21.6%	26.3%	25.8%	25.4%	25.8%
2=Dissatisfied	17.9%	13.4%	22.1%	22.2%	23.7%	23.7%	22.3%	21.0%	21.0%	21.5%	21.1%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.1%	2.5%	6.1%	2.8%	7.1%	7.7%	6.5%	5.2%	5.4%	4.8%	5.3%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. College or University Student?		Q22. Own or Rent Current Residence		Total		
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q19h. Entertainmen	<u>t & progran</u>	<u>ns in Dow</u>	ntown La	wrence							
5=Very Satisfied	19.6%	25.9%	17.5%	23.4%	13.3%	12.5%	18.1%	17.2%	16.0%	22.5%	17.3%
4=Satisfied	49.2%	41.4%	53.1%	48.9%	47.1%	49.3%	47.8%	48.4%	49.3%	44.6%	48.3%
3=Neutral	20.6%	24.7%	20.6%	19.1%	28.4%	30.2%	21.0%	25.8%	25.5%	24.2%	25.3%
2=Dissatisfied	7.4%	8.0%	8.1%	5.7%	9.8%	6.1%	9.4%	7.2%	7.7%	6.5%	7.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.2%	0.0%	0.6%	2.8%	1.3%	1.9%	3.6%	1.4%	1.6%	2.2%	1.7%

N=1307		Q20.	Years Liv		Q21. Col Univer Stude	rsity	Q22. O Rent Cu Reside	Total			
	5 or less	6 to 10	11 to 15	16 to 20	21 to 30	31+	Yes	No	Own	Rent	
Q19i. Beautification	of Downto	wn Lawre	ence								
5=Very Satisfied	26.3%	39.2%	35.9%	31.7%	31.2%	29.7%	29.3%	32.0%	30.7%	37.0%	31.7%
4=Satisfied	54.6%	44.6%	44.9%	50.3%	47.2%	56.1%	52.1%	50.6%	52.1%	43.8%	50.7%
3=Neutral	14.9%	13.3%	15.0%	14.5%	16.5%	10.7%	10.7%	14.0%	13.4%	14.5%	13.6%
2=Dissatisfied	3.6%	1.8%	3.6%	2.8%	3.9%	2.0%	5.0%	2.6%	2.8%	3.0%	2.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.5%	1.2%	0.6%	0.7%	1.3%	1.5%	2.9%	0.9%	0.9%	1.7%	1.1%

2011 CITY OF LAWRENCE DIRECTIONFINDER® SURVEY -Appendix D: Crosstabulations by Race/Ethnicity, Spanish, Hispanic or Latino Heritage and Gender -

Submitted to: City of Lawrence, Kansas

By



725 W. Frontier Olathe, KS 66061 (913) 829- 1215

May 2011

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino			m . 1
		African	Race/E Asian/	thnicity Native			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	American/ Black	Pacific Islander	American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q1a. Overall quality	of police ser	rvices									
5=Very Satisfied	30.3%	26.7%	30.6%	21.6%	20.0%	38.5%	31.6%	29.7%	28.3%	30.6%	29.4%
4=Satisfied	53.9%	55.0%	55.6%	56.8%	60.0%	42.3%	51.9%	53.8%	56.9%	51.1%	53.9%
3=Neutral	12.8%	13.3%	11.1%	21.6%	10.0%	19.2%	12.7%	13.4%	11.1%	15.6%	13.4%
2=Dissatisfied	2.2%	5.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	2.1%	2.3%	2.2%	2.3%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	1.3%	1.0%	1.5%	0.5%	1.0%

N=1307			Race/E		Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	or Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total		
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q1b. Overall quality	of fire & en	nergency me	dical servi	ces							
5=Very Satisfied	42.0%	42.9%	36.4%	36.4%	27.8%	64.0%	46.6%	41.4%	41.9%	41.1%	41.5%
4=Satisfied	50.4%	51.8%	54.5%	60.6%	61.1%	36.0%	46.6%	50.9%	52.3%	49.2%	50.8%
3=Neutral	7.4%	5.4%	9.1%	3.0%	5.6%	0.0%	6.8%	7.3%	5.2%	9.5%	7.4%
2=Dissatisfied	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.5%	0.2%	0.3%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q1c. City's efforts to	o ensure com	<u>munity is pr</u>	epared for	emergencies							
5=Very Satisfied	21.2%	15.5%	19.4%	21.9%	11.1%	12.5%	14.9%	20.6%	19.5%	20.2%	19.9%
4=Satisfied	50.6%	46.6%	58.1%	43.8%	50.0%	66.7%	58.1%	50.2%	52.7%	49.0%	50.8%
3=Neutral	25.0%	34.5%	22.6%	25.0%	33.3%	16.7%	24.3%	25.8%	26.1%	25.7%	25.9%
2=Dissatisfied	2.9%	1.7%	0.0%	9.4%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	3.1%	1.5%	4.4%	3.0%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.3%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	2.7%	0.3%	0.2%	0.7%	0.5%

N=1307			Race/F	thnicity		Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total	
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q1d. Overall mainte	nance of City	v streets, sid	ewalks & i	nfrastructure							
5=Very Satisfied	2.9%	3.1%	2.4%	2.6%	0.0%	7.7%	5.9%	2.7%	3.4%	2.6%	3.0%
4=Satisfied	25.2%	26.6%	31.7%	18.4%	14.3%	30.8%	21.2%	25.4%	26.8%	23.2%	25.0%
3=Neutral	27.9%	21.9%	29.3%	31.6%	14.3%	26.9%	41.2%	26.6%	27.3%	27.6%	27.4%
2=Dissatisfied	33.9%	35.9%	22.0%	36.8%	42.9%	19.2%	23.5%	34.3%	31.1%	36.2%	33.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	10.1%	12.5%	14.6%	10.5%	28.6%	15.4%	8.2%	11.0%	11.4%	10.4%	10.9%

N=1307			Race/E		Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	andar	Total		
	White/	African American/	Asian/ Pacific	Native American/	Mixed			<u> </u>	<u> </u>		10101
	Caucasian	Black	Islander	Eskimo	Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q1e. Effectiveness of	of communica	ation betwee	en City & p	<u>ublic</u>							
5=Very Satisfied	6.7%	6.3%	5.4%	8.3%	10.0%	4.0%	7.3%	6.5%	6.7%	6.2%	6.4%
4=Satisfied	38.7%	49.2%	27.0%	36.1%	20.0%	44.0%	37.8%	38.4%	34.5%	41.2%	37.9%
3=Neutral	39.9%	31.7%	48.6%	38.9%	45.0%	44.0%	42.7%	40.0%	41.7%	39.2%	40.4%
2=Dissatisfied	12.2%	9.5%	16.2%	13.9%	25.0%	8.0%	9.8%	12.6%	13.6%	11.5%	12.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.5%	3.2%	2.7%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	2.5%	3.6%	1.9%	2.7%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q1f. Flow of traffic	& congestior	n manageme	ent								
5=Very Satisfied	4.1%	4.6%	4.9%	0.0%	4.8%	3.8%	5.9%	3.9%	4.6%	3.4%	4.0%
4=Satisfied	36.9%	32.3%	29.3%	39.5%	23.8%	42.3%	42.4%	35.7%	34.0%	37.9%	36.0%
3=Neutral	25.5%	30.8%	29.3%	21.1%	28.6%	38.5%	30.6%	26.1%	27.6%	25.3%	26.4%
2=Dissatisfied	25.0%	21.5%	36.6%	28.9%	28.6%	11.5%	20.0%	25.4%	24.9%	25.3%	25.1%
1=Very Dissatisfied	8.5%	10.8%	0.0%	10.5%	14.3%	3.8%	1.2%	8.9%	8.9%	8.1%	8.5%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino			
				thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q1g. Quality of City	's stormwate	er manageme	ent system								
5=Very Satisfied	7.4%	11.3%	2.5%	0.0%	15.0%	12.5%	5.2%	7.4%	8.1%	6.5%	7.3%
4=Satisfied	48.6%	40.3%	50.0%	58.3%	45.0%	54.2%	58.4%	48.1%	50.2%	46.3%	48.2%
3=Neutral	32.6%	41.9%	40.0%	19.4%	30.0%	29.2%	28.6%	33.2%	32.6%	33.6%	33.1%
2=Dissatisfied	9.5%	4.8%	2.5%	16.7%	10.0%	0.0%	7.8%	9.1%	8.1%	10.6%	9.3%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.8%	1.6%	5.0%	5.6%	0.0%	4.2%	0.0%	2.3%	1.2%	3.1%	2.1%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
	Cudeusiun	Didek	Islander	Likino	Ruce	Other	105	110	White	1 cillule	
Q1h. Overall quality	of City wate	er utility serv	vices								
5=Very Satisfied	16.1%	20.3%	10.3%	2.7%	20.0%	16.0%	13.8%	15.6%	18.2%	12.8%	15.4%
4=Satisfied	59.4%	43.8%	64.1%	64.9%	45.0%	72.0%	68.8%	58.4%	57.9%	59.8%	58.9%
3=Neutral	18.7%	29.7%	20.5%	24.3%	25.0%	8.0%	12.5%	20.0%	19.1%	20.3%	19.7%
2=Dissatisfied	4.6%	6.3%	5.1%	5.4%	5.0%	4.0%	5.0%	4.7%	3.6%	5.7%	4.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	1.2%	1.4%	1.3%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino			
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q1i. Overall quality	of City wast	ewater utilit	y services								
5=Very Satisfied	18.1%	23.0%	13.2%	11.1%	15.8%	19.2%	18.2%	17.7%	19.1%	16.0%	17.5%
4=Satisfied	57.0%	41.0%	68.4%	55.6%	52.6%	73.1%	62.3%	56.5%	58.0%	55.3%	56.6%
3=Neutral	20.6%	31.1%	15.8%	30.6%	21.1%	7.7%	14.3%	21.8%	18.4%	24.5%	21.6%
2=Dissatisfied	3.6%	4.9%	2.6%	2.8%	5.3%	0.0%	1.3%	3.7%	3.6%	3.4%	3.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	3.9%	0.5%	0.9%	0.7%	0.8%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, or	r Latino			
				thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q1j. Overall quality	of City trash	& yardwas	te services								
5=Very Satisfied	36.2%	44.6%	31.6%	27.0%	40.0%	53.8%	45.1%	35.9%	39.1%	34.3%	36.6%
4=Satisfied	48.6%	44.6%	52.6%	59.5%	45.0%	46.2%	43.9%	49.1%	47.7%	49.1%	48.4%
3=Neutral	10.7%	9.2%	7.9%	5.4%	5.0%	0.0%	9.8%	10.1%	8.7%	11.5%	10.1%
2=Dissatisfied	3.6%	1.5%	5.3%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	3.6%	2.9%	4.4%	3.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.0%	0.0%	2.6%	2.7%	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	1.6%	0.8%	1.2%

N=1307			Pace/F	Ethnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	andar	Total	
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Q20. G	Female		
Q1k. Overall quality of planning & developmental services												
5=Very Satisfied	8.7%	7.4%	3.4%	10.0%	6.7%	26.1%	12.3%	8.5%	8.1%	9.3%	8.7%	
4=Satisfied	30.7%	27.8%	27.6%	33.3%	26.7%	30.4%	38.5%	29.7%	29.9%	29.9%	29.9%	
3=Neutral	42.4%	46.3%	34.5%	36.7%	40.0%	34.8%	35.4%	43.0%	40.9%	43.7%	42.3%	
2=Dissatisfied	15.2%	14.8%	20.7%	20.0%	26.7%	4.3%	12.3%	15.5%	17.1%	14.0%	15.6%	
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.1%	3.7%	13.8%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	1.5%	3.4%	3.9%	3.1%	3.5%	

N=1307			Race/E	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total	
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	1000	
Q11. Overall quality of City's public transportation services												
5=Very Satisfied	13.2%	11.9%	5.4%	8.3%	15.8%	30.4%	14.1%	13.0%	11.8%	14.2%	13.0%	
4=Satisfied	37.2%	49.2%	27.0%	52.8%	26.3%	30.4%	46.2%	37.2%	33.8%	40.8%	37.4%	
3=Neutral	35.0%	20.3%	43.2%	25.0%	26.3%	21.7%	26.9%	34.1%	37.3%	30.2%	33.7%	
2=Dissatisfied	10.5%	10.2%	13.5%	8.3%	21.1%	13.0%	11.5%	10.5%	10.4%	10.9%	10.6%	
1=Very Dissatisfied	4.1%	8.5%	10.8%	5.6%	10.5%	4.3%	1.3%	5.2%	6.7%	3.9%	5.3%	

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q1m. Quality of City	y's parks & r	ecreation sy	stem								
5=Very Satisfied	28.6%	35.9%	20.0%	23.7%	19.0%	39.1%	24.4%	28.9%	27.1%	29.5%	28.4%
4=Satisfied	52.9%	48.4%	47.5%	65.8%	57.1%	47.8%	56.1%	52.6%	52.6%	53.5%	53.1%
3=Neutral	14.9%	12.5%	20.0%	10.5%	9.5%	8.7%	15.9%	14.6%	15.5%	13.8%	14.6%
2=Dissatisfied	2.7%	3.1%	10.0%	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%	2.4%	2.9%	3.5%	2.4%	2.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.9%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	4.8%	4.3%	1.2%	1.0%	1.3%	0.8%	1.0%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino			
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q1n. Quality of cust	omer service	provided by	<u>y City</u>								
5=Very Satisfied	16.2%	19.3%	7.7%	8.8%	5.0%	50.0%	24.3%	15.7%	14.3%	17.8%	16.0%
4=Satisfied	49.0%	36.8%	41.0%	61.8%	45.0%	31.8%	44.6%	48.4%	46.9%	49.1%	48.0%
3=Neutral	30.5%	42.1%	43.6%	26.5%	45.0%	18.2%	24.3%	31.9%	33.4%	29.7%	31.6%
2=Dissatisfied	3.3%	1.8%	5.1%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	5.4%	3.0%	3.8%	2.9%	3.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.1%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	1.4%	1.0%	1.6%	0.5%	1.0%

Q2. Which THREE of the major City services listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

N=1307			Deee/Et	h			Q25. Sp Hispan	ic, or	026.6		Tatal
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Race/Et Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Latino H Yes	No	Q26. C	Female	Total
Q2. Top choice											
Police service	14.3%	18.5%	17.1%	17.9%	9.5%	11.5%	10.6%	15.0%	14.7%	14.8%	14.8%
Fire & emergency medical services	8.2%	9.2%	4.9%	15.4%	0.0%	7.7%	4.7%	8.6%	8.5%	8.0%	8.3%
Community's preparedness for emergencies	12.7%	15.4%	19.5%	15.4%	9.5%	11.5%	15.3%	13.0%	12.0%	14.1%	13.1%
Maintenance of streets/ sidewalks/infrastructure	70.1%	72.3%	51.2%	74.4%	61.9%	57.7%	60.0%	69.8%	68.2%	69.6%	68.9%
Communication between City & public	14.9%	9.2%	24.4%	15.4%	14.3%	3.8%	16.5%	14.7%	14.4%	15.6%	15.0%
Flow of traffic & congestion management	47.4%	47.7%	36.6%	48.7%	42.9%	38.5%	40.0%	47.2%	46.4%	46.4%	46.4%
Stormwater management system	11.7%	6.2%	2.4%	12.8%	4.8%	15.4%	14.1%	10.9%	8.9%	12.7%	10.9%

Q2. Which THREE of the major City services listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

N=1307			D (E4	· ·,			Q25. Sp Hispan	ic, or		1	TT (1
	White/	African American/	Race/Eth Asian/ Pacific	Native American	Mixed		Latino H		Q26. C		Total
	Caucasian	Black	Islander	/Eskimo	Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q2. Top choice (Cont.)											
Water utility services	7.6%	12.3%	4.9%	10.3%	4.8%	15.4%	5.9%	8.4%	7.6%	8.9%	8.3%
Wastewater utility services	2.9%	3.1%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	2.4%	2.9%	3.5%	2.4%	2.9%
Trash & yardwaste services	9.0%	6.2%	12.2%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	9.1%	8.2%	9.2%	8.7%
Planning & developmental services	21.7%	21.5%	22.0%	25.6%	9.5%	19.2%	16.5%	21.7%	23.6%	19.3%	21.3%
Public transportation services	17.0%	16.9%	22.0%	10.3%	47.6%	30.8%	21.2%	17.4%	16.5%	18.7%	17.6%
Parks & recreation system	12.4%	6.2%	14.6%	10.3%	14.3%	11.5%	15.3%	11.9%	13.3%	11.0%	12.1%
Customer service provided by City	4.1%	3.1%	2.4%	7.7%	0.0%	3.8%	4.7%	4.0%	4.0%	4.4%	4.2%
None chosen	9.9%	12.3%	17.1%	10.3%	23.8%	19.2%	20.0%	10.0%	11.1%	10.5%	10.8%

ETC Institute (2011 City of Lawrence Community Survey)

N=1307			Race/E	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q3a. Overall value y	vou receive fo	or City tax &	z fees								
5=Very Satisfied	7.4%	9.5%	10.0%	8.8%	10.5%	4.0%	8.8%	7.4%	7.5%	7.5%	7.5%
4=Satisfied	43.7%	38.1%	35.0%	38.2%	36.8%	44.0%	42.5%	42.7%	41.1%	43.4%	42.3%
3=Neutral	30.7%	28.6%	37.5%	29.4%	42.1%	32.0%	35.0%	30.9%	31.0%	30.9%	31.0%
2=Dissatisfied	15.1%	14.3%	17.5%	20.6%	10.5%	16.0%	13.8%	15.5%	16.7%	15.0%	15.8%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.1%	9.5%	0.0%	2.9%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	3.5%	3.6%	3.2%	3.4%

N=1307			Race/E		Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	026.6	Q26. Gender			
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	Total
Q3b. Overall image	of City										
5=Very Satisfied	22.7%	22.6%	17.1%	10.8%	23.8%	28.0%	27.7%	21.7%	18.9%	24.9%	22.0%
4=Satisfied	57.0%	45.2%	48.8%	54.1%	42.9%	60.0%	53.0%	56.1%	54.2%	56.6%	55.4%
3=Neutral	14.9%	16.1%	29.3%	24.3%	28.6%	4.0%	12.0%	16.2%	17.9%	14.6%	16.2%
2=Dissatisfied	5.1%	16.1%	4.9%	8.1%	0.0%	4.0%	7.2%	5.4%	8.0%	3.6%	5.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	2.7%	4.8%	4.0%	0.0%	0.6%	1.0%	0.3%	0.6%

N=1307			Race/E		Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total		
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q3c. Livability of y	our neighbor	hood									
5=Very Satisfied	34.3%	39.1%	31.7%	32.4%	23.8%	34.6%	41.7%	33.5%	33.3%	34.2%	33.8%
4=Satisfied	53.7%	43.8%	53.7%	54.1%	57.1%	42.3%	51.2%	53.4%	53.8%	52.9%	53.3%
3=Neutral	8.1%	9.4%	7.3%	8.1%	14.3%	19.2%	7.1%	8.6%	9.2%	7.8%	8.5%
2=Dissatisfied	3.7%	3.1%	4.9%	2.7%	4.8%	3.8%	0.0%	3.8%	3.2%	4.2%	3.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.3%	4.7%	2.4%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.5%	0.9%	0.7%

N=1307			Race/E		Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. C	ender	Total		
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q3d. Upkeep of you	r neighborho	ood									
5=Very Satisfied	24.5%	31.7%	24.4%	13.2%	4.8%	19.2%	26.2%	23.6%	24.4%	23.0%	23.7%
4=Satisfied	51.1%	42.9%	46.3%	63.2%	61.9%	57.7%	52.4%	51.4%	51.6%	51.3%	51.4%
3=Neutral	15.3%	12.7%	26.8%	7.9%	14.3%	15.4%	15.5%	15.5%	14.8%	15.8%	15.3%
2=Dissatisfied	8.2%	9.5%	2.4%	15.8%	19.0%	7.7%	6.0%	8.6%	8.4%	8.7%	8.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.8%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.8%	1.2%	1.0%

N=1307 Race/Ethnicity							Q25. Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino Heritage		Q26. Gender		Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q3e. Overall quality of City services											
5=Very Satisfied	14.8%	20.6%	12.5%	8.1%	9.5%	19.2%	19.0%	14.4%	13.2%	16.2%	14.7%
4=Satisfied	62.7%	49.2%	57.5%	56.8%	52.4%	69.2%	58.3%	61.6%	62.3%	60.2%	61.2%
3=Neutral	20.1%	25.4%	27.5%	29.7%	33.3%	11.5%	22.6%	21.1%	20.7%	21.8%	21.2%
2=Dissatisfied	2.1%	4.8%	2.5%	5.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	3.0%	1.8%	2.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.4%

N=1307			Race/E		Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total		
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q3f. Overall quality	of life in Cit	<u>y</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	28.2%	25.0%	19.5%	13.2%	23.8%	29.2%	33.3%	26.8%	24.2%	29.8%	27.1%
4=Satisfied	54.8%	54.7%	53.7%	55.3%	52.4%	58.3%	50.6%	55.2%	56.9%	52.6%	54.7%
3=Neutral	13.0%	14.1%	22.0%	18.4%	19.0%	8.3%	11.1%	13.6%	13.9%	13.5%	13.7%
2=Dissatisfied	3.3%	6.3%	4.9%	10.5%	4.8%	4.2%	4.9%	3.6%	4.0%	3.5%	3.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	1.0%	0.6%	0.8%

N=1307			Race/E		Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total		
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q3g. Efforts to pron	note economi	ic developm	ent								
5=Very Satisfied	7.5%	11.3%	5.3%	5.7%	5.3%	8.3%	14.5%	7.0%	7.0%	7.9%	7.4%
4=Satisfied	29.2%	29.0%	28.9%	20.0%	36.8%	54.2%	42.1%	28.7%	24.9%	33.7%	29.4%
3=Neutral	32.2%	21.0%	39.5%	42.9%	26.3%	25.0%	25.0%	32.2%	33.2%	30.5%	31.8%
2=Dissatisfied	23.0%	25.8%	18.4%	17.1%	10.5%	4.2%	11.8%	23.1%	23.1%	21.8%	22.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	8.0%	12.9%	7.9%	14.3%	21.1%	8.3%	6.6%	9.0%	11.8%	6.1%	8.9%

N=1307			Race/E		Q25. Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino Heritage Q26. Gender			ender	Total		
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q3h. Overall quality	of new deve	elopment									
5=Very Satisfied	4.8%	1.6%	7.7%	2.7%	10.5%	8.3%	12.7%	4.2%	3.8%	5.6%	4.7%
4=Satisfied	26.8%	29.0%	25.6%	10.8%	21.1%	37.5%	31.6%	26.1%	25.9%	26.5%	26.2%
3=Neutral	36.1%	30.6%	35.9%	48.6%	26.3%	29.2%	21.5%	36.9%	34.6%	37.2%	35.9%
2=Dissatisfied	25.0%	29.0%	20.5%	27.0%	26.3%	25.0%	22.8%	25.4%	27.1%	23.9%	25.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	7.3%	9.7%	10.3%	10.8%	15.8%	0.0%	11.4%	7.4%	8.6%	6.9%	7.7%

N=1307			Race/E		Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. Gender		Total		
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q3i. How well City	is planning g	growth									
5=Very Satisfied	4.0%	8.6%	5.7%	2.9%	5.3%	0.0%	6.5%	4.0%	2.4%	5.7%	4.0%
4=Satisfied	23.0%	17.2%	25.7%	14.7%	21.1%	34.8%	33.8%	22.0%	23.4%	21.7%	22.5%
3=Neutral	33.9%	29.3%	28.6%	38.2%	26.3%	34.8%	28.6%	33.9%	29.9%	36.5%	33.3%
2=Dissatisfied	29.0%	25.9%	22.9%	20.6%	36.8%	17.4%	22.1%	28.8%	30.9%	26.6%	28.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	10.1%	19.0%	17.1%	23.5%	10.5%	13.0%	9.1%	11.3%	13.4%	9.6%	11.5%

N=1307			Race/E	Cthnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
<u>Q3j. Mix of retail, re</u>	esidential, &	entertainme	nt establish	ments in Dov	wntown Lav	wrence					
5=Very Satisfied	11.0%	4.9%	7.7%	8.3%	23.8%	23.1%	15.7%	10.5%	8.9%	12.4%	10.7%
4=Satisfied	39.0%	39.3%	43.6%	22.2%	33.3%	50.0%	53.0%	37.6%	39.7%	37.6%	38.6%
3=Neutral	26.5%	32.8%	28.2%	27.8%	9.5%	15.4%	13.3%	27.7%	28.9%	24.3%	26.5%
2=Dissatisfied	18.6%	13.1%	17.9%	22.2%	23.8%	3.8%	13.3%	18.6%	16.1%	20.4%	18.3%
1=Very Dissatisfied	4.9%	9.8%	2.6%	19.4%	9.5%	7.7%	4.8%	5.6%	6.3%	5.2%	5.8%

N=1307			Race/F	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q4a. Frequency poli	ice officers pa	atrol your ne	eighborhoo	<u>d</u>							
5=Very Satisfied	12.5%	8.2%	8.1%	5.7%	9.5%	4.2%	8.8%	11.8%	10.2%	12.7%	11.4%
4=Satisfied	44.7%	34.4%	43.2%	54.3%	38.1%	58.3%	45.0%	44.5%	44.6%	44.2%	44.4%
3=Neutral	29.1%	31.1%	40.5%	28.6%	38.1%	29.2%	35.0%	29.2%	32.2%	27.7%	29.9%
2=Dissatisfied	10.9%	24.6%	5.4%	8.6%	9.5%	8.3%	8.8%	11.7%	9.7%	13.4%	11.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.8%	1.6%	2.7%	2.9%	4.8%	0.0%	2.5%	2.7%	3.3%	2.1%	2.7%

N=1307			Race/E		Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total		
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q4b. Efforts by poli	ce to prevent	crime in yo	ur neighboi	rhood							
5=Very Satisfied	12.7%	12.5%	9.4%	12.1%	10.0%	13.0%	10.8%	12.7%	11.4%	13.2%	12.3%
4=Satisfied	42.6%	35.7%	46.9%	42.4%	30.0%	52.2%	45.9%	42.0%	43.0%	41.7%	42.3%
3=Neutral	37.3%	41.1%	37.5%	39.4%	45.0%	34.8%	39.2%	37.5%	38.7%	36.8%	37.7%
2=Dissatisfied	5.9%	10.7%	6.3%	3.0%	5.0%	0.0%	1.4%	6.2%	4.5%	7.2%	5.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.4%	0.0%	0.0%	3.0%	10.0%	0.0%	2.7%	1.6%	2.4%	1.1%	1.7%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q4c. How quickly p	oolice respond	l to emerger	<u>icies</u>								
5=Very Satisfied	27.6%	26.0%	26.3%	21.2%	16.7%	34.8%	21.1%	27.5%	26.1%	27.7%	26.9%
4=Satisfied	47.4%	50.0%	47.4%	60.6%	55.6%	39.1%	46.5%	48.0%	48.0%	47.7%	47.8%
3=Neutral	21.4%	24.0%	26.3%	12.1%	22.2%	26.1%	28.2%	21.1%	23.4%	20.4%	21.9%
2=Dissatisfied	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	2.3%	1.7%	3.1%	2.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.8%	1.1%	1.0%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. C	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q4d. Professionalist	m of police of	fficers									
5=Very Satisfied	30.6%	37.0%	30.8%	18.9%	20.0%	28.0%	26.6%	30.5%	29.4%	30.9%	30.1%
4=Satisfied	49.3%	33.3%	53.8%	59.5%	55.0%	52.0%	49.4%	48.9%	50.3%	47.9%	49.1%
3=Neutral	13.9%	20.4%	12.8%	13.5%	15.0%	16.0%	16.5%	14.4%	14.3%	15.0%	14.6%
2=Dissatisfied	4.7%	7.4%	2.6%	8.1%	5.0%	4.0%	5.1%	4.9%	4.2%	5.4%	4.8%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.5%	1.9%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	2.5%	1.3%	1.9%	0.8%	1.4%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q4e. How effectivel	y City enforce	es traffic of	fenses								
5=Very Satisfied	13.5%	14.3%	12.1%	6.1%	11.1%	9.1%	13.3%	12.9%	11.6%	14.3%	13.0%
4=Satisfied	41.6%	42.9%	51.5%	48.5%	38.9%	59.1%	48.0%	41.5%	41.5%	42.1%	41.8%
3=Neutral	31.8%	35.7%	27.3%	27.3%	22.2%	27.3%	28.0%	32.0%	32.7%	30.9%	31.8%
2=Dissatisfied	9.8%	1.8%	6.1%	15.2%	16.7%	0.0%	8.0%	10.0%	10.5%	9.2%	9.8%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.2%	5.4%	3.0%	3.0%	11.1%	4.5%	2.7%	3.6%	3.8%	3.5%	3.6%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino			
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q4f. School Resour	ce Officers										
5=Very Satisfied	16.2%	22.5%	20.0%	13.6%	6.3%	23.5%	17.7%	16.1%	14.8%	17.6%	16.2%
4=Satisfied	40.0%	35.0%	44.0%	54.5%	37.5%	52.9%	48.4%	39.9%	37.7%	42.3%	40.1%
3=Neutral	37.5%	40.0%	36.0%	31.8%	37.5%	17.6%	27.4%	38.0%	42.2%	33.2%	37.5%
2=Dissatisfied	5.0%	2.5%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	5.9%	4.8%	4.6%	3.4%	5.7%	4.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.3%	0.0%	1.6%	1.4%	1.8%	1.2%	1.5%

N=1307			Race/E		Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. Gender		Total		
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q4g. Availability &	effectivenes	s of animal o	control serv	vices							
5=Very Satisfied	12.7%	18.4%	17.9%	6.9%	22.2%	5.0%	6.3%	13.3%	10.6%	14.4%	12.6%
4=Satisfied	43.2%	36.7%	39.3%	31.0%	27.8%	60.0%	54.0%	41.4%	41.6%	42.3%	42.0%
3=Neutral	35.9%	38.8%	35.7%	44.8%	22.2%	30.0%	34.9%	36.4%	39.0%	34.6%	36.7%
2=Dissatisfied	5.7%	6.1%	7.1%	6.9%	11.1%	5.0%	4.8%	6.0%	6.6%	5.5%	6.0%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	10.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.8%	2.2%	3.2%	2.7%

N=1307			Race/E	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q4h. Parking enforce	ement servic	es									
5=Very Satisfied	10.7%	14.0%	8.6%	5.7%	17.6%	13.0%	10.5%	10.6%	9.5%	11.4%	10.5%
4=Satisfied	49.0%	52.0%	51.4%	45.7%	41.2%	56.5%	53.9%	48.5%	46.8%	50.5%	48.7%
3=Neutral	32.6%	26.0%	34.3%	31.4%	35.3%	21.7%	31.6%	32.6%	35.6%	30.0%	32.7%
2=Dissatisfied	5.5%	2.0%	5.7%	17.1%	0.0%	8.7%	3.9%	5.9%	5.2%	6.5%	5.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.2%	6.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	3.0%	1.6%	2.3%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita		Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q4i. City's crime pro	evention educ	cation effort	<u>s</u>								
5=Very Satisfied	13.4%	14.6%	19.4%	11.5%	20.0%	11.1%	7.8%	14.0%	12.8%	14.1%	13.5%
4=Satisfied	39.7%	39.6%	38.7%	53.8%	26.7%	50.0%	53.1%	38.7%	39.3%	40.2%	39.7%
3=Neutral	38.2%	33.3%	38.7%	30.8%	40.0%	27.8%	29.7%	38.6%	39.7%	36.1%	37.9%
2=Dissatisfied	7.8%	10.4%	3.2%	3.8%	13.3%	11.1%	7.8%	7.8%	6.8%	8.8%	7.8%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.9%	2.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.6%	0.9%	1.3%	0.9%	1.1%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ıge	Q26. G	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q5a. Walking i	n neighborho	od during da	aytime								
5=Very Safe	69.3%	68.8%	65.9%	71.8%	66.7%	69.2%	65.5%	69.5%	70.4%	68.2%	69.2%
4=Safe	24.7%	29.7%	29.3%	25.6%	28.6%	26.9%	31.0%	24.9%	24.6%	26.1%	25.4%
3=Neutral	4.8%	0.0%	4.9%	2.6%	4.8%	3.8%	3.6%	4.5%	4.6%	4.2%	4.4%
2=Unsafe	1.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.5%	1.2%	0.9%
1=Very Unsafe	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ige	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q5b. Walking i	n neighborho	od after dar	<u>k</u>								
5=Very Safe	33.8%	38.5%	35.0%	35.9%	25.0%	48.0%	36.6%	33.9%	43.0%	25.2%	33.9%
4=Safe	43.5%	36.9%	45.0%	43.6%	35.0%	36.0%	42.7%	43.1%	41.2%	45.4%	43.4%
3=Neutral	14.5%	15.4%	10.0%	7.7%	15.0%	12.0%	15.9%	14.1%	11.6%	16.7%	14.2%
2=Unsafe	7.4%	6.2%	10.0%	10.3%	25.0%	4.0%	4.9%	7.9%	3.9%	11.2%	7.7%
1=Very Unsafe	0.8%	3.1%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	0.3%	1.4%	0.9%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ige	Q26. G	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q5c. In Downto	own Lawrenc	e during day	<u>vtime</u>								
5=Very Safe	54.8%	61.5%	46.3%	39.5%	85.7%	65.4%	58.8%	54.7%	55.9%	53.9%	54.9%
4=Safe	36.3%	30.8%	43.9%	50.0%	14.3%	19.2%	32.9%	36.6%	36.1%	36.5%	36.3%
3=Neutral	5.3%	7.7%	9.8%	7.9%	0.0%	11.5%	5.9%	5.5%	5.1%	6.0%	5.6%
2=Unsafe	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	3.8%	2.4%	2.4%	2.4%	2.6%	2.5%
1=Very Unsafe	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.5%	1.1%	0.8%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ige	Q26. G	lender	Total
		African	Asian/	Native							
	White/	American/	Pacific	American/	Mixed	0.1	X 7	NT			
	Caucasian	Black	Islander	Eskimo	Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q5d. In Downto	own Lawrenc	e after dark									
5=Very Safe	17.4%	16.4%	10.5%	21.1%	15.0%	29.2%	19.3%	17.1%	20.0%	14.4%	17.1%
4=Safe	36.9%	41.0%	26.3%	36.8%	40.0%	25.0%	36.1%	36.8%	37.3%	36.8%	37.0%
3=Neutral	23.1%	26.2%	44.7%	23.7%	30.0%	29.2%	28.9%	23.8%	23.8%	24.4%	24.1%
2=Unsafe	19.3%	13.1%	15.8%	18.4%	10.0%	12.5%	12.0%	18.9%	16.0%	20.7%	18.4%
1=Very Unsafe	3.4%	3.3%	2.6%	0.0%	5.0%	4.2%	3.6%	3.3%	3.0%	3.7%	3.4%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ige	Q26. G	ender	Total
		African	Asian/	Native							
		American/	Pacific	American/	Mixed	Other	V	N	M -1-	F 1.	
	Caucasian	Black	Islander	Eskimo	Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	<u> </u>
Q5e. In City pa	rks during day	<u>ytime</u>									
5=Very Safe	46.1%	57.1%	45.0%	33.3%	61.9%	50.0%	42.9%	46.7%	50.5%	42.3%	46.3%
4=Safe	40.4%	30.2%	40.0%	53.8%	28.6%	30.8%	42.9%	39.9%	37.6%	42.9%	40.3%
3=Neutral	9.2%	11.1%	12.5%	7.7%	9.5%	11.5%	10.7%	9.4%	8.5%	10.0%	9.3%
2=Unsafe	3.0%	0.0%	2.5%	5.1%	0.0%	7.7%	3.6%	2.9%	2.5%	3.5%	3.0%
1=Very Unsafe	1.3%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	1.0%	1.2%	1.1%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ige	Q26. G	lender	Total
		African	Asian/	Native							
	White/	American/	Pacific	American/	Mixed	0.1	X 7	N		F 1	
	Caucasian	Black	Islander	Eskimo	Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
<u>Q5f. In City par</u>	ks after dark	-									
5=Very Safe	8.5%	14.0%	5.9%	12.1%	11.8%	23.8%	12.0%	8.9%	12.3%	6.0%	9.1%
4=Safe	21.3%	19.3%	17.6%	21.2%	23.5%	19.0%	20.0%	21.1%	24.1%	18.3%	21.1%
3=Neutral	32.0%	24.6%	47.1%	33.3%	17.6%	33.3%	34.7%	32.0%	34.4%	30.0%	32.1%
2=Unsafe	31.8%	38.6%	20.6%	27.3%	35.3%	23.8%	28.0%	31.7%	24.8%	37.6%	31.4%
1=Very Unsafe	6.4%	3.5%	8.8%	6.1%	11.8%	0.0%	5.3%	6.3%	4.5%	8.1%	6.4%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ige	Q26. C	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q6a. Overall quality	of fire servi	ces									
5=Very Satisfied	40.0%	52.0%	41.4%	35.7%	58.8%	38.1%	42.0%	40.1%	41.3%	39.0%	40.1%
4=Satisfied	51.4%	40.0%	51.7%	50.0%	35.3%	52.4%	49.3%	50.9%	50.2%	51.7%	51.0%
3=Neutral	8.1%	8.0%	6.9%	7.1%	5.9%	9.5%	7.2%	8.5%	7.8%	8.9%	8.4%
2=Dissatisfied	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.4%	0.8%	0.2%	0.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.0%	0.2%	0.1%

N=1307			Race/F	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q6b. How quickly e	mergency me	edical servic	es personn	el respond							
5=Very Satisfied	42.0%	52.9%	36.7%	48.3%	53.3%	50.0%	45.2%	42.3%	44.4%	40.4%	42.4%
4=Satisfied	46.9%	33.3%	60.0%	44.8%	33.3%	38.9%	43.5%	46.5%	44.8%	48.0%	46.4%
3=Neutral	10.3%	13.7%	0.0%	6.9%	6.7%	11.1%	11.3%	10.3%	10.0%	10.8%	10.4%
2=Dissatisfied	0.7%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.6%	0.8%	0.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q6c. Professionalisr											
5=Very Satisfied	47.6%	52.0%	46.4%	50.0%	62.5%	50.0%	51.5%	47.3%	48.5%	46.9%	47.7%
4=Satisfied	44.0%	38.0%	50.0%	46.4%	37.5%	40.9%	42.6%	44.2%	43.7%	44.4%	44.0%
3=Neutral	8.1%	10.0%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	9.1%	5.9%	8.1%	7.5%	8.3%	7.9%
2=Dissatisfied	0.2%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.2%	0.4%	0.3%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino			T (1
		African	Race/E Asian/	thnicity Native			Herita	ge	Q26. G	iender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	American/ Black	Pacific Islander	American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q6d. Quality of med	dical care pro	vided by fire	e medical se	ervices perso	onnel						
5=Very Satisfied	42.2%	46.8%	46.2%	59.3%	53.3%	42.1%	41.3%	42.8%	43.0%	42.5%	42.8%
4=Satisfied	45.4%	38.3%	42.3%	40.7%	40.0%	52.6%	52.4%	44.5%	45.0%	45.2%	45.1%
3=Neutral	12.1%	14.9%	11.5%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	6.3%	12.3%	11.3%	12.3%	11.8%
2=Dissatisfied	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.2%	0.4%	0.0%	0.2%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.1%	0.2%	0.0%	0.1%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q6e. City's fire/med	ical educatio	n programs									
5=Very Satisfied	28.8%	41.5%	35.0%	50.0%	33.3%	33.3%	36.5%	29.3%	25.9%	33.1%	29.5%
4=Satisfied	39.2%	26.8%	40.0%	44.4%	41.7%	40.0%	44.2%	38.4%	39.2%	38.9%	39.1%
3=Neutral	31.2%	29.3%	25.0%	5.6%	16.7%	26.7%	19.2%	31.3%	33.5%	27.2%	30.3%
2=Dissatisfied	0.7%	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.8%	0.8%	0.8%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%	0.0%	0.3%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino			
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q6f. City's fire/busi	ness inspectio	on program									
5=Very Satisfied	22.7%	36.8%	25.0%	36.8%	25.0%	29.4%	30.2%	23.3%	22.8%	24.4%	23.6%
4=Satisfied	41.8%	26.3%	37.5%	52.6%	50.0%	52.9%	49.1%	40.6%	41.0%	41.5%	41.2%
3=Neutral	33.7%	36.8%	33.3%	5.3%	8.3%	17.6%	20.8%	33.9%	33.5%	32.2%	32.9%
2=Dissatisfied	1.2%	0.0%	4.2%	5.3%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.5%	2.1%	1.1%	1.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	0.5%	0.8%	0.7%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q7a. Appearance/cl	eanliness of C	<u>City parks</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	29.6%	27.4%	17.5%	20.5%	33.3%	21.7%	29.1%	28.6%	25.5%	31.2%	28.4%
4=Satisfied	59.9%	61.3%	62.5%	64.1%	52.4%	73.9%	57.0%	60.5%	62.6%	57.9%	60.2%
3=Neutral	8.7%	9.7%	15.0%	10.3%	4.8%	4.3%	12.7%	8.6%	9.4%	9.0%	9.2%
2=Dissatisfied	1.7%	1.6%	5.0%	5.1%	4.8%	0.0%	1.3%	2.0%	2.1%	1.7%	1.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.5%	0.2%	0.3%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q7b. Condition of e	quipment & f	acilities at C	City parks								
5=Very Satisfied	20.9%	21.7%	12.5%	15.8%	23.8%	25.0%	25.9%	20.0%	19.2%	21.6%	20.4%
4=Satisfied	61.9%	58.3%	52.5%	60.5%	66.7%	50.0%	51.8%	62.0%	62.3%	59.7%	61.0%
3=Neutral	14.6%	16.7%	27.5%	18.4%	0.0%	16.7%	18.8%	15.0%	15.7%	15.4%	15.5%
2=Dissatisfied	2.4%	3.3%	2.5%	5.3%	9.5%	8.3%	3.5%	2.7%	2.3%	3.0%	2.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.2%	0.0%	5.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%	0.4%

N=1307			Race/E	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q7c. Number of City	<u>v parks</u>										
5=Very Satisfied	25.6%	25.4%	17.5%	23.7%	28.6%	37.5%	27.4%	25.4%	23.3%	27.0%	25.2%
4=Satisfied	52.4%	55.6%	52.5%	42.1%	42.9%	50.0%	51.2%	52.2%	53.3%	50.8%	52.0%
3=Neutral	14.4%	14.3%	20.0%	23.7%	14.3%	8.3%	17.9%	14.5%	15.1%	15.0%	15.0%
2=Dissatisfied	6.5%	4.8%	7.5%	10.5%	9.5%	4.2%	3.6%	6.8%	6.5%	6.7%	6.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.1%	0.0%	2.5%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.1%	1.8%	0.5%	1.1%

ETC Institute (2011 City of Lawrence Community Survey)

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q7d. Number of wa	lking & bikir	ng trails									
5=Very Satisfied	21.4%	30.0%	17.1%	27.0%	33.3%	20.8%	25.9%	21.6%	22.4%	21.2%	21.8%
4=Satisfied	46.0%	43.3%	48.8%	40.5%	28.6%	58.3%	49.4%	45.4%	45.6%	45.0%	45.3%
3=Neutral	17.2%	16.7%	14.6%	18.9%	9.5%	12.5%	12.9%	17.4%	16.6%	18.2%	17.5%
2=Dissatisfied	13.4%	10.0%	12.2%	13.5%	28.6%	8.3%	11.8%	13.6%	13.3%	13.7%	13.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.0%	0.0%	7.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	2.0%	1.9%	1.9%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. C	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q7e. City outdoor re	ecreation faci	lities									
5=Very Satisfied	20.6%	23.0%	15.0%	25.0%	31.6%	22.7%	19.3%	20.9%	19.5%	21.7%	20.6%
4=Satisfied	52.3%	55.7%	52.5%	44.4%	47.4%	50.0%	54.2%	51.7%	52.1%	50.9%	51.5%
3=Neutral	19.4%	18.0%	17.5%	16.7%	10.5%	18.2%	14.5%	19.7%	19.0%	20.5%	19.7%
2=Dissatisfied	6.7%	1.6%	12.5%	13.9%	5.3%	9.1%	12.0%	6.4%	8.0%	6.0%	6.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.1%	1.6%	2.5%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	1.5%	1.0%	1.2%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino			
				thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q7f. City indoor rec	reation facili	<u>ties</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	15.4%	27.3%	18.9%	10.0%	10.5%	25.0%	17.1%	16.0%	15.2%	16.7%	16.0%
4=Satisfied	44.5%	45.5%	45.9%	56.7%	52.6%	50.0%	48.7%	44.6%	46.3%	43.1%	44.7%
3=Neutral	27.3%	20.0%	16.2%	16.7%	26.3%	20.0%	25.0%	26.5%	25.4%	27.7%	26.5%
2=Dissatisfied	10.9%	5.5%	13.5%	10.0%	5.3%	5.0%	7.9%	10.6%	10.4%	10.8%	10.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.0%	1.8%	5.4%	6.7%	5.3%	0.0%	1.3%	2.4%	2.8%	1.7%	2.2%

N=1307			Race/E	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q7g. Availability of	gym space										
5=Very Satisfied	15.4%	20.0%	3.0%	14.3%	11.8%	25.0%	15.1%	15.4%	15.5%	14.8%	15.1%
4=Satisfied	37.4%	40.0%	48.5%	53.6%	47.1%	30.0%	42.5%	38.2%	39.4%	37.9%	38.6%
3=Neutral	31.6%	30.9%	30.3%	7.1%	29.4%	25.0%	24.7%	31.2%	28.2%	32.9%	30.6%
2=Dissatisfied	12.8%	3.6%	12.1%	21.4%	11.8%	20.0%	16.4%	12.1%	13.9%	11.3%	12.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.8%	5.5%	6.1%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	3.2%	3.1%	3.1%	3.1%

ETC Institute (2011 City of Lawrence Community Survey)

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q7h. City's indoor a	quatic facilit	ies									
5=Very Satisfied	26.7%	42.3%	22.9%	33.3%	23.5%	25.0%	28.4%	27.2%	26.3%	28.6%	27.5%
4=Satisfied	49.2%	40.4%	42.9%	44.4%	47.1%	65.0%	45.9%	48.8%	47.9%	48.6%	48.2%
3=Neutral	19.0%	15.4%	31.4%	11.1%	29.4%	5.0%	17.6%	19.4%	20.8%	18.0%	19.4%
2=Dissatisfied	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	11.1%	0.0%	5.0%	6.8%	3.7%	4.5%	3.6%	4.0%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.9%	1.9%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.9%	0.6%	1.3%	0.9%

N=1307			Race/E	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q7i. City's outdoor	aquatic facilit	ties									
5=Very Satisfied	23.0%	35.8%	19.4%	17.2%	23.5%	30.0%	25.0%	23.1%	23.0%	23.2%	23.1%
4=Satisfied	50.3%	41.5%	47.2%	55.2%	47.1%	45.0%	50.0%	49.7%	50.8%	48.6%	49.6%
3=Neutral	21.0%	18.9%	25.0%	17.2%	17.6%	15.0%	21.1%	21.0%	21.1%	21.1%	21.1%
2=Dissatisfied	5.1%	3.8%	2.8%	6.9%	5.9%	10.0%	3.9%	5.2%	4.2%	6.1%	5.1%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.7%	0.0%	5.6%	3.4%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.9%	1.1%	1.0%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
<u>Q7j. Availability of</u>	youth sports	fields									
5=Very Satisfied	20.6%	21.8%	30.0%	24.0%	33.3%	31.6%	25.7%	20.9%	19.8%	22.5%	21.1%
4=Satisfied	46.6%	56.4%	40.0%	40.0%	33.3%	47.4%	42.9%	47.0%	49.2%	43.7%	46.5%
3=Neutral	25.9%	18.2%	20.0%	28.0%	11.1%	15.8%	21.4%	25.1%	23.8%	26.6%	25.2%
2=Dissatisfied	5.5%	1.8%	0.0%	8.0%	16.7%	5.3%	10.0%	5.1%	5.4%	5.6%	5.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.5%	1.8%	10.0%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	1.8%	1.6%	1.7%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q7k. Availability of	f adult sports :	fields									
5=Very Satisfied	21.3%	24.5%	17.2%	18.5%	25.0%	22.7%	18.9%	21.5%	17.9%	24.1%	21.0%
4=Satisfied	46.3%	47.2%	44.8%	44.4%	45.0%	45.5%	44.6%	46.7%	50.9%	42.0%	46.6%
3=Neutral	27.4%	28.3%	31.0%	22.2%	15.0%	27.3%	29.7%	26.8%	26.8%	27.9%	27.3%
2=Dissatisfied	4.0%	0.0%	3.4%	7.4%	10.0%	4.5%	5.4%	3.8%	3.6%	4.2%	3.8%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.0%	0.0%	3.4%	7.4%	5.0%	0.0%	1.4%	1.2%	0.8%	1.9%	1.3%

N=1307			Race/F	thnicity		Q25. Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino Heritage Q26. Gender					Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q71. Availability of	information a	about parks	& recreation	on programs							
5=Very Satisfied	22.6%	27.8%	21.2%	27.3%	31.3%	20.0%	21.1%	22.9%	17.9%	27.3%	22.7%
4=Satisfied	48.8%	50.0%	54.5%	39.4%	43.8%	55.0%	47.9%	48.9%	50.8%	46.7%	48.7%
3=Neutral	22.6%	22.2%	18.2%	24.2%	12.5%	10.0%	25.4%	22.1%	24.8%	20.0%	22.4%
2=Dissatisfied	5.2%	0.0%	6.1%	9.1%	12.5%	15.0%	5.6%	5.4%	5.2%	5.6%	5.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%	0.8%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ige	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
<u>Q7m. Overall qualit</u>	y of Eagle Be	end Golf Co	urse								
5=Very Satisfied	17.5%	17.9%	17.9%	19.0%	20.0%	21.1%	22.4%	17.1%	15.6%	19.4%	17.4%
4=Satisfied	48.3%	30.8%	50.0%	57.1%	40.0%	36.8%	46.6%	47.2%	48.3%	45.7%	47.1%
3=Neutral	29.7%	46.2%	28.6%	23.8%	26.7%	31.6%	27.6%	31.0%	30.1%	31.1%	30.6%
2=Dissatisfied	3.1%	5.1%	3.6%	0.0%	6.7%	5.3%	1.7%	3.4%	3.8%	3.0%	3.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	5.3%	1.7%	1.3%	2.2%	0.8%	1.5%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ige	Q26. G	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q7n. Mowing & tri	mming along	City streets									
5=Very Satisfied	18.5%	13.6%	13.9%	20.0%	20.0%	22.7%	23.1%	17.5%	15.8%	20.1%	18.0%
4=Satisfied	57.8%	54.2%	55.6%	48.6%	60.0%	59.1%	52.6%	57.4%	58.8%	54.9%	56.8%
3=Neutral	17.5%	18.6%	25.0%	20.0%	5.0%	9.1%	16.7%	18.0%	16.2%	19.3%	17.8%
2=Dissatisfied	5.5%	11.9%	5.6%	11.4%	10.0%	4.5%	6.4%	6.3%	7.7%	5.3%	6.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.7%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%	5.0%	4.5%	1.3%	0.8%	1.5%	0.3%	0.9%

N=1307			Race/E	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q70. Overall cleanlin	ness of publi	c areas									
5=Very Satisfied	20.0%	15.0%	13.2%	10.5%	23.8%	22.7%	20.5%	18.9%	18.4%	19.7%	19.1%
4=Satisfied	60.0%	63.3%	55.3%	52.6%	47.6%	63.6%	51.8%	59.9%	59.0%	59.4%	59.2%
3=Neutral	16.4%	16.7%	26.3%	13.2%	14.3%	4.5%	19.3%	16.6%	16.9%	16.8%	16.8%
2=Dissatisfied	3.1%	5.0%	5.3%	21.1%	9.5%	4.5%	8.4%	3.8%	4.7%	3.6%	4.1%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	4.8%	4.5%	0.0%	0.7%	1.0%	0.5%	0.7%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q7p. Variety of recr	eation progra	ms offered	by City								
5=Very Satisfied	25.0%	26.3%	16.7%	23.5%	19.0%	22.2%	18.4%	24.7%	20.7%	27.2%	24.1%
4=Satisfied	53.9%	54.4%	55.6%	47.1%	47.6%	66.7%	55.3%	53.5%	53.2%	53.7%	53.5%
3=Neutral	17.2%	15.8%	22.2%	26.5%	28.6%	5.6%	23.7%	17.8%	22.3%	15.1%	18.6%
2=Dissatisfied	2.9%	3.5%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	2.6%	2.9%	3.1%	2.8%	2.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	2.9%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%	0.7%	1.2%	0.9%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ige	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q7q. Cost of parks a	& recreation p	orograms &	services								
5=Very Satisfied	22.2%	22.4%	21.9%	28.1%	14.3%	29.4%	25.7%	21.7%	20.3%	23.3%	21.9%
4=Satisfied	49.4%	50.0%	50.0%	50.0%	57.1%	52.9%	47.3%	49.8%	47.0%	51.3%	49.3%
3=Neutral	23.3%	20.7%	25.0%	18.8%	23.8%	11.8%	24.3%	23.3%	26.8%	20.4%	23.4%
2=Dissatisfied	4.3%	6.9%	3.1%	3.1%	4.8%	5.9%	1.4%	4.6%	4.4%	4.7%	4.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.7%	1.5%	0.3%	0.9%

N=1307			Race/Et	hnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q7r. City's park & re	ecreation wel	osite									
5=Very Satisfied	21.4%	28.9%	18.5%	17.4%	11.8%	20.0%	21.7%	20.9%	19.4%	21.8%	20.7%
4=Satisfied	43.2%	44.4%	40.7%	43.5%	58.8%	40.0%	44.9%	43.3%	40.2%	45.2%	43.0%
3=Neutral	29.5%	24.4%	33.3%	34.8%	23.5%	33.3%	27.5%	29.9%	35.5%	26.3%	30.4%
2=Dissatisfied	4.7%	2.2%	7.4%	0.0%	5.9%	6.7%	5.8%	4.4%	3.9%	5.1%	4.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	1.0%	1.6%	1.4%

Q8. Which THREE of the parks and recreation items listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

N=1307			Dece/E4	h			Q25. Sp Hispan	ic, or		`an dan	Tatal
	White/	African American/	Race/Et Asian/ Pacific	Native American/	Mixed		Latino H	eritage	Q26. C	render	Total
	Caucasian	Black	Islander	Eskimo	Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
<u>Q8. Top choice</u>											
Appearance & cleanliness of City parks	18.0%	18.5%	12.2%	20.5%	14.3%	15.4%	18.8%	17.7%	19.6%	16.0%	17.8%
Condition of equipment & facilities at City parks	20.7%	23.1%	12.2%	35.9%	19.0%	34.6%	22.4%	21.2%	19.9%	22.4%	21.2%
Number of City parks	13.4%	12.3%	2.4%	7.7%	9.5%	3.8%	9.4%	12.9%	13.0%	12.4%	12.7%
Number of walking & biking trails	30.8%	21.5%	22.0%	30.8%	42.9%	23.1%	27.1%	30.3%	30.7%	29.2%	29.9%
City outdoor recreation facilities	10.5%	9.2%	14.6%	15.4%	9.5%	7.7%	11.8%	10.5%	9.3%	11.6%	10.5%
City indoor recreation facilities	16.2%	12.3%	17.1%	12.8%	14.3%	7.7%	11.8%	15.8%	13.9%	16.7%	15.4%
Availability of gym space	13.6%	13.8%	12.2%	20.5%	19.0%	11.5%	17.6%	13.5%	13.4%	13.6%	13.5%

Q8. Which THREE of the parks and recreation items listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

N=1307				1 • •			Q25. Sp Hispan	ic, or			TT (1
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Race/Et Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Latino H Yes	No	Q26. G	Female	Total
Q8. Top choice (Cont.)											
City's indoor aquatic facilities	5.7%	9.2%	17.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.5%	6.1%	5.5%	6.1%	5.8%
City's outdoor aquatic facilities	7.7%	10.8%	7.3%	7.7%	4.8%	15.4%	4.7%	8.2%	6.2%	9.6%	8.0%
Availability of youth sports fields	9.7%	9.2%	2.4%	7.7%	14.3%	3.8%	11.8%	9.1%	9.2%	9.3%	9.3%
Availability of adult sports fields	3.6%	6.2%	9.8%	5.1%	0.0%	3.8%	3.5%	3.9%	5.2%	2.8%	4.0%
Availability of information about parks & recreation programs	6.7%	9.2%	4.9%	17.9%	9.5%	3.8%	9.4%	7.0%	6.6%	7.7%	7.2%
Quality of Eagle Bend Golf Course	5.0%	3.1%	4.9%	2.6%	0.0%	3.8%	2.4%	4.8%	6.3%	3.0%	4.6%
Mowing & trimming along City streets	15.0%	13.8%	9.8%	17.9%	14.3%	15.4%	17.6%	14.7%	15.8%	13.8%	14.8%

Q8. Which THREE of the parks and recreation items listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

N=1307							Q25. Sp Hispan				
			Race/Et	hnicity			Latino H	eritage	Q26. C	Gender	Total
		African	Asian/	Native							
	White/	American/	Pacific	American/	Mixed						
	Caucasian	Black	Islander	Eskimo	Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	. <u> </u>
Q8. Top choice (Cont.)											
Cleanliness of public areas	20.2%	16.9%	19.5%	23.1%	23.8%	15.4%	16.5%	20.5%	19.1%	20.7%	20.0%
Variety of recreation programs	8.4%	12.3%	4.9%	10.3%	14.3%	0.0%	7.1%	8.6%	6.3%	10.4%	8.4%
Cost of parks & recreation programs & services	10.4%	18.5%	17.1%	12.8%	14.3%	11.5%	9.4%	11.4%	10.0%	12.6%	11.3%
City's parks & recreation website	3.0%	4.6%	2.4%	2.6%	0.0%	3.8%	3.5%	3.0%	2.2%	4.1%	3.2%
None chosen	20.9%	20.0%	31.7%	12.8%	19.0%	30.8%	24.7%	21.0%	23.3%	20.0%	21.7%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino			
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ige	Q26. C	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q9a. Condition of m	najor City stre	<u>eets</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	3.6%	1.5%	2.4%	2.6%	5.0%	3.8%	3.6%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%	3.5%
4=Satisfied	36.2%	33.8%	34.1%	36.8%	35.0%	50.0%	39.3%	35.8%	37.7%	34.2%	35.9%
3=Neutral	22.2%	20.0%	22.0%	31.6%	5.0%	11.5%	29.8%	21.6%	21.7%	22.7%	22.2%
2=Dissatisfied	29.6%	32.3%	26.8%	23.7%	35.0%	19.2%	20.2%	29.8%	27.1%	31.2%	29.2%
1=Very Dissatisfied	8.4%	12.3%	14.6%	5.3%	20.0%	15.4%	7.1%	9.2%	10.0%	8.3%	9.2%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino			
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q9b. Condition of s	treets in your	neighborho	<u>od</u>								
5=Very Satisfied	6.4%	7.7%	4.9%	7.7%	5.0%	7.7%	4.8%	6.4%	7.3%	5.2%	6.3%
4=Satisfied	39.5%	33.8%	34.1%	35.9%	20.0%	34.6%	39.3%	38.2%	37.6%	38.8%	38.3%
3=Neutral	20.7%	18.5%	22.0%	23.1%	20.0%	26.9%	29.8%	20.4%	21.7%	19.9%	20.8%
2=Dissatisfied	25.1%	30.8%	31.7%	23.1%	35.0%	19.2%	20.2%	26.1%	25.2%	26.5%	25.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	8.3%	9.2%	7.3%	10.3%	20.0%	11.5%	6.0%	8.9%	8.1%	9.4%	8.8%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q9c. Timeliness of	street mainter	nance repair	<u>s</u>								
5=Very Satisfied	2.8%	6.2%	2.4%	7.7%	0.0%	3.8%	2.4%	3.0%	3.4%	3.0%	3.2%
4=Satisfied	26.8%	23.1%	29.3%	20.5%	5.0%	26.9%	26.5%	26.1%	27.4%	24.2%	25.8%
3=Neutral	29.6%	21.5%	26.8%	20.5%	35.0%	23.1%	36.1%	28.5%	29.2%	28.9%	29.0%
2=Dissatisfied	32.3%	30.8%	31.7%	33.3%	35.0%	23.1%	24.1%	32.4%	30.3%	33.5%	31.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	8.6%	18.5%	9.8%	17.9%	25.0%	23.1%	10.8%	10.0%	9.8%	10.4%	10.1%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q9d. Condition of s	idewalks in y	our neighbo	rhood								
5=Very Satisfied	9.0%	9.4%	12.5%	10.5%	5.3%	0.0%	6.5%	9.2%	8.2%	9.7%	9.0%
4=Satisfied	42.0%	48.4%	32.5%	47.4%	31.6%	58.3%	51.9%	41.3%	42.2%	41.7%	41.9%
3=Neutral	25.0%	17.2%	27.5%	10.5%	21.1%	20.8%	23.4%	24.5%	25.9%	23.0%	24.4%
2=Dissatisfied	17.6%	17.2%	15.0%	15.8%	31.6%	8.3%	11.7%	17.7%	16.3%	18.4%	17.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	6.4%	7.8%	12.5%	15.8%	10.5%	12.5%	6.5%	7.3%	7.4%	7.3%	7.3%

N=1307			Race/E	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q9e. Maintenance o	f street signs										
5=Very Satisfied	15.2%	18.5%	17.1%	13.2%	15.8%	20.0%	17.3%	15.4%	15.0%	15.7%	15.4%
4=Satisfied	59.5%	49.2%	41.5%	55.3%	63.2%	60.0%	60.5%	57.8%	58.7%	57.4%	58.0%
3=Neutral	20.9%	27.7%	36.6%	26.3%	15.8%	20.0%	19.8%	22.3%	22.0%	21.9%	21.9%
2=Dissatisfied	3.2%	4.6%	4.9%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	3.4%	2.9%	3.9%	3.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	1.5%	1.1%	1.3%

N=1307			Race/E	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q9f. Adequacy of Ci	ity street ligh	nting									
5=Very Satisfied	10.3%	7.8%	9.8%	10.5%	10.0%	15.4%	11.0%	10.4%	10.1%	10.6%	10.3%
4=Satisfied	47.9%	42.2%	34.1%	42.1%	35.0%	50.0%	51.2%	46.0%	47.6%	44.6%	46.0%
3=Neutral	22.9%	21.9%	24.4%	26.3%	35.0%	11.5%	23.2%	23.6%	25.0%	22.5%	23.7%
2=Dissatisfied	14.7%	17.2%	24.4%	15.8%	15.0%	15.4%	12.2%	15.2%	12.8%	17.2%	15.1%
1=Very Dissatisfied	4.2%	10.9%	7.3%	5.3%	5.0%	7.7%	2.4%	4.7%	4.5%	5.1%	4.8%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q9g. Snow removal	on major Cit	ty streets									
5=Very Satisfied	18.6%	21.5%	12.2%	25.6%	15.0%	26.9%	20.2%	18.8%	20.7%	17.2%	18.9%
4=Satisfied	55.5%	50.8%	51.2%	46.2%	55.0%	53.8%	52.4%	54.9%	53.3%	56.1%	54.8%
3=Neutral	14.2%	10.8%	19.5%	12.8%	10.0%	3.8%	14.3%	14.1%	14.5%	13.8%	14.1%
2=Dissatisfied	8.6%	12.3%	7.3%	5.1%	10.0%	3.8%	7.1%	8.6%	7.2%	9.6%	8.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.1%	4.6%	9.8%	10.3%	10.0%	11.5%	6.0%	3.7%	4.3%	3.3%	3.8%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino			
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q9h. Snow removal	on neighborl	hood streets									
5=Very Satisfied	10.7%	9.2%	10.0%	15.8%	10.0%	16.0%	11.0%	10.6%	10.2%	11.1%	10.7%
4=Satisfied	39.9%	27.7%	32.5%	31.6%	20.0%	44.0%	34.1%	38.8%	41.6%	35.5%	38.4%
3=Neutral	19.9%	30.8%	25.0%	21.1%	15.0%	16.0%	18.3%	20.8%	22.7%	18.5%	20.5%
2=Dissatisfied	19.6%	16.9%	25.0%	23.7%	25.0%	8.0%	24.4%	19.4%	16.0%	23.5%	19.8%
1=Very Dissatisfied	9.9%	15.4%	7.5%	7.9%	30.0%	16.0%	12.2%	10.4%	9.4%	11.4%	10.5%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q9i. Streetsweeping	services pro	vided by Cit	<u>y</u>								
5=Very Satisfied	8.7%	14.8%	13.9%	10.8%	5.3%	12.0%	11.3%	9.0%	8.5%	9.8%	9.1%
4=Satisfied	43.9%	32.8%	33.3%	40.5%	42.1%	44.0%	45.0%	42.3%	40.1%	44.2%	42.2%
3=Neutral	30.6%	32.8%	33.3%	37.8%	31.6%	28.0%	31.3%	31.3%	32.8%	30.0%	31.4%
2=Dissatisfied	12.3%	13.1%	13.9%	10.8%	10.5%	12.0%	11.3%	12.7%	13.2%	12.0%	12.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	4.4%	6.6%	5.6%	0.0%	10.5%	4.0%	1.3%	4.8%	5.4%	4.0%	4.7%

Q10. Which THREE of the maintenance and public works services listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

N=1307			Race/Eth	micity			Q25. Sp Hispan Latino H	ic, or	Q26. G	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q10. Top choice											
Condition of major City streets	59.9%	55.4%	56.1%	56.4%	42.9%	46.2%	52.9%	58.9%	58.5%	57.6%	58.1%
Condition of neighborhood streets	40.1%	32.3%	36.6%	51.3%	57.1%	26.9%	31.8%	40.8%	41.6%	39.1%	40.3%
Timeliness of street maintenance repairs	49.5%	60.0%	39.0%	41.0%	57.1%	46.2%	38.8%	50.1%	49.1%	49.0%	49.0%
Condition of neighborhood sidewalks	24.4%	26.2%	26.8%	15.4%	14.3%	23.1%	21.2%	24.2%	24.7%	23.3%	23.9%
Maintenance of street signs	3.0%	4.6%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%	3.8%	2.4%	3.1%	2.8%	3.1%	3.0%
Adequacy of City street lighting	22.4%	27.7%	26.8%	25.6%	23.8%	26.9%	22.4%	23.0%	20.1%	25.8%	23.0%
Snow removal on major City streets	15.0%	20.0%	14.6%	17.9%	4.8%	7.7%	16.5%	14.9%	14.6%	15.0%	14.8%

Q10. Which THREE of the maintenance and public works services listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

N=1307	Race/Ethnicity							anish, ic, or eritage	Q26. Gender		Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q10. Top choice (Cont.)											
Snow removal on neighborhood streets	31.8%	36.9%	26.8%	30.8%	28.6%	38.5%	40.0%	31.8%	28.3%	35.4%	32.0%
Streetsweeping services	12.2%	13.8%	4.9%	10.3%	9.5%	15.4%	7.1%	12.5%	13.6%	10.8%	12.2%
None chosen	8.5%	3.1%	17.1%	12.8%	19.0%	11.5%	16.5%	8.3%	10.0%	8.6%	9.3%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino			
		A. C:		thnicity			Herita	lge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q11a. Availability o	of information	n about City	services &	activities							
5=Very Satisfied	13.7%	15.3%	12.5%	20.0%	15.8%	15.4%	12.0%	14.2%	11.9%	15.9%	14.0%
4=Satisfied	50.5%	54.2%	43.8%	42.9%	57.9%	61.5%	51.8%	50.3%	52.1%	49.0%	50.5%
3=Neutral	30.8%	25.4%	37.5%	22.9%	21.1%	15.4%	31.3%	30.1%	30.9%	29.2%	30.0%
2=Dissatisfied	4.6%	5.1%	6.3%	14.3%	0.0%	7.7%	3.6%	5.0%	4.6%	5.6%	5.1%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	1.2%	0.4%	0.5%	0.3%	0.4%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q11b. Timeliness of	f information	provided by	<u>v City</u>								
5=Very Satisfied	11.4%	11.9%	15.6%	18.2%	11.1%	20.0%	11.3%	11.8%	10.7%	12.6%	11.7%
4=Satisfied	46.9%	47.5%	34.4%	42.4%	50.0%	52.0%	47.5%	46.3%	47.9%	45.0%	46.4%
3=Neutral	35.3%	35.6%	43.8%	27.3%	33.3%	24.0%	36.3%	35.2%	34.9%	35.5%	35.2%
2=Dissatisfied	5.9%	5.1%	6.3%	12.1%	0.0%	4.0%	3.8%	6.2%	5.7%	6.6%	6.1%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	1.3%	0.5%	0.9%	0.3%	0.6%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino			
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q11c. Quality of Ci	ty's cable tele	vision chan	nel								
5=Very Satisfied	9.9%	8.9%	15.6%	13.8%	5.9%	20.0%	4.6%	10.5%	10.5%	9.5%	9.9%
4=Satisfied	38.5%	33.9%	28.1%	34.5%	23.5%	35.0%	43.1%	37.0%	36.8%	37.3%	37.1%
3=Neutral	34.9%	39.3%	40.6%	34.5%	29.4%	25.0%	36.9%	34.9%	33.9%	36.1%	35.0%
2=Dissatisfied	12.4%	14.3%	9.4%	6.9%	17.6%	10.0%	10.8%	12.7%	14.0%	11.8%	12.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	4.3%	3.6%	6.3%	10.3%	23.5%	10.0%	4.6%	4.9%	4.8%	5.3%	5.1%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q11d. Usefulness of	f information	on City's w	vebsite								
5=Very Satisfied	11.5%	12.8%	10.3%	15.4%	14.3%	22.2%	12.7%	11.7%	11.5%	11.7%	11.6%
4=Satisfied	42.3%	40.4%	24.1%	46.2%	28.6%	55.6%	50.8%	41.1%	43.8%	40.2%	42.0%
3=Neutral	42.2%	40.4%	55.2%	34.6%	57.1%	16.7%	30.2%	43.1%	39.8%	44.3%	42.1%
2=Dissatisfied	3.3%	6.4%	3.4%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	3.5%	3.6%	3.4%	3.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.7%	0.0%	6.9%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	3.2%	0.7%	1.3%	0.4%	0.9%

N=1307			Dago/E	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	andar	Total
		African	Asian/	Native			пента	ige	Q20. U		10181
	White/ Caucasian	American/ Black	Pacific Islander	American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q11e. Usefulness of	f information	thru City's s	social netwo	ork sites							
5=Very Satisfied	11.8%	7.4%	5.6%	12.5%	12.5%	8.3%	7.9%	11.4%	12.0%	9.9%	10.9%
4=Satisfied	30.0%	22.2%	27.8%	31.3%	37.5%	50.0%	39.5%	29.8%	26.7%	33.3%	30.0%
3=Neutral	53.7%	66.7%	61.1%	50.0%	37.5%	41.7%	47.4%	54.3%	55.8%	52.4%	54.1%
2=Dissatisfied	2.5%	3.7%	5.6%	6.3%	12.5%	0.0%	2.6%	3.1%	3.6%	3.2%	3.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	1.5%	2.0%	1.2%	1.6%

N=1307			Deco/E	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino	026.0	andan	Total
		African	Asian/	Native			Herita	ge	Q26. G	render	Total
	White/ Caucasian	American/ Black	Pacific Islander	American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q12a. Residential tr	ash services										
5=Very Satisfied	45.8%	48.4%	34.1%	30.8%	42.9%	61.5%	56.6%	44.3%	47.4%	43.0%	45.1%
4=Satisfied	47.9%	48.4%	51.2%	59.0%	52.4%	34.6%	38.6%	49.1%	45.9%	50.2%	48.1%
3=Neutral	4.6%	3.1%	12.2%	7.7%	0.0%	3.8%	3.6%	4.9%	4.9%	5.2%	5.0%
2=Dissatisfied	1.4%	0.0%	2.4%	0.0%	4.8%	0.0%	1.2%	1.3%	1.5%	1.4%	1.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%

N=1307			Deco/E	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino	026.0	landar	Total
		African	Asian/	Native			Herita	ge	Q26. G		10181
	White/ Caucasian	American/ Black	Pacific Islander	American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q12b. Yardwaste co	ollection serv	ices									
5=Very Satisfied	44.6%	44.3%	29.7%	47.2%	44.4%	54.2%	55.0%	43.3%	45.4%	42.4%	43.9%
4=Satisfied	45.1%	41.0%	45.9%	41.7%	33.3%	33.3%	35.0%	45.1%	43.5%	45.4%	44.4%
3=Neutral	7.2%	11.5%	18.9%	8.3%	11.1%	12.5%	8.8%	8.2%	7.4%	9.3%	8.4%
2=Dissatisfied	2.4%	3.3%	2.7%	2.8%	5.6%	0.0%	1.3%	2.6%	2.9%	2.3%	2.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.6%	0.0%	2.7%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.8%	0.9%	0.7%	0.8%

N=1307			Race/E		Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	Total			
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q12c. City's efforts	to inform res	idents about	recycling	opportunities	<u>.</u>						
5=Very Satisfied	19.9%	15.9%	12.5%	29.4%	20.0%	24.0%	25.6%	19.2%	19.2%	19.5%	19.4%
4=Satisfied	38.4%	34.9%	40.0%	26.5%	30.0%	40.0%	37.8%	37.9%	38.1%	37.1%	37.6%
3=Neutral	23.5%	31.7%	25.0%	23.5%	25.0%	8.0%	17.1%	24.3%	25.8%	22.2%	24.0%
2=Dissatisfied	13.9%	12.7%	12.5%	17.6%	15.0%	16.0%	15.9%	13.9%	11.6%	16.7%	14.2%
1=Very Dissatisfied	4.3%	4.8%	10.0%	2.9%	10.0%	12.0%	3.7%	4.7%	5.2%	4.4%	4.8%

N=1307					Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino		T. (1			
		A C.:		thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	fender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q12d. City's drop-or	ff recycling s	ites									
5=Very Satisfied	18.5%	15.3%	8.3%	15.2%	16.7%	18.2%	20.3%	17.4%	17.0%	17.7%	17.4%
4=Satisfied	38.8%	45.8%	36.1%	39.4%	38.9%	54.5%	40.5%	39.5%	37.7%	41.1%	39.5%
3=Neutral	25.8%	33.9%	30.6%	15.2%	16.7%	13.6%	21.6%	26.0%	28.3%	22.9%	25.5%
2=Dissatisfied	13.5%	5.1%	16.7%	24.2%	11.1%	4.5%	10.8%	13.5%	12.7%	14.8%	13.8%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.4%	0.0%	8.3%	6.1%	16.7%	9.1%	6.8%	3.6%	4.3%	3.4%	3.9%

N=1307			Race/E		Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. C	lender	Total		
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q12e. Household ha	zardous was	te disposal s	ervice								
5=Very Satisfied	18.9%	18.0%	8.8%	19.4%	26.7%	40.9%	23.9%	18.6%	17.6%	19.7%	18.7%
4=Satisfied	37.8%	34.0%	35.3%	32.3%	33.3%	36.4%	34.3%	37.6%	38.4%	36.1%	37.2%
3=Neutral	23.3%	26.0%	29.4%	25.8%	26.7%	9.1%	23.9%	23.3%	21.2%	25.3%	23.3%
2=Dissatisfied	15.5%	18.0%	17.6%	16.1%	6.7%	9.1%	13.4%	15.6%	17.4%	14.1%	15.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	4.5%	4.0%	8.8%	6.5%	6.7%	4.5%	4.5%	4.9%	5.4%	4.8%	5.1%

N=1307			Race/E	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q13a. Taste of your	drinking wat	ter									
5=Very Satisfied	18.1%	19.0%	10.0%	12.8%	15.8%	7.7%	15.7%	17.4%	17.3%	17.0%	17.2%
4=Satisfied	52.5%	44.4%	40.0%	51.3%	21.1%	57.7%	54.2%	51.1%	55.5%	47.5%	51.4%
3=Neutral	15.4%	20.6%	37.5%	25.6%	21.1%	23.1%	18.1%	17.1%	16.5%	17.8%	17.2%
2=Dissatisfied	10.5%	14.3%	12.5%	7.7%	36.8%	11.5%	9.6%	11.2%	8.3%	13.9%	11.2%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.4%	1.6%	0.0%	2.6%	5.3%	0.0%	2.4%	3.1%	2.4%	3.8%	3.1%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q13b. Smell of you	r drinking wa	ter									
5=Very Satisfied	18.8%	20.3%	7.5%	15.8%	15.8%	7.7%	16.9%	17.9%	18.2%	17.4%	17.8%
4=Satisfied	53.2%	43.8%	42.5%	50.0%	26.3%	57.7%	56.6%	51.6%	54.7%	49.2%	51.9%
3=Neutral	17.7%	20.3%	45.0%	26.3%	31.6%	30.8%	18.1%	19.9%	18.7%	20.9%	19.8%
2=Dissatisfied	7.9%	14.1%	5.0%	5.3%	21.1%	3.8%	7.2%	8.2%	6.5%	10.0%	8.3%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.5%	1.6%	0.0%	2.6%	5.3%	0.0%	1.2%	2.4%	1.9%	2.6%	2.3%

N=1307			Race/E		Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total		
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q13c. Clarity of you	r drinking w	ater									
5=Very Satisfied	20.7%	21.9%	12.5%	17.9%	15.8%	7.7%	14.5%	20.3%	20.4%	19.3%	19.8%
4=Satisfied	56.9%	54.7%	50.0%	64.1%	31.6%	73.1%	67.5%	56.1%	59.0%	54.6%	56.8%
3=Neutral	16.0%	15.6%	32.5%	15.4%	36.8%	15.4%	13.3%	17.2%	16.3%	17.6%	17.0%
2=Dissatisfied	5.4%	4.7%	5.0%	2.6%	10.5%	3.8%	3.6%	5.4%	3.5%	7.3%	5.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.9%	3.1%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	1.2%	1.0%	0.8%	1.2%	1.0%

N=1307			Race/E		Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total		
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q13d. Reliability of	your water s	service									
5=Very Satisfied	33.7%	34.4%	29.3%	34.2%	30.0%	16.0%	26.2%	33.6%	32.3%	33.7%	33.0%
4=Satisfied	56.8%	54.7%	48.8%	55.3%	45.0%	76.0%	63.1%	55.8%	59.2%	53.9%	56.5%
3=Neutral	7.9%	9.4%	22.0%	7.9%	25.0%	8.0%	10.7%	8.9%	7.8%	10.1%	9.0%
2=Dissatisfied	1.4%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	0.6%	1.8%	1.2%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.2%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.3%	0.0%	0.5%	0.2%

N=1307	_		Race/E	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q13e. Water pressur	e in your ho	me									
5=Very Satisfied	30.8%	28.1%	22.5%	31.6%	19.0%	19.2%	24.4%	30.4%	29.1%	30.7%	29.9%
4=Satisfied	53.0%	54.7%	57.5%	57.9%	52.4%	61.5%	59.8%	52.9%	54.6%	52.1%	53.3%
3=Neutral	8.9%	10.9%	17.5%	7.9%	19.0%	11.5%	9.8%	9.6%	9.9%	9.7%	9.8%
2=Dissatisfied	5.8%	1.6%	2.5%	2.6%	0.0%	3.8%	4.9%	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%	5.3%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.4%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	3.8%	1.2%	1.7%	1.1%	2.1%	1.6%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita		Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q13f. Accuracy of y	your water bil	<u>1</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	19.0%	17.9%	0.0%	21.9%	27.8%	18.2%	21.6%	18.1%	18.4%	18.2%	18.3%
4=Satisfied	49.3%	42.9%	50.0%	50.0%	33.3%	54.5%	45.9%	48.8%	48.7%	47.8%	48.3%
3=Neutral	22.9%	19.6%	40.6%	18.8%	27.8%	13.6%	20.3%	23.5%	24.2%	22.9%	23.5%
2=Dissatisfied	6.8%	12.5%	9.4%	6.3%	5.6%	13.6%	12.2%	7.2%	6.9%	8.3%	7.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.0%	7.1%	0.0%	3.1%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	1.8%	2.8%	2.3%

ETC Institute (2011 City of Lawrence Community Survey)

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino			
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ige	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q13g. How well Cit	<u>y keeps you i</u>	nformed ab	out planned	l disruptions	to your wate	er service					
5=Very Satisfied	16.0%	20.8%	6.3%	17.2%	29.4%	22.7%	16.2%	16.1%	16.4%	16.0%	16.2%
4=Satisfied	48.3%	33.3%	65.6%	62.1%	47.1%	40.9%	45.6%	48.6%	47.0%	49.1%	48.1%
3=Neutral	28.9%	39.6%	25.0%	13.8%	11.8%	27.3%	32.4%	28.3%	29.9%	27.4%	28.6%
2=Dissatisfied	5.4%	6.3%	0.0%	6.9%	5.9%	9.1%	5.9%	5.5%	5.4%	5.7%	5.5%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.4%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.4%	1.2%	1.8%	1.5%

N=1307			Race/F	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Q20. C	Female	
Q13h. City efforts to	prevent bac	kups of was	tewater into	o your home							
5=Very Satisfied	18.6%	25.0%	15.4%	20.8%	23.5%	30.0%	18.5%	19.2%	17.4%	20.5%	19.0%
4=Satisfied	48.2%	45.8%	46.2%	41.7%	35.3%	40.0%	50.8%	47.2%	47.7%	46.9%	47.3%
3=Neutral	29.6%	29.2%	38.5%	29.2%	29.4%	20.0%	27.7%	29.9%	31.0%	28.9%	29.9%
2=Dissatisfied	2.4%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	5.9%	10.0%	3.1%	2.4%	2.2%	2.6%	2.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	5.9%	0.0%	0.0%	1.3%	1.8%	1.1%	1.4%

N=1307			Race/F	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q13i. City efforts to	minimize od	lor from was	stewater tre	atment facili	<u>ties</u>						
5=Very Satisfied	17.3%	20.8%	4.2%	14.8%	26.7%	31.6%	15.9%	17.5%	17.5%	17.3%	17.4%
4=Satisfied	50.8%	47.9%	58.3%	44.4%	33.3%	52.6%	63.5%	49.4%	54.0%	46.5%	50.2%
3=Neutral	27.0%	27.1%	37.5%	37.0%	20.0%	10.5%	15.9%	28.2%	23.2%	31.3%	27.3%
2=Dissatisfied	3.8%	4.2%	0.0%	3.7%	13.3%	5.3%	3.2%	3.9%	4.4%	3.6%	4.0%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%	1.6%	1.1%	0.9%	1.3%	1.1%

N=1307			Race/F	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q13j. Overall value	you receive f	or water &	wastewater	utility rates							
5=Very Satisfied	14.0%	14.8%	5.4%	10.8%	15.8%	15.4%	13.9%	13.6%	13.7%	13.4%	13.5%
4=Satisfied	46.0%	42.6%	54.1%	51.4%	21.1%	57.7%	45.6%	46.0%	46.2%	45.1%	45.6%
3=Neutral	28.3%	29.5%	29.7%	18.9%	47.4%	26.9%	32.9%	28.2%	29.5%	27.9%	28.7%
2=Dissatisfied	9.2%	9.8%	8.1%	16.2%	10.5%	0.0%	6.3%	9.5%	8.1%	10.6%	9.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.5%	3.3%	2.7%	2.7%	5.3%	0.0%	1.3%	2.7%	2.5%	3.1%	2.8%

Q14. Which THREE of the water/wastewater utility issues listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

N=1307			Race/Et	thnicity			Q25. Sp Hispan Latino H	ic, or	026.0	Gender	Total
		African	Asian/	Native				ernage	Q20. C	Jenuel	10tal
	White/	American		American/	Mixed						
	Caucasian	/Black	Islander	Eskimo	Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q14. Top choice											
Taste of drinking water	44.4%	41.5%	43.9%	41.0%	57.1%	42.3%	37.6%	44.8%	43.0%	44.9%	44.0%
Smell of drinking water	26.3%	29.2%	24.4%	25.6%	33.3%	23.1%	25.9%	26.4%	26.9%	25.6%	26.2%
Clarity of drinking water	19.0%	20.0%	22.0%	10.3%	23.8%	26.9%	22.4%	18.7%	19.3%	18.8%	19.1%
Reliability of water service	13.2%	18.5%	12.2%	15.4%	14.3%	7.7%	15.3%	13.3%	14.7%	12.3%	13.5%
Water pressure in your home	11.3%	9.2%	19.5%	7.7%	9.5%	7.7%	10.6%	11.3%	12.5%	9.9%	11.2%
Accuracy of your water bill	22.0%	38.5%	22.0%	23.1%	9.5%	15.4%	21.2%	22.8%	22.6%	22.8%	22.7%
Informed about planned disruptions to water service	11.0%	7.7%	2.4%	7.7%	9.5%	19.2%	15.3%	10.4%	11.2%	9.9%	10.6%

Q14. Which THREE of the water/wastewater utility issues listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 3)

N=1307							Q25. Sp Hispan	ic, or			
			Race/Et	5			Latino H	eritage	Q26. G	ender	Total
		African	Asian/	Native							
	White/	American/	Pacific	American/	Mixed						
	Caucasian	Black	Islander	Eskimo	Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q14. Top choice (Cont.)											
Prevention of backups of wastewater into your home	24.2%	13.8%	17.1%	25.6%	19.0%	11.5%	17.6%	23.4%	21.5%	24.3%	23.0%
Minimize odor from wastewater treatment facilities	12.3%	10.8%	9.8%	25.6%	19.0%	3.8%	8.2%	12.9%	9.5%	15.1%	12.4%
Value you receive for water & wastewater utility rates	28.0%	26.2%	31.7%	30.8%	19.0%	11.5%	17.6%	28.5%	27.7%	28.0%	27.9%
None chosen	23.0%	20.0%	24.4%	17.9%	19.0%	34.6%	28.2%	22.5%	22.6%	23.4%	23.0%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino			
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q15a. Ease of north	/south travel										
5=Very Satisfied	7.2%	13.3%	5.0%	0.0%	5.3%	28.0%	12.3%	7.3%	8.1%	7.1%	7.6%
4=Satisfied	47.7%	45.0%	45.0%	63.9%	36.8%	32.0%	51.9%	47.3%	46.9%	47.6%	47.3%
3=Neutral	24.5%	20.0%	32.5%	19.4%	15.8%	24.0%	21.0%	24.4%	23.3%	25.3%	24.3%
2=Dissatisfied	16.9%	20.0%	12.5%	13.9%	26.3%	12.0%	14.8%	17.0%	17.3%	16.7%	17.0%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.6%	1.7%	5.0%	2.8%	15.8%	4.0%	0.0%	4.0%	4.5%	3.3%	3.9%

N=1307			Dece/E	41			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino		lan dan	Total
		African	Asian/	thnicity Native			Herita	ge	Q26. G	render	Total
	White/ Caucasian	American/ Black	Pacific Islander	American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q15b. Ease of east/v	west travel										
5=Very Satisfied	5.4%	11.5%	2.5%	2.8%	11.8%	11.5%	8.5%	5.4%	5.6%	5.7%	5.6%
4=Satisfied	29.7%	29.5%	30.0%	36.1%	17.6%	38.5%	35.4%	29.6%	27.6%	31.9%	29.8%
3=Neutral	22.5%	19.7%	27.5%	27.8%	23.5%	19.2%	28.0%	22.4%	23.0%	22.6%	22.8%
2=Dissatisfied	32.2%	24.6%	30.0%	22.2%	23.5%	23.1%	20.7%	31.8%	32.0%	30.1%	31.1%
1=Very Dissatisfied	10.2%	14.8%	10.0%	11.1%	23.5%	7.7%	7.3%	10.8%	11.8%	9.7%	10.8%

N=1307	_		Race/E	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q15c. Availability o	f bicycle lan	<u>es</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	7.9%	9.1%	5.4%	6.3%	5.6%	24.0%	5.0%	8.3%	7.6%	8.5%	8.0%
4=Satisfied	23.9%	30.9%	29.7%	31.3%	11.1%	36.0%	35.0%	23.9%	26.7%	22.9%	24.8%
3=Neutral	35.0%	34.5%	29.7%	37.5%	27.8%	16.0%	30.0%	34.7%	34.3%	33.9%	34.1%
2=Dissatisfied	26.3%	18.2%	16.2%	18.8%	50.0%	16.0%	22.5%	26.1%	23.9%	27.4%	25.7%
1=Very Dissatisfied	6.8%	7.3%	18.9%	6.3%	5.6%	8.0%	7.5%	7.0%	7.4%	7.4%	7.4%

N=1307			Race/E		Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total		
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q15d. Traffic signal	coordination	<u>i on major C</u>	<u>City streets</u>								
5=Very Satisfied	6.6%	9.4%	4.9%	5.7%	5.0%	20.0%	8.8%	6.6%	5.8%	7.6%	6.7%
4=Satisfied	36.6%	40.6%	41.5%	40.0%	30.0%	44.0%	45.0%	36.6%	37.2%	36.8%	37.0%
3=Neutral	27.5%	17.2%	26.8%	25.7%	25.0%	16.0%	23.8%	27.1%	25.6%	28.0%	26.9%
2=Dissatisfied	21.2%	25.0%	22.0%	25.7%	35.0%	12.0%	21.3%	21.4%	21.8%	21.3%	21.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	8.1%	7.8%	4.9%	2.9%	5.0%	8.0%	1.3%	8.3%	9.6%	6.2%	7.9%

N=1307			Race/F	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q15e. Number of de	estinations se	rved by pub	lic transpor	<u>tation</u>							
5=Very Satisfied	8.6%	5.1%	3.0%	3.8%	12.5%	33.3%	11.8%	8.2%	8.7%	8.6%	8.6%
4=Satisfied	34.3%	30.8%	24.2%	42.3%	12.5%	44.4%	42.6%	32.6%	35.4%	30.8%	33.0%
3=Neutral	37.8%	48.7%	39.4%	34.6%	25.0%	11.1%	29.4%	38.9%	37.7%	39.1%	38.4%
2=Dissatisfied	15.3%	10.3%	18.2%	11.5%	37.5%	5.6%	14.7%	15.0%	13.7%	16.0%	14.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	4.1%	5.1%	15.2%	7.7%	12.5%	5.6%	1.5%	5.3%	4.6%	5.5%	5.0%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q15f. Frequency of	public transp	ortation serv	vice								
5=Very Satisfied	8.6%	5.6%	3.0%	4.3%	18.8%	23.5%	10.8%	8.3%	9.3%	8.3%	8.8%
4=Satisfied	31.9%	30.6%	30.3%	47.8%	12.5%	47.1%	33.8%	32.1%	32.0%	31.6%	31.8%
3=Neutral	39.9%	41.7%	36.4%	30.4%	25.0%	11.8%	33.8%	39.2%	38.6%	38.9%	38.7%
2=Dissatisfied	14.7%	13.9%	18.2%	8.7%	31.3%	11.8%	15.4%	14.5%	14.8%	14.8%	14.8%
1=Very Dissatisfied	4.9%	8.3%	12.1%	8.7%	12.5%	5.9%	6.2%	5.8%	5.3%	6.5%	5.9%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino			
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	lge	Q26. C	Gender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q15g. Availability of	of pedestrian	<u>paths</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	10.2%	7.1%	13.2%	17.1%	10.5%	27.3%	14.8%	10.3%	10.7%	10.3%	10.5%
4=Satisfied	43.6%	50.0%	34.2%	40.0%	26.3%	40.9%	45.7%	42.7%	44.4%	41.8%	43.1%
3=Neutral	26.5%	26.8%	26.3%	25.7%	10.5%	13.6%	22.2%	26.6%	25.5%	27.0%	26.3%
2=Dissatisfied	15.7%	14.3%	10.5%	14.3%	47.4%	9.1%	13.6%	15.9%	14.9%	16.8%	15.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	3.9%	1.8%	15.8%	2.9%	5.3%	9.1%	3.7%	4.4%	4.5%	4.0%	4.3%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q15h. Availability of	of biking lane	es & paths									
5=Very Satisfied	9.1%	9.3%	5.9%	12.5%	0.0%	21.7%	7.9%	9.2%	9.5%	8.4%	8.9%
4=Satisfied	32.2%	27.8%	29.4%	34.4%	5.6%	43.5%	36.8%	31.1%	32.2%	31.0%	31.6%
3=Neutral	30.8%	48.1%	26.5%	37.5%	33.3%	17.4%	31.6%	31.7%	30.2%	32.4%	31.3%
2=Dissatisfied	21.3%	11.1%	23.5%	12.5%	44.4%	13.0%	17.1%	21.2%	19.3%	22.9%	21.1%
1=Very Dissatisfied	6.6%	3.7%	14.7%	3.1%	16.7%	4.3%	6.6%	6.7%	8.7%	5.2%	7.0%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino			
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
<u>Q15i. Availability o</u>	<u>f parking in D</u>	Downtown L	Lawrence								
5=Very Satisfied	6.7%	8.1%	0.0%	2.7%	5.0%	7.7%	11.9%	6.1%	5.7%	7.0%	6.4%
4=Satisfied	32.7%	37.1%	26.8%	18.9%	15.0%	42.3%	33.3%	32.1%	34.6%	30.1%	32.3%
3=Neutral	24.3%	25.8%	34.1%	32.4%	25.0%	26.9%	25.0%	25.2%	27.2%	23.6%	25.4%
2=Dissatisfied	28.3%	21.0%	31.7%	35.1%	35.0%	11.5%	23.8%	28.3%	23.8%	31.7%	27.8%
1=Very Dissatisfied	8.0%	8.1%	7.3%	10.8%	20.0%	11.5%	6.0%	8.4%	8.7%	7.6%	8.1%

Q16. Which TWO of the transportation issues listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 2)

N=1307			Race/Eth	nnicity			Q25. Sp Hispan Latino H	ic, or	O26. 0	Gender	Total
	White/	African	Asian/	Native							
	Caucasian	American/	Pacific	American/	Mixed						
		Black	Islander	Eskimo	Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q16. Top choice											
Ease of north/south travel	20.4%	16.9%	17.1%	17.9%	19.0%	15.4%	8.2%	20.7%	22.9%	17.0%	19.9%
Ease of east/west travel	39.3%	40.0%	34.1%	38.5%	33.3%	23.1%	31.8%	39.1%	41.1%	35.9%	38.4%
Availability of bicycle lanes	13.4%	15.4%	12.2%	5.1%	19.0%	11.5%	16.5%	13.1%	13.0%	14.4%	13.7%
Traffic signal coordination on major streets	24.6%	21.5%	14.6%	28.2%	19.0%	7.7%	16.5%	24.4%	22.2%	24.7%	23.5%
Number of destinations served by public transportation	10.3%	16.9%	17.1%	7.7%	19.0%	7.7%	11.8%	10.9%	8.7%	12.9%	10.9%
Frequency of public transportation	7.9%	10.8%	17.1%	10.3%	9.5%	11.5%	9.4%	8.7%	7.1%	10.1%	8.6%

Q16. Which TWO of the transportation issues listed above do you think should receive the most emphasis from City leaders over the next two years? (top 2)

N=1307			Race/Et	hnicity			Q25. Sp Hispan Latino H	ic, or	Q26. C	Gender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q16. Top choice (Cont.)											
Availability of pedestrian paths	11.7%	10.8%	12.2%	15.4%	19.0%	11.5%	12.9%	11.9%	11.2%	12.6%	11.9%
Availability of biking lanes & paths	14.9%	6.2%	19.5%	10.3%	19.0%	15.4%	22.4%	14.2%	14.4%	15.4%	14.9%
Availability of parking in Downtown Lawrence	28.7%	30.8%	26.8%	35.9%	23.8%	34.6%	31.8%	28.6%	27.5%	29.3%	28.5%
None chosen	11.3%	10.8%	12.2%	12.8%	9.5%	23.1%	16.5%	11.0%	12.3%	10.8%	11.6%

N=1307		Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. 0	Gender	Total					
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male Female		
Q17a. Used public to	ransportation	n services									
1=Yes	17.8%	20.3%	20.0%	23.1%	42.9%	23.1%	20.0%	18.6%	18.3%	19.4%	18.9%
2=No	82.2%	o 79.7%	80.0%	76.9%	57.1%	76.9%	80.0%	81.4%	81.7%	80.6%	81.1%

N=1307			Race/E	Ethnicity		Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total	
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q17b. Enrolled in re	ecreation pro	<u>grams</u>									
1=Yes	35.7%	41.3%	35.0%	28.2%	47.6%	34.6%	37.6%	35.4%	31.6%	39.4%	35.6%
2=No	64.3%	58.7%	65.0%	71.8%	52.4%	65.4%	62.4%	64.6%	68.4%	60.6%	64.4%

N=1307			Race/E	Ethnicity		Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total	
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q17c. Visited City r	ecreation fac	<u>cilities</u>									
1=Yes	71.3%	71.4%	63.4%	73.7%	81.0%	84.6%	76.5%	71.2%	71.7%	71.7%	71.7%
2=No	28.7%	28.6%	36.6%	26.3%	19.0%	15.4%	23.5%	28.8%	28.3%	28.3%	28.3%

N=1307							Q25. Spa Hispanic, o				
			Race/E	thnicity			Herita	ge	Q26. G	ender	Total
		African	Asian/	Native							
	White/	American/	Pacific	American/	Mixed						
	Caucasian	Black	Islander	Eskimo	Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q17d. Visited City I	Library										
1=Yes	72.9%	75.0%	68.3%	78.9%	57.1%	69.2%	70.2%	72.8%	70.1%	74.5%	72.4%
2=No	27.1%	25.0%	31.7%	21.1%	42.9%	30.8%	29.8%	27.2%	29.9%	25.5%	27.6%

N=1307			Race/E	Q25. Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino Heritage Q26. Gender				Total			
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q17e. Received assi	istance from l	Fire Medica	l Departme	ent							
1=Yes	17.0%	17.2%	20.0%	20.5%	42.9%	38.5%	24.7%	17.5%	17.1%	18.3%	17.8%
2=No	83.0%	82.8%	80.0%	79.5%	57.1%	61.5%	75.3%	82.5%	82.9%	81.7%	82.2%

N=1307			Race/E	Ethnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q17f. Received assis	stance from	Police Depar	rtment								
1=Yes	34.3%	39.1%	35.9%	28.9%	42.9%	50.0%	35.3%	34.7%	34.5%	34.9%	34.7%
2=No	65.7%	60.9%	64.1%	5 71.1%	57.1%	50.0%	64.7%	65.3%	65.5%	65.1%	65.3%

N=1307			_				Q25. Spa Hispanic, o	r Latino			
				Ethnicity			Herita	ıge	Q26. G	ender	Total
		African	Asian/	Native							
	White/	American/	Pacific	American/	Mixed						
	Caucasian	Black	Islander	Eskimo	Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q17g. Visited a City	<u>y park</u>										
1=Yes	85.5%	85.9%	85.4%	87.2%	90.5%	96.2%	90.6%	85.3%	86.0%	85.7%	85.8%
2=No	14.5%	14.1%	14.6%	12.8%	9.5%	3.8%	9.4%	14.7%	14.0%	14.3%	14.2%

N=1307			Race/E	Ethnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	Gender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q17h. Used a City v	valking/bikir	ng trail or pa	<u>th</u>								
1=Yes	71.8%	54.7%	80.5%	79.5%	76.2%	92.0%	83.5%	70.7%	73.7%	70.1%	71.8%
2=No	28.2%	45.3%	19.5%	20.5%	23.8%	8.0%	16.5%	29.3%	26.3%	29.9%	28.2%

N=1307			Race/F	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Q20. G	Female	
Q18. Have you	ı called or vi	isited City									
1=Yes	40.3%	35.4%	36.6%	48.7%	61.9%	57.7%	40.0%	41.2%	42.4%	40.0%	41.2%
2=No	58.2%	63.1%	61.0%	48.7%	38.1%	42.3%	57.6%	57.3%	55.4%	59.0%	57.2%
9=Don't know	1.5%	1.5%	2.4%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	1.5%	2.2%	1.0%	1.6%

Q18. Have you called or visited the City with a question, problem, or complaint during the past year?

Q18a. If YES to Question #18, which department did you contact most recently?

N=538			Race/Eth	nicity			Q25. Sp Hispan Latino H	ic, or	Q26. C	Gender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	10141
Q18a. Which department											
City Manager's Office	9.5%	17.4%	26.7%	5.3%	15.4%	40.0%	17.6%	10.5%	12.3%	10.0%	11.2%
Fire Medical	5.1%	13.0%	13.3%	5.3%	15.4%	13.3%	5.9%	6.1%	6.7%	5.2%	5.9%
Municipal Court	6.5%	8.7%	13.3%	10.5%	7.7%	20.0%	14.7%	6.9%	7.1%	7.8%	7.4%
Planning & Development	19.4%	30.4%	40.0%	31.6%	0.0%	13.3%	14.7%	20.4%	24.3%	16.7%	20.4%
Parks & Recreation	19.9%	17.4%	20.0%	10.5%	15.4%	26.7%	20.6%	19.4%	16.8%	22.6%	19.7%
Police	22.0%	26.1%	26.7%	10.5%	38.5%	26.7%	14.7%	22.7%	26.9%	17.8%	22.3%
Public Works	31.5%	34.8%	26.7%	31.6%	23.1%	46.7%	35.3%	31.8%	35.1%	29.3%	32.2%
Transit	1.6%	4.3%	6.7%	5.3%	0.0%	26.7%	2.9%	2.6%	2.2%	3.0%	2.6%
Utility Billing	14.6%	26.1%	26.7%	15.8%	23.1%	13.3%	14.7%	15.6%	15.3%	15.9%	15.6%
Water/Wastewater Utility	11.6%	8.7%	13.3%	5.3%	15.4%	0.0%	2.9%	11.7%	10.4%	11.5%	11.0%

Q18a. If YES to Question #18, which department did you contact most recently?

N=538			Race/Etl	hnicity			Q25. Spa Hispani Latino He	ic, or	Q26. (Gender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q18a. Which department (Co	ont.)										
Other	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%	10.5%	23.1%	0.0%	2.9%	6.7%	6.3%	6.7%	6.5%
None	0.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%	1.1%	0.0%	0.6%

N=538			Race/E	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	lender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q18b. Employees we	ere courteous	s & polite									
5=Strongly Agree	47.8%	45.5%	20.0%	36.8%	23.1%	53.3%	41.2%	45.9%	43.9%	46.8%	45.4%
4=Agree	41.6%	40.9%	60.0%	42.1%	61.5%	46.7%	52.9%	42.4%	43.9%	42.3%	43.1%
3=Neutral	6.6%	9.1%	20.0%	15.8%	7.7%	0.0%	2.9%	7.6%	6.9%	7.9%	7.4%
2=Disagree	2.8%	4.5%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%	2.9%	2.9%	3.8%	1.9%	2.8%
1=Strongly Disagree	1.2%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.2%	1.5%	1.1%	1.3%

N=538			Paco/F	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. C	landar	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Q20. C	Female	10141
Q18c. Employees we	ere profession	nal									
5=Strongly Agree	48.2%	40.9%	20.0%	36.8%	23.1%	46.7%	38.2%	46.1%	43.9%	46.8%	45.4%
4=Agree	40.2%	45.5%	60.0%	42.1%	61.5%	46.7%	52.9%	41.3%	43.1%	41.1%	42.1%
3=Neutral	7.6%	9.1%	20.0%	5.3%	7.7%	6.7%	2.9%	8.3%	6.9%	9.1%	8.0%
2=Disagree	3.3%	4.5%	0.0%	15.8%	7.7%	0.0%	5.9%	3.7%	5.3%	2.6%	4.0%
1=Strongly Disagree	0.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.8%	0.4%	0.6%

N=538			Race/E	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. C	Sender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q18d. Employees we	ere responsiv	e to my con	cerns								
5=Strongly Agree	42.2%	36.4%	13.3%	26.3%	23.1%	46.7%	39.4%	39.9%	37.7%	41.7%	39.7%
4=Agree	37.3%	45.5%	46.7%	47.4%	46.2%	46.7%	45.5%	38.5%	39.2%	38.3%	38.8%
3=Neutral	9.4%	4.5%	33.3%	10.5%	15.4%	6.7%	12.1%	9.9%	12.1%	8.3%	10.2%
2=Disagree	7.8%	13.6%	6.7%	10.5%	7.7%	0.0%	3.0%	8.4%	7.5%	8.7%	8.1%
1=Strongly Disagree	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%	5.3%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	3.3%	3.4%	3.0%	3.2%

N=538			Race/E	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q18e. I was satisfied	with service	provided									
5=Strongly Agree	40.4%	34.8%	20.0%	15.8%	15.4%	40.0%	38.2%	37.8%	35.2%	40.2%	37.7%
4=Agree	38.3%	39.1%	26.7%	47.4%	46.2%	53.3%	52.9%	38.2%	37.8%	39.8%	38.8%
3=Neutral	9.6%	13.0%	33.3%	10.5%	23.1%	6.7%	5.9%	11.2%	12.0%	10.5%	11.3%
2=Disagree	7.7%	13.0%	20.0%	15.8%	7.7%	0.0%	2.9%	8.8%	10.1%	6.4%	8.3%
1=Strongly Disagree	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.5%	7.7%	0.0%	0.0%	4.1%	4.9%	3.0%	3.9%

N=1307			Race/E	Cthnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q19a. Appearance &	z cleanliness	of Downtov	vn Lawrend	<u>ce</u>							
5=Very Satisfied	20.0%	20.3%	12.2%	7.7%	19.0%	26.9%	23.5%	19.4%	17.4%	21.2%	19.4%
4=Satisfied	57.6%	56.3%	61.0%	59.0%	52.4%	50.0%	55.3%	57.5%	58.1%	56.5%	57.3%
3=Neutral	13.0%	12.5%	26.8%	5.1%	19.0%	15.4%	10.6%	13.5%	12.9%	13.9%	13.4%
2=Dissatisfied	8.3%	10.9%	0.0%	25.6%	9.5%	3.8%	9.4%	8.5%	9.4%	7.7%	8.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.1%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	3.8%	1.2%	1.2%	2.1%	0.7%	1.4%

N=1307			Race/E	Q25. Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino Heritage		Q26. Gender		Total			
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q19b. Availability o	of parking										
5=Very Satisfied	7.0%	9.4%	0.0%	2.6%	9.5%	7.7%	10.6%	6.7%	5.6%	7.9%	6.8%
4=Satisfied	35.5%	42.2%	41.5%	15.8%	19.0%	46.2%	37.6%	35.0%	37.9%	32.8%	35.3%
3=Neutral	21.7%	25.0%	29.3%	36.8%	23.8%	26.9%	24.7%	22.6%	23.6%	22.2%	22.9%
2=Dissatisfied	28.9%	15.6%	24.4%	36.8%	28.6%	3.8%	22.4%	28.2%	25.0%	30.0%	27.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	7.0%	7.8%	4.9%	7.9%	19.0%	15.4%	4.7%	7.5%	7.9%	7.0%	7.4%

N=1307			Race/E	Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. Gender		Total			
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q19c. Types of retai	1 & entertain	iment establi	shments av	ailable							
5=Very Satisfied	10.6%	8.2%	9.8%	5.3%	19.0%	7.7%	11.8%	10.1%	9.2%	11.0%	10.1%
4=Satisfied	42.0%	47.5%	48.8%	31.6%	38.1%	57.7%	55.3%	41.5%	45.3%	39.8%	42.5%
3=Neutral	26.2%	26.2%	12.2%	31.6%	23.8%	11.5%	15.3%	26.4%	26.2%	25.1%	25.6%
2=Dissatisfied	18.5%	13.1%	22.0%	28.9%	14.3%	15.4%	15.3%	18.9%	15.8%	21.3%	18.6%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.7%	4.9%	7.3%	2.6%	4.8%	7.7%	2.4%	3.1%	3.5%	2.7%	3.1%

N=1307			Race/E	Q25. Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino Heritage		Q26. Gender		Total			
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q19d. Hours busines	sses are open	<u>l</u>									
5=Very Satisfied	8.5%	11.1%	4.9%	5.1%	19.0%	11.5%	11.8%	8.5%	9.0%	8.4%	8.7%
4=Satisfied	48.9%	39.7%	41.5%	61.5%	33.3%	46.2%	42.4%	48.6%	50.2%	45.9%	48.0%
3=Neutral	24.1%	33.3%	31.7%	7.7%	14.3%	26.9%	25.9%	24.3%	25.4%	23.6%	24.5%
2=Dissatisfied	16.0%	12.7%	17.1%	23.1%	23.8%	15.4%	17.6%	16.1%	13.2%	19.0%	16.2%
1=Very Dissatisfied	2.4%	3.2%	4.9%	2.6%	9.5%	0.0%	2.4%	2.5%	2.2%	3.0%	2.6%

N=1307			Race/E	thnicity			Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	ender	Total
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q19e. Ease of gettin	g to Downto	wn Lawrenc	<u>e</u>								
5=Very Satisfied	16.0%	21.9%	7.3%	7.7%	23.8%	19.2%	17.6%	15.8%	14.7%	17.0%	15.9%
4=Satisfied	54.4%	48.4%	61.0%	64.1%	23.8%	53.8%	54.1%	54.3%	54.0%	54.1%	54.1%
3=Neutral	19.9%	17.2%	22.0%	12.8%	19.0%	11.5%	16.5%	19.4%	19.2%	19.3%	19.2%
2=Dissatisfied	8.6%	10.9%	9.8%	10.3%	23.8%	7.7%	11.8%	8.9%	10.1%	8.4%	9.2%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.1%	1.6%	0.0%	5.1%	9.5%	7.7%	0.0%	1.6%	2.1%	1.2%	1.6%

N=1307			Race/E	Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	Total				
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q19f. How safe you	feel in Dow	ntown Lawr	ence during	<u>g daytime</u>							
5=Very Satisfied	37.1%	49.2%	31.7%	34.2%	57.1%	42.3%	40.0%	37.5%	37.3%	37.4%	37.3%
4=Satisfied	50.0%	34.9%	58.5%	52.6%	38.1%	53.8%	50.6%	49.9%	49.8%	50.4%	50.2%
3=Neutral	9.3%	14.3%	9.8%	13.2%	4.8%	3.8%	9.4%	9.3%	9.4%	9.3%	9.3%
2=Dissatisfied	2.5%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.4%	2.5%	1.9%	2.2%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.9%	1.0%	0.9%	0.9%

N=1307			Race/E	Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. G	Total				
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q19g. How safe you	ı feel in Dow	rntown Lawr	ence after o	<u>dark</u>							
5=Very Satisfied	12.1%	11.5%	0.0%	7.9%	9.5%	23.1%	18.1%	11.2%	14.2%	9.1%	11.6%
4=Satisfied	35.2%	41.0%	42.5%	39.5%	33.3%	34.6%	38.6%	35.9%	37.2%	35.1%	36.2%
3=Neutral	25.5%	23.0%	37.5%	28.9%	23.8%	23.1%	20.5%	26.1%	25.0%	26.5%	25.8%
2=Dissatisfied	21.9%	21.3%	17.5%	15.8%	28.6%	7.7%	19.3%	21.4%	19.1%	23.1%	21.1%
1=Very Dissatisfied	5.3%	3.3%	2.5%	7.9%	4.8%	11.5%	3.6%	5.4%	4.4%	6.2%	5.3%

N=1307			Race/E	Q25. Spa Hispanic, o Herita	r Latino	Q26. Gender		Total			
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q19h. Entertainmen	t & programs	s in Downto	wn Lawren	ice							
5=Very Satisfied	18.9%	11.9%	7.5%	15.8%	15.0%	13.0%	16.7%	17.7%	14.2%	20.3%	17.3%
4=Satisfied	48.0%	45.8%	45.0%	52.6%	40.0%	69.6%	58.3%	47.6%	49.6%	47.1%	48.3%
3=Neutral	24.4%	27.1%	32.5%	26.3%	30.0%	17.4%	16.7%	25.6%	27.4%	23.2%	25.3%
2=Dissatisfied	7.4%	10.2%	15.0%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	7.6%	7.3%	7.5%	7.4%
1=Very Dissatisfied	1.3%	5.1%	0.0%	2.6%	15.0%	0.0%	3.6%	1.5%	1.5%	1.9%	1.7%

N=1307			Race/E	Q25. Spanish, Hispanic, or Latino Heritage		Q26. Gender		Total			
	White/ Caucasian	African American/ Black	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Native American/ Eskimo	Mixed Race	Other	Yes	No	Male	Female	
Q19i. Beautification	of Downtov	vn Lawrence	2								
5=Very Satisfied	33.4%	25.4%	26.8%	20.5%	19.0%	26.9%	29.4%	32.1%	26.9%	36.2%	31.7%
4=Satisfied	50.8%	50.8%	46.3%	64.1%	52.4%	38.5%	48.2%	51.1%	51.1%	50.4%	50.7%
3=Neutral	13.0%	14.3%	24.4%	10.3%	23.8%	15.4%	20.0%	13.1%	16.7%	10.8%	13.6%
2=Dissatisfied	2.2%	7.9%	2.4%	5.1%	0.0%	11.5%	2.4%	2.7%	3.7%	2.1%	2.9%
1=Very Dissatisfied	0.7%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	4.8%	7.7%	0.0%	1.0%	1.6%	0.6%	1.1%