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## Introduction

## Proposed Redevelopment

The proposed "North Lawrence Riverfront Addition" is an infill, mixed-use redevelopment located along the Kaw River in North Lawrence, Kansas within KDOT's District 1, Area 4. The site is bounded by N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59) and Union Pacific railroad to the east and by the river levee on all other sides (See Location Map, Figure 1 of Appendix I). Currently the site is occupied by a few businesses, a couple of residential homes and a small mobile home park. Under the proposed redevelopment plan, the existing businesses and residential dwelling units will be replaced with number of mixed uses as shown in the Concept Plan, Appendix I and described below:

- 30,000 gross square feet of General Office Buildings (ITE Land Use Code 710);
- 74,300 gross square feet of retail/service consisting of:
o Two High-Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurants (ITE Land Use Code 932) each with gross floor area of approximately 6,000 sq. ft. including outdoor patios;
o A Quality Restaurant (ITE Land Use Code 931) with gross floor area of approximately 6,500 square feet including an outdoor patio;
- A Coffee Shop Without Drive Thru Lane (ITE Land Use Code 936) with gross floor area of approximately 1,200 sq. ft.;
o Three Drinking Places (ITE Land Use Code 925) - two with gross floor area of approximately $2,600 \mathrm{sq}$. ft.; and one with gross floor area of approximately 900 sq. ft.;
o 48,500 gross square feet of general retail space. At the time this study was prepared, specific uses for the individual retail spaces were unknown; therefore, ITE Land Use Code 820 (Shopping Center) is selected with gross leasable area (GLA) as the independent variable. For this analysis, gross leasable area is assumed to be the same as gross floor area.
- 390 dwelling units of Multifamily (Mid-Rise) residential (ITE Land Use Code 221) including 330 apartments and 60 condominiums; and
- A 150-room hotel (ITE Land Use 310).


## Existing Developments and Zoning

The area in the vicinity of the project site is currently fully developed consisting of downtown Lawrence just south of the bridge over the Kaw River, residential neighborhood east of N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59), and commercial and industrial uses along N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59) farther to the north.

According to the City's Interactive Map, the site is currently zoned IG (General Industrial) with a small area on the southeast corner zoned as CS (Commercial Strip District). The approved zoning for the entire site is CD (Commercial District) subject to the recording of the final plat.

## Access

Currently, access to the site is provided at one point onto N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59) at its intersection with Locust Street. Under the proposed redevelopment, a second access drive will be added onto N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59), near the southernmost property line. This access drive will be restricted to "right-out" only and will also be used by fire/medical vehicles to enter the site in case of an emergency (See Concept Plan, Figure 2 of Appendix I).

## Purpose

The purpose of this study is to:

1. Evaluate the existing operating conditions of traffic at the intersections of N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59) with Locust, Lincoln and Lyon Streets.
2. Identify existing operational and/or safety deficiency(s), if any, at the abovementioned intersections and recommend mitigation measures as needed.
3. Assess impact of trips generated by the proposed redevelopment on the subject intersections.
4. Recommend off-site improvements needed as the result of this redevelopment.
5. Evaluate the future operating conditions of traffic on the street network surrounding the site for target year 2040.

## Data Collection and Summary

The following paragraphs summarize the results of data collection tasks and field observations for this project.

## Roadway Characteristics

In the vicinity of the development sites (See Figure 3 of Appendix I for details):

- N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street runs north/south along east side of the project site and is designated as a "Principal Arterial" on the City's T2040 Thoroughfare Map. It is a four-lane undivided concrete roadway with curb/gutter sections and posted speed limit of 40 mph . North of Lincoln Street, the posted speed limit changes to 45 mph.
- Elm Street runs between N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59) and N. $9^{\text {th }}$ Street approximately 50 ft . north of the south property line and is designated as a "Local Street" with one-way operation in the eastbound direction, except for a 250 ft . segment just west of N. $3^{\text {rd }}$ Street that operates as a two-way street to provide access to local businesses on the north side of Elm Street. The only posted speed limit sign on Elm Street is a " 20 mph when flashing" sign in the Woodlawn school zone. The statutory speed limit is 30 mph during all other times.
- Locust Street runs east/west at the entrance to the project site approximately 400 ft . north of the south property line and is designated as a "Collector" on the City's T2040 Thoroughfare Map. It has one through lane in each direction and a twoway left-turn lane between N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59) and N. $3^{\text {rd }}$ Street for a distance of approximately 560 ft . The posted speed limit is 30 mph .
- Lincoln Street runs east/west to the east of N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59) approximately $1,000 \mathrm{ft}$. north of Locust Street and is designated as a "Local Street" on the City's T2040 Thoroughfare Map. It is a two-way two-lane roadway with posted speed limit of 25 mph .
- Lyon Street runs east/west to the east of N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59) and is located near the northern edge of the project site approximately $1,650 \mathrm{ft}$. north of Locust Street. It is designated as a "Collector" on the City's T2040 Thoroughfare Map. It is a two-way two-lane roadway with posted speed limit of 30 mph .
- The intersection of $\mathrm{N} .2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US $40 / 59$ ) and Locust Street is controlled by a fully-actuated signal with camera detection, "protected/permissive" left-turn phase for north/south approaches and "permissive only" for east/west approaches. The lane configuration consists of:
o North and south approach, each has one dedicated left-turn lane with approximate storage lengths of 255 ft . and 145 ft ., respectively; and two through lanes with the outside lane shared by right-turn movement.
o East approach has a dedicated left-turn lane with storage lengths of 225 ft . and one shared through- and right-turn lane.
o West approach has one shared lane and no dedicated turn lane.
- The intersection of $\mathrm{N} .2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US $40 / 59$ ) and Lyon Street is controlled by a fully actuated signal with "permissive only" left-turn phase for all approaches. The lane configuration consists of:
o North and south approach, each has two through lanes with the outside lane shared by right-turn movement and the inside lane shared by leftturn movement.
o East and west approach, each has one shared lane and no dedicated turn lane.
o The west leg of the intersection is a private commercial drive.
- The intersection of N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ (US 40/59) and Lincoln Street is a "T" intersection controlled by a stop sign on Lincoln Street. The lane configuration consists of:
o North and south approach, each has two through lanes with the outside lane (in the northbound direction) shared by right-turn movement and the inside lane (in the southbound direction) shared by left-turn movement.
o East approach has a de-facto left-turn lane and a very short dedicated right-turn lane with storage for one vehicle only.


## Manual Traffic Counts

Most recent peak-hour vehicular turning movement counts for the signalized intersections in the study area were obtained from the city files. These counts were taken during morning and afternoon peak-hours of typical weekdays in April 2015 and

January 2016. In addition, existing vehicular turning movement counts (dated July 2013) at the intersections of $N .2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59) with Elm Street and Lincoln Street were used for this analysis. Results, as summarized in Appendix IV and illustrated in Figure 4 of Appendix I, indicate that peak characteristics of traffic in the study area are as follows:

- On a typical weekday, morning peak occurs sometime between 7:15 and 8:30 with
o N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59), south of Locust Street, carrying peak-hour volumes of approximately $1,975 \mathrm{vph}$ with directional distribution of approximately 60\% - 40\% (southbound - northbound). North of Locust Street, peak-hour volumes for the same time period are approximately $1,750 \mathrm{vph}$ with directional distribution of approximately 55\% - 45\% (southbound - northbound).
o Elm Street, east of N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59), carrying peak-hour volumes of approximately 200 vph in the eastbound direction.
o Locust Street, east of N. 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59), carrying peak-hour volumes of approximately 320 vph with directional distribution of approximately 85\%-15\% (westbound - eastbound).
o Lyon Street, east of N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59), carrying peak-hour volumes of approximately 180 vph with directional distribution of approximately 75\% - 25\% (westbound - eastbound).
- On a typical weekday, afternoon peak occurs sometime between 4:15 and 6:00 with
o N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 24/40), south of Locust Street, carrying peak-hour volumes of approximately $2,250 \mathrm{vph}$ with directional distribution of approximately 52\% - 48\% (southbound - northbound). North of Locust Street, peak-hour volumes for the same time period are approximately $2,050 \mathrm{vph}$ with directional distribution of approximately 50\% - 50\% (southbound - northbound).
o Elm Street, east of N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 24/40), carrying peak-hour volumes of approximately 300 vph in the eastbound direction.
o Locust Street, east of N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 24/40), carrying peak-hour volumes of approximately 350 vph with directional distribution of approximately $70 \%-30 \%$ (westbound - eastbound).
o Lyon Street, east of N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 24/40), carrying peak-hour volumes of approximately 245 vph with directional distribution of approximately 50\% - 50\% (westbound - eastbound).
- The intersection of N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 24/40) and Locust Street carries approximately $2,015 \mathrm{vph}$ and $2,325 \mathrm{vph}$ during morning and afternoon peakhours, respectively.
- The intersection of N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 24/40) and Lyon Street carries approximately $1,590 \mathrm{vph}$ and $1,940 \mathrm{vph}$ during morning and afternoon peakhours, respectively.


## Transit Services

N. Lawrence is currently served by the City's transit system (Line \#4) with a number of stops along Locust Street, N. $7^{\text {th }}$ Street, Lyon Street and N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59). As shown in Appendix $V$, the closest stop to the proposed redevelopment site is at $\mathrm{N} .2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 24/40) and Locust (across the street from the site) with services every hour from 6:30 a.m. to 8:00 p.m. Monday - Saturday. Under the proposed redevelopment plan, on-site bus stop(s) will be provided to promote active transportation in the vicinity of the project site.

## Existing and Planned Bikeways

According to the City's T2040 Bicycle System Map (See Appendix VI for details):

- The levee on the north side of the Kaw River that runs adjacent to the project site has a recreational trail that is designated as existing shared use path.
- The bridge over the Kaw River, connecting downtown to North Lawrence, is designated as existing bike route.
- Elm Street and N. $3^{\text {rd }}$ Street are both designated as planned (future) bike route.
- Lyon Street, between N. $3^{\text {rd }}$ Street and N. $5^{\text {th }}$ Street is designated as existing bike route. East of N. $5^{\text {th }}$ Street, it is designated as planned (future) bike route.

Under the proposed redevelopment plan, on-site pedestrian and bicycle amenities with connections to the existing adjacent bikeways and sidewalks will be provided to promote active transportation.

## Evaluation of the Existing Operating Conditions

## Volume/Capacity Analysis

A volume/capacity analysis (using Synchro 10 Software and methodologies outlined in the $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition of the Highway Capacity Manual (HCM) published by TRB) was conducted to determine level-of-service (LOS) for all movements at the intersections under study during both morning and afternoon peak-hours of a typical weekday.

Level-of-service, as defined in the HCM, describes the quality of traffic operating condition and ranges from "A" to " $F$ ", with LOS " $A$ " representing the best (most desirable with minimum delay) conditions and LOS "F" the worst (severely congested with excessive delays). The following chart outlines level-of-service criteria for unsignalized and signalized intersections.

| Level-Of-Service | Control Delay for <br> Unsignalized <br> Intersections <br> (seconds/vehicle) | Control Delay for <br> Signalized <br> Intersections <br> (seconds/vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $0-10$ | $0-10$ |
| B | $>10-15$ | $>10-20$ |
| C | $>15-25$ | $>20-35$ |
| D | $>25-35$ | $>35-55$ |
| E | $>35-50$ | $>55-80$ |
| F | $>50$ | $>80$ |

Results of the analysis, as summarized in Appendix II and illustrated in Figure 5 of Appendix I, indicate that during the peak-hours of a typical weekday, under the existing lane geometry and phasing scheme with optimum signal timing:

- The intersection of N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ and Locust operates at LOS "B" during both peak-hours with individual movements operating at LOS " $B$ " and higher, except for the westbound left-turn movement that operates at LOS "C".
- The intersection of N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ and Lyon operates at LOS "A" during both peak-hours with individual movements operating at LOS "B" and higher.
- At the intersection of $\mathrm{N} .2^{\text {nd }}$ and Lincoln, westbound left-turn movement operates at LOS "E" and "F" during morning and afternoon peak-hours, respectively with $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}<0.18$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile stacking of one (1) vehicle. However, it is to be noted that it is not uncommon for minor stop-controlled streets along arterials to experience above average delays with low LOS.


## Sight Distance

A sight distance analysis and field investigations indicate that intersection sight distance (ISD) for westbound movement on Lincoln Street at its intersection with N. 2 ${ }^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 24/40) is restricted to the south by the east retaining wall of the railroad overpass.

## Reasoning (Using KDOT Access Management Policy, January 2013, Tables 4-12 and 4-14)

Posted speed limit on N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 24/40) $=40 \mathrm{mph}$
Grade on N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US-40/59) $=+3 \%$ (NB approaching Lincoln); -3\% (SB Approaching Lincoln)
Req. ISD for westbound left-turn (from Lincoln onto $\mathrm{N} .2^{\text {nd }}$ ) $=475 \mathrm{ft}$. vs. 90 ft . (measured) RESTRICTED Req. ISD for westbound right-turn (from Lincoln onto N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ ) $=385 \mathrm{ft}$. vs. 90 ft . (measured) RESTRICTED Req. SSD for Northbound movement on N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US-40/59) $=289 \mathrm{ft}$. vs. $>1000 \mathrm{ft}$. (measured) OK Req. SSD for Southbound movement on N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US-40/59) $=315 \mathrm{ft}$. vs. $>1000 \mathrm{ft}$. (measured) OK

## Dedicated Turn Lane Analysis

Using the guidelines presented in the KDOT's Access Management Policy (dated January 2013), under the existing conditions, the requirements for provision of a dedicated southbound left-turn lane and northbound right-turn lane on N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US$40 / 59$ ) at the intersection of Lincoln Street are met.

## Reasoning:

Southbound Left-Turn Lane at Lincoln Street (Table 4-28)
Operating Speed $=45 \mathrm{mph}$ (assume 5 mph over the posted speed limit of 40 mph )
Existing Advance Peak-Hour Volumes = 902 vph $(A M)>400$ vph; 918 vph $(P M)>400$ vph
Existing Opposing Peak-Hour Volumes = 770 vph (AM); 1022 vph (PM)
Existing Left-Turn Volumes $=3 \mathrm{vph}(\mathrm{AM})<7 \mathrm{vph}$ (Req. Min.)
$=15 \mathrm{vph}(\mathrm{PM})>5 \mathrm{vph}($ Req. Min.)
LT Lane Requirement Met

Northbound Right-Turn Lane at Lincoln Street (Table 4-26)
Operating Speed $=45 \mathrm{mph}$ (assume 5 mph over the posted speed limit of 40 mph )
Existing Advance Peak-Hour Volumes = 770 vph (AM); 1022 vph (PM)
Existing Right-Turn Volumes = $22 \mathrm{vph}(A M)<41$ (Req. Min.);
$=76 \mathrm{vph}(\mathrm{PM})>28 \mathrm{vph}$ (Req. Min.
RT Lane Requirement Met

## Trip Generation Analysis

Trip generation of a proposed land development project is typically estimated using trip generation rates suggested by the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE), Trip Generation Manual (currently the $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition). As mentioned earlier, for this analysis, the ITE land use codes $221,310,710,820,925,931,932$, and 936 with their respective independent variables were selected. Results, as shown in Table 1 and Appendix III, are described in the following paragraphs.

## Total Unadjusted Trips (External + Internal + Pass-By + New)

- On average, 677 trip-ends (364 inbound and 313 outbound) during morning peak-hour of a typical weekday;
- On average, 887 trip-ends (480 inbound and 407 outbound) during afternoon peak-hour of a typical weekday; and
- On average, 9,977 (+/-) trip-ends (two-way volumes) during 24-hour period of a typical weekday.


## Internal Capture Trips

The above-mentioned trip numbers represent sum of the trips for single-use, freestanding sites for each proposed land use in a suburb setting. At mixed-use development sites, with two or more complementary land uses, however, there is potential for interaction among the uses that are referred to as "internal capture trips". As a result, the total external trip numbers may be less than the simple sum of the trips generated by each use separately.

The proposed redevelopment under study is a mixed-use redevelopment consisting of "office", "residential", "retail" and "lodging" components with significant potential for internal capture trips. Using the guidelines suggested in the ITE Trip Generation Handbook, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Edition in conjunction with the NCHRP Report 684 "Internal Capture Trip Estimation Tool", the internal capture rate for the proposed redevelopment is $20 \%$ during the morning peak-hour and $47 \%$ during the afternoon peak-hour. For this analysis, a $20 \%$ value is assumed for both peak periods. Results, as summarized in Table 1 and shown in Appendix IV, indicate that the internal capture trips for this redevelopment are:

- On average, 135 trip-ends (69 inbound and 69 outbound) during morning peakhour of a typical weekday; and
- On average, 177 trip-ends ( 96 inbound and 81 outbound) during afternoon peakhour of a typical weekday.


## External Trips

The estimated external trips for the proposed redevelopment, as summarized in Table 1, are:

- On average, 542 trip-ends (295 inbound and 244 outbound) during morning peak-hour of a typical weekday;
- On average, 710 trip-ends ( 384 inbound and 326 outbound) during afternoon peak-hour of a typical weekday; and
- On average, 7,982 (+/-) trip-ends (two-way volumes) during 24-hour period of a typical weekday.


## Pass-By Trips

The resulting number of trips after adjustment for "internal capture trips" represents total vehicles entering and exiting the site at its proposed driveway(s). Because one of the components of the proposed uses for this site is retail-oriented, it attracts a portion of its trips from traffic passing the site on the way from origin to an ultimate destination. These retail trips are called "pass-by" trips and do not add new traffic to the adjacent street network. These trips are typically estimated using values suggested by the ITE Trip Generation Handbook, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Edition. Table 1 shows a summary of applicable "pass-by" trips for this redevelopment; however, for this analysis a zero value is assumed.

## Multimodal Concept

As mentioned earlier, this redevelopment site is located in a developed urbanized area about a block north of downtown Lawrence where walking, bicycling, and transit are viable modes of transportation. Trip generation numbers presented in the previous paragraphs do not reflect those for urban infill sites such as this site. These types of redevelopment sites often result in fewer vehicle trips due to modal shifts:

- More walking because of close proximity of complementary uses;
- More transit ridership because of convenient, frequent transit services; and
- More bicycling because of bicycle facilities and amenities available in and adjacent to the site.

Therefore, it is reasonable to imply that the trips used in this analysis may be subject to additional discounts due to the multimodal nature of this redevelopment.

Table 1
Summary of Trip Generation Calculations ${ }^{1,2}$ for North Lawrence Riverfront Development (Peak-Hours of Adjacent Street Network)

| Land Use (ITE CODE) | Setting/ <br> Location | Size | Typical Weekday |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 24-hr, 2-Way <br> Volume (vpd) | AM Peak-Hour ${ }^{3}$ (vph) |  |  | PM Peak-Hour ${ }^{3}$ (vph) |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Enter | Exit | Total | Enter | Exit | Total |
| Mid-Rise Multifamily Housing (221)* | Gen Urban/Suburban | 390 units | 2,124 | 34 | 96 | 130 | 100 | 64 | 164 |
| Hotel (310)* | Gen. Urban/Suburban | 150 rooms | 1,254 | 41 | 29 | 70 | 44 | 42 | 86 |
| General Office (710)* | Gen. Urban/Suburban | 30,000 sq. ft. | 330 | 47 | 8 | 55 | 6 | 30 | 36 |
| Shopping Center (820)* | Gen. Urban/Suburban | 48,500 GFA ${ }^{4}$ | 3,676 | 109 | 67 | 176 | 153 | 165 | 318 |
|  |  | 48,500 GLA ${ }^{5}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drinking Place (925) | Gen. Urban/Suburban | 2,600 sq. ft. |  |  |  |  | 20 | 10 | 30 |
| Drinking Place (925) | Gen. Urban/Suburban | 2,600 sq. ft. |  |  |  |  | 20 | 10 | 30 |
| Drinking Place (925) | Gen. Urban/Suburban | 900 sq. ft. |  |  |  |  | 7 | 3 | 10 |
| Quality Restaurant (931) | Gen. Urban/Suburban | 6,500 sq. ft. | 545 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 34 | 17 | 51 |
| High-Turnover Restaurant (932) | Gen. Urban/Suburban | 6,000 sq. ft. | 673 | 33 | 27 | 60 | 37 | 22 | 59 |
| High-Turnover Restaurant (932) | Gen. Urban/Suburban | 6,000 sq. ft. | 673 | 33 | 27 | 60 | 37 | 22 | 59 |
| Coffee Shop without D.T. Lane (936) | Gen. Urban/Suburban | 1,200 sq. ft. | 702 | 62 | 59 | 121 | 22 | 22 | 44 |
| TOTAL UNADJUSTED TRIPS (Buildings I thru VII and Hotel) |  |  | 9,977 | 364 | 313 | 677 | 480 | 407 | 887 |
| Internal Capture Trips [AM Peak = 20\%; PM Peak = 47\% (Assume 20\%)] ${ }^{6}$ |  |  | -1995 | -69 | -69 | -135 | -96 | -81 | -177 |
| UNADJUSTED EXTERNAL TRIPS (Buildings I thru VII and Hotel) |  |  | 7982 | 295 | 244 | 542 | 384 | 326 | 710 |
| Other Applicable Adjustments : <br> - Multi-Modal Use for Retail Component (Assume 0\%) <br> - Pass-By Trips for Shopping Center (AM $=0 \%$; PM $=34 \%)^{7}$ <br> - Pass-By Trips for Restaurants (AM $=0 \%$; $\mathrm{PM}=43 \%)^{7}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -42 | -45 | -86 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | -37 | -21 | -58 |
| ADJUSTED EXTERNAL TRIPS ADDED TO NETWORK (Building I thru VII and Hotel) |  |  | 7,982 | 295 | 244 | 542 | 305 | 260 | 565 |

NOTES:

1) Trip generation numbers in this table are calculated using the rates suggested in the ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition.
2) Number of trips are determined by both Weighted Average Rate Method and the Regression Equation Method and the method that meets the criteria suggested in the ITE Trip Generation Handbook, 3rd Edition with statistical significance is selected for analysis (* denotes use of Reg. Eq.)
3) Peak-hour of adjacent street network.
4) GFA = Gross Floor Area
5) GLA = Gross Leasable Area (for this study, GLA is assumed to be equal to GFA)
6) Calculated using the NCHRP 684, Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool. However, for this study, a $20 \%$ rate is assumed for both AM and PM peak hours.
7) Calcualted using suggested values in the ITE Trip Generation Handbook, 3rd Edition.

## Trip Distribution and Assignment Analysis

For the purpose of this study, it is assumed that individual components of the proposed redevelopment site have their own unique trip distribution patterns based on a combination of several factors such as:

- The existing traffic distribution patterns;
- Most direct and short route vs. a desirable alternative route that is either less congested (path of least resistance) or is more convenient;
- Employment-based trips;
- Home-based trips; and
- Retail-based trips.
"Hotel" Component (Figure 6 of Appendix I)
- $95 \%$ to/from north using N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street; and
- $5 \%$ to/from south using the bridge over the Kaw River.


## "Residential" and "Office" Components (Figure 7 of Appendix I)

- $40 \%$ to/from north using N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street; and
- $60 \%$ to/from south using the bridge over the Kaw River.


## "Retail" Component (Figure 8 of Appendix I)

- $41 \%$ to/from north using N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street. Of which $2 \%$ to/from Lincoln Street and 10\% to/from Lyon Street;
- $11 \%$ to/from east using Locust Street; and
- $48 \%$ to/from south using the bridge over the Kaw River.

Using these trip distribution patterns, site-generated trips are assigned to individual movements in the study area as illustrated in Figure 9 of Appendix I.

## Analysis Time Period

An overview of the existing traffic volumes in the study area and their peak characteristics, in conjunction with estimated trips generated by the proposed redevelopment, indicate that the most critical peak period will likely occur during the afternoon peak-hour of a typical weekday. For this study, however, both peak-hours are analyzed.

## Impact Assessment

## Volume/Capacity Analysis

For the purpose of this analysis, the unadjusted external trip generation numbers were used to assess traffic impact of this redevelopment under the "worst case scenario" with no consideration for "pass-by" trips and multimodal characteristics of the project site. Results of a volume/capacity analysis indicate that during the peak-hours of a typical weekday, with certain site access improvements (i.e. a dedicated left-turn lane and a shared through/right turn lane for eastbound approach on Locust Street at its intersection with N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59); and a new restricted "right/out" access drive near the south property line):

- The intersection of N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59) and Locust Street will likely operate at LOS "B" and "C" during morning and afternoon peak-hours, respectively with individual movements likely operating at LOS "C" and higher, except for the westbound left-turn movement that will likely operate at LOS "D" during morning peak-hour. Moreover, the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile stacking length for the northbound leftturn movement (higher of the morning and afternoon peak-hour) will be 156, which utilizes the entire available storage length of this lane. The extent of which this lane can be lengthened is limited with some design constraints due to proximity of the bridge over the Kaw River.
- The intersection of N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59) and Lyon Street will likely operate at LOS "A" during both peak-hours with individual movements likely operating at

LOS "B" and higher.

- At the intersection of N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59) and Lincoln, westbound left-turn movement will still operate at LOS "E" and "F" during morning and afternoon peak-hours, respectively. As mentioned earlier, this movement carries very little traffic with $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ of $<0.27$ with $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile stacking of one (1) vehicle.


## Target Year 2040

According to the City's T2040 Plan, the most recent Travel Demand Model (TDM) projects the following LOS for the street network surrounding this development site for Target Year 2040 (Refer to T2040, Figure 7.6, LOS Map, TDM, scenario \#13).

- N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street, north of Lyon Street will likely operate at uncongested level with LOS "C" or higher. Between Lyon Street and Locust Street, it will likely experience some congestion with LOD "D". South of Locust Street (the bridge on Kaw River extending onto downtown) will likely operate at congested level (at or above capacity).
- Lyon Street, Locust Street and Elm Street all will likely operate at uncongested level with LOS "C" or higher.


## Summary and Recommendations

This study evaluates the existing operating conditions of traffic at selected intersections within the study area (See Location Map, Figure 1 of Appendix I). It also assesses the impact of traffic generated by the proposed North Lawrence Riverfront Addition on the adjacent street network. In addition, a cursory evaluation of traffic for target year 2040 is presented as well.

## Existing Conditions (See Figures 3, 4 \& 5 of Appendix I)

1) Under the existing geometric and operating conditions, the signalized intersections in the study area operate at LOS "B" and higher with ample reserve capacity. The only movement that operates at LOS "C" is the westbound left-turn movement on Locust Street at its intersection with N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US40/59) with some reserve capacity. Results of the analysis also indicate that the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile stacking (queue length) for dedicated turn lanes at the intersection of N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59) and Locust Street are as follows:

- 150 ' for westbound left-turn lane (available length = 225', OK);
- <25' for southbound left-turn lane (available length = 255', OK); and
- $<25^{\prime}$ for northbound left-turn lane (available length $=145$ ', OK).

2) At the intersection of N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59) and Lincoln Street, westbound left-turn movement experiences above the average delays during both, morning and afternoon peak-hours. As mentioned earlier, this movement carries very little traffic with $v / c<0.18$ and $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile stacking of one (1) vehicle. It should be noted that it is not uncommon for minor stop-controlled streets along arterials to experience excessive delays.

Furthermore, field observation indicates that the existing railroad overpass retaining wall (south of this intersection) restricts sight distance for traffic exiting Lincoln Street. Given the availability of several other east/west alternative routes, motorist will likely avoid using this intersection.

Following KDOT's Access Management Policy guidelines (using traffic volumes as the criteria), requirements for provision of a dedicated southbound left-turn lane and northbound right-turn lane on $\mathrm{N} .2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59) at its intersection with Lincoln Street are met. However, because there is very little traffic (about 15 vph ) negotiating this maneuver during the peak-hour, a southbound left lane should be considered if crash history indicates that there is a safety concern at this location.

## Existing + Proposed Redevelopment (See Figures 10 \& 11 of Appendix I)

As mentioned earlier, for this analysis, the scenario representing the unadjusted external trip generation numbers prior to any discounts for "pass-by" trips and multimodal characteristics was selected.

Results of the analysis indicate that with certain site access improvements including:

- A dedicated left-turn lane and a shared through/right turn lane for eastbound approach on Locust Street at its intersection with N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59); and
- A new restricted "right-out" access drive near the south property line
the signalized intersections in the study area will likely operate at LOS " C " and higher with some reserve capacity. The only movement that will likely operate at LOS " $D$ " is the westbound left-turn movement on Locust Street at its intersection with N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59).

Results of the analysis also indicate that the $95^{\text {th }}$ percentile stacking for the dedicated turn lanes at the intersection of N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59) and Locust Street are as follows:

- 201' for westbound left-turn lane (available length $=225$ ', OK);
- 29' for southbound left-turn lane (available length = 255', OK); and
- 156' for northbound left-turn lane (available length = 145', Marginal). The extent of which this lane can be lengthened is limited with some design constraints due to proximity of the bridge over the Kaw River.


## Recommended Improvements

1. At the intersection of $N .2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US-40/59) and Locust Street:
a) Provide a dedicated eastbound left-turn lane on Locust Street with minimum storage length of 100 ft .
b) Provide a shared through/right turn lane on Locust Street.
c) Increase the storage length of the existing northbound left-turn lane as far as possible.
d) Consider modifying signal timing and phasing scheme as needed.
2. Provide a restricted "right-out" access drive near the south property line across from Elm Street.
3. Provide additional access drives onto N. $2^{\text {nd }}$ Street (US 40/59) with the future phases of the redevelopment and/or when access at Locust Street cannot meet the demand.
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FIGURE 10
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FIGURE 11
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## APPENDIX II

## Results of Volume/Capacity Analysis Using <br> Synchro 10 Software (HCM $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition Methodology)

|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | 性 |  | \% | 性 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 1 | 8 | 251 | 2 | 19 | 7 | 751 | 26 | 18 | 931 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 1 | 8 | 251 | 2 | 19 | 7 | 751 | 26 | 18 | 931 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 130 |  | 0 | 140 |  | 0 | 250 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (t) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.890 |  |  | 0.863 |  |  | 0.995 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.995 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1650 | 0 | 1770 | 1608 | 0 | 1770 | 3522 | 0 | 1770 | 3539 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.986 |  | 0.750 |  |  | 0.188 |  |  | 0.266 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1635 | 0 | 1397 | 1608 | 0 | 350 | 3522 | 0 | 495 | 3539 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 9 |  |  | 21 |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 45 |  |
| Link Distance (t) |  | 200 |  |  | 252 |  |  | 310 |  |  | 922 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  |  | 5.7 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  | 14.0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1 | 1 | 9 | 273 | 2 | 21 | 8 | 816 | 28 | 20 | 1012 | 1 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 11 | 0 | 273 | 23 | 0 | 8 | 844 | 0 | 20 | 1013 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 20.8 | 20.8 |  | 20.8 | 20.8 |  | 9.3 | 21.3 |  | 9.3 | 21.3 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 24.0 | 24.0 |  | 24.0 | 24.0 |  | 9.4 | 26.6 |  | 9.4 | 26.6 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |  | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |  | 15.7\% | 44.3\% |  | 15.7\% | 44.3\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.6 | 1.6 |  | 1.6 | 1.6 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.8 |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  | 5.3 | 5.3 |  | 5.3 | 5.3 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | Min |  | None | Min |  |
| Act Efftt Green (s) |  | 13.9 |  | 13.9 | 13.9 |  | 22.5 | 21.8 |  | 22.5 | 21.8 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.29 |  | 0.29 | 0.29 |  | 0.47 | 0.46 |  | 0.47 | 0.46 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.02 |  | 0.67 | 0.05 |  | 0.03 | 0.52 |  | 0.06 | 0.62 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 8.6 |  | 23.9 | 7.3 |  | 7.6 | 12.0 |  | 7.7 | 13.8 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 8.6 |  | 23.9 | 7.3 |  | 7.6 | 12.0 |  | 7.7 | 13.8 |  |
| LOS |  | A |  | C | A |  | A | B |  | A | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 8.6 |  |  | 22.6 |  |  | 12.0 |  |  | 13.7 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th ( ft ) |  | 0 |  | 58 | 0 |  | 1 | 71 |  | 3 | 92 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 10 |  | 153 | 14 |  | 7 | 188 |  | 12 | \#244 |  |


| 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | , | $\uparrow$ | 7 |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) | 120 |  |  | 172 |  |  | 230 |  |  | 842 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) |  |  | 130 |  |  | 140 |  |  | 250 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 678 |  | 574 | 674 |  | 290 | 1678 |  | 345 | 1683 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.02 |  | 0.48 | 0.03 |  | 0.03 | 0.50 |  | 0.06 | 0.60 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: <br> Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 47.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 14.2 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 54.8\% ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer.Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 4 |  |  | $4$ | $\dagger$ | $p$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 |  | ${ }^{7}$ | $\uparrow$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }^{7}$ | 中 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 4 | 3 | 11 | 214 | 1 | 16 | 18 | 1002 | 45 | 52 | 947 | 10 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 4 | 3 | 11 | 214 | 1 | 16 | 18 | 1002 | 45 | 52 | 947 | 10 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (ft) | 0 |  | 0 | 130 |  | 0 | 140 |  | 0 | 250 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (ft) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.915 |  |  | 0.858 |  |  | 0.994 |  |  | 0.998 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.990 |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1687 | 0 | 1770 | 1598 | 0 | 1770 | 3518 | 0 | 1770 | 3532 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.963 |  | 0.745 |  |  | 0.214 |  |  | 0.150 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1641 | 0 | 1388 | 1598 | 0 | 399 | 3518 | 0 | 279 | 3532 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 12 |  |  | 17 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 1 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 45 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 200 |  |  | 252 |  |  | 310 |  |  | 922 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  |  | 5.7 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  | 14.0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 4 | 3 | 12 | 233 | 1 | 17 | 20 | 1089 | 49 | 57 | 1029 | 11 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 19 | 0 | 233 | 18 | 0 | 20 | 1138 | 0 | 57 | 1040 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 34.8 | 34.8 |  | 34.1 | 34.1 |  | 9.3 | 27.4 |  | 9.3 | 21.3 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 34.8 | 34.8 |  | 34.8 | 34.8 |  | 9.3 | 30.8 |  | 9.4 | 30.9 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 46.4\% | 46.4\% |  | 46.4\% | 46.4\% |  | 12.4\% | 41.1\% |  | 12.5\% | 41.2\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.6 | 1.6 |  | 1.6 | 1.6 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.8 |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  | 5.3 | 5.3 |  | 5.3 | 5.3 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes | Yes |  | Yes | Yes |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | Min |  | None | Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 15.0 |  | 15.0 | 15.0 |  | 30.2 | 28.0 |  | 32.3 | 31.6 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.26 |  | 0.26 | 0.26 |  | 0.52 | 0.48 |  | 0.55 | 0.54 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.04 |  | 0.65 | 0.04 |  | 0.07 | 0.67 |  | 0.22 | 0.54 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 11.1 |  | 28.8 | 8.6 |  | 7.8 | 17.1 |  | 9.2 | 12.0 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 11.1 |  | 28.8 | 8.6 |  | 7.8 | 17.1 |  | 9.2 | 12.0 |  |
| LOS |  | B |  | C | A |  | A | B |  | A | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 11.1 |  |  | 27.3 |  |  | 16.9 |  |  | 11.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 2 |  | 76 | 0 |  | 3 | 174 |  | 8 | 102 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 15 |  | 139 | 13 |  | 13 | \#337 |  | 27 | 266 |  |






| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.7 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{7}$ | $\mathbf{4} \mathbf{F}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{- 1 4}$ |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 14 | 7 | 946 | 76 | 15 | 994 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 14 | 7 | 946 | 76 | 15 | 994 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 25 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, $\#$ | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 15 | 8 | 1028 | 83 | 16 | 1080 |


| Major/Minor | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1642 | 556 | 0 | 0 | 1111 | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 1070 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 572 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.84 | 6.94 | - | - | 4.14 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.84 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.84 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.52 | 3.32 | - | - | 2.22 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 91 | 475 | - | - | 624 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 291 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 528 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 85 | 475 | - | - | 624 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 85 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 272 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 528 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 41.8 |  | 0 |  | 0.5 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT | NBRWBLn1WBLn2 |  |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 85 | 475 | 624 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.179 | 0.016 | 0.026 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 56.4 | 12.7 | 10.9 | 0.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | F | B | B | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.6 | 0 | 0.1 | - |


|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | 4 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 116 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 662 | 38 | 7 | 786 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 116 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 662 | 38 | 7 | 786 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.955 |  |  | 0.983 |  |  | 0.992 |  |  |  |  |
| FIt Protected |  | 0.984 |  |  | 0.958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1750 | 0 | 0 | 1754 | 0 | 0 | 3511 | 0 | 0 | 3539 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.907 |  |  | 0.752 |  |  | 0.954 |  |  | 0.948 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1613 | 0 | 0 | 1377 | 0 | 0 | 3349 | 0 | 0 | 3355 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 1 |  |  | 17 |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 45 |  |
| Link Distance (t) |  | 126 |  |  | 252 |  |  | 660 |  |  | 472 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 2.9 |  |  | 5.7 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  | 7.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 126 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 720 | 41 | 8 | 854 | 1 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 762 | 0 | 0 | 863 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 24.8 | 24.8 |  | 21.3 | 21.3 |  | 21.3 | 21.3 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 24.8 | 24.8 |  | 25.2 | 25.2 |  | 25.2 | 25.2 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |  | 49.6\% | 49.6\% |  | 50.4\% | 50.4\% |  | 50.4\% | 50.4\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.5 | 0.5 |  | 1.2 | 1.2 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  | 5.3 |  |  | 5.3 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | Min | Min |  | Min | Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 9.4 |  |  | 9.1 |  |  | 23.8 |  |  | 23.8 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.24 |  |  | 0.24 |  |  | 0.62 |  |  | 0.62 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.01 |  |  | 0.43 |  |  | 0.37 |  |  | 0.42 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 9.7 |  |  | 15.8 |  |  | 6.4 |  |  | 6.9 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 9.7 |  |  | 15.8 |  |  | 6.4 |  |  | 6.9 |  |
| LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 9.7 |  |  | 15.8 |  |  | 6.4 |  |  | 6.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th ( ft ) |  | 0 |  |  | 24 |  |  | 45 |  |  | 55 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 4 |  |  | 57 |  |  | 92 |  |  | 109 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (ft) |  | 46 |  |  | 172 |  |  | 580 |  |  | 392 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 894 |  |  | 756 |  |  | 2086 |  |  | 2084 |  |


| 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  | , | $\dagger$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.00 |  |  | 0.19 |  |  | 0.37 |  |  | 0.41 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 38.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 7.4 |  |  | Intersection LOS: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 48.9\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: Lyon Street \& N. 2nd Street


|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |  | ¢ ${ }_{\text {d }}$ |  |  | ${ }_{4}{ }^{1}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 2 | 4 | 2 | 95 | 4 | 21 | 2 | 869 | 96 | 21 | 821 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2 | 4 | 2 | 95 | 4 | 21 | 2 | 869 | 96 | 21 | 821 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Utill. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.966 |  |  | 0.976 |  |  | 0.985 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.988 |  |  | 0.962 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.999 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1778 | 0 | 0 | 1749 | 0 | 0 | 3486 | 0 | 0 | 3536 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.923 |  |  | 0.765 |  |  | 0.954 |  |  | 0.917 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1661 | 0 | 0 | 1391 | 0 | 0 | 3326 | 0 | 0 | 3245 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 2 |  |  | 23 |  |  | 28 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 45 |  |
| Link Distance (t) |  | 140 |  |  | 252 |  |  | 660 |  |  | 472 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 3.2 |  |  | 5.7 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  | 7.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 2 | 4 | 2 | 103 | 4 | 23 | 2 | 945 | 104 | 23 | 892 | 1 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 1051 | 0 | 0 | 916 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 24.8 | 24.8 |  | 21.3 | 21.3 |  | 21.3 | 21.3 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 24.8 | 24.8 |  | 25.2 | 25.2 |  | 25.2 | 25.2 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |  | 49.6\% | 49.6\% |  | 50.4\% | 50.4\% |  | 50.4\% | 50.4\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.5 | 0.5 |  | 1.2 | 1.2 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  | 5.3 |  |  | 5.3 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | Min | Min |  | Min | Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 8.9 |  |  | 8.6 |  |  | 26.9 |  |  | 26.9 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.21 |  |  | 0.21 |  |  | 0.65 |  |  | 0.65 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.02 |  |  | 0.42 |  |  | 0.48 |  |  | 0.43 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 10.4 |  |  | 16.4 |  |  | 6.7 |  |  | 6.5 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 10.4 |  |  | 16.4 |  |  | 6.7 |  |  | 6.5 |  |
| LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 10.4 |  |  | 16.4 |  |  | 6.7 |  |  | 6.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 1 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 67 |  |  | 57 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) |  | 7 |  |  | 51 |  |  | 131 |  |  | 113 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (t) |  | 60 |  |  | 172 |  |  | 580 |  |  | 392 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 858 |  |  | 715 |  |  | 2174 |  |  | 2111 |  |


| 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | 7 | $\checkmark$ | $\dagger$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.01 |  |  | 0.18 |  |  | 0.48 |  |  | 0.43 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 41.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 55 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 7.2 |  |  | Intersection LOS: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 59.3\%Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  | ICU Level of Service B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: Lyon Street \& N. 2nd Street


## "Existing + Proposed Redevelopment (Building I-VII \& Hotel)" Traffic Conditions

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |


|  | $\stackrel{ }{*}$ |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |  | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 120 |  |  | 172 |  |  | 230 |  |  | 842 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (ft) | 125 |  |  | 130 |  |  | 140 |  |  | 250 |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) | 396 | 526 |  | 384 | 524 |  | 253 | 1873 |  | 361 | 1518 |  |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.31 | 0.15 |  | 0.71 | 0.09 |  | 0.58 | 0.45 |  | 0.06 | 0.76 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 56.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 60 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 18.1 |  |  |  | Intersection LOS: B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 71.0\% |  |  |  | ICU Level of Service C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| \# 95th percentile volume exceeds capacity, queue may be longer. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Queue shown is maximum after two cycles. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations | \% | $\uparrow$ |  | \% | $\hat{\beta}$ |  | \% | 个 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | \% | 中 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 151 | 24 | 76 | 214 | 30 | 16 | 180 | 1002 | 45 | 52 | 947 | 175 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 151 | 24 | 76 | 214 | 30 | 16 | 180 | 1002 | 45 | 52 | 947 | 175 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Storage Length (t) | 125 |  | 0 | 130 |  | 0 | 140 |  | 0 | 250 |  | 0 |
| Storage Lanes | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |
| Taper Length (tt) | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 25 |  |  |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.886 |  |  | 0.949 |  |  | 0.994 |  |  | 0.977 |  |
| Flt Protected | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  | 0.950 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 1770 | 1650 | 0 | 1770 | 1768 | 0 | 1770 | 3518 | 0 | 1770 | 3458 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted | 0.724 |  |  | 0.687 |  |  | 0.134 |  |  | 0.169 |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 1349 | 1650 | 0 | 1280 | 1768 | 0 | 250 | 3518 | 0 | 315 | 3458 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 83 |  |  | 17 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 30 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 45 |  |
| Link Distance (t) |  | 200 |  |  | 252 |  |  | 310 |  |  | 922 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  |  | 5.7 |  |  | 7.0 |  |  | 14.0 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 164 | 26 | 83 | 233 | 33 | 17 | 196 | 1089 | 49 | 57 | 1029 | 190 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 164 | 109 | 0 | 233 | 50 | 0 | 196 | 1138 | 0 | 57 | 1219 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  | pm+pt | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | , |  |  | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 5 | 2 |  | 1 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 34.8 | 34.8 |  | 34.1 | 34.1 |  | 9.3 | 27.4 |  | 9.3 | 21.3 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 34.8 | 34.8 |  | 34.8 | 34.8 |  | 10.6 | 30.8 |  | 9.4 | 29.6 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 46.4\% | 46.4\% |  | 46.4\% | 46.4\% |  | 14.1\% | 41.1\% |  | 12.5\% | 39.5\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.6 | 1.6 |  | 1.6 | 1.6 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) | 4.8 | 4.8 |  | 4.8 | 4.8 |  | 5.3 | 5.3 |  | 5.3 | 5.3 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  | Lead | Lag |  | Lead | Lag |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Yes |  | Yes |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | None | Min |  | None | Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) | 16.3 | 16.3 |  | 16.3 | 16.3 |  | 32.9 | 29.8 |  | 28.6 | 24.5 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio | 0.26 | 0.26 |  | 0.26 | 0.26 |  | 0.53 | 0.48 |  | 0.46 | 0.40 |  |
| v/c Ratio | 0.46 | 0.22 |  | 0.69 | 0.10 |  | 0.74 | 0.67 |  | 0.23 | 0.88 |  |
| Control Delay | 22.8 | 7.5 |  | 31.2 | 12.2 |  | 31.7 | 17.9 |  | 10.5 | 27.7 |  |
| Queue Delay | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay | 22.8 | 7.5 |  | 31.2 | 12.2 |  | 31.7 | 17.9 |  | 10.5 | 27.7 |  |
| LOS | C | A |  | C | B |  | C | B |  | B | C |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 16.7 |  |  | 27.8 |  |  | 20.0 |  |  | 26.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) | 51 | 7 |  | 77 | 9 |  | 31 | 180 |  | 8 | 205 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) | 97 | 37 |  | 142 | 30 |  | \#156 | \#363 |  | 29 | \#418 |  |




| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh 0.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement W | WBL | WBR | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT |
| Lane Configurations | ${ }^{*}$ | 「 | 中 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | ¢4 |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 21 | 5 | 860 | 25 | 3 | 1079 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 21 | 5 | 860 | 25 | 3 | 1079 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | 0 | 25 | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | 0 | - | - | 0 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 | 92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 23 | 5 | 935 | 27 | 3 | 1173 |


| Major/Minor M | Minor1 |  | Major1 |  | Major2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 1542 | 481 | 0 | 0 | 962 | 0 |  |
| Stage 1 | 949 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 593 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 6.84 | 6.94 | - | - | 4.14 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | 5.84 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | 5.84 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 3.52 | 3.32 | - | - | 2.22 | - |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 106 | 531 | - | - | 711 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 337 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 515 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  |  | - | - |  | - |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 105 | 531 | - | - | 711 | - |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | 105 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 1 | 333 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Stage 2 | 515 | - | - | - | - | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | WB |  | NB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 41.5 |  | 0 |  | 0.1 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | E |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | NBT NBRWBLn1WBLn2 |  |  |  | SBL | SBT |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | - | - | 105 | 531 | 711 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | - | - | 0.217 | 0.01 | 0.005 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | - | - | 48.6 | 11.9 | 10.1 | 0.1 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | - | - | E | B | B | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | - | - | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | - |




|  | $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | $p$ | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | * |  |  | ${ }_{4}{ }^{1}$ |  |  | $\stackrel{\text { A }}{ }$ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 1 | , | 1 | 135 | , | 17 | 1 | 760 | 52 | 7 | 899 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 135 | 1 | 17 | 1 | 760 | 52 | 7 | 899 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.955 |  |  | 0.985 |  |  | 0.990 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.984 |  |  | 0.958 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1750 | 0 | 0 | 1758 | 0 | 0 | 3504 | 0 | 0 | 3539 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.910 |  |  | 0.748 |  |  | 0.954 |  |  | 0.947 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1619 | 0 | 0 | 1372 | 0 | 0 | 3343 | 0 | 0 | 3352 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 1 |  |  | 15 |  |  | 17 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 45 |  |
| Link Distance (tt) |  | 126 |  |  | 252 |  |  | 660 |  |  | 472 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 2.9 |  |  | 5.7 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  | 7.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 147 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 826 | 57 | 8 | 977 | 1 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 884 | 0 | 0 | 986 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 24.8 | 24.8 |  | 21.3 | 21.3 |  | 21.3 | 21.3 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 24.8 | 24.8 |  | 25.2 | 25.2 |  | 25.2 | 25.2 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |  | 49.6\% | 49.6\% |  | 50.4\% | 50.4\% |  | 50.4\% | 50.4\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.5 | 0.5 |  | 1.2 | 1.2 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  | 5.3 |  |  | 5.3 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | Min | Min |  | Min | Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 10.0 |  |  | 9.8 |  |  | 24.3 |  |  | 24.3 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.25 |  |  | 0.25 |  |  | 0.61 |  |  | 0.61 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.01 |  |  | 0.48 |  |  | 0.43 |  |  | 0.48 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 9.3 |  |  | 16.8 |  |  | 7.3 |  |  | 7.8 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 9.3 |  |  | 16.8 |  |  | 7.3 |  |  | 7.8 |  |
| LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 9.3 |  |  | 16.8 |  |  | 7.3 |  |  | 7.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 58 |  |  | 70 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (ft) |  | 4 |  |  | 65 |  |  | 117 |  |  | 139 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (tt) |  | 46 |  |  | 172 |  |  | 580 |  |  | 392 |  |
| Turn Bay Length (tt) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 868 |  |  | 729 |  |  | 2050 |  |  | 2048 |  |


| $\rangle$ |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | $\uparrow$ | \% | $\checkmark$ | $\downarrow$ | $\checkmark$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Starvation Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Spillback Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Storage Cap Reductn | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Reduced v/c Ratio | 0.00 |  |  | 0.23 |  |  | 0.43 |  |  | 0.48 |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Type: Other | Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cycle Length: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Actuated Cycle Length: 39.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Natural Cycle: 50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Signal Delay: 8.3 |  |  | Intersection LOS: A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Capacity Utilization 53.1\% |  |  | ICU Level of Service A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Period (min) 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Splits and Phases: 1: Lyon Street \& N. 2nd Street


|  | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ | $>$ |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\uparrow{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | * 1 |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 2 | 4 | 2 | 121 | 4 | 21 | 2 | 990 | 118 | 21 | 955 | 1 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 2 | 4 | 2 | 121 | 4 | 21 | 2 | 990 | 118 | 21 | 955 | 1 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Frt |  | 0.966 |  |  | 0.980 |  |  | 0.984 |  |  |  |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.988 |  |  | 0.960 |  |  |  |  |  | 0.999 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1778 | 0 | 0 | 1752 | 0 | 0 | 3483 | 0 | 0 | 3536 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.925 |  |  | 0.757 |  |  | 0.954 |  |  | 0.915 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1664 | 0 | 0 | 1382 | 0 | 0 | 3322 | 0 | 0 | 3238 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 2 |  |  | 21 |  |  | 30 |  |  |  |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 45 |  |
| Link Distance ( t ) |  | 140 |  |  | 252 |  |  | 660 |  |  | 472 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 3.2 |  |  | 5.7 |  |  | 15.0 |  |  | 7.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 2 | 4 | 2 | 132 | 4 | 23 | 2 | 1076 | 128 | 23 | 1038 | 1 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 159 | 0 | 0 | 1206 | 0 | 0 | 1062 | 0 |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 |  | - | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |  |
| Minimum Split (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 24.8 | 24.8 |  | 21.3 | 21.3 |  | 21.3 | 21.3 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 20.0 | 20.0 |  | 24.8 | 24.8 |  | 25.2 | 25.2 |  | 25.2 | 25.2 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 40.0\% | 40.0\% |  | 49.6\% | 49.6\% |  | 50.4\% | 50.4\% |  | 50.4\% | 50.4\% |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.2 | 3.2 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |  | 4.3 | 4.3 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 0.5 | 0.5 |  | 1.2 | 1.2 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.0 |  |  | 4.4 |  |  | 5.3 |  |  | 5.3 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | Min | Min |  | Min | Min |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 9.8 |  |  | 9.5 |  |  | 26.7 |  |  | 26.7 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.23 |  |  | 0.23 |  |  | 0.63 |  |  | 0.63 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.02 |  |  | 0.49 |  |  | 0.57 |  |  | 0.52 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 9.7 |  |  | 17.5 |  |  | 8.6 |  |  | 7.9 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 9.7 |  |  | 17.5 |  |  | 8.6 |  |  | 7.9 |  |
| LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 9.7 |  |  | 17.5 |  |  | 8.6 |  |  | 7.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Queue Length 50th (tt) |  | 1 |  |  | 30 |  |  | 87 |  |  | 75 |  |
| Queue Length 95th (tt) |  | 7 |  |  | 61 |  |  | \#179 |  |  | 154 |  |
| Internal Link Dist (t) |  | 60 |  |  | 172 |  |  | 580 |  |  | 392 |  |
| Turn Bay Length ( t ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Base Capacity (vph) |  | 837 |  |  | 691 |  |  | 2112 |  |  | 2048 |  |



Splits and Phases: 1: Lyon Street \& N. 2nd Street


## APPENDIX III

## Results of Trip Generation Analysis <br> Using <br> ITE Trip Generation Manual, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition

## Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise)

(221)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 53
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 207
Directional Distribution: 26\% entering, 74\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.36 | $0.06-1.61$ | 0.19 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise)

(221)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 60
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 208
Directional Distribution: 61\% entering, 39\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.44 | $0.15-1.11$ | 0.19 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise)

(221)

## Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units <br> On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies: 27
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 205
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.44 | $1.27-12.50$ | 2.03 |

Data Plot and Equation



## Data Plot and Equation




## Data Plot and Equation




## Data Plot and Equation



## General Office Building <br> (710)

## Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA <br> On a: Weekday, <br> Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m. <br> Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban <br> Number of Studies: 35 <br> Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 117 <br> Directional Distribution: 86\% entering, 14\% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.16 | $0.37-4.23$ | 0.47 |

Data Plot and Equation


## General Office Building <br> (710)

## Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA <br> On a: Weekday, <br> Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m. <br> Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban <br> Number of Studies: 32 <br> Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 114 <br> Directional Distribution: 16\% entering, $84 \%$ exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.15 | $0.47-3.23$ | 0.42 |

Data Plot and Equation


## General Office Building (710)

## Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA <br> On a: Weekday

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban<br>Number of Studies: 66<br>Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 171<br>Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.74 | $2.71-27.56$ | 5.15 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Shopping Center

(820)

## Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA <br> On a: Weekday, <br> Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m. <br> Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban <br> Number of Studies: 84 <br> Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA: 351 <br> Directional Distribution: 62\% entering, 38\% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.94 | $0.18-23.74$ | 0.87 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Shopping Center

(820)

## Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA <br> On a: Weekday, <br> Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m. <br> Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban <br> Number of Studies: 261 <br> Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA: 327 <br> Directional Distribution: $48 \%$ entering, $52 \%$ exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3.81 | $0.74-18.69$ | 2.04 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Shopping Center (820)

## Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA <br> On a: Weekday

| Setting/Location: | General Urban/Suburban |
| ---: | :--- |
| Number of Studies: | 147 |
| Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA: | 453 |
| Directional Distribution: | $50 \%$ entering, $50 \%$ exiting |

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GLA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 37.75 | $7.42-207.98$ | 16.41 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Drinking Place

(925)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 12
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 4
Directional Distribution: 66\% entering, 34\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.36 | $3.74-30.09$ | 7.81 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Drinking Place

(925)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 12
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 4
Directional Distribution: 66\% entering, 34\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 11.36 | $3.74-30.09$ | 7.81 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Quality Restaurant

(931)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies: 7
Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 10
Directional Distribution: Not Available
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.73 | $0.25-1.60$ | 0.42 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Quality Restaurant

(931)

> | Vehicle Trip Ends vs: | $\begin{array}{l}1000 \text { Sq. Ft. GFA } \\ \text { On a: }\end{array}$ |
| ---: | :--- |
|  | $\begin{array}{l}\text { Weekday, } \\ \text { Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, }\end{array}$ |
|  | One Hour Between $\mathbf{4}$ and $\mathbf{6}$ p.m. |
| Setting/Location: | General Urban/Suburban |
| Number of Studies: | 19 |
| Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: | 9 |
| Directional Distribution: | $67 \%$ entering, $33 \%$ exiting |

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7.80 | $2.62-18.68$ | 4.49 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Quality Restaurant

(931)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday

Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban<br>Number of Studies: 10<br>Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 9<br>Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 83.84 | $33.45-139.93$ | 40.01 |

Data Plot and Equation


# High-Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant 

(932)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA<br>On a: Weekday,<br>Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.<br>Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban<br>Number of Studies: 39<br>Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 5<br>Directional Distribution: 55\% entering, 45\% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.94 | $0.76-102.39$ | 11.33 |

Data Plot and Equation


# High-Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant 

(932)

```
Vehicle Trip Ends vs: }1000\mathrm{ Sq. Ft. GFA
    On a: Weekday,
    Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
    One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
        Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
    Number of Studies: }10
Avg. }1000\mathrm{ Sq. Ft. GFA: 6
Directional Distribution: 62% entering, 38% exiting
```

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9.77 | $0.92-62.00$ | 7.37 |

Data Plot and Equation


# High-Turnover (Sit-Down) Restaurant 

(932)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA
On a: Weekday

| Setting/Location: | General Urban/Suburban |
| ---: | :--- |
| Number of Studies: | 50 |
| Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: | 5 |
| Directional Distribution: | $50 \%$ entering, $50 \%$ exiting |

Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 5
Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 112.18 | $13.04-742.41$ | 72.51 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Coffee/Donut Shop without Drive-Through Window

(936)

```
Vehicle Trip Ends vs: }1000\mathrm{ Sq. Ft. GFA
    On a: Weekday,
    Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
    One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
        Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
        Number of Studies: }2
        Avg. }1000\mathrm{ Sq. Ft. GFA:
    Directional Distribution: 51% entering, 49% exiting
```

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 101.14 | $38.76-255.48$ | 43.44 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Coffee/Donut Shop without Drive-Through Window

(936)

```
Vehicle Trip Ends vs: }1000\mathrm{ Sq. Ft. GFA
    On a: Weekday,
    Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic,
    One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.
        Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
    Number of Studies: }1
    Avg. }1000\mathrm{ Sq. Ft. GFA: 2
    Directional Distribution: 50% entering, 50% exiting
```

Vehicle Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36.31 | $15.50-74.84$ | 13.22 |

Data Plot and Equation


## Coffee/Donut Shop without Drive-Through Window

(936)

## Person Trip Ends vs: 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA <br> On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: Dense Multi-Use Urban <br> Number of Studies: 1 <br> Avg. 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA: 1 <br> Directional Distribution: 50\% entering, 50\% exiting

Person Trip Generation per 1000 Sq. Ft. GFA

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 754.55 | $754.55-754.55$ | $*$ |



## APPENDIXIV

## Results of Internal Trip Capture Calculations Using <br> NCHRP Report 684 Estimator <br> (ITE Trip Generation Handbook, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Edition Methodology)

| NCHRP 8-51 Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Project Name: | North Lawrence Riverfront Development |  | Organization: |  |
| Project Location: | NWC of N. 2nd St (US 24-40) and Elm St. |  | Performed By: | MGS |
| Scenario Description: | Buildings I thru VII and Hotel | Date: | MG | 10/9/2018 |
| Analysis Year: | 2018 |  | Checked By: |  |
| Analysis Period: | AM Street Peak Hour |  | Date: |  |


| Table 1-A: Base Vehicle-Trip Generation Estimates (Single-Use Site Estimate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Development Data (For Information Only) |  |  | Estimated Vehicle-Trips |  |  |
|  | ITE LUCs ${ }^{1}$ | Quantity | Units | Total | Entering | Exiting |
| Office | 710 | 30,000 | GFA sq. ft. | 55 | 47 | 8 |
| Retail | 820 | 48,500 | GFA sq. ft. | 176 | 109 | 67 |
| Restaurant | 931/932/936 | 19,700 | GFA sq. ft. | 246 | 133 | 113 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 925 | 6,100 | GFA sq. ft. | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 221 | 390 | dwelling units | 130 | 34 | 96 |
| Hotel | 310 | 150 | rooms | 70 | 41 | 29 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 677 | 364 | 313 |


| Table 2-A: Mode Split and Vehicle Occupancy Estimates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Entering Trips |  |  | Exiting Trips |  |  |
|  | Veh. Occ. | \% Transit | \% Non-Motorized | Veh. Occ. | \% Transit | \% Non-Motorized |
| Office | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Retail | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Restaurant | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Residential | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Hotel | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 3-A: Average Land Use Interchange Distances (Feet Walking Distance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 4-A: Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential |  |  |
| Office |  | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Retail | 2 |  | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Restaurant | 7 | 9 |  | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Residential | 1 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Hotel | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Table 5-A: Computations Summary |  |  |  | Table 6-A: Internal Trip Capture Percentages by Land Use |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Entering | Exiting | Land Use | Entering Trips | Exiting Trips |
| All Person-Trips | 677 | 364 | 313 | Office | 23\% | 88\% |
| Internal Capture Percentage | 20\% | 19\% | 22\% | Retail | 15\% | 18\% |
|  |  |  |  | Restaurant | 27\% | 18\% |
| External Vehicle-Trips ${ }^{3}$ | 541 | 296 | 245 | Cinema/Entertainment | N/A | N/A |
| External Transit-Trips ${ }^{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | Residential | 9\% | 22\% |
| External Non-Motorized Trips ${ }^{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | Hotel | 5\% | 28\% |

${ }^{1}$ Land Use Codes (LUCs) from Trip Generation Informational Report, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.
${ }^{2}$ Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site-not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator
${ }^{3}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-A
${ }^{4}$ Person-Trips
*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.
Estimation Tool Developed by the Texas Transportation Institute

| Project Name: | North Lawrence Riverfront Development |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Period: | AM Street Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 7-A: Conversion of Vehicle-Trip Ends to Person-Trip Ends |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use | Table 7-A (D): Entering Trips |  |  | Table 7-A (0): Exiting Trips |  |  |
|  | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* |
| Office | 1.00 | 47 | 47 | 1.00 | 8 | 8 |
| Retail | 1.00 | 109 | 109 | 1.00 | 67 | 67 |
| Restaurant | 1.00 | 133 | 133 | 1.00 | 113 | 113 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 1.00 | 34 | 34 | 1.00 | 96 | 96 |
| Hotel | 1.00 | 41 | 41 | 1.00 | 29 | 29 |


| Table 8-A (0): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Origin) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 19 |  | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 35 | 16 |  | 0 | 5 | 3 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 2 | 1 | 19 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Hotel | 22 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Table 8-A (D): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Destination) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  | 35 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 2 |  | 67 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 7 | 9 |  | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 1 | 19 | 27 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Hotel | 1 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Table 9-A (D): Internal and External Trips Summary (Entering Trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Destination Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates |  |  | External Trips by Mode* |  |  |
|  | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ${ }^{1}$ | Transit ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Motorized ${ }^{2}$ |
| Office | 11 | 36 | 47 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 16 | 93 | 109 | 93 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 36 | 97 | 133 | 97 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 3 | 31 | 34 | 31 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 2 | 39 | 41 | 39 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Table 9-A (0): Internal and External Trips Summary (Exiting Trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates |  |  | External Trips by Mode* |  |  |
|  | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ${ }^{1}$ | Transit ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Motorized ${ }^{2}$ |
| Office | 7 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 12 | 55 | 67 | 55 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 20 | 93 | 113 | 93 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 21 | 75 | 96 | 75 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 8 | 21 | 29 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

${ }^{1}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-A

## ${ }^{2}$ Person-Trips

${ }^{3}$ Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site-not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator
*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

| NCHRP 8-51 Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Project Name: | North Lawrence Riverfront Development |  | Organization: |  |
| Project Location: | NWC of N. 2nd St (US 24-40) and Elm St. |  | Performed By: | MGS |
| Scenario Description: | Buildings I thru VII and Hotel | Date: | MG | 10/9/2018 |
| Analysis Year: | 2018 |  | Checked By: |  |
| Analysis Period: | PM Street Peak Hour |  | Date: |  |

Table 1-P: Base Vehicle-Trip Generation Estimates (Single-Use Site Estimate)

| Table 1-P: Base Vehicle-Trip Generation Estimates (Single-Use Site Estimate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Development Data (For Information Only) |  |  | Estimated Vehicle-Trips |  |  |
|  | ITE LUCs ${ }^{1}$ | Quantity | Units | Total | Entering | Exiting |
| Office | 710 | 30,000 | GFA sq. ft. | 36 | 6 | 30 |
| Retail | 820 | 48,500 | GFA sq. ft. | 318 | 153 | 165 |
| Restaurant | 931/932/936 | 19,700 | GFA sq. ft. | 213 | 130 | 83 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 925 | 6,100 | GFA sq. ft. | 70 | 47 | 23 |
| Residential | 221 | 390 | dwelling units | 164 | 100 | 64 |
| Hotel | 310 | 150 | rooms | 86 | 44 | 42 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 887 | 480 | 407 |


| Table 2-P: Mode Split and Vehicle Occupancy Estimates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Entering Trips |  |  | Exiting Trips |  |  |
|  | Veh. Occ. | \% Transit | \% Non-Motorized | Veh. Occ. | \% Transit | \% Non-Motorized |
| Office | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Retail | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Restaurant | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Residential | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Hotel | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 3-P: Average Land Use Interchange Distances (Feet Walking Distance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  | 1000 | 1000 |  | 1000 |  |
| Retail |  |  |  |  | 1000 |  |
| Restaurant |  |  |  |  | 1000 |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  | 1000 |  |
| Residential |  | 1000 | 1000 |  |  |  |
| Hotel |  |  |  |  | 1650 |  |


| Table 4-P: Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix* |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Retail | 1 |  | 38 | 7 | 40 | 7 |
| Restaurant | 1 | 34 |  | 7 | 14 | 6 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 5 | 4 |  | 2 | 0 |
| Residential | 2 | 12 | 10 | 0 |  | 2 |
| Hotel | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Table 5-P: Computations Summary |  |  |  | Table 6-P: Internal Trip Capture Percentages by Land Use |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Entering | Exiting | Land Use | Entering Trips | Exiting Trips |
| All Person-Trips | 887 | 480 | 407 | Office | 67\% | 23\% |
| Internal Capture Percentage | 47\% | 44\% | 51\% | Retail | 39\% | 56\% |
|  |  |  |  | Restaurant | 46\% | 75\% |
| External Vehicle-Trips ${ }^{3}$ | 469 | 271 | 198 | Cinema/Entertainment | 30\% | 48\% |
| External Transit-Trips ${ }^{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | Residential | 57\% | 41\% |
| External Non-Motorized Trips ${ }^{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | Hotel | 34\% | 24\% |

${ }^{1}$ Land Use Codes (LUCs) from Trip Generation Informational Report, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.
${ }^{2}$ Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site-not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator
${ }^{3}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-P

## ${ }^{4}$ Person-Trips

*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

| Project Name: | North Lawrence Riverfront Development |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Period: | PM Street Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 7-P: Conversion of Vehicle-Trip Ends to Person-Trip Ends |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use | Table 7-P (D): Entering Trips |  |  | Table 7-P (0): Exiting Trips |  |  |
|  | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* |
| Office | 1.00 | 6 | 6 | 1.00 | 30 | 30 |
| Retail | 1.00 | 153 | 153 | 1.00 | 165 | 165 |
| Restaurant | 1.00 | 130 | 130 | 1.00 | 83 | 83 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 1.00 | 47 | 47 | 1.00 | 23 | 23 |
| Residential | 1.00 | 100 | 100 | 1.00 | 64 | 64 |
| Hotel | 1.00 | 44 | 44 | 1.00 | 42 | 42 |


| Table 8-P (O): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Origin) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Retail | 3 |  | 48 | 7 | 40 | 8 |
| Restaurant | 2 | 34 |  | 7 | 14 | 6 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 5 | 7 |  | 2 | 0 |
| Residential | 3 | 20 | 10 | 0 |  | 2 |
| Hotel | 0 | 7 | 29 | 0 | 1 |  |


| Table 8-P (D): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Destination) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  | 9 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Retail | 2 |  | 38 | 12 | 46 | 7 |
| Restaurant | 2 | 77 |  | 15 | 16 | 31 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 6 | 4 |  | 4 | 0 |
| Residential | 3 | 12 | 14 | 0 |  | 5 |
| Hotel | 0 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Table 9-P (D): Internal and External Trips Summary (Entering Trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Destination Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates |  |  | External Trips by Mode* |  |  |
|  | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ${ }^{1}$ | Transit ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Motorized ${ }^{2}$ |
| Office | 4 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 59 | 94 | 153 | 94 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 60 | 70 | 130 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 14 | 33 | 47 | 33 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 57 | 43 | 100 | 43 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 15 | 29 | 44 | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Table 9-P (O): Internal and External Trips Summary (Exiting Trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates |  |  | External Trips by Mode* |  |  |
|  | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ${ }^{1}$ | Transit ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Motorized ${ }^{2}$ |
| Office | 7 | 23 | 30 | 23 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 93 | 72 | 165 | 72 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 62 | 21 | 83 | 21 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 11 | 12 | 23 | 12 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 26 | 38 | 64 | 38 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 10 | 32 | 42 | 32 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

${ }^{1}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-P

[^0]| Table 7.1a Adjusted Internal Trip Capture Rates for Trip Origins within a Multi-Use Development |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use Pairs |  | Weekday |  |
|  |  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| From OFFICE | To Office | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Retail | 28.0\% | 15.2\% |
|  | To Restaurant | 63.0\% | 3.0\% |
|  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Residential | 1.0\% | 1.9\% |
|  | To Hotel | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| From RETAIL | To Office | 29.0\% | 2.0\% |
|  | To Retail | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Restaurant | 13.0\% | 29.0\% |
|  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 4.0\% |
|  | To Residential | 14.0\% | 24.2\% |
|  | To Hotel | 0.0\% | 5.0\% |
| From RESTAURANT | To Office | 31.0\% | 3.0\% |
|  | To Retail | 14.0\% | 41.0\% |
|  | To Restaurant | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 8.0\% |
|  | To Residential | 4.0\% | 16.7\% |
|  | To Hotel | 3.0\% | 7.0\% |
| From CINEMA/ENTERTAINMENT | To Office | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |
|  | To Retail | 0.0\% | 21.0\% |
|  | To Restaurant | 0.0\% | 31.0\% |
|  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Residential | 0.0\% | 7.4\% |
|  | To Hotel | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |
| From RESIDENTIAL | To Office | 2.0\% | 4.0\% |
|  | To Retail | 1.0\% | 31.9\% |
|  | To Restaurant | 20.0\% | 16.0\% |
|  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Residential | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Hotel | 0.0\% | 3.0\% |
| From HOTEL | To Office | 75.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Retail | 14.0\% | 16.0\% |
|  | To Restaurant | 9.0\% | 68.0\% |
|  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Residential | 0.0\% | 1.5\% |
|  | To Hotel | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |


| Table 7.2a Adjusted Internal Trip Capture Rates for Trip Destinations within a Multi-Use Development |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use Pairs |  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| To OFFICE | From Office | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Retail | 4.0\% | 31.0\% |
|  | From Restaurant | 14.0\% | 30.0\% |
|  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 6.0\% |
|  | From Residential | 3.0\% | 57.0\% |
|  | From Hotel | 3.0\% | 0.0\% |
| To RETAIL | From Office | 32.0\% | 6.1\% |
|  | From Retail | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Restaurant | 8.0\% | 50.0\% |
|  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 4.0\% |
|  | From Residential | 17.0\% | 7.6\% |
|  | From Hotel | 4.0\% | 2.0\% |
| To RESTAURANT | From Office | 23.0\% | 1.5\% |
|  | From Retail | 50.0\% | 29.0\% |
|  | From Restaurant | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 3.0\% |
|  | From Residential | 20.0\% | 10.6\% |
|  | From Hotel | 6.0\% | 5.0\% |
| To CINEMA/ENTERTAINMENT | From Office | 0.0\% | 1.0\% |
|  | From Retail | 0.0\% | 26.0\% |
|  | From Restaurant | 0.0\% | 32.0\% |
|  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Residential | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Hotel | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| To RESIDENTIAL | From Office | 0.0\% | 4.0\% |
|  | From Retail | 2.0\% | 46.0\% |
|  | From Restaurant | 5.0\% | 16.0\% |
|  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 4.0\% |
|  | From Residential | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Hotel | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| To HOTEL | From Office | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Retail | 0.0\% | 17.0\% |
|  | From Restaurant | 4.0\% | 71.0\% |
|  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 1.0\% |
|  | From Residential | 0.0\% | 12.0\% |
|  | From Hotel | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |


| NCHRP 8-51 Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Project Name: | North Lawrence Riverfront Development |  | Organization: |  |
| Project Location: | NWC of N. 2nd St (US 24-40) and Elm St. |  | Performed By: | MGS |
| Scenario Description: | Buildings I thru VII and Hotel | Date: | MG | 10/9/2018 |
| Analysis Year: | 2018 |  | Checked By: |  |
| Analysis Period: | AM Street Peak Hour |  | Date: |  |


| Table 1-A: Base Vehicle-Trip Generation Estimates (Single-Use Site Estimate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Development Data (For Information Only) |  |  | Estimated Vehicle-Trips |  |  |
|  | ITE LUCs ${ }^{1}$ | Quantity | Units | Total | Entering | Exiting |
| Office | 710 | 30,000 | GFA sq. ft. | 55 | 47 | 8 |
| Retail | 820 | 74,300 | GFA sq. ft. | 189 | 117 | 72 |
| Restaurant |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Residential | 221 | 390 | dwelling units | 130 | 34 | 96 |
| Hotel | 310 | 150 | rooms | 70 | 41 | 29 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 444 | 239 | 205 |


| Table 2-A: Mode Split and Vehicle Occupancy Estimates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Entering Trips |  |  | Exiting Trips |  |  |
|  | Veh. Occ. | \% Transit | \% Non-Motorized | Veh. Occ. | \% Transit | \% Non-Motorized |
| Office | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Retail | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Restaurant | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Residential | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Hotel | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 3-A: Average Land Use Interchange Distances (Feet Walking Distance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Retail |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Restaurant |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hotel |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 4-A: Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential |  |  |
| Office |  | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Retail | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Restaurant | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Residential | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Hotel | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Table 5-A: Computations Summary |  |  |  | Table 6-A: Internal Trip Capture Percentages by Land Use |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Entering | Exiting | Land Use | Entering Trips | Exiting Trips |
| All Person-Trips | 444 | 239 | 205 | Office | 9\% | 25\% |
| Internal Capture Percentage | 5\% | 5\% | 6\% | Retail | 6\% | 4\% |
|  |  |  |  | Restaurant | N/A | N/A |
| External Vehicle-Trips ${ }^{3}$ | 420 | 227 | 193 | Cinema/Entertainment | N/A | N/A |
| External Transit-Trips ${ }^{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | Residential | 3\% | 2\% |
| External Non-Motorized Trips ${ }^{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | Hotel | 0\% | 17\% |

${ }^{1}$ Land Use Codes (LUCs) from Trip Generation Informational Report, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.
${ }^{2}$ Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site-not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator
${ }^{3}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-A

## ${ }^{4}$ Person-Trips

*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

| Project Name: | North Lawrence Riverfront Development |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Period: | AM Street Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 7-A: Conversion of Vehicle-Trip Ends to Person-Trip Ends |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use | Table 7-A (D): Entering Trips |  |  | Table 7-A (0): Exiting Trips |  |  |
|  | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* |
| Office | 1.00 | 47 | 47 | 1.00 | 8 | 8 |
| Retail | 1.00 | 117 | 117 | 1.00 | 72 | 72 |
| Restaurant | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 1.00 | 34 | 34 | 1.00 | 96 | 96 |
| Hotel | 1.00 | 41 | 41 | 1.00 | 29 | 29 |


| Table 8-A (0): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Origin) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 21 |  | 9 | 0 | 10 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 2 | 1 | 19 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Hotel | 22 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Table 8-A (D): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Destination) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 7 | 9 |  | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 1 | 20 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Hotel | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Table 9-A (D): Internal and External Trips Summary (Entering Trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Destination Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates |  |  | External Trips by Mode* |  |  |
|  | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ${ }^{1}$ | Transit ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Motorized ${ }^{2}$ |
| Office | 4 | 43 | 47 | 43 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 7 | 110 | 117 | 110 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 1 | 33 | 34 | 33 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 0 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Table 9-A (0): Internal and External Trips Summary (Exiting Trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates |  |  | External Trips by Mode* |  |  |
|  | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ${ }^{1}$ | Transit ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Motorized ${ }^{2}$ |
| Office | 2 | 6 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 3 | 69 | 72 | 69 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 2 | 94 | 96 | 94 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 5 | 24 | 29 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

${ }^{1}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-A

## ${ }^{2}$ Person-Trips

${ }^{3}$ Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site-not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator
*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

| NCHRP 8-51 Internal Trip Capture Estimation Tool |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Project Name: | North Lawrence Riverfront Development |  | Organization: |  |
| Project Location: | NWC of N. 2nd St (US 24-40) and Elm St. |  | Performed By: | MGS |
| Scenario Description: | Buildings I thru VII and Hotel | Date: | MG | 10/9/2018 |
| Analysis Year: | 2018 |  | Checked By: |  |
| Analysis Period: | PM Street Peak Hour |  | Date: |  |


| Table 1-P: Base Vehicle-Trip Generation Estimates (Single-Use Site Estimate) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Development Data (For Information Only) |  |  | Estimated Vehicle-Trips |  |  |
|  | ITE LUCs ${ }^{1}$ | Quantity | Units | Total | Entering | Exiting |
| Office | 710 | 30,000 | GFA sq. ft. | 36 | 6 | 30 |
| Retail | 820 | 74,300 | GFA sq. ft. | 436 | 209 | 227 |
| Restaurant |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Residential | 221 | 390 | dwelling units | 164 | 100 | 64 |
| Hotel | 310 | 150 | rooms | 86 | 44 | 42 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  | 722 | 359 | 363 |


| Table 2-P: Mode Split and Vehicle Occupancy Estimates |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use | Entering Trips |  |  | Exiting Trips |  |  |
|  | Veh. Occ. | \% Transit | \% Non-Motorized | Veh. Occ. | \% Transit | \% Non-Motorized |
| Office | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Retail | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Restaurant | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Residential | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| Hotel | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% | 1.00 | 0\% | 0\% |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 3-P: Average Land Use Interchange Distances (Feet Walking Distance) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  | 1000 | 1000 |  | 1000 |  |
| Retail |  |  |  |  | 1000 |  |
| Restaurant |  |  |  |  | 1000 |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment |  |  |  |  | 1000 |  |
| Residential |  | 1000 | 1000 |  |  |  |
| Hotel |  |  |  |  | 1650 |  |


| Table 4-P: Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential |  |  |
| Office |  | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1 | Hotel |  |
| Retail | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Restaurant | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 7 |  |  |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Residential | 3 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Hotel | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |


| Table 5-P: Computations Summary |  |  |  | Table 6-P: Internal Trip Capture Percentages by Land Use |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Entering | Exiting | Land Use | Entering Trips | Exiting Trips |
| All Person-Trips | 722 | 359 | 363 | Office | 83\% | 20\% |
| Internal Capture Percentage | 24\% | 24\% | 24\% | Retail | 12\% | 24\% |
|  |  |  |  | Restaurant | N/A | N/A |
| External Vehicle-Trips ${ }^{3}$ | 550 | 273 | 277 | Cinema/Entertainment | N/A | N/A |
| External Transit-Trips ${ }^{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | Residential | 47\% | 33\% |
| External Non-Motorized Trips ${ }^{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | Hotel | 20\% | 10\% |

${ }^{1}$ Land Use Codes (LUCs) from Trip Generation Informational Report, published by the Institute of Transportation Engineers.
${ }^{2}$ Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site-not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator
${ }^{3}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-P

## ${ }^{4}$ Person-Trips

*Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

| Project Name: | North Lawrence Riverfront Development |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Analysis Period: | PM Street Peak Hour |  |  |  |  |  |
| Table 7-P: Conversion of Vehicle-Trip Ends to Person-Trip Ends |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use | Table 7-P (D): Entering Trips |  |  | Table 7-P (0): Exiting Trips |  |  |
|  | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* | Veh. Occ. | Vehicle-Trips | Person-Trips* |
| Office | 1.00 | 6 | 6 | 1.00 | 30 | 30 |
| Retail | 1.00 | 209 | 209 | 1.00 | 227 | 227 |
| Restaurant | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 1.00 | 0 | 0 | 1.00 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 1.00 | 100 | 100 | 1.00 | 64 | 64 |
| Hotel | 1.00 | 44 | 44 | 1.00 | 42 | 42 |


| Table 8-P (O): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Origin) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Retail | 5 |  | 66 | 9 | 55 | 11 |
| Restaurant | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 3 | 20 | 10 | 0 |  | 2 |
| Hotel | 0 | 7 | 29 | 0 | 1 |  |


| Table 8-P (D): Internal Person-Trip Origin-Destination Matrix (Computed at Destination) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin (From) | Destination (To) |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Office | Retail | Restaurant | Cinema/Entertainment | Residential | Hotel |
| Office |  | 13 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 |
| Retail | 2 |  | 0 | 0 | 46 | 7 |
| Restaurant | 2 | 105 |  | 0 | 16 | 31 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 8 | 0 |  | 4 | 0 |
| Residential | 3 | 16 | 0 | 0 |  | 5 |
| Hotel | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |


| Table 9-P (D): Internal and External Trips Summary (Entering Trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Destination Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates |  |  | External Trips by Mode* |  |  |
|  | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ${ }^{1}$ | Transit ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Motorized ${ }^{2}$ |
| Office | 5 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 25 | 184 | 209 | 184 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 47 | 53 | 100 | 53 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 9 | 35 | 44 | 35 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| Table 9-P (O): Internal and External Trips Summary (Exiting Trips) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origin Land Use | Person-Trip Estimates |  |  | External Trips by Mode* |  |  |
|  | Internal | External | Total | Vehicles ${ }^{1}$ | Transit ${ }^{2}$ | Non-Motorized ${ }^{2}$ |
| Office | 6 | 24 | 30 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| Retail | 55 | 172 | 227 | 172 | 0 | 0 |
| Restaurant | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Cinema/Entertainment | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Residential | 21 | 43 | 64 | 43 | 0 | 0 |
| Hotel | 4 | 38 | 42 | 38 | 0 | 0 |
| All Other Land Uses ${ }^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

${ }^{1}$ Vehicle-trips computed using the mode split and vehicle occupancy values provided in Table 2-P

[^1]| Table 7.1a Adjusted Internal Trip Capture Rates for Trip Origins within a Multi-Use Development |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use Pairs |  | Weekday |  |
|  |  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| From OFFICE | To Office | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Retail | 28.0\% | 15.2\% |
|  | To Restaurant | 63.0\% | 3.0\% |
|  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Residential | 1.0\% | 1.9\% |
|  | To Hotel | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| From RETAIL | To Office | 29.0\% | 2.0\% |
|  | To Retail | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Restaurant | 13.0\% | 29.0\% |
|  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 4.0\% |
|  | To Residential | 14.0\% | 24.2\% |
|  | To Hotel | 0.0\% | 5.0\% |
| From RESTAURANT | To Office | 31.0\% | 3.0\% |
|  | To Retail | 14.0\% | 41.0\% |
|  | To Restaurant | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 8.0\% |
|  | To Residential | 4.0\% | 16.7\% |
|  | To Hotel | 3.0\% | 7.0\% |
| From CINEMA/ENTERTAINMENT | To Office | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |
|  | To Retail | 0.0\% | 21.0\% |
|  | To Restaurant | 0.0\% | 31.0\% |
|  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Residential | 0.0\% | 7.4\% |
|  | To Hotel | 0.0\% | 2.0\% |
| From RESIDENTIAL | To Office | 2.0\% | 4.0\% |
|  | To Retail | 1.0\% | 31.9\% |
|  | To Restaurant | 20.0\% | 16.0\% |
|  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Residential | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Hotel | 0.0\% | 3.0\% |
| From HOTEL | To Office | 75.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Retail | 14.0\% | 16.0\% |
|  | To Restaurant | 9.0\% | 68.0\% |
|  | To Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | To Residential | 0.0\% | 1.5\% |
|  | To Hotel | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |


| Table 7.2a Adjusted Internal Trip Capture Rates for Trip Destinations within a Multi-Use Development |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Land Use Pairs |  | AM Peak Hour | PM Peak Hour |
| To OFFICE | From Office | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Retail | 4.0\% | 31.0\% |
|  | From Restaurant | 14.0\% | 30.0\% |
|  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 6.0\% |
|  | From Residential | 3.0\% | 57.0\% |
|  | From Hotel | 3.0\% | 0.0\% |
| To RETAIL | From Office | 32.0\% | 6.1\% |
|  | From Retail | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Restaurant | 8.0\% | 50.0\% |
|  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 4.0\% |
|  | From Residential | 17.0\% | 7.6\% |
|  | From Hotel | 4.0\% | 2.0\% |
| To RESTAURANT | From Office | 23.0\% | 1.5\% |
|  | From Retail | 50.0\% | 29.0\% |
|  | From Restaurant | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 3.0\% |
|  | From Residential | 20.0\% | 10.6\% |
|  | From Hotel | 6.0\% | 5.0\% |
| To CINEMA/ENTERTAINMENT | From Office | 0.0\% | 1.0\% |
|  | From Retail | 0.0\% | 26.0\% |
|  | From Restaurant | 0.0\% | 32.0\% |
|  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Residential | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Hotel | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| To RESIDENTIAL | From Office | 0.0\% | 4.0\% |
|  | From Retail | 2.0\% | 46.0\% |
|  | From Restaurant | 5.0\% | 16.0\% |
|  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 4.0\% |
|  | From Residential | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Hotel | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
| To HOTEL | From Office | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |
|  | From Retail | 0.0\% | 17.0\% |
|  | From Restaurant | 4.0\% | 71.0\% |
|  | From Cinema/Entertainment | 0.0\% | 1.0\% |
|  | From Residential | 0.0\% | 12.0\% |
|  | From Hotel | 0.0\% | 0.0\% |

## APPENDIX V

## Summary of Traffic Counts



| PEAK PM Count |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Signal | Last Updated | Count PM | Begin |  | South Bound |  |  | West Bound |  |  | North Bound |  |  | East Bound |  |  |  |
| Location | 2/23/17 | Date | Peak | Volume | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left | Right | Thru | Left |  |
| STREET1 | STREET2 | OOUNTDATE |  | EAKTOTA | SBRT | SBTHRU | SBLT | WBRT | WBTHRU | WBLT | NBRT | NBTHRU | NBLT | EBRT | EBTHRU | EBLT | Site_ID |
| 2 nd St. | Locust St. | 29-Jan-16 | 16:45 | 2323 | 10 | 947 | 52 | 16 | 1 | 214 | 45 | 1002 | 18 | 11 | 3 | 4 | 168 |
| 2 nd St. | Lyon St. | 15-Apr-15 | 16:30 | 1935 | 0 | 821 | 21 | 21 | 4 | 95 | 96 | 867 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| 2 nd St. | McDonald St | 22-Apr-15 | 16:45 | 2265 | 182 | 442 | 69 | 66 | 190 | 115 | 93 | 362 | 324 | 200 | 118 | 104 | 3 |
| 3 rd St . | KTA | 15-Sep-15 | 17:00 | 1826 | 7 | 400 | 48 | 105 | 8 | 321 | 228 | 589 | 34 | 39 | 33 | 14 | 4 |
| 6 th St. | Congressional | 30-Mar-16 | 17:00 | 1745 | 46 | 31 | 84 | 116 | 568 | 82 | 28 | 27 | 42 | 19 | 628 | 74 | 72 |
| 6 th St. | Folks Road | 08-Feb-17 | 17:00 | 2639 | 124 | 38 | 108 | 94 | 1037 | 55 | 44 | 35 | 23 | 63 | 894 | 124 | 6 |
| 6 th St. | Iowa St. | 06-May-15 | 16:45 | 3035 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1156 | 339 | 219 | 0 | 337 | 213 | 771 | 0 | 7 |
| 6 th St. | Kasold Dr. | 14-Apr-16 | 16:45 | 3499 | 48 | 164 | 83 | 63 | 1127 | 225 | 192 | 267 | 271 | 209 | 769 | 81 | 8 |
| 6 th St. | Kentucky St. | 04-May-16 | 16:45 | 2969 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1082 | 0 | 287 | 0 | 621 | 0 | 979 | 0 | 9 |
| 6 th St. | Lawrence Ave. | 19-Apr-16 | 16:45 | 3162 | 82 | 102 | 170 | 167 | 1287 | 62 | 39 | 117 | 66 | 55 | 928 | 87 | 10 |
| 6 th St. | Maine St. | 03-May-16 | 4:30PM | 3112 | 126 | 57 | 265 | 197 | 1231 | 13 | 31 | 54 | 72 | 27 | 991 | 48 | 11 |
| 6 th St. | Massachusetts St. | 05-May-16 | 16:45 | 2524 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 394 | 330 | 0 | 14 | 181 | 101 | 205 | 511 | 788 | 12 |
| 6 th St. | Michigan St. | 26-Apr-16 | 16:15 | 2813 | 97 | 33 | 178 | 73 | 1357 | 9 | 17 | 23 | 59 | 28 | 927 | 12 | 13 |
| 6 th St. | MontereyWay | 14-Feb-17 | 17:00 | 3413 | 58 | 159 | 135 | 141 | 1163 | 159 | 91 | 164 | 177 | 157 | 920 | 89 | 14 |
| 6 th St. | Rockledge Rd. | 10-Apr-14 | 16:45 | 2884 | 228 | 23 | 29 | 19 | 1306 | 19 | 20 | 32 | 49 | 68 | 920 | 171 | 15 |
| 6 th St. | Schwarz Rd. | 22-Feb-17 | 16:45 | 2884 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 1584 | 23 | 9 | 0 | 21 | 20 | 1224 | 0 | 16 |
| 6 th St. | Stoneridge | 07-Apr-16 | 17:00 | 1570 | 18 | 2 | 34 | 70 | 547 | 69 | 37 | 0 | 11 | 58 | 674 | 50 | 73 |
| 6 th St. | Vermont St. | 25-Feb-16 | 16:30 | 2859 | 675 | 212 | 354 | 0 | 393 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 121 | 1082 | 0 | 17 |
| 6 th St. | Wakarusa Dr. | 05-May-15 | 17:00 | 2938 | 50 | 167 | 175 | 118 | 546 | 360 | 307 | 215 | 235 | 134 | 571 | 60 | 18 |
| 7 th St. | Massachusetts St. | 30-Apr-14 | 17:00 | 916 | 23 | 178 | 17 | 31 | 94 | 27 | 73 | 264 | 44 | 64 | 41 | 60 | 19 |
| 7 th St. | New Hampshire St. | 02-Oct-14 | 17:00 | 1348 | 30 | 176 | 277 | 403 | 95 | 18 | 17 | 124 | 32 | 102 | 55 | 19 | 20 |
| 7 th St. | Vermont St. | 27-Aug-14 | 16:45 | 827 | 73 | 256 | 65 | 0 | 121 | 21 | 97 | 0 | 88 | 33 | 73 | 0 | 21 |
| 7th St. | Kentucky St. | 07-Oct-14 | 16:45 | 1246 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 159 | 136 | 0 | 79 | 792 | 33 | 0 | 34 | 13 | 186 |
| 8 th St. | Kentucky St. | 02-Oct-14 | 16:30 | 1068 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 92 | 98 | 0 | 36 | 770 | 13 | 0 | 46 | 13 | 22 |
| 8 th St. | Massachusetts St. | 29-Oct-14 | 16:15 | 857 | 36 | 193 | 11 | 20 | 75 | 36 | 43 | 286 | 34 | 19 | 65 | 39 | 23 |
| 8 th St. | Vermont St. | 08-Oct-14 | 16:45 | 797 | 44 | 259 | 16 | 38 | 85 | 30 | 65 | 175 | 26 | 12 | 35 | 12 | 24 |
| 9 th St. | Emery Rd. | 17-Nov-15 | 17:00 | 1579 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 771 | 68 | 72 | 0 | 52 | 36 | 579 | 0 | 25 |
| 9 th St. | Iowa St. | 06-May-14 | 16:45 | 3260 | 65 | 813 | 173 | 203 | 378 | 279 | 17 | 796 | 69 | 62 | 270 | 135 | 26 |
| 9 th St. | Kentucky St. | 29-Apr-14 | 16:45 | 1999 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 | 410 | 0 | 56 | 627 | 330 | 0 | 454 | 79 | 27 |
| 9 th St. | Maine St. | 12-Nov-15 | 17:00 | 1764 | 21 | 35 | 50 | 40 | 785 | 14 | 22 | 55 | 28 | 10 | 688 | 16 | 28 |
| 9 th St. | Massachusetts St. | 08-May-14 | 16:45 | 1363 | 58 | 200 | 14 | 32 | 229 | 19 | 45 | 304 | 74 | 109 | 206 | 73 | 29 |
| 9 th St. | Mississippi St. | 04-Nov-15 | 17:00 | 1978 | 23 | 44 | 40 | 50 | 713 | 74 | 98 | 52 | 142 | 55 | 665 | 22 | 30 |
| 9 th St. | Tennessee St. | 29-Apr-13 | 17:00 | 2229 | 147 | 579 | 37 | 0 | 659 | 37 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 265 | 505 | 0 | 31 |
| 9 th St. | Vermont St. | 14-May-14 | 16:45 | 1330 | 101 | 185 | 27 | 29 | 287 | 11 | 20 | 121 | 89 | 80 | 273 | 107 | 32 |
| 10 th St. | Massachusetts St. | 15-May-14 | 17:00 | 1157 | 80 | 244 | 24 | 74 | 128 | 23 | 41 | 378 | 46 | 48 | 54 | 17 | 33 |
| 11 th St. | Kentucky St. | 23-Oct-14 | 17:00 | 1462 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 199 | 205 | 0 | 107 | 680 | 48 | 0 | 204 | 19 | 34 |
| 11 th St. | Massachusetts St. | 21-Aug-14 | 16:45 | 1712 | 23 | 251 | 21 | 51 | 230 | 114 | 81 | 334 | 163 | 200 | 172 | 72 | 1 |
| 11 th St. | Tennessee St. | 28-Oct-14 | 16:30 | 1453 | 20 | 872 | 113 | 0 | 106 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 122 | 0 | 36 |
| 14 th St. | Kentucky St. | 20-Jan-15 | 16:45 | 1140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 89 | 0 | 27 | 654 | 76 | 0 | 160 | 34 | 37 |
| 14 th St. | Massachusetts St. | 22-Jan-15 | 16:30 | 1439 | 17 | 534 | 16 | 8 | 37 | 17 | 28 | 540 | 109 | 81 | 38 | 14 | 38 |
| 14 th St. | Tennessee St. | 21-Jan-15 | 16:30 | 1417 | 32 | 947 | 110 | 0 | 112 | 67 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 82 | 0 | 39 |
| Bob Billings | Crestline Dr. | 09-Apr-15 | 16:45 | 2149 | 98 | 48 | 63 | 67 | 752 | 24 | 20 | 98 | 284 | 96 | 521 | 78 | 40 |
| 15 th St. | lowa St. | 26-Jan-17 | 17:00 | 3963 | 225 | 1000 | 81 | 136 | 359 | 155 | 143 | 993 | 250 | 209 | 242 | 170 | 41 |
| Bob Billings | Kasold Dr. | 28-Apr-15 | 16:45 | 3077 | 78 | 464 | 76 | 113 | 634 | 259 | 149 | 426 | 161 | 169 | 426 | 122 | 42 |
| Bob Billings | Monterey Way | 25-Mar-15 | 16:30 | 1776 | 126 | 0 | 189 | 268 | 613 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 435 | 145 | 80 |
| 17 th St. | Massachusetts St. | 03-Feb-15 | 16:45 | 1555 | 11 | 617 | 9 | 9 | 15 | 10 | 65 | 651 | 37 | 64 | 28 | 39 | 43 |
| 19 th St. | Haskell Rd. | 12-Feb-15 | 16:45 | 1799 | 55 | 312 | 88 | 89 | 208 | 23 | 58 | 268 | 139 | 246 | 270 | 43 | 44 |

## Summary of Vehicular Turning Movement Counts

N. 2nd Street \& Lincoln Street Morning Peak-Hours
Sunny, Warm

File Name : N2nd\&lincoln-eam
Site Code : 1
Start Date : 7/16/2013
Page No : 1

|  | N. 2nd Street From North |  |  |  |  | Lincoln Street From East |  |  |  |  | N. 2nd Street From South |  |  |  |  | From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left |  | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left |  | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left |  | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left |  | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 20 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |


| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 08:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 08:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 18 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 38 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  | 18.4 | 0 | 81.6 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 12.8 | 0 | 12.8 | 9 | 0 | 39.7 | 0 | 48.7 | 38.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38.5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

## Summary of Vehicular Turning Movement Counts

N. 2nd Street \& Lincoln Street Morning Peak-Hours
Sunny, Warm

File Name : N2nd\&lincoln-eam
Site Code : 1
Start Date : 7/16/2013
Page No : 2

|  | N. 2nd Street From North |  |  |  |  | Lincoln Street From East |  |  |  |  | N. 2nd Street From South |  |  |  |  | From West |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left |  | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left |  | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left |  | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left |  | App. Total |  |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 08:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:15 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| 07:45 AM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 08:00 AM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 26 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  | 19.2 | 0 | 80.8 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 375 | . 000 | . 375 | . 625 | . 000 | . 750 | . 000 | . 813 | . 550 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 550 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 708 |

## Summary of Vehicular Turning Movement Counts

N. 2nd Street \& Lincoln Street Afternoon Peak-Hours
Sunny, warm

File Name : N2nd\&lincoln-epm Site Code : 1
Start Date : 7/16/2013
Page No : 1

|  | N. 2nd Street From North |  |  |  |  | Lincoln Street From East |  |  |  |  | N. 2nd Street From South |  |  |  |  | From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left |  | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left |  | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left |  | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left |  | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Factor | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |  |
| 04:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 4 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 16 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |


| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 05:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 11 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |
| 05:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Total | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 27 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 82 |
| Grand Total | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 19 | 12 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 43 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 182 |
| Apprch \% | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  | 27.9 | 0 | 72.1 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0 | 0 | 10.4 | 0 | 10.4 | 6.6 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 23.6 | 65.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65.9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

## Summary of Vehicular Turning Movement Counts

N. 2nd Street \& Lincoln Street

Afternoon Peak-Hours
Sunny, warm

File Name : N2nd\&lincoln-epm Site Code : 1
Start Date : 7/16/2013
Page No : 2

|  | N. 2nd Street From North |  |  |  |  | Lincoln Street From East |  |  |  |  | N. 2nd Street From South |  |  |  |  | From West |  |  |  |  | Int. Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left |  | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left |  | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left |  | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left |  | App. Total |  |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 04:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:15 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:15 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 43 |
| 04:30 PM | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| 04:45 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| 05:00 PM | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| Total Volume | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 21 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 76 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112 |
| \% App. Total | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 |  | 33.3 | 0 | 66.7 | 0 |  | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 000 | . 000 | . 313 | . 000 | . 313 | . 583 | . 000 | . 700 | . 000 | . 750 | . 487 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 487 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 000 | . 651 |

## APPENDIX VI

## Current Transit Route and Schedule (Line 4, North Lawrence)

| (A) | Timing point |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Bus stop |  |
| ( Bus shelter |  |
| * Bus pass sales location |  |

* Bus pass sales location




## NORTHBOUND

|  | 9th \& lowa |  <br> Vermont |  <br> Locust | 7th \& Lyon | DMV |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM | 6:10 | 6:25 | 6:31 | 6:35 | 6:42 |
|  | 7:10 | 7:25 | 7:31 | 7:35 | 7:42 |
|  | 8:10 | 8:25 | 8:31 | 8:35 | 8:42 |
|  | 9:10 | 9:25 | 9:31 | 9:35 | 9:42 |
|  | 10:10 | 10:25 | 10:31 | 10:35 | 10:42 |
|  | 11:10 | 11:25 | 11:31 | 11:35 | 11:42 |
| PM | 12:10 | 12:25 | 12:31 | 12:35 | 12:42 |
|  | 1:10 | I:25 | 1:31 | 1:35 | 1:42 |
|  | 2:10 | 2:25 | 2:31 | 2:35 | 2:42 |
|  | 3:10 | 3:25 | 3:31 | 3:35 | 3:42 |
|  | 4:10 | 4:25 | 4:31 | 4:35 | 4:42 |
|  | 5:10 | 5:25 | 5:31 | 5:35 | 5:42 |
|  | 6:10 | 6:25 | 6:31 | 6:35 | 6:42 |
|  | 7:10 | 7:25 | 7:31 | 7:35 | 7:42 |

## SOUTHBOUND



This route operates Monday-Saturday, except holidays: New Year's Day, Memorial Day, Independence Day, Labor Day, Thanksgiving Day, and Christmas Day


## APPENDIX VII

## Current and Future Bikeways (Source: City's T2040 Bicycle System Map)

T2040 Bikeway System Map



[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ Person-Trips
    ${ }^{3}$ Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site-not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator
    *Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Person-Trips
    ${ }^{3}$ Total estimate for all other land uses at mixed-use development site-not subject to internal trip capture computations in this estimator
    *Indicates computation that has been rounded to the nearest whole number.

