

**LAWRENCE HISTORIC RESOURCES COMMISSION  
AGENDA MEETING MARCH 26, 2015 6:30 PM  
ACTION SUMMARY**

---

Commissioners present: Arp, Bailey, Foster, Hernly

Commissioners excused: Quillin, Wachs

Staff present: Cargill, Simmons, Zollner

---

**ITEM NO. 1: COMMUNICATIONS**

- A. There were no communications from other commissions, State Historic Preservation Officer, and the general public.
- B. There was no disclosure of ex-parte communications.
- C. Commissioner Hernly declared his abstention from Administrative Review #10.

**ITEM NO. 2: CONSENT AGENDA**

- A. January 15, 2015 Action Summary.
- B. Administrative Approvals
  - 1. DR-15-00027 13 E 8<sup>th</sup> Street; Rehabilitation; State Law Review and Downtown Design Guidelines review.
  - 2. DR-15-00026 745 Vermont Street; Inflow/Infiltration Abatement Permit; State Law Review.
  - 3. DR-15-00014 1008 Massachusetts Street; Sign; Downtown Design Guidelines.
  - 4. DR-15-00058 1007 Massachusetts Street; Mechanical Permit; State Law Review.
  - 5. DR-15-00055 745 Connecticut Street; Deck; Certificate of Appropriateness review.
  - 6. DR-15-00050 721 Massachusetts Street; Mechanical Permit; State Law Review.
  - 7. DR-15-00040 811 E 11<sup>th</sup> Street; Inflow/Infiltration Abatement Permit; Certificate of Appropriateness review.
  - 8. DR-15-00034 729 Massachusetts Street; Rehabilitation; Certificate of Appropriateness review, Downtown Design Guidelines review and State Law review.
  - 9. DR-15-00032 913 W 6<sup>th</sup> Street; Rehabilitation; Certificate of Appropriateness review.
  - 10. DR-15-00031 804 Pennsylvania Street; Rezoning; State Law Review.
  - 11. DR-15-00030 1620 Massachusetts Street; Minor Subdivision; Certificate of Appropriateness review.

**ACTION TAKEN**

Motioned by Commissioner Bailey, seconded by Commissioner Arp to approve the Consent Agenda items removing item 10.

Unanimously approved 4-0

Motioned by Commissioner Arp, seconded by Commissioner Bailey to approve item 10.

Approved 3-0-1

**ITEM NO. 3:** Adopt Resolution 2015-01 recommending 1501 Pennsylvania Street (L-14-00556) for listing in the Lawrence Register of Historic Places.

**ACTION TAKEN**

Motioned by Commissioner Bailey, seconded by Commissioner Arp, to adopt Resolution 2015-01 recommending 1501 Pennsylvania Street for listing in the Lawrence Register of Historic Places.

Unanimously approved 4-0.

**ITEM NO. 4:** Adopt Resolution 2015-02 recommending 900 New York Street (L-14-00562) for listing in the Lawrence Register of Historic Places.

**ACTION TAKEN**

Motioned by Commissioner Bailey, seconded by Commissioner Arp, to adopt Resolution 2015-02 recommending 900 New York Street for listing in the Lawrence Register of Historic Places.

Unanimously approved 4-0.

**ITEM NO. 5:** **L-15-00046** Public hearing for consideration of placing the structure located at 1711 Massachusetts Street, the Goodrich House, on the Lawrence Register of Historic Places. Adopt Resolution 2015-03, if appropriate.

**STAFF PRESENTATION**

Ms. Zollner presented the item.

**PUBLIC COMMENT**

Mr. Dennis Brown, Lawrence Preservation Alliance (LPA), said this property, along with the following two agenda items, are a continued effort by LPA to take properties that are already on the State and National Registers and list them locally. He said 809 Vermont Street is associated with a famous person, and 947 Louisiana Street- which was set to be demolished in 1984- is the birthplace of LPA.

**ACTION TAKEN**

Motioned by Commissioner Arp, seconded by Commissioner Hernly, to recommend Landmark Designation of 1711 Massachusetts Street to the Lawrence Register of Historic Places.

Unanimously approved 4-0.

Motioned by Commissioner Arp, seconded by Commissioner Bailey, to adopt Resolution 2015-03.

Unanimously approved 4-0.

Motioned by Commissioner Arp, seconded by Commissioner Bailey, to adopt the environs definition as provided.

Unanimously approved 4-0.

**ITEM NO. 6:**        **L-15-00047** Public hearing for consideration of placing the structure located at 947 Louisiana Street, the Greenlee House, on the Lawrence Register of Historic Places. Adopt Resolution 2015-04, if appropriate.

**STAFF PRESENTATION**

Ms. Zollner presented the item.

**PUBLIC COMMENT**

Mr. Chris Burger, attorney, said he represents the property owners at 941 Indiana Street and they are not in support of the Landmark Nomination because they feel environs review will be a hindrance. He asked that their property be excluded from the environs of the Landmark.

**COMMISSION DISCUSSION**

They identified the property on the overhead map.

Commissioner Bailey said the environs area isn't even halfway into the lot at 941 Indiana Street and he feels the owners' request is reasonable. He acknowledged there might be some concerns about setting a precedent, but feels excluding 941 Indiana Street would be doable.

Commissioner Foster said he doesn't feel this process is burdensome and many people accomplish what they need to accomplish while maintaining the appropriate historic reviews.

Ms. Zollner referenced the Environs Definition Conclusion and said this would be an Area One Review, where minor projects- such as building permits- would be approved by the Historic Resources Administrator, typically within five days.

Commissioner Arp thinks the property has been majorly modified and doesn't know how that impacts the historic integrity of the original structure.

Ms. Zollner said the Environs Definition speaks to the residential character of the neighborhood, and most Full Commission Reviews will apply to demolition and new construction. She said porch remodels and small additions can usually be reviewed administratively.

Commissioner Bailey asked if there is a separate process for projects administratively reviewed.

Ms. Zollner said an administrative approval provides a Certificate of Appropriateness.

Commissioner Bailey said it is the least stringent review.

Commissioner Arp said such review in the past may have helped maintain the integrity of the property.

Commissioner Foster asked where the structure is currently listed.

Ms. Zollner said it's listed on the National Register.

Commissioner Foster said, until recently, they were reviewing environs anyway.

**ACTION TAKEN**

Motioned by Commissioner Arp, seconded by Commissioner Hernly, to recommend Landmark Designation of 947 Louisiana Street to the Lawrence Register of Historic Places.

Unanimously approved 4-0.

Motioned by Commissioner Arp, seconded by Commissioner Hernly, to adopt Resolution 2015-04.

Unanimously approved 4-0.

Motioned by Commissioner Arp, seconded by Commissioner Hernly, to adopt the environs definition as provided.

Unanimously approved 4-0.

**ITEM NO. 7:**        **L-15-00048** Public hearing for consideration of placing the structure located at 809 Vermont Street, the Lucy Hobbs Taylor House, on the Lawrence Register of Historic Places. Adopt Resolution 2015-05, if appropriate.

**STAFF PRESENTATION**

Ms. Zollner presented the item.

Commissioner Foster asked if Ms. Zollner mentioned the two chimneys in the Staff Report.

Ms. Zollner said no.

Commissioner Foster asked if there was a reason why the chimneys were not mentioned in the Staff Report.

Ms. Zollner replied no.

Commissioner Hernly pondered future development on Vermont Street and the Area One Review referenced in the Environs Definition. He asked if staff has reviewed Sanborn Maps to see what was beside the house historically.

Ms. Zollner said the Lawrence Hotel was on the lot to the south and was most likely larger than two stories.

Commissioner Hernly asked if the hotel was built on both lots.

Ms. Zollner said she believed so.

Commissioner Hernly asked what impact that would have from a historic standpoint, particularly if historical patterns could influence future development options in the area.

Ms. Zollner said the viewshed typically includes the view from the right-of-way. She said the hotel was tall and would have impacted the view to the house.

**PUBLIC COMMENT**

Mr. Burger thanked the Commission and staff.

## **ACTION TAKEN**

Motioned by Commissioner Bailey, seconded by Commissioner Arp, to recommend Landmark Designation of 809 Vermont Street to the Lawrence Register of Historic Places.

Unanimously approved 4-0.

Motioned by Commissioner Bailey, seconded by Commissioner Arp, to adopt Resolution 2015-05.

Unanimously approved 4-0.

Motioned by Commissioner Bailey, seconded by Commissioner Arp, to adopt the environs definition as provided.

Unanimously approved 4-0.

**ITEM NO. 8:** DR-14-00152 808 Rhode Island Street; Addition; State Law Review. The property is listed as a contributing structure to the North Rhode Island Street Historic Residential District, National Register of Historic Places. Submitted by Paul Werner Architects for Peter Howell, the property owner of record.

## **STAFF PRESENTATION**

Ms. Zollner presented the item.

Commissioner Foster asked if the roof that should be amended is over the historic addition.

Ms. Zollner said correct.

Commissioner Bailey asked about the setback and the elimination of the walk-in closet.

Ms. Zollner showed the walk-in closet on the plan and what would be altered.

## **APPLICANT PRESENTATION**

Mr. Paul Werner, Paul Werner Architects, said the owners of the property have a one bedroom one bath house and feel the addition is reasonable. He said this is their second submission after listening to concerns about the roof and front porch. He told the commissioners they will likely approve 50 units tonight that have no parking, and he feels providing a garage for parking is the right thing to do. He discussed options for the roof and views from various sides of the property.

Commissioner Foster suggested he could turn the ridgeline.

Mr. Werner explained the reasoning behind the current proposal. He mentioned that the overall design maintains all original walls, there are no changes to the front porch, and he discussed the window locations. He said they can remove the stone and use a different material, but they would prefer not to wrap it around as that would be costly.

Commissioner Hernly asked if the roof on the existing brick addition slopes to the east and where the water goes.

Mr. Werner said to the east.

Commissioner Hernly asked if the east wall is shorter.

Mr. Werner said yes, and that the flat roof has issues.

### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

Ms. KT Walsh said everyone in the neighborhood was so delighted when the current owners moved in and they've worked on the house constantly. She said she appreciates that they've come back with a better plan, and concurs with staff's recommendations and trusts that the ARC will make successful modifications. She said she'd love it if the window could be saved.

Mr. Dennis Brown, LPA, said it's a fairly large addition for a small house. He said he wants to make sure the detailing is done to protect the original historic house, particularly the front façade. He said if a closet can be moved to save an original window, it should be done. He said LPA agrees this project should be approved and forwarded to the ARC. He is concerned about the stone veneer that goes all the way up to the windows and feels it should stop level with the first floor.

### **COMMISSION DISCUSSION**

Commissioner Foster said if he ignores the shape of the proposed windows everything else seems modern. He suggested they try to simplify the shape, and agrees the ARC would have a lot of work to do and will need clear direction.

They discussed the portions of the project they had the most concerns with, particularly the roof and the window.

Foster asked if simplifying the design is a reasonable request.

Commissioner Hernly said yes, it resonates with him.

### **ACTION TAKEN**

Motioned by Commissioner Bailey, seconded by Commissioner Arp, to approve the project with conditions as outlined in the staff report.

### **COMMISSION DISCUSSION**

Commissioner Foster mentioned that the ARC meetings will now be public.

Ms. Zollner said they are the first and third Thursdays of the month.

Mr. Werner asked what time the meetings are held.

Ms. Zollner said 6:30 pm on the first Thursday and 6:00 pm on the third Thursday.

**ITEM NO. 9:**            **DR-15-00028** 1017 Kentucky; Solar Addition; State Law Review and Certificate of Appropriateness Review. The property is a non-contributing structure to the Oread Historic District, National Register of Historic Places and is located in the environs of the Oread Historic District, Lawrence Register of Historic Places. Submitted by Cromwell Environmental dba Cromwell Solar for Ellen Lecompte, the property owner of record.

### **STAFF PRESENTATION**

Ms. Zollner presented Items 9 & 10.

They discussed various properties that currently have solar panels and the different requirements pertaining to each.

### **APPLICANT PRESENTATION**

Mr. Aron Cromell said he feels the guidelines are ambiguous and solar panels can co-exist with historic structures. He discussed the option of installing all black frames which eliminates the grid look and would make them blend in. He distributed photos of the proposed panels to commissioners and staff.

### **COMMISSION DISCUSSION**

Commissioner Arp asked if the Secretary of Interior's Standards conflict with the material used and/or the contrast of the black panels.

Ms. Zollner said the Secretary of Interior's guidelines are looking at the historic roof pitch, roof lines, and materials. She said it is her opinion that the key to meeting those guidelines is keeping the materials from the public right-of-way viewshed.

Commissioner Hernly said the reflectiveness and the texture of the panels are not desirable. He asked Mr. Cromwell for the percentage of loss if the panels are not placed on the south elevation.

Cromwell said it depends on the roof pitch but typically 15-20%, although the problem with this property is the shade from trees.

Commissioner Hernly asked if they considered the north roof plane.

Mr. Cromwell said the panels would only work there in the summer.

Commissioner Hernly asked if the lot between the two houses is owned by the same property owner.

Mr. Cromwell said he believes so.

Commissioner Hernly asked if the open lot is too shaded.

Mr. Cromwell explained why that location would not work and expressed frustration with the guidelines.

They further discussed issues regarding line of sight from various properties.

Commissioner Hernly asked if there is a chance to remove part of a tree that might be at issue.

Mr. Cromwell said a lot of the trees are on other properties.

They discussed the advantages and disadvantages of alternative forms of energy conservation.

Commissioner Arp said he didn't think the staff report implied that solar panels would not be allowed in historic districts, just for these particular projects.

Commissioner Bailey said the visibility factor is something to consider, which doesn't seem significant for 1023 Kentucky Street.

Commissioner Hernly said he thinks the line of sight on 1023 Kentucky Street is pretty oblique, but for 1017 Kentucky Street it's a different story. He suggested that the west roof would be an ideal location if there is a way to work with the neighboring property owner to get better illumination, provided the north plane doesn't work out.

#### **ACTION TAKEN**

Motioned by Commissioner Bailey, seconded by Commissioner Hernly, to approve the project at 1023 Kentucky Street with the stipulation that the all-black panels and sheeting are used.

Motioned carried 3-1.

Motioned by Commissioner Hernly, seconded by Commissioner Foster, to deny the project at 1017 Kentucky Street.

Motioned carried 3-1.

Motioned by Commissioner Bailey, seconded by Commissioner Hernly, to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness for 1017 Kentucky Street.

Motion carried 4-0.

Motioned by Commissioner Bailey, seconded by Commissioner Hernly, to approve the Certificate of Appropriateness for 1023 Kentucky Street.

Motion carried 4-0.

**ITEM NO. 10:**      **DR-15-00029** 1023 Kentucky; Solar Addition; State Law Review and Certificate of Appropriateness Review. The property is listed as a contributing structure to the Oread Historic District, National Register of Historic Places and is located in the environs of the Oread Historic District, Lawrence Register of Historic Places. Submitted by Cromwell Environmental dba Cromwell Solar for Ellen Lecompte, the property owner of record.

*See Item 9*



**ITEM NO. 11:**        **DR-15-00035** 620 E 8<sup>th</sup> Street; Demolition; Design Guidelines 8<sup>th</sup> and Penn Review. The property is located in the 8<sup>th</sup> and Pennsylvania Urban Conservation Overlay District. Submitted by Remediation Services, Inc. for Black Hills Corporation, the property owner of record.

**STAFF PRESENTATION**

Ms. Zollner presented the item.

**APPLICANT PRESENTATION**

Mr. Chuck Hoag, Black Hills, had a few documents to present to the Commission. He said their attorneys just informed them that their application was denied and that this is actually an appeal hearing.

Commissioner Hernly said they're reviewing demolition as part of the Design Guidelines.

Ms. Zollner said correct.

Mr. Hoag said they are here to appeal the denial of their demolition application, which was submitted on January 20<sup>th</sup>. He explained the history of the building. He said the building is not a Quonset hut because it has vertical walls and several windows, so the only thing it has in common with Quonset huts is the corrugated metal siding.

Commissioner Foster asked Ms. Zollner about a letter staff sent to Black Hills.

Ms. Zollner said the letter outlines staff's reasons for denial.

Commissioner Bailey asked about the restrictive covenant.

Mr. Ben Busboom, attorney for Black Hills, explained the restrictive covenant.

Commissioner Hernly mentioned that residential use is the only restriction for the site.

Commissioner Foster suggested they provide some sort of documentation from a geotechnical engineer to support their claim that boring from the interior of the building is economically infeasible.

They discussed the existing and potential uses for the site, as well as the Kansas Department of Health and Environment requirements for remediation and possible site contaminants.

Mr. Hoag said their hope is to make the site usable for future development, and mentioned interest from several entities, including the City.

They discussed characteristics of the other, more traditional Quonset huts in the area.

Ms. Zollner displayed and discussed the letter sent to Black Hills.

Commissioner Arp asked if the public safety item is open to interpretation.

Ms. Zollner said yes.

Commissioner Arp asked for clarification if this is actually a Quonset hut

Ms. Zollner said that is ultimately something for the Commission to determine.

### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

Ms. KT Walsh, ELNA, said the term Quonset hut is like the word Kleenex. She said it's a beautiful, mid-century building. She mentioned that City Commissioner Dever said that they could drill from the sides, but she isn't sure if that's equivalent to open pit. She suggested they sell the building to the railroad because they need the storage. She feels it's a good example of the East Lawrence Industrial area, it's an important building, and she hopes they can find a solution.

### **COMMISSION DISCUSSION**

Commissioner Foster said he's confused as to how to proceed. He wants to see a document stamped by an environmental engineer and a cost analysis showing one method is exorbitantly more costly than the other.

They discussed with the applicant different remediation methods and the possibility of moving the building.

Commissioner Hernly emphasized the building's historic importance, explaining that it's a type of building that was built in 1950 and adds to the overall character of the conservation district.

Commissioner Bailey said he didn't understand the need to demolish the building.

Foster asked if they have been advised to demolish the building for safety reasons.

Hoag said no, they just have no other use for it and want the property available for future development.

They discussed whether the project was related to public health.

Commissioner Foster wants to table the decision to provide time for discussion with staff and for more cost analysis.

Ms. KT Walsh mentioned that Tony Krsnich loves the building and may be willing to move it.

Mr. Hoag said Mr. Krsnich wants the whole property.

### **ACTION TAKEN**

Motioned by Commissioner Foster, seconded by Commissioner Hernly, to make the determination that the structure located at 620 E 8<sup>th</sup> Street is a Quonset hut.

Unanimously approved 4-0.

Motioned by Commissioner Foster, seconded by Commissioner Hernly, to make the determination that the request is not related to public safety and will require additional documentation.

Motion carried 3-1.

Motioned by Commissioner Foster, seconded by Commissioner Bailey, to deny the proposed project as recommended in the staff report.

Motioned carried 3-1.

**ITEM NO. 12:**        **DR-15-00062** 800 New Hampshire Street; Addition; Downtown Design Guidelines review. The property is located in the Downtown Urban Conservation Overlay District. Submitted by Treanor Architects for Kenneth Baker II LLC, the property owner of record.

### **STAFF PRESENTATION**

Ms. Zollner presented the item.

Commissioner Foster said none of the changes are triggering.

Ms. Zollner said if the project is approved and forwarded to the ARC and they can't come to an agreement, it will come back to the Full Commission.

Commissioner Foster asked if new construction guidelines would have been more or less restrictive for review of this project.

Ms. Zollner said probably more restrictive because height is one issue that new construction deals with more than additions, although height should be taken into consideration and should transition toward the neighborhood.

They discussed the height requirements for additions as outlined in the Downtown Design Guidelines.

### **APPLICANT PRESENTATION**

Mr. Micah Kimball, Treanor Architects, said they hope to be in front of the ARC soon to finalize details for the project. He discussed the history of the building. He said they would like to maintain restaurant and event space. He said there's a gap in the history on the building, defining the uses. He said it has a metal roof deck on metal bar joists. They used to park cars on top of the roof. The reason they want to do the addition is because the structure of the building is extremely robust, and includes a metal roof deck on metal bar joists with three foot I-beams. He stressed that they do not intend to modify the existing building.

Commissioner Foster asked if they're gutting the interior.

Mr. Kimball said, no it's beautiful- they intend to leave the interior and exterior of the building. He said the addition will consist of wood-framed multi-family units, a partial rooftop terrace and the side parking will remain. He further explained details of the project including proposed materials.

Commissioner Foster asked if they are pursuing an easement from the City in regard to the south facing windows.

Mr. Kimball said yes. He said the building's overall height is 63 feet, and Hobbs Taylor is 75 feet. He said the height is reduced due to the design and materials. He discussed other projects approved in the area in comparison to the proposed project. He noted the Charlton Manley

building acts as a buffer to the residential area, and the owner of which expressed interest in adding height to his building- a request that would not see the same scrutiny because it does not contribute to the historic context of downtown.

### **PUBLIC COMMENT**

Ms. KT Walsh, ELNA, said she was at the meeting for people within 250 feet of the project and the main issue was parking. She said they explained there would be about 73 bedrooms and legally they do not need to provide parking. She said they will encourage their tenants to park in the parking garages. She asked if there could be three bedroom units to encourage family housing.

Mr. Dennis Brown, LPA, said if the State Law environs review was still in place, LPA would argue that providing no parking for 70-plus bedrooms would damage the environs of the historic neighborhood. He agrees with the staff report about working the ARC on the exterior cladding of the building. He feels the design is too similar to the Marriott building down the street. He suggested adding some interesting design elements or materials. He said it seems wrong not to provide parking for such a large project. He said he was not going to argue about the height. He said the massing problem is due to the cantilever on the south which makes the air footprint bigger than the grade footprint. He feels the building should step down toward the neighborhood, much like the Marriott does, and is concerned that the community will not like the building if build as proposed.

### **COMMISSION DISCUSSION**

Commissioner Foster reviewed which of the Design Guidelines applies to this project. He feels it should be reviewed as demolition and new construction. He feels there is also an opportunity to make this project unique from the rest and really differentiate the new addition from the historic building.

Mr. Kimball said they struggled with the use of certain materials and ways to differentiate the addition from the original structure.

Commissioner Foster does not feel the addition is compatible in mass.

Commissioner Hernly said it is an addition and should be reviewed by those criteria.

Ms. Zollner said she struggled with the project because there are no guidelines for vertical additions.

They discussed whether the guidelines address vertical additions.

Commissioner Hernly thinks the new portion overwhelms the existing so much that it doesn't meet the addition guidelines.

Commissioner Foster asked if there would be reason to deny the project if demolition and new construction was the proposal.

Ms. Zollner said that would be up to the commission to determine.

Commissioner Foster asked what the staff recommendation would be for such a proposal.

Ms. Zollner said she would have to do some research.

Mr. Kimball said they discussed demolition, but the building has some existing historic fabric and valuable elements.

Commissioner Foster asked if it is worth keeping but not worth honoring.

Commissioner Hernly said it might be worth just adding one addition of the same size as the building.

Bailey said he wants them to retain the building and likes the blue brick. He said he agrees with the staff report, particularly the stepdown to the east and further modifications to the ARC.

**ACTION TAKEN**

Motioned by Commissioner Foster, seconded by Commissioner Arp, to direct staff to perform a review of the project under guidelines for new construction.

Motion failed 2-2.

Motioned by Commissioner Hernly to deny the project based on Design Guidelines Criteria 8.2, 8.9, and 8.10. There was no second motion.

Motioned by Commissioner Bailey, seconded by Commissioner Arp, to approve the project with conditions as recommended in the staff report.

Motion carried 3-1.

**ITEM NO. 13:**        **DR-15-00064 and DR-15-00091** 1612 Louisiana Street; New Accessory Structure and Variances; Certificate of Appropriateness Review. The property is located in the environs of the Ludington Thatcher House (1613 Tennessee). Submitted by Dan Hermreck for Gary Smith and Janet Cinelli, the property owners of record.

**STAFF PRESENTATION**

Ms. Zollner presented the item.

**APPLICANT PRESENTATION**

Mr. Dan Hermreck said the reason for the project is the need for more parking. He briefly discussed the project and offered to answer any questions.

**ACTION TAKEN**

Motioned by Commissioner Arp, seconded by Commissioner Hernly, to approve the project as outlined in the staff report.

Unanimously approved 4-0.

**ITEM NO. 14: MISCELLANEOUS MATTERS**

A. There were no Board of Zoning Appeals applications received since February 19, 2015.

- B. There were no demolition permits received since the February 19, 2015 meeting.
- A. There were no Architectural Review Committee approvals since February 19, 2015.
- C. General public comment.
- D. Miscellaneous matters from City staff and Commission members.
  - 1. 10<sup>th</sup> and New Jersey Intersection Repair Project

Ms. Zollner presented the item. She wants direction as to whether it needs to come to the Full Commission.

Commissioner Foster asked if it will be on asphalt or brick.

Ms. Zollner said there is brick under the asphalt.

They agreed the project could be reviewed administratively if the brick was not damaged.

**ACTION TAKEN**

Motioned by Commissioner Foster, seconded by Commissioner Bailey, to allow staff to administratively review the 10<sup>th</sup> & New Jersey Intersection Repair Project.

Unanimously approved 4-0.

**STAFF COMMENT**

Ms. Zollner also mentioned that the high parapet was built incorrectly at the Carnegie, so the contractors and Parks and Recreation are working to address that and other issues.

**ADJOURN 11:25**