City of Lawrence

CITY COMMISSION

MAYOR
MICHAEL DEVER

COMMISSIONERS
MIKE AMYX
JEREMY FARMER
DR. TERRY RIORDAN
ROBERT J. SCHUMM

DAVID L. CORLISS
CITY MANAGER

City Offices
PO Box 708 66044-0708
www.lawrenceks.org

6 East 6th St
785-832-3000
FAX 785-832-3405

May 21, 2013

The Board of Commissioners of the City of Lawrence met in regular session at 6:35 p.m., in the City Commission Chambers in City Hall with Mayor Dever presiding and members Amyx, Farmer, Riordan and Schumm present.

A. RECOGNITION/PROCLAMATION/PRESENTATION

1. Recognition of Lawrence Sister City, Iniades Greece, delegation.

2. Presentation of the 2013 Lawrence Cultural Arts Commission Community Art Grant Awards.

B. CONSENT AGENDA

It was moved by Schumm, seconded by Amyx, to approve the consent agenda as below. Motion carried unanimously.

1. Received minutes from the Aviation Advisory Board meeting of 04/10/13 and the Sustainability Advisory Board meeting of 04/10/13.

2. Approved claims to 220 vendors in the amount of $1,788,732.71, and payroll from May 5, 2013 – May 18, 2013 in the amount of $1,860,106.57.

3. Approved appointment of Stanley Rasmussen to a Lawrence Douglas County Metropolitan Planning Commission position that expires 05/31/16.

4. Bid and purchase items:

   a) Set bid date of June 18, 2013, for the KLINK, Iowa Street – 29th Street to South City Limits, Project No. PW1301, Bid No. B1337.

   b) Set Bid Date of June 18, 2013 for Bid Number B1335 Projects UT1201 – 2012 to 2015 Electrical Improvements Program and UT1202 - 2012 Mechanical Improvements Program Contract 2.

   c) Authorized the City Manager to execute Change Order No. 1, in the amount of $24,777.55, to the construction contract with Schmidtlein Excavating, Inc., for Project UT0922CS - Airport Area Sanitary Sewer Improvements.

5. Adopted the following ordinances on second and final reading:

a) Ordinance No. 8863, allowing the possession and consumption of alcoholic liquor on certain public rights-of-way at the Arts Center Street Final Friday/Art Tougeau Parade decorating event, the Art Tougeau Parade After Party event, the Tour of Lawrence, and the Bike MS event, in downtown Lawrence at various dates in 2013.

b) Ordinance No. 8865, approving a revised South Area Redevelopment Project Plan for the Ninth and New Hampshire Redevelopment District, authorizing corresponding changes in the redevelopment agreement.

c) Ordinance No. 8866, allowing the possession and consumption of alcohol on the public right-of-way on the 100 block of E 7th Street and the 100 block of E 8th Street during the Lawrence Busker Festival, August 23-25, 2013.

6. Authorized the City Manager to sign a purchase order for a supplemental agreement with Landplan Engineering for professional services, in the amount of $38,900, for Project Number PW1135, 6th and Iowa Street Intersection, Geometric Improvements, Westbound Turn Lane.

C. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT:

David Corliss, City Manager, presented the report.

Schumm said he did see permanent striping going down on a crosswalk the other day. It looked good.

D. REGULAR AGENDA ITEMS:

1. Consider adopting the 2013-2017 Consolidated Plan and 2013 Investment Summary and consider adopting Resolution No. 7024, authorizing the Mayor to execute agreements for the 2013 CDBG and HOME programs and other such documents as may be required to be submitted to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development for such programs.

Commissioner Farmer said that he would recuse himself from the discussion and vote on this item. He left the room at 6:53 p.m.

Dani Dresslar, Community Development Manager, presented the staff report.

Aimee Polson, Community Development Advisory Committee, requested that the Commission approve the recommended allocations.

Amyx said he received emails about the Oread Neighborhood Association. He asked what our follow up procedures were regarding questions and/or concerns from associations.

Dresslar said it was something they could look at and had pulled funding from organizations before. The association in question did not request reimbursement because they did not have a coordinator, but they were working on that.

Amyx said he would like for staff to stay on top of that issue.

Dressler said the CDAC would meet on Thursday and she had prepared a spreadsheet that had everybody's neighborhood reports. In her opinion, it would help if they had some sort of formulated template that each neighborhood would fill out and send a performance report because currently each association did it differently. She said she thought it would help that process to use one template that everyone followed.

Dever asked if staff could get a template together. He said to follow up on Vice Mayor Amyx point, they should probably talk privately at some point about some of the information in those emails and specifically the Oread Neighborhood Association questions that had come up several times. He said they should probably discuss what happened with Oread and until that time, to make sure there was no allocation until they saw that those questions were answered for the citizens that raised concerns.

Dresslar said the money won't be available until August 1st which gave staff time to work with that situation.

Schumm said he and Riordan had not received any emails.

Dever asked if Dresslar wanted to summarize those emails.

Dresslar said there was some concern about the lack of notification for neighborhood meetings so people could make plans to attend. She said she did not know if they held any meetings over the past few months. She said she did receive Oread's performance report and there were a couple of meetings and subcommittee meetings, but she did not know if they had an all membership meeting.

Riordan said there were two different groups in the Oread Neighborhood.

Dressler said correct.

Riordan said part of that report should be the scheduled meetings.

Dressler said yes and how many attended and other neighborhood activities.

Amyx said he assumed that since Oread Neighborhood Association was receiving public funds, they probably had to go through the same procedures and notification that they would on any type of open meetings.

Dressler said yes, everything was listed in their citizen participation document that talks about how many meetings should be held, how that happened and placed a cap on the amount that they could charge for membership. There were very specific rules that neighborhood associations, that were CDBG funded, had to follow.

Riordan asked if a newsletter was required.

Dresslar said yes.

Mayor Dever called for public comment. None was received.

Amyx thanked everyone on the committee for their work. He knew it was no easy process.

Riordan said it was the first time he received this report. He said he had people come through his office every day that benefitted from those programs. It was a wonderful thing the City was able to do. Those people were a very important part of our community.

Moved by Schumm, seconded by Riordan, to adopt the 2013-2017 Consolidated Plan and 2013 Investment Summary and adopt Resolution No. 7024, authorizing the Mayor to execute the agreements for the 2013 CDBG and HOME programs. Motion carried 4-0 with Farmer abstaining.

Farmer returned to the City Commission Chambers at 7:08 p.m.

2. Consider the following items related to the recreation center at Rock Chalk Park, the issuance of debt for the recreation center, and the issuance of debt related to Water and Wastewater Master Plan improvements:

a) Consider awarding bid for the construction of the recreation facility located at Rock Chalk Park to the low bidder, Gene Fritzel Construction, for

<u>$10,500,000, provided the contractor can meet the specifications outlined in the bid process;</u>

b) ***<u>Consider adopting Resolution No. 7009, authorizing main trafficway improvements for streets to be improved as part of the Rock Chalk Park project;</u>***

c) ***<u>Consider adopting Resolution No. 7025, authorizing the sale of Series I and Series II General Obligation Temporary Notes; and</u>***

d) ***<u>Consider adopting Resolution No. 7026, establishing the maximum annual bonding amount for water, sewer, and public improvements, pursuant to Charter Ordinance No. 27, at $38,500,000.</u>***

David Corliss, City Manager, presented the staff report.

Schumm said regarding Resolution 7025, the Rec Center was listed at $25 million.

Corliss said they would only go to the bond market with the $21.6 million.

Amyx said the infrastructure construction contract was held by the endowment association. He asked if plans would be held until they knew exactly what they would be getting.

Corliss said there was public improvement plans for water, sanitary sewer, Rock Chalk Drive, and the extension of George Williams Way north of Rock Chalk Drive. What they didn't have was some additional detail. They did have a site plan for the parking lot that was in good shape. It also showed access drives, the size of those and in the notes language about the materials in other portions of the non-public streets. They did not have a lot of detail on the tennis courts, and the issue of trails. The City wanted trails on both the KU and City property. They wanted to have a committee to lay out the position of the trails but they weren't at that point yet. There was landscaping that had to fit the City's requirements.

Dever said they were missing tennis courts, trails, and full details on parking.

McCullough said they had standards on the depth and type of the materials.

Dever asked if they suggested a certain specification or did it go beyond their general standard specs.

McCullough said it was accepted by the city engineer. Staff was comfortable with the detail that was required.

Dever asked if staff was feeling comfortable based on staff's estimates that were prepared, the numbers that were calculated previously.

Chuck Soules, Public Works Director, said staff had reviewed thoroughly all the infrastructure for George Williams Way and Rock Chalk Drive and thought the estimates were good. There was a little bit of change on the waterline when the estimate was done because they had thought originally it would go all the way around the facility. Staff had a little bit more information on the parking and was in the process of evaluating those numbers.

Dever said the parking lot was going to be fairly substantial to maintain.

Soules said he and the City Engineer reviewed those parking lot specs. They had discussions with the architects and engineers and they came back with a recommendation that exceeded what staff originally requested. He said they anticipated a more durable driving lane for the busses. He said staff was comfortable with the overall parking lot design.

Dever asked about the more durable design exceeding the City's cost estimates.

Soules said staff thought those costs would still fall in the range. He said with the parking lots, staff had requested 6 inches over a 9 inch subgrade, but engineers came back and wanted a 12 inch subgrade. In the bus lanes they wanted 10 inches of concrete over a 9 inch treated subgrade.

Dever said those specifications came within the cost estimates staff generated.

Soules said yes.

Dever said that was a lot of concrete. He said that was what concerned him that when doing more, it costs more and the City was paying for those costs.

Soules said staff felt they were within the estimate. He said the estimate might be a little high.

Amyx said on page 13 of the Development Agreement Section 7.03 Compliance with Applicable Laws, Bliss Sports II shall comply with all of the City's ordinances, rules and procedures in connection with development and construction of the Infrastructure

Improvements. He said all of the infrastructure improvements had to be built to City Codes and specifications.

Corliss said correct.

Amyx said they would approve those plans prior to construction.

Corliss said correct. He said they had approved the plans for the public streets, waterlines, and sewer lines already.

Schumm asked if the endowment association indicated how close they were to executing the documents.

Corliss said the endowment advised that they were close and needed to finalize the management fee.

Dever asked if Corliss could explain the management fee.

Corliss said the management fee recognized that there was a coordination of all those different projects and pursuant to the development agreement there could be a management fee not to exceed 10% which was indicated on 11.01 of the agreement. He said there was a desire to finalize some of those other items and a desire from the City that they wanted to see the project estimates so staff knew what to expect regarding total costs.

Gary Anderson, Gilmore and Bell, said he would reiterate that the amount of the infrastructure payment as outlined in the development agreement, was the actual hard and soft costs and an agreed upon management fee that would be agreed upon by RCP (which was the Endowment Association), Bliss and the City, and the management fee amount was not been agreed to as of yet by the City.

Dever said they had proposed a number and the City had not accepted it.

Anderson said they heard a number for the first time today, but as explained that number was a blended number that included other soft costs and they asked them to separate those costs out.

Dever said the infrastructure improvements were cost plus the management fee.

Corliss said it was infrastructure costs and then the soft costs of the engineering, legal cost, interest carry costs, and their soft costs. He said some of those soft costs were part of the management fee and wanted to see that broken out.

Schumm said tonight they were being asked to do a few things. He asked if they should move forward or would it put them in a precarious position before those agreements were signed.

Corliss said the City Commission would be setting a date for the sale of those debt obligations.

Anderson said correct, which was July 16th.

Corliss said through bond financing and bond counsel work, it took time to get that established. He said they could reduce the amount a few days before the debt issuance and there was no harm to the debt market. If it was pulled an hour before the sale date that wouldn't necessarily look very good. He said the amount could be reduced a couple of weeks ahead of time. He said his point was that the Commission could go ahead adopt those funding resolutions to establish the sale date and could still wait on the bid amount. The Commission could authorize staff to finalize the contracts and understand those documents were not going to be executed until other things were in place. The Commission could direct staff accordingly. There was a little bit of time under their general conditions and they had 30 days from the receipt of the bid or award the bid. It took a while to assemble those documents.

Anderson said their expectation was that before the sale date and hopefully well in advance of the sale date that all of those documents would be finalized and executed by the various parties. They have been operating under the Development Agreement that had not been signed and were operating under good faith. Given where all the parties were and the amount of money involved, their hope was within the next two to three weeks they could wrap all those things up and have the big closing.

Schumm said his point was that the City Commission wasn't doing anything tonight that wasn't irrevocable if things fell apart.

Anderson said correct.

Schumm said the other thing he was interested in was a cap number for the infrastructure. It was time for that number to be committed to the City.

Riordan said he concurred with Schumm. He said the Commission needed that number and did not understand why they didn't have that number yet. He said he would like some report next week on a not to exceed date. As far as the management fee and soft costs, there was $21,594,383 and asked if the management fee or soft cost would be added on to that amount.

Corliss said that number was the project cost. The management fee associated with the infrastructure improvements which was the estimated 8.3 million plus the site preparation costs. He said included in that infrastructure number was the tennis courts. It was to that that there would be addition of soft costs and the management fee and the interest carry costs.

Riordan said the final number would be in addition to this number they had.

Corliss said correct.

Riordan said because those were infrastructure costs, he assumed the City was responsible for the management fee and the soft costs.

Corliss said correct.

Dever said the management fee was only assessed on that portion of the infrastructure, not the building itself.

Corliss said correct.

Dever asked if the management fee would be assessed on the tennis courts and trails too.

Corliss said correct.

Dever said that number had not been arrived at yet.

Corliss said it was not to exceed 10%.

Dever said the soft cost issue still needed to be worked out.

Corliss said they proposed a management fee under 10% and it was not clear to staff as to how much of that was the management fee and how much was an interest carry cost. He said staff wanted that broken out and wanted to know explicitly what both of those were.

Dever asked if staff had a ballpark range and if they didn't, he asked should they be putting some number in that estimate for the purpose of borrowing.

Corliss said staff was comfortable with that number proceeding for borrowing purposes at this time.

Dever said inclusive of the soft costs and management fee.

Corliss said correct.

Schumm said the timeline was a little confusing. He heard the contractor could start building by mid-June, yet the City was issuing the debt in July. Should the Commission consider tying this action tonight, awarding the bid, to the final price of the infrastructure.

Corliss said staff's recommendation would be that they get from the Endowment Association and Bliss Sports their costs for the infrastructure and how they were justified on those amounts.

Schumm said he was of the opinion that they shouldn't start building the project untilt he City had that information in hand.

Corliss said he was trying to say that he didn't think they should execute the construction contract for the rec center until they saw the estimates on the infrastructure. One good thing was that that they were working with the infrastructure contractor on Iowa Street so staff had a good comparison.

Farmer asked if the management fee was a not to exceed 10%.

Corliss said correct.

Farmer said in theory it could be lower.

Corliss said correct.

Farmer said he was happy that King's Construction was doing the infrastructure improvements on Iowa Street and therefore staff had comparable costs. He said he assumed staff would be looking at that and making sure those costs were comparable.

Soules said correct.

Farmer asked if the City would lose anything by essentially approving this debt issuance tonight. He said what if, in two weeks, things were a lot different either way and asked if the City lost anything by approving those documents.

Corliss said he didn't think so because tonight the Commission would be setting the date. If the amount was reduced or didn't proceed with the project, they still needed debt to pay for the architects and site preparation work that the City was obligated to pay under the development agreement. He said separately they had water and sewer projects as well. If in two weeks this didn't proceed, the City still needed the note sale in July and the bond sale in September.

Anderson said he would point out that originally the commission executed the development agreement and there would be a big closing with all the documents. The only actual legal agreement the city had was with the architects. He said people had been operating in good faith, but legally there was no obligation at the moment.

Farmer said with all of this happening in good faith, he asked if any part of that made him nervous.

Anderson said no. The City was only bound by the City's legal obligations, and at the moment the only obligation was with the architect.

Riordan said that the City would be responsible for infrastructure that was agreed upon.

Anderson said all of those obligations that were contained in the development agreement were operating on good faith. The city had not executed the development agreement or the other parties therefore there was no legal obligation under the development agreement currently.

Corliss said if the development agreement was not executed, the City had no responsibility for the site work that had already been done. The development agreement indicated that if the City did not accept the bids for the project, then the City was responsible for that site preparation costs.

Amyx said in a city manager’s report, an audit procedure for infrastructure was discussed. He asked Corliss to explain that procedure again and how that worked.

Corliss said it was outlined in the development agreement and Soules drafted a memo that indicated as the City received requests for payment for the various infrastructure items, they were going to be comparing those with the City inspection notes and review from the public improvement plans and also would be able to review those versus the costs that were submitted for infrastructure on comparable projects. Soules listed the Farmland infrastructure where there was street, water and sewer work, the Iowa Project, and the Wakarusa reconstruction at Bob Billings Parkway. He said staff would be comparing those quantities and costs to see if those costs were reasonable. He said staff would have the ability to look at all of the documents that the Endowment Association received for those costs, look at the calculations for the soft costs as well and then the management fee. At that point, staff would make a recommendation to pay it or not.

Schumm said their deal on the infrastructures was with the Endowment Association.

Corliss said yes, with RCP, which was a one purpose entity controlled by the Endowment Association for this project.

Mayor Dever called for public comment. None was received.

Dever said they had a lot of items to consider under this agenda item. He wanted to make sure everyone understand that under c. and d. there were other improvements.

Corliss said that was set out in the memo from Ed Mullins, Finance Director, in the agenda materials.

Dever said clearly there were important infrastructure improvements in addition to Rock Chalk Park. They were spending approximately 36 million on just long term improvements to the infrastructure and not related to Rock Chalk Park. He said regarding the consideration of awarding the bid for the construction of the Rock Chalk Park athletic facility for the City, he asked if anyone had any comments and thought they should vote on those items separately.

Schumm said the Commission should approve the low bid, which was fantastic. The City was receiving a big discount. With that in mind, he said he wanted to have the infrastructure numbers finalized and the Commission needed to be comfortable with the number. He was in favor of approving the low bid with the condition that they received an estimate that was reasonable. Again, he suggested approving the low bid with the condition that the contract would not be signed until they had a firm grasp of the numbers, and the Commission determined those numbers were equitable for the City.

Dever said when Schumm said “equitable”, he asked if Schumm meant the City’s estimate and did Schumm believe that number should be adhered to.

Schumm said yes. He said they needed to make sure the management fee did not have an overlay where the developer was being paid twice in some aspects of the project. He said he wanted to see a good solid outline of how it would be and a statement of not-to-exceed.

Dever asked if Schumm had any problem with moving forward with that in limbo.

Schumm said the contract wouldn’t be signed until the information was made available. He asked if he was correct in his understanding.

Corliss said that was correct. They also had time if it was the Commission’s desire to wait two weeks.

Schumm said if they had the note directing staff not to proceed if they didn't receive the number then it would not go anywhere, but he did not see where it was wrong to move ahead, because they could wait and at a later date if it fell apart, if fell apart.

Corliss said it would be his preference to proceed with contract negotiation, and have it on a future agenda to sign the contract after the infrastructure estimates were received and approved.

Riordan said he assumed two weeks would be a reasonable amount of time for the developer to provide those numbers. He said in dealing with contractors when given an ultimatum they usually come through if they were going to lose money. He said to protect the citizens of Lawrence and to make sure this project was done correctly, he agreed with Schumm that final figures were needed. He said Commission could proceed to award the bid, but not sign the contract until they had the final figures in two weeks.

Farmer said if the Commission awarded the bid but didn't sign the contract, was that standard?

Corliss said his preference would be to direct staff to negotiate the final contract but not sign it until the infrastructure costs were finalized, reviewed and approved.

Amyx said it had been basically the same thing since day 1. It had always been a question of which projects should go first. The Commission should have an accounting of all the documents, including the development agreement, before signing the construction contract. He said he believed there were other projects that were more important that they should proceed with first. He said he wanted to support the water/wastewater portions of the agenda item.

Corliss said the Series I notes were the Water/Wastewater and Series II notes were the Rock Chalk Park items. Obviously, 2a related to the bid and 2b related to the street improvements and would be items related to Rock Chalk Park. He said Resolution Numbers 7025 and 7026, the Commission could vote against those resolutions or ask that the Series II items be deleted. He said the Resolution shows both, but could ask that those be separated out.

Dever said this had been a long and interesting process for everyone involved. He said he and Amyx disagreed with the timing. He said this project had been talked about, in some iteration, for almost 20 years. He said he felt that project had waited in line and had been thought of, discussed, debated, and squashed before. He said Schumm pointed out the costs the City received showed the amount of work that had gone into the engineering, design and facilitation of an appropriate structure and one they could be proud of. He said he was happy to move forward with approving the bid contingent upon receipt of all estimates regarding the infrastructure and total costs so that the community and Commissioners could weigh in on the total cost of the project.

Farmer said the Commission's vote should reflect that. He said he had heard a lot less dissension in the community about this project on the basis that they were going to get a building worth 33 million dollars for potentially 20 million, if the infrastructure came in a lot less. He said the community would benefit for generations regarding this project and he was glad to be part of that.

Dever said that was a good point and mentioned the relationship the City would have with the University of Kansas would be solidified as well. He said when looking at the number of dollars the University of Kansas was investing in that same parcel of land, it was a partnership. He said this was about working for the community, with the community, and with the University of Kansas and their student athletes as well as the opportunity to have a new amenity in this community that would generate significant interest.

Moved by Schumm, seconded by Riordan, to award the bid for the construction of the recreation facility located at Rock Chalk Park to the low bidder, Gene Fritzel Construction, for $10,500,000, provided the contractor can meet the specifications outlined in the bid process; to direct the City Manager to prepare the construction contract with Gene Fritzel Construction, and bring it back in two weeks for City Commission authorization to sign the contract, with the condition that we are not going to sign the contract until we have a finalized estimate with a "not to exceed" cap on infrastructure costs. Motion carried 4-1 with Amyx dissenting.

Moved by Schumm, seconded by Farmer to adopt Resolution No. 7009, authorizing main trafficway improvements for streets to be improved as part of the Rock Chalk Park project. Motion carried 4-1 with Amyx dissenting.

Moved by Schumm, seconded by Amyx to adopt Resolution No. 7025-1 authorizing the sale of Series 2013-I General Obligation Temporary Notes. Motion carried unanimously.

Moved by Schumm, seconded by Riordan, to adopt Resolution No. 7025-2 authorizing the sale of Series 2013-II General Obligation Temporary Notes. Motion carried 4-1 with Amyx dissenting.

Moved by Riordan, seconded by Schumm, to adopt Resolution No. 7026, establishing the maximum annual bonding amount for water, sewer, and public improvements, pursuant to Charter Ordinance No. 27, at $38,500,000. Motion carried 4-1 with Amyx dissenting.

Amyx said for the record that his vote on Resolution No. 7026 was not a vote against the water and sewer improvements throughout the community, only the Rock Chalk Park portions.

E. PUBLIC COMMENT:

Ted Boyle said there was a problem on Lincoln Street and through a petition they tried to get some stops signs or speed humps. He said David Woosley, Traffic Engineer, performed a traffic study, but he felt the study wasn't long enough. The street was narrow, the blocks were long, and lots of children played in that area. The Traffic Safety Commission flatly denied their request and indicated that stop signs were not traffic control because people stop then accelerate quicker to get away from it. He said he talked to Farmer about getting a four way stop at 5th and Lincoln. A few years ago all streets were repaired in North Lawrence, and on 9th between Locust and Walnut for some reason there were 3 traffic calming devices installed. The only thing out there were 4 houses and a corn field. The residents never received any notification that those devices would be placed at that location, yet they couldn't have stop signs or speed humps on Lincoln. He said the residents would settle for a four way stop at 5th and Lincoln.

Farmer said he read through the TSC deliberation in which there were only 3 comments. One Commissioner said they were surprised the vehicle count was a low as it was. The second Commissioner stated the stop sign strategy was not a good idea and the stop signs tended to cause the speed of traffic to increase. The third Commissioner stated that since there were other traffic issues within the neighborhood what he would like to see was that the neighborhood develop an overall plan to put the traffic where they wanted on collector streets. He said he understood the sentiment of those 3 commissioners, but he observed how quickly cars went down that road. He said he thought something should be done and didn't want to wait until something bad happened. He said he would move to send this item TSC again with direction to take another look with a longer traffic count, and come back with another recommendation to us.

Boyle said there were also no sidewalks so everyone had to walk in the streets.

Riordan asked if there was a way to determine speed on that street also.

Soules said their counters measured speeds and count vehicles.

Riordan asked what time of the year that study was done.

Farmer said the study was done November 2011.

Amyx asked if Farmer wanted TSC to look at something specific.

Schumm suggested that staff bring back a review of the area and then they could send something back to TSC with some direction.

Farmer said based on what he observed, he would not be in favor of doing nothing again. He asked what Boyle's preference would be for that road.

Boyle said there preference would be speed humps, but a stop sign would do for now.

Farmer said he would like to ask staff to look at Lincoln from 3rd to 7th for the feasibility of stop signs or speed humps and bring a report back to the City Commission. Motion carried unanimously.

F. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:

David Corliss, City Manager, outlined potential future agenda items.

G: COMMISSION ITEMS:

Riordan said the people concerned with unmanned drones would like to come make public comment on the 28th for about 10 minutes.

Schumm said he was very concerned with the bar where the shootings were this weekend. We had the ABC pull the license and now they still had the same problems. He was very concerned about the City's police force. The Commission should move as hard as possible to pull that license as well. He said he was concerned that the establishments roll over and the problems won't get fixed. He suggested coming up with a local ordinance where if a license was revoked there was a down time when a license couldn't be pulled at the same location.

Dever asked if there was any official action the city had taken to assist Moore, Oklahoma.

Corliss said he hadn't heard any general requests. City staff, particularly executive staff, had assisted in relieving staff in other locations where there had been disasters.

Farmer said he would like to see, maybe in our development code, provisions for safe rooms or other ways to make our school buildings safer. He didn't know the right way to process that, but he would like to do something.

Dever said he was sure McCullough would be happy to report back on what options they might have. He said if there was anything they could do to help Moore, OK, he would like for the city to do so.

Corliss said he was friends with a manager in one of the other suburbs in the area and he would call them to see if they were aware of any needs.

H: CALENDAR:

David Corliss, City Manager, reviewed calendar items

I: CURRENT VACANCIES – BOARDS/COMMISSIONS:

Existing and upcoming vacancies on City of Lawrence Boards and Commissions were listed on the agenda.

Moved by Schumm, seconded by Farmer, to adjourn at 8:27 p.m. Motion carried unanimously.

MINUTES APPROVED BY THE CITY COMMISSION ON JUNE 4, 2013.

Jonathan M. Douglass, City Clerk