Bobbie Walthall

To: Scott McCullough

Subject: RE: Inverness Park District Plan

From: Jamie Hulse [mailto:jamiehulse@att.net] Sent: Tuesday, January 17, 2012 7:51 AM

To: 'Aron Cromwell'; 'Bob Schumm'; 'Michael Dever'; 'Hugh Carter'; mikeamyx515@hotmail.com

Cc: Dan Warner; Scott McCullough **Subject:** Inverness Park District Plan

Dear City Commissioners,

Thank you for discussing the IPDP further tonight. I support amending the IPDP to reflect the city commission vote against increasing density for 4100 W. 24th Place.

Sincerely,

Jamie Hulse 4403 Gretchen Ct. Lawrence KS 66047 LAW OFFICES

BARBER EMERSON, L.C.

POST OFFICE BOX 667

LAWRENCE, KANSAS 66044

(785) 843-6600 FACSIMILE (785) 843-8405 LINDA K. GUTIERREZ CATHERINE C. THEISEN MATTHEW B. TODD EDWARD H. TULLY*

RICHARD A. BARBER

GLEE S. SMITH, JR.
OF COUNSEL

BYRON E. SPRINGER RICHARD L. ZINN CALVIN J. KARLIN JANE M. ELDREDGE MARK A. ANDERSEN* TERRENCE J. CAMPBELL* MATTHEW S. GOUGH*

JOHN A. EMERSON

*ADMITTED IN KANSAS AND MISSOURI

Matthew S. Gough
Email: mgough@barberemerson.com

January 16, 2012

VIA E-MAIL ONLY

Mayor Aron E. Cromwell City Hall PO Box 708 Lawrence, KS 66044

Re: Reconsideration of Inverness Park District Plan (the "Plan")

Dear Mayor Cromwell:

I am writing on behalf of Remington Square, L.C. (the "Company"). On December 13, 2011, the City Commission denied the Company's request to rezone the 15-acre Remington Square parcel (the "Property"), which would have enabled the construction of additional one-bedroom apartments. The Commission now desires to amend the Plan, presumably to eliminate multi-family as a potential future use of the Property. Presently, five acres of the Property remain undeveloped (the "Vacant Property"). The Vacant Property is an ideal future site for a church, office building, or possibly even a commercial use.

The Vacant Property is an in-fill parcel adjacent to a major arterial roadway, and still has the ability to make a meaningful contribution to the City's tax base. Notwithstanding the City Commission's opposition to additional multi-family, it would be a mistake and an exercise in poor planning not to consider the suitability of the Vacant Property for *other* types of development. Consequently, the amended Plan should: (1) identify acceptable uses of the Vacant Property; and (2) allow for the subdivision and rezoning of the Property in connection with the permitted development of the Vacant Property, to the extent that the rezoning does not authorize additional multi-family units.

Very truly yours,

BARBER EMERSON, L.C.

Matthew J. Horgh

Matthew S. Gough

Mayor Aron E. Cromwell January 16, 2012 Page 2

MSG:plh

cc: Vice-Mayor Bob Schumm (via e-mail only)

Commissioner Michael Dever (via e-mail only) Commissioner Mike Amyx (via e-mail only) Commissioner Hugh Carter (via e-mail only) City Manager David Corliss (via e-mail only)

Planning Director Scott McCullough (via e-mail only)

City Attorney Randy Larkin (via e-mail only) City Planner Dan Warner(via e-mail only)