



# City of Lawrence

DAVID L. CORLISS  
CITY MANAGER

City Offices  
PO Box 708 66044-0708  
www.lawrenceks.org

6 East 6<sup>th</sup> St  
785-832-3000  
FAX 785-832-3405

## CITY COMMISSION

**MAYOR**  
ARON E. CROMWELL

**COMMISSIONERS**  
ROBERT J. SCHUMM  
MICHAEL DEVER  
HUGH CARTER  
MIKE AMYX

August 9, 2011

The Board of Commissioners of the City of Lawrence met in regular session at 6:35 p.m., in the City Commission Chambers in City Hall with Mayor Cromwell presiding and members Amyx, Carter, Dever and Schumm present.

## **A. RECOGNITION/PROCLAMATION/PRESENTATION**

1. None.

## **B. CONSENT AGENDA**

**It was moved by Amyx, seconded by Schumm** to approve the consent agenda as below. Motion carried unanimously.

1. Approved City Commission meeting minutes from 07/19/11, 07/26/11 and 08/02/11.
2. Received minutes from various boards and commissions:  
  
Solid Waste Task Force meetings of 04/07/11, 04/20/11, 05/04/11, 06/08/11, 06/15/11, and 06/30/11.
3. Approved claims to 290 vendors in the amount of \$1,483,456.51.
4. Approved licenses as recommended by the City Clerk's Office.

Drinking Establishment licenses for Ingredient, 947 Massachusetts, Zen Zero, 811 Massachusetts, The Wheel, 507 W. 14<sup>th</sup> St., Tres Mexicanos, 1800 E. 23<sup>rd</sup> Ste: H, Kobe Japanese Steakhouse, 2907 W. 6<sup>th</sup> and Harbour Lights, 1031 Massachusetts; Retail Liquor Licenses for University Liquor, 3300 Bob Billings Pkwy B3 and Spirit Liquor, 600 Lawrence Avenue Ste: A.



5. Approved appointments as recommended by the Mayor.  
  
Sister Cities Advisory Board: Appointed Stacey VanHouten (785.843.8515) to a position that expires 12/31/12.  
  
Sustainability Advisory Board: Appointed Dale Nimz (785.856.1299) to a position that expires 12/31/12.
6. Bid and purchase items:
  - a) Set a bid opening date of September 6, 2011 for Bid Number B1139 2011 Electrical Preventive Maintenance.
  - b) Awarded bid for 44 ballistic vests for the Police Department to Simmons Law Enforcement for \$26,400.
  - c) Waived staff estimate and awarded the bid for the Comprehensive Rehabilitation project at 2209 Princeton Boulevard to NB Remodeling, LLC for \$24,435.
7. Adopted the following ordinance(s) on second and final reading:
  - a) Ordinance No. 8650, adopting and appropriating by fund the 2012 City of Lawrence budget.
  - b) Ordinance No. 8651, attesting to the increase in property taxes levied for 2012.
  - c) Ordinance No. 8643, establishing municipal court fees.
  - d) Ordinance No. 8644, establishing solid waste service rates for 2012.
  - e) Ordinance No. 8648, establishing water and sanitary sewer service rates, effective November 15, 2011.
8. Received quarterly report regarding the status of fundraising and construction progress for the Lawrence Community Shelter's new permanent facility.

9. Authorized the City Manager to enter into a contract with Stampede Mailing Services for outsourced mailing services.
10. Authorized the Mayor to sign a letter of support concerning the Efficiency Kansas program.
11. Authorized the Mayor to sign a Release of Mortgage for Diane White, 1415 W. 2<sup>nd</sup> Terrace and two Releases of Mortgage for Henry Humphrey, 1724 Indiana.
12. Authorized Staff to advertise a Request for Proposals RFP R1107 for Engineering Services for Project UT1102KA Kaw Water Treatment Plant Raw Water Intake.

**C. CITY MANAGER'S REPORT:**

David Corliss, City Manager, presented the City Manager's Report.

Cromwell said he had received a request for advance notice regarding street sweeping so that people would be able to move cars off the street before the sweepers came. He asked if that was possible.

Chuck Soules, Public Works Director, said maybe in the future once we have GPS units installed on the sweepers. We don't want to ask people to move vehicles and then the sweepers not get there on time.

Corliss said we were also working on the ability to notify residents along priority 2 snow plowing streets to ask them to voluntarily move vehicles in advance of the plows' arrival.

**D. REGULAR AGENDA ITEMS:**

1. **Consider adopting on second and final reading, Ordinance No. 8647, establishing system development charges for water utility and wastewater utility connections for 2012, effective January 1, 2012. Receive staff report responding to commissioner questions regarding consequences associated with deferring recommended adjustments.**

David Corliss, City Manager, presented the staff report.

Mayor Cromwell called for public comment.

Bobbie Flory, Lawrence Homebuilders Association, said one of the things she had come to understand was that the city used two methods, equity and incremental, to look at the needed revenues. This made it difficult to understand what projects were growth-related. The amount of money generated by system development charges was used to finance improvements that were not necessarily growth-related. She said new meters paid 6% of the costs of the capital improvement plan and that seemed like more than what could be attributed to growth. She expressed concern about transfers out of the utility department fund. She said they would like to know specifically where those funds were going. She said we were in a down economy and the building community was struggling, and she was concerned about raising the cost of home building.

Luke Bell, Lawrence Board of Realtors, said he had similar concerns. The proposed charges are a substantial increase considering the current economic conditions. Increasing rates during a time of record low permit issuance did not make economic sense. He said they were not asking that system development charges go away, but that the status quo be maintained and the charges remain the same. He said regarding next year's budget process, that it would be helpful if the system development charges be considered earlier in the budget process so there is more time to study them. He said he also supported a policy regarding transfers out of the utility fund.

Carter asked if we had an accounting of the transfers even in the absence of the policy.

Corliss said absolutely. Staff was looking at ways to quantify the costs of the utility to other city functions including street maintenance. We were looking at a 2% increase over last year.

Amyx asked how long it took to implement the fee changes.

Corliss said the ordinances needed to be adopted soon enough that customers could know about it. You could do it at anytime, there is no prohibition against doing it whenever the commission desired.

Amyx asked if the way it was written now it would be January 1, 2012 effective date.

Corliss said yes.

Carter said it was still his thought that given the amount of the revenues it was more of a perception issue. Given the pace of permits this year it seemed like it could wait. It was also a philosophical issue of not only growth but maintenance. What we are putting on a new house's additional cost, looking at the cost of that new hookup versus the cost of maintaining everything around town, the cost to providing service to existing customers was really increasing. He said he leaned toward recapturing that cost through rates. He said he was still open to increases in the SDCs, just not this year.

Amyx said this was something we don't have to do right now. We can do this at any time if we see the need later. He didn't want to see us do anything to forestall the housing market. This would be a tough time to go down this road.

Cromwell asked if pushing this back would push planning for the new sewage treatment plant back.

Corliss said we would spend a lot of time with the master plan in the fall. If we did not push out treatment capacity above 100K people we needed to talk about how we would grow. Growth was not happening at the rate it had in the past and we would have to look at how to finance the facility if the commission decides to move forward with it. One of the reasons the increase was so large this year was that we had not increased it enough in the past to keep up with the model. This ordinance would provide some resources for the project, but obviously not all of the resources. We need to have infrastructure in place to handle growth in the future because these types of projects took time to be completed.

Dever asked when we calculate the revenue generated by usage charges, are we calculating including the anticipated new homes added to the system.

Corliss said we look at past growth experience and consumption as we develop those numbers.

Dever asked if our estimates were conservative.

Corliss said we looked at a multiyear average of growth and consumption. People are using less water per capita. We are also getting some growth. There have been times when we have had to adjust expenditures to meet revenues, but we have been able to come close. We have been pretty accurate on consumption estimates.

Dever said it has been a while since these charges were raised last.

Corliss said 2008 for the 2009 budget. The 2010 and 2011 budgets did not increase system development charges.

Carter said holding off this \$100,000 this year wouldn't hold us back from doing what we need to do. This wouldn't put in jeopardy any future growth.

Dever said if we were going to hold off on raising these charges we need to commit to coming up with a more aggressive plan to use these charges to handle projects in the future. Knowing that rates could go up later could also stimulate some developers to take on projects now rather than wait. If we forego an increase this year we need to pursue a plan to forecast increases in the future, so we can get some benefit from not raising the rates now.

Cromwell said part of the issue was a perception issue and no one could know what the perception would be next year. The rates would need to go up in order to facilitate projects needed for growth in the future. We were running slow on development so waiting a year might not be detrimental. Having a plan for the future was good logic also. There was potential that we were backing up on when we could start the wastewater treatment plant but we didn't have that data yet.

Amyx said we could use the information in the new master plan to help forecast those rates. We could do a better job at looking at projects necessitated by growth.

Cromwell said it seemed a consensus was to forego an increase this year until we have more data in place and a firm plan.

**Moved by Carter, seconded by Amyx**, to deny on second and final reading, Ordinance No. 8647, establishing system development charges for water utility and wastewater utility connections for 2012, effective January 1, 2012. Motion carried unanimously.

**2. Conduct a public hearing regarding distance limitation waiver requests for alcohol sales at the Kansas State Fiddling and Picking Championship to be held in South Park on August 28, 2011, and the Ballard B3 event to be held in South Park on September 24, 2011.**

Jonathan Douglass, Assistant to the City Manager/City Clerk, presented the staff report.

Mayor Cromwell opened the public hearing.

Jim Jeans, Fiddling and Picking contest, said he was available for questions.

**Moved by Schumm, seconded by Amyx** to close the public hearing. Motion carried unanimously.

**Moved by Amyx, seconded by Dever**, to find that the proximity of the temporary sale of alcoholic liquor for the events is not adverse to the public welfare or safety, and to grant distance limitation waivers; and to adopt on first reading, Ordinance No. 8652, allowing the possession and consumption of alcoholic liquor on Massachusetts Street from North Park Street to South Park Street on Sunday, August 28, 2011, from 11:30 a.m. – 7:30 p.m. Motion carried unanimously.

**3. Consider initiating a text amendment to the 8<sup>th</sup> and Pennsylvania Urban Conservation Overlay District, including the Design Guidelines 8<sup>th</sup> and Penn Neighborhood Redevelopment Zone, in order to accommodate a residential proposal for property located at 619 E. 8<sup>th</sup> Street that exceeds the density limit currently noted in the guidelines.**

Mayor Cromwell recused himself from the discussion on this item because he had worked with the applicant on a previous project and may bid on this one as well and he felt that he had a conflict of interest. He left the room at 7:18 p.m.

Scott McCullough, Director, presented the staff report.

Corliss said this was an exciting project to see redevelopment in the area. He said there was money in the capital budget for the parking lot on Delaware Street. The development agreement would be finalized and the land use approvals would be processed. This project would get the building back in good shape.

Tony Krsnich said a nice article came out in the Journal World a couple weeks ago. He thought the project was important and he wanted everyone to remember that nothing is perfect and you can't let the great get in the way of the good. The project would create 150 jobs. The tax credits would go somewhere and they might as well come to us in the City of Lawrence.

Vice Mayor Schumm called for public comment. None was received.

Amyx said it was a great project and he appreciated the investment in Lawrence. He was glad to see someone pick up this district and want to develop it.

Dever and Carter said they agreed.

Schumm said the project would strengthen the neighborhood and preserve a historical building.

**Moved by Amyx, seconded by Dever,** to initiate text amendment. Motion carried 4-0 with Mayor Cromwell abstaining.

Mayor Cromwell returned to the room at 7:30 p.m.

**4. Discuss Comprehensive Plan Amendment, CPA-6-5-09, to Horizon 2020 – Chapter 14 to include the Northeast Sector Plan.**

Dan Warner, Planner, presented the staff report.

Mayor Cromwell called for public comment.

Ted Boyle, North Lawrence Improvement Association, said he wanted to consider the reason for the lack of development in the area. He said it was stormwater. Water always runs downtown and North Lawrence was downhill from any development that might occur. He said that in the early 1990s North Lawrence had a housing boom. That sucked up the natural



stormwater drainage system. The water got deeper and deeper during rains because of the addition of rooftops and impervious surface. He heard from each development that there would be no negative development, but now we are working on a five million dollar pump station to take water out of North Lawrence that was caused by development. They had been waiting 20 years for that pump. The city has purchased three properties for the project. That was money spent as a direct result of stormwater runoff. That runoff in 1993 came from the airport and as far north as the quarry. Unless the city or county goes out and spends 25-30 million dollars before development is started there would be more flooding problems. A good thing that came from this plan development was that there are Type 1 and 2 soils in North Lawrence that should be protected and preserved. We thought that option 3 should be considered, but all the Planning Commission wanted to talk about was defining ag-related business. We needed to go back to the original option 3.

Hank Booth, Lawrence Chamber of Commerce, asked how the vote goes from here, since the county sent it back to the Planning Commission, and whether it would go back to the Planning Commission regardless of the city commission's vote tonight.

Corliss said yes.

Booth said he did not agree that there was no compromise in this. One of the participants that wanted to keep the land in the purview of the owners felt that they didn't like the plan but they were okay with its passage because it was a compromise that had been reached over time. He said that the flood issue must be addressed over a long term plan. We have more work that needs to be done on flood control in North Lawrence. He said that keeping our eye on the NE Sector as a limited development area was the way to get the money flowing into the area for the development of a more complete and safe flood control program.

Pat Ross said he farmed several farms in Grant Township. This process of planning for the NE sector had gone on a long time. His family and other property owners felt that the plan

originally passed by the Planning Commission but then voted down by the County was a good plan. He hoped that plan could be passed.

Charles NovoGradac displayed a map of the area. He showed his property and said he had developed it as a nut tree orchard. He was concerned that the incremental development had created an increased risk of damage from storm water flooding. He said the new floodplain map showed the floodplain expanding to the point where it now touches his property where it had previously been hundreds of feet away. Development in the area was adverse to the farmers in the area due to the demand on drainage. He said when you had floodplain, property owners brought in truck loads of soil to raise their buildings, but farmers couldn't do that. The new dollar store raised the ground 10-12 feet. The rest of North Lawrence became a drainage basin for that property. He said capability one soils were the soils found in the bottomland which were significantly better than capability two soils. You must respect capability one soils for their water holding capacity.

Roger Pine said he represented Pine Family Investments and Pine Family Farms. He said before Charles put this orchard out there he had farmed it. Prior to that the only time it had flooded was 1951. He was here to talk about the fact that the County Commissioners did not approve the plan that had been made under considerable compromise. He said he was disappointed that that plan wasn't good enough for all of the county commissioners. He said the Planning Commission's responsibility was to look at land use and not cost. If you looked at the resolution by the county costs were mentioned multiple times. That was not necessarily what should be talked about. He pointed out that out of all the sector plans approved, this one went into much more detail. In this particular case we were trying to make decisions on things that wouldn't take place for many years, and we were looking at all of the negatives and none of the positives. Part of the infrastructure problems were resolved by the water and sewer line projects of the city to the airport. Owners representing 70% of the area were in support of the

compromise and he hoped that would have some influence. If we do develop any of the land out there not all of the water would go to North Lawrence, some of it diverts to the east.

Chuck Marsh said he asked that this be sent back to the Planning Commission and challenging the rationale of the airport industrial district, because 100% of that land was Class I soils. Proposing that as industrial conflicted with other city policies and plans. Protection of high quality agricultural land was a key value in Chapter 16 of Horizon 2020 and other plans. The forthcoming report of the Peak Oil Task Force had a recommendation to discourage urban and suburban development on high quality soils.

Barbara Clark, Citizens for Responsible Planning, said it was important to take the plan in the context of all of Douglas County. It is evident that the area in question was the largest deposit of contiguous Class I and II soils. Of the 11 indicated areas for proposed industrial areas, there was only one area, the airport site, that was comprised entirely of Class I and II soils. Why would we opt to develop where the soils were entirely Class I and II? There may also be FAA restrictions on development. Another pitfall was that the proposed area for development was in the FAA wildlife mitigation area.

Kirsten Bosnak said as part of her job she managed the KU medicinal garden near the airport. She said she wanted to appeal to our sense of the education potential and imagination of things that couldn't be done elsewhere in the county. The garden was only in it's second year but we have had many tours. At the latest tour there were 85 people. We should think about what we might do that would limit educational opportunities in the future.

Debbie Milks said that we had been told that these plans were not zoning maps, but that expectations were created and where would the lines be set in the future as development occurs. At some point you would reach a tipping point and we didn't know what that is yet. It didn't seem there had been any particular mitigation of the downhill flowing water in the last 15 years.

Carter asked about the new flood plain map. He said a lot of people had been affected by that map all over Lawrence. All through Lawrence that floodplain changed significantly.

McCullough said development might be one issue but there were different factors. We could get information for the commission.

Carter said he wanted to confirm that the map also changed in areas not affected by development.

Amyx said the County Commission asked for specific questions to be considered by the Planning Commission. Regarding the infrastructure costs, is that something the planning commission would generally look at?

McCullough said when accompanied by a specific request for public assistance, we usually advise the planning commission to focus on the land use issues and separate that from other requests.

Amyx said he wanted to make sure they had a responsibility to consider the costs to the city. He asked if the county had voted anything down.

McCullough said they sent it back, but did not take a negative vote.

Amyx asked whether Marsh talked about the airport or land adjacent to the airport.

Marsh said the land adjacent to the airport.

Carter said he was on the planning commission through the consideration of this plan. Looking at the notes from the county commission, a couple things jumped out. The topic of Class I and II soils has already been considered. He said that Marsh had said this conflicts with Chapter 16, but he would point out that that is exactly what came out of this plan, that there was a confluence of factors that all screamed industrial. Only 200 acres out of 10,000 was designated industrial. The Planning Commission considered Class I and II soils already. Related to infrastructure costs, the city and county commissions wore different hats than the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission was to look at land use. He said regarding flooding, that it was a legitimate concern. The fear that the opposition to the plan had was that the

commission would not consider the flooding issue at the time a development was proposed, and he didn't think that was true. Regarding costs it was impossible to say what should or shouldn't go forward because we didn't know who would be involved years from now. He thought city staff should look at infrastructure costs, not the Planning Commission.

Cromwell asked whether this had to go back to Planning Commission.

Corliss said yes, the city and county had to agree on substantially the same language. The county had indicated they wanted the planning commission to look at the language.

Carter said he favored sending it forward and having a study session with the County Commission.

Corliss said the purpose of receiving it today was to receive public comment, review the county commission comments and the planning commission recommendation, and get city commission comments as well since it is going back to the Planning Commission. It made sense to get the views of both bodies before the Planning Commission considers it again. It was appropriate for the Planning Commission to look at infrastructure costs. They had a role to consider an improvement plan, but ultimately it was up the City Commission to decide how much consideration the Planning Commission should give to infrastructure costs and land use considerations. It wasn't necessarily a very tidy division but it usually worked out. The drainage study had been suggested by the Planning Commission.

Amyx said we were in an adoption phase of the plan. The plan adopted by the Planning Commission was before us tonight. The plan would come back to the City and County commissions after the Planning Commission. We were down to looking where the industrial property would go and whether we would define agribusiness. We need a specific answer to that question – what is agribusiness? He said he didn't know of anything else he wanted answered at this time. Could there possibly be a brand new plan that would come back to us?

McCullough said he didn't think so. The PC has options which would be lined out to them for acting on the item.

Cromwell said we didn't currently have a definition of agribusiness.

McCullough said they started down that path, but the Planning Commission chose not to accept any of the proposed definitions and instead settled on the general statement from Chapter 7.

Amyx asked if that was the compromise language from the 5-4 vote.

McCullough said that was what was sent to the City and County Commissions. Most stakeholders said we need to define that so expectations could be clear. At the end of the day that might be a criticism, that it still wasn't entirely clear.

Carter said the reason the language came up as it did was because it could get a positive vote. By the time development comes up we may not even know what kind of agribusiness could exist at that time. This plan had extensive public comment and was as well planned out as possible for a sector plan. He thought we should move forward. He didn't have any direction to give to the planning commission.

Schumm said the comments tonight helped round out the discussion. Not surprisingly, he said, he had made strong statements against developing Class I and II soils. He said he was conflicted over this because this particular area around the airport had the most and highest quality soils. The far west area around K10 on the turnpike and the farmland property would appeal to the same type of industrial users. If we had requests for industrial development at those locations where the soil was not as high quality he was concerned about industrial development here. Flooding was a serious issue and the people of North Lawrence needed to know how we were going to address it. He said we had been down the road of Class I and II soils before and we needed to honor our commitments on that.

Dever said this was a strange juxtaposition of procedures since it was going back to the Planning Commission anyway. Development could mean something as reasonable as a higher level of agribusiness. We needed to consider all areas of the community. Some of the industrial

areas that we had tried to identify were still in flux due to lawsuits and other issues. It was important to keep the ball rolling so we knew what this area of our community would look like.

Cromwell said he also had concerns about the loss of Class I and II soils. He said he was in favor of having the questions made by the County Commission answered. After the Planning Commission has their say he thought the city and county should have a study session.

Amyx asked if under the county resolution, under item 4, he didn't find any comments in the minutes related to that. Did they have a question about future uses?

McCullough said the issue was to understand the ability of the airport to serve industrial uses outside of the airport. If the airport were improved to accept larger aircraft it could support additional uses near the airport.

Amyx said it didn't have to do with the uses and intensities on the airport.

McCullough said no.

Cromwell said other than the items from the county and the definition of soil conserving agribusiness, he didn't have other items for the Planning Commission to consider. That was his recommendation moving forward, as well as setting up a joint study session with the county.

Schumm said the amount of land zoned industrial should be looked at.

McCullough said there was a smaller amount of land for a specific rezoning request than the land designated in the current plans. The rezoning was for less property than made it into Chapter 7.

Corliss said he didn't think there was a need for a resolution from the City Commission.

**Moved by Schumm, seconded by Amyx,** to refer the plan to the Planning Commission.  
Motion carried unanimously.

The commission recessed for a ten minute break at 8:55 p.m.

The commission returned to regular session at 9:05 p.m.

5. **Receive status report on possible options to retain the SRS office in Lawrence.**

David Corliss, City Manager, presented the staff report.

Schumm asked if the legislature decides to fund these offices, will we get our money back that has been paid in advance, and when do we make the payments.

Corliss said we don't know that yet. If there was an overlap between what we pay and what the state covers, we would ask for our money back.

Schumm said he would be interested in knowing that.

Corliss said he wished we had a more comprehensive report but we hadn't had time.

Mayor Cromwell called for public comment.

Ericka Dvorske, United Way, said this issue had dramatic implications for our local economy. 7800 people in the community received \$11,000,000 in food stamp assistance last year. If the predicted 20% drop in enrollment fell due to the lack of a local office, dramatic amounts of money that would have been spent in Lawrence will not be.

Schumm said that he saw governing bodies, citizens, community leaders, and others all on the same page regarding this issue, and it was a true exhibit of community. Through these meetings a solution was come up with, and although he didn't like it, he thought it was the right thing to do at this time. He thanked all of the people that worked hard to craft this resolution in a short time.

Carter said he would echo Schumm's comments. He said his first involvement in any meetings was last Friday and great work was done by other people more involved. Before that meeting furniture had been marked for movement to other offices so time was of the essence. He hoped the issue would play out in Topeka to a better resolution, but we didn't have the luxury of waiting for that.

Dever said the work done by grass roots efforts, mainly citizens, people who live and work here, showed how we can mobilize as a community for positive results. Ericka pointed out



the benefits of having an SRS office in the community. He shared everyone's concerns about spending money but it was an investment that citizens of the community asked for. In this instance the money invested was small compared to the financial impact received. He said it sends a message that we are going to roll up our sleeves and get things done.

Amyx said in his wildest dreams he would not have expected to deal with an issue like this. Over the last few weeks he said he had visited with a lot of people who had varying opinions on this. This was tough because it was really about people's lives. We get requests all the time but one of the things he thought about was the programs SRS provides. There is no way local agencies could pick up those programs. Our investment would be slight compared to the costs we would have to pick up for these programs. This was a smart move. If we are asked to consider incentives for a business, it is different but in a way it is a similar issue. We are investing in people in the community. He said a lot of people relied on the services and we were very fortunate to be able to help.

Cromwell thanked everyone that worked hard to come up with this agreement and other options. A lot of people had ideas and we found one that we thought would work. Unfortunately there wasn't time for a lot of the ideas, but we were moving forward with the best possible agreement we could come up with. He said he was uncomfortable with the precedent but he wasn't going to sit up here and put ideology ahead of people. He thought this would come up with a favorable benefit cost ratio. This was about people eating and having child care. It wasn't ideal, however, we have to keep the office open in the short term and hopefully the long term.

**Moved by Schumm, seconded by Carter,** to authorize the mayor to sign the agreement with the state. Motion carried unanimously.

**E. PUBLIC COMMENT:**

None.

**F. FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS:**

David Corliss, City Manager, outlined potential future agenda items.

**G: COMMISSION ITEMS:**

None.

**H: CALENDAR:**

David Corliss, City Manager, reviewed calendar items

**I: CURRENT VACANCIES – BOARDS/COMMISSIONS:**

Existing and upcoming vacancies on City of Lawrence Boards and Commissions were listed on the agenda.

**Moved by Schumm, seconded by Dever,** to adjourn at 9:35 p.m. Motion carried unanimously.

**APPROVED:**

---

Aron E. Cromwell, Mayor

**ATTEST:**

---

Jonathan M. Douglass, City Clerk