

From: Todd Thompson [todd.thompson@trqlaw.com]
Sent: Monday, April 12, 2010 2:49 PM
To: Scott McCullough
Subject: Adaptive Reuse

Scott:

We are in receipt of the revised draft of the Adaptive Reuse text amendment. Thank you for incorporating many of the suggested revisions; I think the members of OWL Association are generally quite pleased.

It has been noted that subsection (2)(iv) lacks the suggested inclusion of the word “primarily” right after “built.” Was this an oversight, or was the request considered and rejected? The inclusion of “primarily” would make it clear that houses built primarily for single family use, but which included servant’s quarters or an incidental or accessory use (such as an office) would not be eligible. There are houses in Old West Lawrence with maid’s quarters (typically a small second story room off the back stairs), so we believe this loophole needs to be closed. What are your thoughts?

Todd N. Thompson

Thompson Ramsdell & Qualseth, P.A.

333 W. 9th Street

P.O. Box 1264

Lawrence, KS 66044-2083

VOICE: (785) 841-4554

FAX: (785) 841-4499

EMAIL: todd.thompson@trqlaw.com