

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT

Kathleen Sebelius, Governor Roderick L. Bremby, Secretary

www.kdheks.gov

Division of Environment

January 16, 2008

Mr. David Corliss, City Manager City of Lawrence P.O. Box 708 Lawrence, KS 66044 RECEIVED

JAN 18 2008

CITY MANAGERS OFFICE LAWRENCE, KS

Re:

Lawrence Wastewater Treatment Plant

Kansas Permit #M-KS31-IO01

Dear Mr. Corliss:

I appreciate the courtesy extended to me by Judy Regnier and staff during the January 10th inspection. This letter and the attached form supplement and confirm the findings of the inspection. The facility was found to be well operated and maintained.

Monitoring records for December 2006 through October 2007 were reviewed. The facility was found to be in compliance with permit effluent limitations.

The city's staff has been sampling for phosphorous throughout the collection system and in the treatment plant. The information gained will be critical in addressing nutrient removal requirements.

Please contact me if you have questions or comments regarding this report.

Sincerely,

Helen L. Holm, P.E. District Engineer

Helen X. Halm

Cc: Dave Wagner, Utilities Superintendent

Judy Regnier, Wastewater Treatment Manager

BOW – Mike Tate

NEDO Files - Lawrence 2.0

ACTIVATED SLUDGE



Kansas Department of Health and Environment Bureau of Environmental Field Services

Kansas Water Pollution Control Facility Inspection Report

i.	Genera	Inform	nation
	Genera		nauvn

Inspection Date: <u>1/10/2008</u>

Inspection Time: 1 P.M.

KDHE Representative: Helen Holm

Facility Name: City of Lawrence

Telephone No. <u>785/832-7837</u> Facsimile No. <u>785/832-7837</u>

Facility Mailing Address: P.O. Box, Lawrence, KS 66044

E-mail Address: jregnier@ci.lawrence.ks.us

Permit No. M-KS31-IO01 WWTF Class: Major

Design Capacity: 12,5 MGD; 25 MGD Peak

hydraulic flow; 40 MGD in Actiflo

Last Inspection Date: <u>12/15/2006</u> Current Population: <u>82,000</u>

II. Contacts / Responsible Staff / Certified Operators

Name	Present	Title / Certification Level	Telephone No.
Judy Regnier	Yes	Wastewater Treatment Manager / Class IV	785/832-7837
Ron Goolsby	Yes	/ Class IV	
Jay Lovett	Yes	Operator/Not Certified	
Shari Stamer	Yes	Water Quality Manager/ Not Certified	785/832-7817
Corena Carpenter	Yes	Water Quality Technician/ Small Systems	785/832-7844

а	Does the level of staff certification comply with K.A.R. 28-16-36? ⊠Yes	□No
a.	Does the level of staff certification comply with N.A.R. 20-10-00: 2010-	

b Are there additional contacts with this facility?									
	2	acility	thic	with	contacte	additional	· thoro	A ===	h

Ye	s, See	Comr	nents
----	--------	------	-------

⊠No

c. Comments:

III. Facility Description

a. Briefly describe the operation and condition of the facility: The facility was found to be well operated and maintained.

	b.	Is the facility description in the permit accurate?	⊠Yes		

IV.	Fa	cility Information			
	a.	Describe any changes, additions or improvements changes.	to the facility si	nce the last insp	ection. <u>No</u>
		and an artist statement of the statement			
٧.	<u>O</u>	peration and Maintenance			
	a.	Is flow measurement present?	⊠Yes	□No	□N/A
	b.	Is flow measurement device operable?	⊠Yes	□No	∏N/A
	c.	How is influent being measured? (Parshall flume,	weir, timers, etc	.) Mag meter	
	d.	How is effluent being measured? (Parshall flume,	weir, timers, etc	.) Mag meter	
	e.	What is the last date of calibration of the flow meter maintenance schedule. Also, meters are checked at le			al preventive
	f.	Describe (attach diagram if available) location of m disinfection etcLevel control on septage receiving seach aeration basin; meter on Actiflo extraneous flow flow basin; WAS; RAS; belt filter press.	neter sites, e.g., station; influent m	after screening, (eter in vault; mete	ers on influent to
	g.	Flow Measurement:			
		Average Daily Flow: 9.1 MGD	ime Period curr	ently	
		Maximum Daily Flow: 16.1 MGD	ate Recorded: _	12/10 & 12/11/200	7
		Minimum Daily Flow: 7.5 MGD	ate Recorded: _		
	h.	Does the facility have adequate hydraulic capacity	? ⊠Yes	□No	□N/A·
	i.	Are all units in service (except backup)?	⊠Yes	□No	□N/A
	j.	Are operation and maintenance manuals available?	? ⊠Yes	□No	□N/A
	k.	Comments: Actiflo system issued for treating high flow all the equipment works.	s from runoff. Th	ne system is regul	arly tested to ensure
VI.	lnf	luent / Effluent			
	a.	Has there been or are there any anticipated signific changes in the influent quality and / or quantity?	ant ⊠Yes	□No	□N/A
	b.	Describe the effluent and its effect on the receiving	stream. No neo	ative effects were	e observed.
	c.	Identify or discuss any high strength or problem us industrial users through their pretreatment system.	ser of the collect	ion system. <u>Nor</u>	ne. The city tracks

Pg 2 of 9

Five years ago, the typical primary COD was 180 mg/l. VII. Sampling a. Identify the location where the permittee actually samples the influent and effluent for permit compliance monitoring. Include multiple points if applicable After grit removal, from Actiflow when operating, wastewater treatment plant effluent, and combined effluent when Actiflo is operating. □N/A b. Are sample location(s) adequate? ⊠Yes No c. Do the plant personnel perform their own permit ⊠Yes □No N/A compliance monitoring testing? d. If no, who collects and analyzes samples and for what parameters? See attached list for certifications the city's lab currently has. The city also contracts with Pace Analytical. □No □N/A e. Is each laboratory certified for these parameters? ⊠Yes f. Are the sample collection methods adequate? ⊠Yes □No □N/A g. Does the laboratory data indicate that the permittee is in compliance with the KWPC Permit effluent ⊠Yes ∏No □N/A limitations since the last inspection? h. Was a sample collected for analysis during the Yes ⊠No □N/A inspection? Comments: VIII. Reporting and Recordkeeping No a. Is a copy of the KWPC Permit available? ⊠Yes b. Have all Discharge Monitoring Reports been submitted ⊠Yes No □N/A to KDHE on time? ∏No □N/A c. Are Discharge Monitoring Reports available? ⊠Yes d. Are the Discharge Monitoring Reports maintained for ⊠Yes □No three (3) years? e. Are records of laboratory instrumentation maintenance ⊠Yes □No □N/A maintained for three (3) years? Are records of laboratory instrumentation calibration ⊠Yes □No N/A maintained for three (3) years? □N/A g. Are laboratory records maintained for three (3) years? ⊠Yes □No h. Are all appropriate records and data maintained and ⊠Yes □No available? Are there other permit violations since the previous ⊠No N/A inspection, i.e. failure to meet the schedule of ☐Yes compliance?

d. Comments: Strength of the influent has increased over the last 5 years. Currently, the typical COD of raw influent is 450 mg/l (250 mg/l BOD). Wastewater from primary clarifiers has a typical COD of 180 to 200 mg/l.

	j. Comments:	_				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
IX.	Bypass History					
	a. Since the last in	spection how ma	ny bypasses ha	ve been repor	ted at the follow	ing locations:
	Treatment Faci	lities: <u>0</u>	Lift Stations:	<u>2</u>	Collection S	system: <u>26</u>
	b. Were all bypasse	es reported prope	erly?	⊠Yes	□No	□N/A
	c. Comments: The system bypasses	city includes base were basement ba		its bypass repo	orting. The majori	ty of the collection
X.	Backup Power ar	nd Emergency	Procedures		.	
	a. Are backup pow sources availabl	er supplies or se e for the treatme		⊠Ye	s ⊡No	□N/A
	b. Are backup pow sources availabl	er supplies or se e for the lift statio		⊠Ye	s ⊡No	□N/A
	c. Describe the free	quency of exercis	se and maintena	nce of backup	power sources	On PM schedule
	d. Are maintenance available?	e records for bacl	kup power supp	lies ⊠Ye	s	□N/A
	e. Are there emerge power failure, eq	ency procedures uipment break d		¹ ⊠Ye	s ∐No	□N/A
	f. Comments:					
XI.	Activated Sludge	Operation and	d Maintenanc	<u>e</u>		
	a. Number of aerati	on basins in use	. <u>4</u>			
		pe of treatment te mix, contact s				pection, e.g. extended
	c. Is the influent / s influent?	plitter structure p	properly distribu	ting	s ⊟No	□N/A
	d. Is the aeration ed	quipment operation	onal?	⊠Ye	s ⊟No	□N/A
	e. Is air evenly dist	ributed across ba	isins?	⊠Ye	s	□N/A
	f. Does plant staff	measure the diss	olved oxygen?	⊠Ye	s	□N/A
	g. Describe where t	he DO is measur	ed. aeration bas	ins and effluen	<u>t</u>	
	h. Is the DO mainta	ined at 1 – 2 mg/l	_?	⊠Ye	s ⊡No	□N/A

Pg 4 of 9

	i.	Is the mixed liquor a dark-color?		∐Ye	s	⊠No	□N/A
	j.	Is there dark-colored foam on the surface?		∐Ye	s	⊠No	□N/A
	k.	Is there white, billowy foam?		∐Ye	s	⊠No	□N/A
	I.	Is process control sampling and analysis condu	cted?	⊠Ye	s	□No	□N/A
	m.	Are records of process control sampling and anamaintained?	alysis	⊠Ye	s	□No	□N/A
	n.	Are adequate quantities of sludge routinely wast	ted?	⊠Ye	s	□No	□N/A
	о.	Quantity of sludge wasted? Average of 34,000 to of WAS.	0 35,000	gpd pr	imary an	d 7,000 to 8,	000 pounds per day
	p.	Are sludge storage and disposal methods adequ	ıate?	⊠Ye	s	□No	□N/A
	q.	Describe frequency and method of sludge sat typically done in the spring and fall.	mpling.	Prior	to land	application.	Land application is
	r.	Does test data confirm compliance with pathoge reduction and vector attraction reduction standa		⊠Ye	s	□No	□N/A
	s.	What methods are used to meet pathogen reduc CFR Part 503 regulations? Fecal coliform bacteria	tion and	vecto rations	r attracti and VSS	on reduction reduction.	n requirement of 40
	t.	Does an operational strategy exist for the facility	1?	⊠Ye	s	□No	□N/A
	u.	Is the operational strategy followed?		⊠Ye	s	□No	□N/A
	٧.	MLSS concentration (mg//L) 1000 mg/l (day of the	e inspecti	on); 12	00 to 140	00 mg/l avera	age
	w.	F:M ratio (lbs BOD / lbs MLVSS / day)					
	x.	Sludge Age (Days) 11 to 12 days; 20 days in diges	sters				
	y.	Comments: Treatment plant has been more lightly	loaded d	ue to n	nany stud	lents being o	ut of town.
XII.	Cl	arifier Operation and Maintenance					
	a.	Number of clarifiers in use? 2 Primary		<u>3</u> Sec	ondary		
	b.	Is there excessive gas bubbles / floating sludge?	Primary Second		∐Yes ∐Yes	⊠No ⊠No	□n/a □n/a
	c.	Are the weirs painted and maintained?	Primary Second		⊠Yes ⊠Yes	□No □No	□n/a □n/a
	d.	Are the weirs free of sludge and biological growth?	Primary Second		⊠Yes ⊒Yes	∐No ⊠No	□N/A □N/A
	e.	Does the effluent over the weirs appear clear?	Primary Second		⊠Yes ⊠Yes	□No □No	□N/A □N/A

		The state of the s			
	f.	Is the flow over the weirs uniform?	Primary Secondary	⊠Yes ⊠Yes	□No □N/A □No □N/A
	g.	Is sufficient sludge wasted to maintain the system's equilibrium?	Primary Secondary	⊠Yes ⊠Yes	□No □N/A □No □N/A
	h.	Are surface skimmers operational?	Primary Secondary	⊠Yes ⊠Yes	□No □N/A □No □N/A
	i.	Is the sludge blanket level appropriate?	Primary Secondary	⊠Yes ⊠Yes	□No □N/A □No □N/A
	j.	Is there pin floc sludge?	Primary Secondary	∐Yes ⊠Yes	⊠No □N/A □No □N/A
	k.	What is the appearance of the sludge blanket?	Primary	☐Granular ☐Bulking ⊠Not evaluat	☐Homogeneous ☐Sponge-like ted ☐N/A
			Secondary	☐Granular ☐Bulking ⊠Not evaluat	☐Homogeneous ☐Sponge-like
	1.	Are the waste sludge pumps operational?	Primary Secondary	⊠Yes ⊠Yes	□No □N/A □No □N/A
	m.	Are the return sludge pumps operational?	Primary Secondary	⊠Yes ⊠Yes	□No □N/A □No □N/A
	n.	Comments:			
XIII.	SI	udge Management			
	-	Number of primary digester(s) available / in ope	ration	Aerobic:	1
				Anaerobic:	
	b.	Number of secondary digester(s) available / in o	peration	Aerobic:	/
	c.	Other stabilization process: DAF and a sludge m	ixing tank. All	the sludge, both	n primary & secondary, is
	d.	Can the supernatant be withdrawn from multiple	points?	⊠ Yes	□ No
	e.	Is sludge removed on a regular basis?		⊠ Yes	□ No
	f.	Is digested sludge storage utilized?		☐ Yes	⊠ No
	g.	Is the sludge dewatered?		⊠ Yes	□ No
	h.	If yes, how is the sludge being dewatered?		⊠Belt press	
				☐Bagging E	iquipment
				☐Filter Bed	
				 Other:	

	i.	Does the facility have a routine maintenance sludge digestion equipment?	e program for the	⊠ Yes	□No
	j.	What is the ultimate disposition of the slud	ge?	⊠Land Applicatio	n
	-			□Compost	
				Landfill	
				 ☐Processed by a	nother POTW
				Other:	
	k.	Do facility records indicate that the ultimate the sludge is in compliance with the 40 CFF Regulations?		⊠ Yes	□ No
	l.	Comments:			
XIV.	۸r	naerobic Digestion		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
NIV.	`		ammayatırın vara		
	a.	Is the digestion process maintained in the t of 85 to 96°F?	emperature range	☐ Yes	□ No
	b.	If no, explain: <u>Digester 1- 100 to 101 F. Dige</u>	ster 2 - 95 - 96 F.		
	c.	What is the typical sludge retention time in	days? 20 to 30 day	<u>s</u>	
	d.	What is the Volatile Acid / Alkalinity ratio regreater than 5000 mg/l.	lationship? Volatile	Acids approximately	40 mg/l. Alkalinity
	e.	Has a digester ever become upset or sour sthe last inspection?	ince 🗌 Yes	⊠ No	
	f.	Comments:			
I					Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark Mark
XV.		robic Digestion			(00 0=)
	a.	What is the minimum number of days the slin the digester(s) and at what temperature?	udge is retained	☐40 days at 20°C	
		m the digester(s) and at what temperature.		☐60 days at 15°C	(59°F)
				Other:	
	b.	Comments:			
<u> </u>					• • •
XVI.	Ch	lorine Disinfection			
		What is the type of Chlorine being used?	☐ Chlorine Gas:	🗌 100 lbs cylinder	150 lbs cylinder
				2,000 lbs cylinde	r
			⊠ Sodium Hypoc	hlorite	
			□ нтн		
				<u> </u>	

	b.	Does the chlorine contact basin(s) provide a minim minutes of detention time at average daily flow or 1 at the maximum hourly flow?	um of 30 5 minutes	⊠ Yes		No
	c.	Does the facility maintain chlorine residual records	?	⊠ Yes	<u> </u>	No
	d.	Does the facility address safety issues associated use of chlorine, i.e., the use of SCBA's, forced air v cylinder restraints, etc?	with the entilation,	⊠ Yes	<u> </u>	No
	e.	How does the facility measure chlorine residual?		oxtimes DPD		
		•		⊠ Ampero	metric titrati	on
	f.	What chemical is used for dechlorination?	☐ None		 _	pyrosulphite
			⊠ Sodiun			thiosulfate
				n sulphite	Sulpher	dioxide
			Other:			
	g.	Comments:				
XVII.	<u>Lif</u>	t Station Operation and Maintenance				
	a.	Total number of lift stations. <u>37</u> b.	Number of	lift stations i	inspected: 1	
	C.	Are all pumps operational?	[⊠Yes	□No	□N/A
	d.	Are pump running time registers operational?	[Yes	□No	□N/A
	e.	Describe lift station inspection and maintenance so	:hedule(s).	Lift stations a	re inspected	at least weekly.
	f.	Are maintenance and pumping volume records maintained?	[⊠Yes	□No	□N/A
	g.	Is forced-air ventilation provided?	[_Yes	□No	□N/A
į	h.	Is there excessive leakage from pumps or piping?	[_Yes	□No	□N/A
	i.	Is there excessive grease build-up in the wet well?	[_]Yes	□No	□N/A
	j.	Are operators familiar with confined space entry requirements?	į	⊠Yes	□No	□N/A
	k.	Describe alarm and monitoring systems. Lift station	is are monito	ored through	the SCADA s	<u>ystem.</u>
	1.	Do any lift stations have a history of bypasses or of mechanical problems?	ther	∐Yes	⊠No	□N/A
	m.	Does the facility have any security measures in pla	ce?	⊠Yes	□No	□N/A
	n.	Comments: The capacity of the Kentucky Street pump	o station has	been signific	antly increase	ed.

	Co	ollection System
	a.	Describe the operation and condition of the collection system. The city has an ongoing program for limiting I and I. There are I and I issues in some parts of town.
	b.	Is there a significant inflow or infiltration problem?
	C.	If yes, describe what steps are being taken to control / correct the problem?
	d.	Describe the sewer maintenance and repair activities since the last inspection, i.e. including the use of outside contractors. The city has contracted with an outside company to test flows and determine areas of high I and I. Lines are checked by TV. The city also has lined problem lines.
	e.	Comments:
XX.	Co	omments and Recommendations
	a.	Are follow up actions needed? □Yes ⊠No
	b.	Issues and Deficiencies: The City Commission is deciding the construction start date for the city's second wastewater treatment facility. City staff is collecting and analyzing samples from the distribution system and the plant influent and effluent for phosphorous.
	c.	Recommendations:
	d.	Comments:
Repo	rt Pre	epared and Submitted By: Helen Holm
•		epared and Submitted By: <u>Helen Holm</u>
· Title:	Distr	uary 16, 2008
· Title:	<u>Distr</u> <u>Janı</u>	uary 16, 2008
Title:	<u>Distr</u> <u>Janı</u>	uary 16, 2008
Title:	<u>Distr</u> <u>Janı</u>	uary 16, 2008

KANSAS DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND ENVIRONMENT **ENVIRONMENTAL LABORATORY ACCREDITATION** CLEAN WATER ACT PROGRAM - NON-POTABLE WATER MATRIX

PAGE: 1

This certificate supersedes all previous certificates

Lawrence Municipal Laboratory 720 West 3rd Street Lawrence, KS 66044-0708

Certificate Number: E-60665 Effective Date: 05/01/2007 Expiration Date: 04/30/2008 Reciprocity:

The laboratory listed above is hereby approved for environmental laboratory accreditation in accordance with K.S.A. 65-1, 109a for the following:

**DEMANDS BOD {SM 5210B} eBOD {SM 5210B} ÆOD {HACH 8000̂} ✔

Oxygen, Dissolved (SM 4500-O G)

**INORGANIC Chlorine - total (SM 4500-Cl D)

E. Coli (Colilert System) Fecal Coliforms (SM 9222D (MF))

**MINERALS Hardness (SM 2340C)

**MICROBIOLOGY

**MISCELLANEOUS Hydrogen Ion (pH) (SM 4500-H+B) Specific Conductance (SM 2510B) Temperature [SM 2550B]

**NUTRIENTS -Ammonia (SM (18th) 4500-NH3 C K Nitrogen (SM 4500-NH3 O)

Phosphorus [SM 4500-P E]

**RESIDUES Residue, Non Filterable (TSS) (SM 2540D)

NELAP-Recognized